Fundamentals of Sociology

Dr. Kamshad Mohsin

FUNDAMENTALS OF SOCIOLOGY

FUNDAMENTALS OF SOCIOLOGY

Dr. Kamshad Mohsin

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1

OVERVIEW ON NATURE AND SCOPE OF SOCIOLOGY

Dr. Kamshad Mohsin, Assistant Professor,
Maharishi Law School, Maharishi University of Information Technology, Uttar Pradesh, India.
Email Id-kamshad@muit.in

ABSTRACT:

This paper offers a broad review of sociology's nature and use, emphasizing its multidisciplinary links, theoretical underpinnings, and fundamental ideas. The study examines sociology as a social science field concerned with comprehending human behavior, social interaction, and society dynamics by drawing on a synthesis of academic literature and empirical research. It looks at the fundamental ideas, techniques, and strategies that sociologists use to investigate a range of societal issues, such as social structures, cultural norms, inequality, deviance, and social change. The article also addresses sociology's interdisciplinary character, highlighting the ways in which it intersects with other academic fields including political science, economics, anthropology, psychology, and others. It also takes into account how sociological knowledge may be used practically to solve current social concerns and guide changes to public policy.

KEYWORDS:

Culture, Interdisciplinary, Social Change, Social Institutions, Sociology.

INTRODUCTION

One of the first social disciplines to get recognition was sociology. The Latin term socius, which means companion, and the Greek word logy, which means study of, are the sources of the word "sociology." The study of social phenomena, social life, groups, organizations, associations, and societies is all included in sociology. It takes a scientific perspective on society. Sociology is a broad field. It includes both collective and individual social systems. The behavior of humans and their existence in relation to one another are explained by the concepts of sociology. Actually, sociology has always examined cultures as "human societies," both when examined in isolation and in combination [1], [2]. Although there may be differences in how the two components are balanced, studying one is a prerequisite for studying the other. Neither of them is coherent on its own. When seeing India as a society, for example, cities, industries, schools, farms, or jails come to mind. It may also be interpreted in terms of religion, politics, or the media. Connecting all these things is easy. They may also be seen as being contained inside the borders of the Indian states and classified as belonging to Indian society as a whole. Learn about the nature and application of sociology in this subject. Among the social sciences which also include psychology, anthropology, history, economics, and political science-sociology is a relatively recent academic field. The concepts that underlie it, have a lengthy history, nevertheless, and may be traced back to a combination of philosophy and ordinary human understanding [3], [4].

Early in the 19th century, sociology became recognized as a scientific field and as a fundamentally new kind of society founded on novel theories of enlightenment and novel theories of social structure. People's perspectives changed as a result of this. In addition to trying to figure out what kept social groupings together, sociologists also wanted to provide a remedy for social disintegration. Sociology is the scientific study of social groupings, including their hierarchies and organizational structures. It brings together functions that have a tendency

to preserve or alter these kinds of organizations and the network between groups inside them. The study of sociology is concerned with interaction. A social interaction system is called a social group [5], [6].

Social ties are of interest to sociology not because they are legal, political, religious, or economic, but rather because they are social in nature. In addition, sociology focuses on culture and social relationships their unique forms, variations, and patterns—rather than everything that occurs in a community or under social circumstances. the interactions between relations, how they construct larger or smaller systems, and how they adapt to changing conditions. In 1838, French philosopher and sociologist Auguste Comte coined the word "sociology." Comte made an effort to integrate all of human knowledge, including economics, psychology, and history. His own sociological style was characteristic of the 19th century; he advanced the idea that all men had gone through the same unique historical phases and that all social evils could be solved by the success of this development.

In the future, sociology would dominate the social sciences. According to Comte, sociology is the study of social phenomena that are governed by natural, unchanging rules; the goal of research is to identify these laws. He argued that sociology should be used in the same constructive manner as the scientific disciplines. He also believed that the rule of three phases explained how social development and advancement went hand in hand. Theological-military, metaphysical-legalistic, and positive-industrial laws are these three phases. Sociology is ranked highest in Comte's hierarchy of sciences. This is because, given that it deals with human beings, it is seen to be the most difficult of all the disciplines.

The industrial and French revolutions brought about significant changes that led to a rise in the importance of systematic social science. The intellectual elite of the day made an effort to investigate and determine the causes of these abrupt shifts. As a result, sociology as a field of study focused on social order and change arose. While sociology takes a unique perspective, the social sciences examine various facets of social life. It provides a more thorough explanation of why things are the way they are. Because sociologists are interested in exploring beyond the generally accepted definition of social phenomena and see reality as a social construction that is, how reality comes to be understood in the ways that it is sociology has also been referred to as a "debunking science."

Sociology is a distinctive way of thinking, a particular awareness of the nature of social life, and an unwillingness to accept the superficial and the apparently obvious," according to American sociologist Peter Berger. At the 1890s, sociology became a recognized academic field at several colleges. The social problems brought out by industrialization and urbanization were many, and at the time, sociologists were working very hard to come up with a scientific answer. But they were unsuccessful. They firmly believed that sociology held the key to society's advancement as a scientific field [7], [8].

Later on, sociology stopped being only conjecture or remarks based on feelings and began to become a field of scientific study with hypotheses derived from scientific deductions. Sociology is the study of human interactions, their circumstances, and their outcomes on a larger scale. It is a branch of science that uses methodical, objective research approaches. It leads to the development of the social truth, which is supported by interpretation and empirical data. It cannot, however, be purely grounded on the natural sciences since human behavior is a unique phenomenon. It also contrasts from the scientific sciences in that human behavior varies and is flexible, while the contents of the natural sciences are unchanging [9], [10].

As a field of study, sociology has several distinctive features. In some ways, it differs from other sciences. Understanding its primary features may be achieved by analyzing internal

logical qualities. It is not investigated and handled like a subfield of another science. It has its own area of research, limit, and methodology as a separate science. It concentrates on the human being, his social behavior, social activities, and social existence since it is a social science. It has connections to other social sciences including political science, economics, history, and so on. Sociology does not assign values of any type. Its methodology is amoral rather than immoral or moral. It has no ethical conflict. Regarding laws, programs, or social policy issues, it offers no recommendations.

DISCUSSION

Issues pertaining to right and wrong, moral and immoral, and good and evil cannot be addressed by sociology. Whether or whether the information is applicable or valuable, the primary goal of pure science is knowledge gathering. Conversely, applied science incorporates learned information into daily living. It has no interest in the tangible expression of human events. It is more focused on the structure and patterns of human occurrences. For example, sociology studies broad social phenomena such as forms of social strife rather than wars and revolutions. The goal of sociology is to identify basic rules or principles governing human interaction as well as correlations about the makeup, composition, and organization of social groups and societies.

It bases its overall strategy on an analysis of a few chosen occurrences. Empiricism and rationalism are the two main schools of thought that approach the study of science. Experiences and data derived from observation and experimentation are highlighted by empiricism. Rationalism places a strong emphasis on logic and hypotheses that follow from it. For social research, both are important. Morris Ginsberg, a British sociologist, said that sociology covers a wide range of human interactions, their circumstances, and their outcomes. Some authors would limit its application to relationships that result from actions of volition, but this is an unreasonable and untenable restriction. A large number of interpersonal exchanges are neither planned nor understood. Determining the relative roles of impulse, subconscious, and reason or logical purpose in social life is one of the most fascinating issues facing students of society.

In this situation, sociology has to be able to address the whole problem or social interaction network. These connections, however, are seen to be contingent upon the characteristics of people, as well as those of the community, one another, and the outside world. This makes sense if one can trace the origins of every social event and how intricate relationships shaped them. In terms of outside effects, a community is made up of a composite of various interactions. Even with a charitable conception, this aim is obviously too lofty. The methodical, impartial study of human society is the focus of sociology. Sociologists research people's social behaviors. Sociological questions arise from social relationships, such as those between a husband and wife, a teacher and a student, a buyer and a seller, and social processes, such as cooperation, competition, conflict and organizations, communities and nations, and social structures (family, class and state). Social institutions are the product of explanations that are based on rules and values.

Thus, the study of social life might be the definition of sociology. Sociology encompasses a wide range of interests and concerns. Its goal is to provide categorized linkages among groups, organizations, and institutions. These connections concern social, moral, religious, political, and economic facets of human existence. It is acknowledged that sociology studies interaction systems that form social institutions, the state, and non-native order, even if there is currently no consensus on what constitutes sociology. As a result, sociology studies institutions, culture, social structure, and social organization. Sociology was described as a social science with specific features in the official educational system. Prominent sociologists including George

Simmel, Ferdinand Tonnies, Alfred Vierkandt, and Leopold Von Wiese supported this school. However, the synthetic school, which included renowned sociologists like Durkheim, Hobhouse, and Sorokin, tried to unite the social sciences in some way.

The formal education system backed the notion of providing sociology with a relevant subject matter to distinguish it as a separate study. It placed a strong emphasis on the study of social interactions and regarded sociology as a separate field. Sociology is a particular social science that, according to Simmel, organizes, analyzes, and graphically illustrates the many types of social connections. Stated differently, social interactions must to be categorized and examined in their many forms. According to Simmel, social connections may take many different forms. He studied formal connections, including those between subordinates and superiors, competitors, and cooperative ties. "The forms in which the interests are realized may yet be identical, notwithstanding the diversity of the interests giving rise to these sociations," he said. His primary focus was on conceptualizing these forms from human connections that are independent of various contexts. According to Vierkandt, sociology should focus on those who have strong mental or psychic attachments. According to von Wiese, there are two different kinds of fundamental social processes in human societies. There is also a combination of disassociative and associative processes.

It is possible to further divide each of these processes into smaller groups. There are 650 categories of human connections as a consequence of these subclasses.

The history of concrete societies should have an impact on sociology since it focuses on identifying a fundamental force of consistency and change. Tonnies proposed the terms Gesellschaft (association) and Gemeinschaft (community) to describe two different kinds of societies. These were determined by how close society members were to one another. He tried to distinguish between community and society based on the kinds of ties. Max Weber, a German sociologist, defined a specific area of sociology. He suggested that identifying or explaining social behavior be the primary goal of sociology. However, as not all interactions between people may be classified as social, social behavior does include all facets of human connections.

Understanding and recognizing the many forms of social connections is the focus of sociology. The formal education system has faced criticism for its exclusive emphasis on abstract forms while disregarding the more practical aspects of social life. Studying abstract forms that are cut off from tangible relationships is not feasible. Ginsberg believed that if social interactions were studied in a vacuum, without a full understanding of the vocabulary involved, the research would never be finished. There are other social science fields outside sociology that concentrate on the many kinds of social connections.

International law and political science both examine the same things. The idea of pure sociology is impractical as social sciences cannot be studied as an independent field from other disciplines. Sociology is defined by the synthetic school as a synthesis of social sciences.It emphasizes the need to broaden sociology's scope. Durkheim separated sociology into three primary categories. They included general sociology, social physiology, and social morphology. Social morphology is the study of how people live according to where they live. It includes elements such as dispersion, density, and population. This can be further divided into two categories: (i) learning about social hierarchy or specifics about the main categories of social groups and institutions, along with their operation; and (ii) analysis of population density and type that impacts social relationships and social groups. The origins and nature of many social institutions, like as morality, religion, the law, economic systems, and so on, are the subject of social physiology. Formulating universal social rules is the main goal of general

sociology. Research is currently ongoing to determine the connections between various institutional forms that are addressed separately in social physiology and the potential for the creation of general social laws as a consequence.

British sociologist Hobhouse described sociology as a branch of study that examines human society as a whole. It connects to other social sciences via a combination of stimulation and reciprocal interaction. The two main categories of sociology, according to the classical sociologist Karl Mannheim, are systematic or general sociology and historical sociology. The primary coexistence-promoting elements are thoroughly reviewed by systematic sociology and are seen in all types of societies. The historical diversity and persistence of broad social formations are the subjects of historical sociology. This may be separated into two areas: social dynamics and comparative sociology. Comparative sociology primarily examines similar historical shifts and uses comparison to draw attention to their shared characteristics. Additionally, it distinguishes between industrial and general aspects. The relationships between various social elements and institutions within a particular society such as an ancient society are the focus of social dynamics.

In order to categorize the many forms and structures of social relations particularly those that may be identified as groups and institutions Ginsberg has synthesized the key components of sociology. He looked for connections between the many facets of social life, such as the intellectual, social, political, moral, and legal spheres. It assesses the sociological concepts that impact social life and tries to simplify the fundamental prerequisites for social change and persistence. Thus, the field of sociology may be broadly defined based on the opinions of several sociologists. First and foremost, sociology need to study the many organizations, alliances, and social groupings that are the outcome of people's social interactions with one another. Understanding the many connections between distinct societal segments is the second stage. As needed, the functionalist school of sociology provides for this goal. The same viewpoint is also expressed by the Marxist school.

Thus, social structure is the primary topic of debate in sociology. Sociology needs to concentrate on factors that are crucial for fostering both social change and stability. Lastly, sociology need to address problems pertaining to pattern alterations and the fallout from social shifts. There is currently debate about whether sociology is a science. The idea that sociology should be treated as a science along with the other social sciences is opposed by certain skeptics. Because sociology uses methodical, objective techniques for analysis and evaluation, it may be considered a science. It may also be assessed as a social reality using explanations and empirical facts. But because human behavior differs from that of the scientific sciences, it cannot be directly compared to them. If the first definition is adopted, sociology may be referred to as a science on the grounds that, after scientific inquiry, it produces a corpus of ordered and validated knowledge. Sociology is a science to the degree that it rejects myth, folklore, and wishful thinking in favor of supporting data from empirical studies. Given that science is defined as methods of research, sociology fits the definition of a science as it employs scientific methods of investigation.

Few decisions made throughout human history have been founded on solid information since customs, expectations, values, and folklore have always served as people's primary sources of guidance. The concept of systematic observation and analysis has gained relatively little traction in recent years. According to American sociologist W. F. Ogburn, sociology is a science. A sociology needs trustworthy information. As a result, sociological research on the population, families, group dynamics, institutional development, and social change process is seen to be quite trustworthy. Secondly, science cannot be a disorganized collection of facts. Relationships are the foundation for the structure of science, which need to be done. The scope

for linkages that sociology offers is sufficient to inspire more research. In addition, a body of information may be said to be scientific if its research and studies adhere to a scientific methodology.

Numerous techniques are used in sociological investigations, including the historical, case study, functional, social survey, and statistical approaches. Sociology is not as precise as the natural sciences, thus even if it is a science, its scientific nature cannot be proven. There is no getting around the reality that since human behavior and connections are unique and unpredictable, sociology cannot experiment and forecast in the same way that physical sciences can. Given that everyone has preconceptions and biases of their own, sociologists cannot be objective. Because social events are so large and human motives are so complicated, it is impossible to forecast human behavior with precision. Nonetheless, the arguments made by these goals against sociology as a science are debatable.

Sociology employs scientific methodologies to investigate its subject matter. Even while sociology opposes laboratory testing, it does make use of scientific methods like sociometry measurements, schedules, questionnaires, interviews, and case histories. These connect quantitative indicators to social phenomena. A sociology also makes use of comparison and observation. In sociology, the cause-and-effect connection is defined. Thus, sociology is a scientific field that complies with the validity requirements that the term "science" implies. It categorizes social ties and establishes the connections between various spheres of social activity. In his book The Social Order, American sociologist Robert Bierstedt argued that sociology was a social science rather than a natural science.

Therefore, it can be said that science is a means of discovering the truth, and sociology will acquire a scientific quality if a variety of approaches and methodologies are used appropriately. Similar to any other natural event, human social behaviors may be studied via scientific investigation. Scientific methods used in this investigation include sociometry scales, a timetable, questionnaires, interviews, and case studies. These in turn use numerical measures to analyze social phenomena. As a result, they are comparable to the experimenting method. Sociology makes an effort to categorize various social interactions, particularly those between organizations and associations.

It seeks to create connections between many facets of social life. It also entails the methodical analysis of its content to derive general rules. Social issues are solved by using the findings of the study of sociological principles. As a result, sociology and other disciplines that study human life, such social psychology and clinical psychology, may be contrasted. Two more essential methods of scientific thinking that a sociologist might effectively use are observation and comparison. Additionally, sociology may be used to create laws and do projections for the future. Most of the time, these laws remain applicable and unaffected by changes in culture. By examining social interactions and processes, sociology also clarifies cause-and-effect links.

CONCLUSION

Sociology is an essential instrument for comprehending human society's intricacies and solving urgent social issues. Sociologists add to our knowledge of the social world and its dynamics by studying patterns of social interaction, cultural norms, and institutional structures. Because sociology is multidisciplinary, ideas from many domains may influence its practice and study, improving our comprehension of social phenomena and fostering creative solutions to challenging social issues. Moreover, by identifying patterns of marginalization, prejudice, and inequality and pushing for legislative reforms to rectify them, sociology plays a critical role in advancing social justice, fairness, and inclusion. Sociology will always be necessary to navigate and shape our common social reality as society changes and encounters new obstacles.

REFERENCES:

[1]   A. G. Tait, "The Routledge companion to the environmental humanities," *Green Lett.*, 2019, doi: 10.1080/14688417.2019.1586146.

[2]   N. K. Dahlan and I. Irwansyah, "The Sociology of Law Study On the Impact of LGBT People In Social Life," *Papua Law J.*, 2019, doi: 10.31957/plj.v3i1.629.

[3]   H. Alpert, "Part II. Durkheim's Conception of the Nature, Method and Scope of Sociology," in *Emile Durkheim and His Sociology*, 2019. doi: 10.7312/alpe90998-005.

[4]   Di. Larsen-Freeman, "Second Language Development in Its Time: Expanding Our Scope of Inquiry: A Lecture Delivered at Beijing Foreign Studies University, May 12, 2019," *Chinese J. Appl. Linguist.*, 2019, doi: 10.1515/CJAL-2019-0017.

[5]   N. Myers, "From Edenic Apocalypse to Gardens against Eden," in *Infrastructure, Environment, and Life in the Anthropocene*, 2019. doi: 10.1215/9781478002567-008.

[6]   G. Burrell and G. Morgan, "Assumptions about the Nature of Society," in *Sociological Paradigms and Organisational Analysis*, 2019. doi: 10.4324/9781315609751-2.

[7]   O. Lavrenova, "Genesis of culture in space. conception of cultural landscape in context of cultural and philosophic research," in *Numanities - Arts and Humanities in Progress*, 2019. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-15168-3_2.

[8]   O. Lavrenova, "Cultural landscape as a metaphor," in *Numanities - Arts and Humanities in Progress*, 2019. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-15168-3_4.

[9]   A. L. Teixeira, "Literature and sociology: A mutual incitement relationship," *Sociologias*, 2018, doi: 10.1590/15174522-020004801.

[10]   G. C. Savage and S. Lewis, "The phantom national? Assembling national teaching standards in Australia's federal system," *J. Educ. Policy*, 2018, doi: 10.1080/02680939.2017.1325518.

CHAPTER 2

INVESTIGATION OF THE CONCEPT OF SOCIOLOGY

Dr. Kamshad Mohsin, Assistant Professor,
Maharishi Law School, Maharishi University of Information Technology, Uttar Pradesh, India.
Email Id-kamshad@muit.in

ABSTRACT:

This study explores the idea of sociology by exploring its theoretical underpinnings, practical applications, and guiding principles. Based on an extensive analysis of academic literature and practical investigations, the study examines sociology as a field committed to the methodical investigation of society, social interactions, and social structures. It clarifies how sociologists study and interpret diverse social events by looking at important ideas including social norms, social institutions, social change, and social stratification. The research also takes into account sociology's multidisciplinary aspect, emphasizing the ways in which it intersects with other academic fields including psychology, anthropology, economics, and political science. Sociologists shed light on human behavior, social trends, and cultural dynamics using empirical research techniques including surveys, interviews, and observational studies. The practical applications of sociological knowledge are also covered in the article, with a focus on how relevant it is for solving modern societal concerns and guiding public policy choices.

KEYWORDS:

Interdisciplinary, Social Change, Social Institutions, Social Norms, Sociology.

INTRODUCTION

"A thirst for Knowledge" is innate in human nature. Because of our constant quest for information, humans have created a wide range of knowledge fields. This made it easier for him to gather and recall study treatises. Based on the findings of his research, he divided science into two categories: "Natural Science" and "Social Science". The latter, known as "Social Science," includes a thorough examination of human society and social conduct, whereas the former evolved into a field of science that deals with the topic and content of natural components. Although social science has a long history dating back to the 18th and 19th centuries, sociology did not establish itself as a permanent component of the social science disciplines until relatively recently [1], [2]. The goal and purview of sociology is the thorough and exhaustive scientific study of human society. Because of its precision and completeness in results about the comprehensive study of human social behavior, sociology is now often referred to be the "Science of Society."

As the "Father of Sociology," Auguste Comte is revered. According to his argument, sociology offers a methodical and scientific approach to studying human behavior in general and society in particular. It was difficult for Auguste Comte to persuade people to embrace his idea of practical reality. Max Weber, Herbert Spencer, Emile Durkheim, and several others who highly valued and applauded Auguste Comte's work should be mentioned. Since many academics, activists, social philosophers, sociologists, and others have followed in the footsteps of Auguste Comte and other "Pioneers of Sociology," sociology has become an established cult and "a subject of status" today. The newest of the social sciences is sociology [3], [4]. It very lately become a new member of the Social Sciences family. The Science of Sociology was founded in the 19th Century by a French Philosopher named Auguste Comte. It is legitimate to acknowledge him as the "Father of Sociology." He developed the broad methodology for the

study of human society. Auguste was initially exposed to the word "sociology" by Comte in his 1839 lectures on "Positive Philosophy." Ever then, sociology has become more acquainted with sociology. It wasn't until the mid-1800s that sociology started to gain prominence as a distinct field of study. It took a another fifty years for it to take on its current scientific nature [5], [6].

In the first part of the 19th century, Auguste Comte established the groundwork for contemporary sociology. However, there was social philosophy before Comte. Men considered the communities in which they lived for millennia. Sociological concepts may be found in the works of philosophers, intellectuals, and legislators from many nations. These intellectuals might also be referred to as "missionaries," "philosophers," "historians," "law-givers," and so forth from a sociological perspective, but not as sociologists. These early philosophers did not put their theories about man and his society to the test or have them scientifically validated. Their opinions frequently constituted the moral cornerstone of the culture that was in place.

In the medieval ages, scholars and men of literature also made some efforts to comprehend and analyze human civilization and its issues. Yet systematic efforts to analyze society and create a distinct social science termed "sociology" were not attempted until the 19th century by scholars like Auguste Comte, Spencer, Durkheim, Weber, and others. Even though social sciences like history, political science, economics, psychology, sociology, and so forth have a long history of their own, it wasn't until the 18th and 19th centuries that they were officially recognized as "social sciences." This marked the beginning of the social science tradition. The Renaissance, Reformation, and Enlightenment were three significant intellectual movements that provided a strong boost to the then-emerging tradition of scientific thought throughout this time. Social psychology is the study of how mental and social processes interact to shape behavior. It focuses on the interplay between social and psychological processes. This is the area of convergence between psychology and sociology [7], [8].

Psychologists examine the thought processes and personality traits that influence an individual's behavior. Sociologists often examine not just the social environment and framework, the processes that occur within it, and the impact it has on people. Sociology focuses in particular on interpersonal interactions and connections. History has much to do with the study of sociology. Sociology's perspective on and categorization of historical social kinds has been informed by history. There is a lot of overlap and interaction between the two disciplines. Historians provide a significant amount of the data used by sociologists. Historians, however, also make extensive use of sociological research. Does it imply that the two fields are essentially the same? Alfred Radcliffe-Brown, an English social anthropologist, asserts that "sociology is nomothetic, while history is idiographic," meaning that while a historian records specific incidents, a sociologist draws conclusions from them [9], [10].

To draw broad conclusions about the social dynamics at play, sociologists use quantitative data. A historian, on the other hand, is interested in how personality and these societal factors interact. History examines changes that occur throughout time and is concerned with the past. In order to create generalizations, sociologists search for patterns. Political science and sociology converge to form the field of political sociology. The Italian political scientist Giovanni Sartori had proposed that the word "political sociology" was imprecise as it might be used interchangeably with "sociology of politics." Within the discipline of political sociology, there was a lack of clarity on the subjects of investigation and the methodologies used. Clarification became necessary as a result.

According to Sartori, an explanation of this kind would only be feasible "when the point of intersection between the sociological and "politicological" approaches is combined."

Multidisciplinary studies take place at this juncture. Nonetheless, defining the boundaries of the two parent disciplines political science and sociology is necessary to comprehend the dynamics of such a site. While the origins of political science may be traced to the Greek philosopher Aristotle, political science became an academic subject in the United States of America. The Faculty of Political Science at Columbia University in New York was established in the late 19th century, marking one of the first uses of the phrase "political science," according to American political scientist Beymour Martin Lipset. The American Political Science Association was established in 1903, a few years thereafter. The American Political Science Review's first issue was released shortly after, and it has since been in publication for more than a century.

Political science has gained several focuses as the 20th century progressed. It included a normative approach to politics, an analytical and comparative study of various polities, and a historical study of political thinking. Despite its wide range of applications, the State would be the only subject of study if political science were to be reduced to just one focus. Given that political science primarily focuses on the state, sociology may be seen as the study of society. The latter discipline emerged as a result of the Enlightenment, a period in European intellectual history that prioritized using human reason critically rather than mindlessly adhering to the commands of human and heavenly authority.

DISCUSSION

The goal of political sociology is to comprehend the ways in which society and government interact, as well as the mechanisms through which social pressures and competing interests are resolved. It is the study of how society and politics interact, as well as how the political system interacts with its social, cultural, and economic surroundings. It addresses challenges with conflict management, expressing interests and concerns, and political organization and integration. The essential idea underlying all of these issues is the autonomy of the interactions between political, economic, and sociocultural factors.

Political sociology's viewpoint differs from behavioralism's and institutionalism's. The primary focus of institutionalists' research has been institutional forms of political organization, and formality and legality have defined their approach. The behavioralists have concentrated on the individual politician, with a primary emphasis on psychological traits like as motivations, attitudes, perceptions, and roles of people. Political sociologists investigate the political process as a continuum of interactions between social forces and decision-making institutions, as well as between society and its decision-makers.

Political analysis gains fresh perspective from political sociology. However, there is a direct connection between it and the concerns discussed in political philosophy. The history of political philosophy is extensive and dates back to the Greek and Indian thinkers of antiquity. It has been greatly expanded upon since the Italian historian Machiavelli deviated from the ideas of medieval scholasticism and Greek idealism. But it was the German sociologist Karl Marx who gave a lot of attention to questions about the nature of political power and how it relates to social or economic structure. The sociology of politics was founded on the Marxist premise of political power being determined by economic factors. Nevertheless, Marx was neither the first or the only intellectual to see the government as a tool of the ruling class. Ideology and power were considered superstructures of economics by the Arabian philosopher Ibn Khaldun and numerous of Marx's European forebears.

Many people credit Auguste Comte's six-volume book Cours de Philosophie Positive (1830–42) as the foundational work of sociology. An exhaustive coverage of the sciences was provided by this text. It introduced positivism and gave rise to the word "sociology" as a term

referring to a particular approach to researching human communities. The historical law of social evolution that Comte suggested states that human civilizations go through three phases. Humans blindly obeyed what they saw to be the law of god and supernatural forces during the theological stage, which Comte split into three sub-stages: animism, polytheism, and monotheism.

Humans began to challenge these ideas during the metaphysical stage and to provide abstract, impersonal explanations for a wide range of ideas. Humans began depending on the so-called scientific method, which was founded on observation, experimentation, and comparison, during the positivist era. T. The most direct cause of sociological thought's growth was the French Revolution. Liberty, equality, fraternity, justice, individual rights, and critical thought were prioritized in the new political environment. The turmoil and disarray that the Revolution brought forth greatly troubled the authors and intellectuals of the day. Some radical intellectuals believed that in order to restore the social order or system that had been upended by political revolutions, new foundations needed to be established. In the end, the social philosophers' concern with the problem of social order contributed to the advent of sociology.

Marx's writings, which highlighted the importance of the capitalist mode of production, and Marxism in general served as significant catalysts for the advancement of sociology. The well-known philosopher Karl Kautsky's writings on the French Revolution, the German historian Franz Erdmann Mehring's examination of literature, art, and intellectual history, and the early research on labor movements and agrarian history by German Marxist philosopher Carl Grunberg were among the early contributions of Marxism to sociology. Given their close links to political movements and party organizations, it is noteworthy that Marxist studies of society also evolved outside of academic institutions.

Sociology was becoming more and more popular as a field of study in the decades after Marx's death, and Marxist opponents were crucial to this growth. Max Weber and Emile Durkheim were the most well-known detractors. Weber primarily attacked historical materialism in his works on methodology, the State, and capitalism. An effort was made to separate the social roles of religion from historical materialism's explanation in Durkheim's later publications. The point that it is more prudent and worthwhile to accept the "given" and improve it for the benefit of man and society, rather than lamenting the evils of human nature or social circumstances, has been rightly emphasized by thinkers ranging from Aristotle to Tocqueville (a French political thinker and historian), given the inevitable role that politics plays in society. In order to reach a compromise and make accommodations, it is savvier to confront and control it.

Despite its seeming wicked nature, conflict is necessary for freedom because it keeps power from concentrating in one place. Political realism of this kind acknowledges the value and need of political conflict management via negotiation and adjustment between diverse social forces and interests. The goal of political sociology is to comprehend the causes, social foundations, and conflict management process.

Investigating and analyzing the relationships between social and political systems is the overarching goal of political sociology. But there are some issues with defining the limits of what is social and political. The types of organizations that are studied within the field of political sociology is an important subject to consider while defining its boundaries. Certain academics think that politics is predicated on a codified system established by the government. Therefore, political sociology studies the state, not the groups, since the state is political. There are two distinct ways that academics have addressed the boundaries of political sociology. Greer and Orleans define political sociology as the study of the state's organization, legitimacy, the nature of the monopoly on force and how the state uses it, and the character of the

components and how they interact with the state. They address the link between political change and economic growth, as well as consensus and legitimacy, participation and representation, and political sociology. It follows that political sociology is only concerned with matters pertaining to the state. Taking a more comprehensive approach, eminent sociologist Andreu Effrat proposes that political sociology studies the processes, patterns, and causes of power and authority distribution "in all social systems." He lists small groups and families, political and governmental institutions, as well as educational and religious organizations, as examples of social systems.

The main areas of interest for political sociologists are voting behavior, the concentration of economic power and political decision-making, political movement and interest group ideologies, political parties, voluntary associations, the problems of oligarchy and psychological correlates of political behavior, and the issue of bureaucracy, according to German American sociologist Lipset and Reinhard Bendix. These topics represent a more representative list of subjects. According to sociologists Dowse and Hughes, the issue of social order and political obedience is one of the political sociologist's main areas of interest. One may note that political scientists concentrate on the study of law, local, state, and federal governments, comparative government, political systems, public administration, and international relations, while sociologists focus on the various facets of the social system.

Socioeconomic systems and political regimes, interest groups, political parties, bureaucracy, political socialization, electoral behavior, social movements, and political mobilization should all be of interest to political sociologists studying social stratification and political power. Now that we have a basic understanding of the boundaries between political science and sociology, we may define political sociology. The latter might be seen as the analysis of the many and diverse connections that exist between society and the state. Thus, political sociology developed into an interdisciplinary subject that sits between sociology and political science as academic fields. The 18th-century Industrial Revolution, which started in England, spread to many western nations. The Industrial Revolution has a wide range of social repercussions. The industrial revolution gave rise to the factory system of production, which in turn paved the way for industrialization and mechanization. Mass manufacturing of products and sophisticated urban life supplanted the simple country lifestyle and domestic industry.

The path of civilization was altered by industrialization. Peasants moved from rural to urban regions, and cities began to expand quickly. Cities' growing populations brought to issues with housing, water, sanitation, and security. Cities also developed into hotspots for gambling, alcoholism, and crime, stability, immorality, and other issues raised religious convictions and political systems suffered as well. The influence of science in society grew throughout the Enlightenment and Revolutionary eras. Science courses were first offered as required coursework at a number of western institutions and universities. Additional technical innovations, including as coal-powered stream engines, swords, handguns, cannons, printing presses, and pens, began to be used in almost every aspect of daily life. Thus, science began to gain great social significance. European colonial powers, including England, France, Portugal, Spain, Holland, Germany, and others, interacted with various colonial civilizations and cultures.

Sociology studies reality rather than idealized ideals. Because sociology is a science, it must remain mute on matters of worth. It doesn't render any form of value determination. Its methodology is amoral rather than immoral. It has no ethical conflict. It is unable to determine the paths sociology should go. Because knowledge acquisition is sociology's primary goal, sociology is a pure science. An applied science, on the other hand, is concerned with using or applying such information. Sociologists never make decisions about public policy and don't

advise lawmakers on what laws should be enacted or overturned. However, the information that a sociology gains is very beneficial to lawmakers, administrators, diplomats, educators, social workers, and people. Sociology does not limit itself to the analysis of specific or tangible human occurrences. However, it examines the patterns and abstract shapes of human occurrences.

It does not confine itself, for instance, to the study of any one conflict or revolution. Conversely, it approaches them in an overall or abstract way, seeing them as social phenomena that is, as different kinds of social conflict. It makes similar generalizations about marriage, religion, families, groups, etc. Because sociology emphasizes facts derived from observation and investigation, it is an empirical discipline. It is based on trial, experimentation, and experience. Because it emphasizes the importance of thinking and logical deductions, it is a rational science. Whereas a rationalist coordinates and organizes facts, an empiricist gathers them. Sociology, like other contemporary sciences, draws from both empirical and logical sources. Sociology has been portrayed as a social science from the beginning. The early sociologists had a difficult time establishing sociology as a science. It is also evident from a variety of definitions that sociology is a science. Now let's look at the definition and nature of science, and then we'll ask how and to what extent sociology considers itself a science.

Science rests on the idea of verification. This concept states that a claim, theory, or assertion may only be recognized as "scientific" if it can be independently verified. "Any process regarded as proving the veracity of a claim or hypothesis is called verification." Generally speaking, to verify a proposition is to provide factual or observational support for its veracity. Scientists are expected by science to be "An absence of bias in making or interpreting observations" is what objectivity refers to. To be objective, one must analyze the evidence so as to exclude any room for our subjective opinions. A scientist ought to let the evidence speak for itself. He ought not to ascribe to them his own opinions.

Objectivity and value-neutrality are closely related concepts. Max Weber was the first to study this idea. He emphasized that a scientist or researcher shouldn't choose techniques or interpret information in a manner that supports their beliefs or worldview. It suggests that the researcher has to manage how values affect his study or studies. A body of information is only legitimately referred to be scientific if it follows the scientific process. The current scientific method was developed by Francis Bacon, who urged that science conduct its research using a methodical approach. Physical or natural sciences and social sciences are the two categories into which sciences are divided, as noted by Karl Pearson. The physical properties of the world are studied by the physical sciences. For instance: Botany, Zoology, Physics, Chemistry, Geology, and Astronomy, etc. Social sciences apply science to the study of sociological, political, economic, and cultural aspects of society. "Social sciences study various aspects of interrelated matters of society," according to Ian Robertson. To put it simply, social sciences are the scientific study of intricate facets of human existence. For instance: economics, political science, history, philosophy, anthropology, and sociology, etc.

CONCLUSION

This study clarifies the complex idea of sociology and its importance in comprehending and evaluating human society. Sociology offers important insights into the intricacies of social life by studying the complex web of cultural practices, institutional systems, and social connections. Sociology provides a strong foundation for investigating many social phenomena and producing evidence-based solutions to social issues because of its multidisciplinary approach and empirical research methodologies. In addition, sociology is essential for developing critical thinking skills, raising social consciousness, and advancing the causes of

social justice and fairness. Sociology is still an essential tool for understanding and negotiating the dynamically shifting nature of human interaction and social structure as civilizations continue to change and encounter new difficulties.

REFERENCES:

[1]   A. Giddens and P. W. Sutton, "Essential concepts in sociology (excerpts)," *Ekonomicheskaya Sotsiologiya*. 2018. doi: 10.17323/1726-3247-2018-5-41-65.

[2]   M. Clair, "Core Concepts in Sociology," *Sch. Harvard*, 2018.

[3]   B. H. Alves and E. F. T. Oliveira, "Some concepts of the sociology of Pierre Bourdieu related to social network analysis," *Inf. e Soc.*, 2018, doi: 10.22478/ufpb.1809-4783.2018v28n3.40892.

[4]   M. A. Beheshtinia, A. Ghasemi, and M. Farokhnia, "Supply chain scheduling and routing in multi-site manufacturing system (case study: a drug manufacturing company)," *J. Model. Manag.*, 2018, doi: 10.1108/JM2-10-2016-0094.

[5]   V. Loveday, "'Luck, chance, and happenstance? Perceptions of success and failure amongst fixed-term academic staff in UK higher education,'" *Br. J. Sociol.*, 2018, doi: 10.1111/1468-4446.12307.

[6]   M. Vasilić, "Operationalization of the „smart" city concept on the example of Serbia," *Sociologija*, 2018, doi: 10.2298/SOC1802518V.

[7]   J. Kremer and K. A. Cutler, "Decoding Deviance with the Sons of Anarchy," *J. Scholarsh. Teach. Learn.*, 2018, doi: 10.14434/josotl.v18i2.22515.

[8]   A. Falk, A. Becker, T. Dohmen, B. Enke, D. Huffman, and U. Sunde, "Measure Once , Analyze Twice: Putting Quantitative and Qualitative Data in Dialogue Neoliberal universities expect ROI," *Q. J. Econ.*, 2018.

[9]   A. Wanka, T. Moulaert, and M. Drilling, "From environmental stress to spatial expulsion – Rethinking concepts of socio-spatial exclusion in later life," *Int. J. Ageing Later Life*, 2018, doi: 10.3384/ijal.1652-8670.18-402.

[10] V. Elmeev — founder of the concept of applied sociology at St. Petersburg State University, *Vestn. Saint Petersbg. Univ. Sociol.*, 2018, doi: 10.21638/11701/spbu12.2018.102.

CHAPTER 3

ANALYSIS OF THE ROLE OF SOCIOLOGY IN HUMAN SOCIETY

Dr. Kamshad Mohsin, Assistant Professor,
Maharishi Law School, Maharishi University of Information Technology, Uttar Pradesh, India.
Email Id-kamshad@muit.in

ABSTRACT:

The place of sociology in human society, looking at its importance, uses, and contributions to the comprehension of social phenomena and the resolution of societal issues. Based on an extensive analysis of existing literature and empirical investigations, the study delves into sociology as a field that examines social interactions, establishments, and frameworks. In examining fundamental ideas like socialization, social stratification, culture, and social change, it clarifies how sociological viewpoints provide understanding of the workings of society and the dynamics of individual behavior. In addition, the study looks at how sociology is used in real-world settings in a variety of sectors, including public policy, criminal justice, healthcare, and education. It emphasizes how sociology can help guide social interventions and advance equality and social justice.

KEYWORDS:

Culture, Social change, Social institutions, Social stratification, Sociology.

INTRODUCTION

Sociology is the study of social structures in humans. However, a basic explanation of the topic like this raises the question, "What is human society?" There is a difference in emphasis between a society's single and plural forms. When society is used as a single noun, it seems broad and boundless. The word "societies" in plural seems more like to a collection of separate container units that you may remove one by one and examine their contents. sociology has always examined civilizations as "human societies," both when examined in isolation and in combination. Although there may be differences in how the two components are balanced, studying one is a prerequisite for studying the other. Taken alone, none of these makes sense [1], [2].

All people, or all members of the animal species Homo sapiens, are included in human civilization as a whole. But human civilization is not the same as all other human species. Similar to other animals, each individual member of the species has a certain set of traits. Together, they comprise the human race. They make up civilizations via their social interactions. The global society is the whole collection of relationships at any given period. Genetic inheritance, living things, a conducive habitat, and social interactions are all necessary for the existence of every animal species. In general, society is not very human. In their native environment in Africa, chimpanzees our closest animal relatives constantly create and dissolve social bonds via the fission-fusion process. These societies are controlled by men and are part of larger, territorially exclusive groups [3], [4]. Female coalitions form in captivity in an effort to lessen male dominance. However, chimpanzees show a variety and flexibility in their social behavior in both the wild and in captivity, allowing for a great range in the most common social ties. It is hard to prove that any one kind of civilization is influenced by biology because of this flexibility, which is a trait shared by humans. Throughout their lifetimes, people are able to maintain and experiment with a wide variety of social relationships. As shown by the history of revolutions, societies are capable of complete societal change. In terms of evolution, the human body has developed adaptability in addition to adaptation. It allows for adaptability and

a group's flexibility to choose from a wide range of potential social behaviors under various circumstances. Human behavior may sustain a wide variety of social interactions, from the arbitrary authority of a few over others to individual freedom of choice. Because of this, human civilization varies greatly even while biology doesn't change [5], [6].

Determining the causes of these differences is a separate area of study in itself. When compared to the civilizations of other animals, human society is unique due to the evolution of culture, behavior, thought, and emotion. These traits are not inherited; rather, they are learned and passed down through generations and across communities. Language and technology are components of culture; both include the exchange of ideas and the capacity for complex action coordination. This greatly improves flexibility. We cannot speak of the entrance of sociology as a field unless a set of research procedures and the sharing of ideas and findings among members of an organized occupation start to occur. Thus, the French philosopher Auguste Comte (1798–1857) only took a first step in 1839 when he coined the term "sociology," even if his theory that society developed according to a rule of three phases gained widespread recognition. Defining "society" is a difficult task. It generally speaks about individuals and their communities.

Humans are social animals that depend on others to meet their fundamental needs. Individuals create society. People become more reliant on one another and closer to one another via interaction. Therefore, a formal group of individuals with shared interests may be characterized as a society. "A society is a collection of individuals united by certain relations or mode of behavior which mark them off from others who do not enter into these relations or who differ from them in behavior," according to British sociologist Morris Ginsberg. According to renowned sociologist R. M. MacIver, "society is a web of social relationships."

One of the major turning points in evolution might be considered the beginning or development of civilization. However, very few animals have made this move. Similar to previous phases, this one also reflects a new synthesis of older components, but it has certain characteristics that older materials taken individually do not have. As a result, it is an authentic illustration of emergent development. It is necessary to trace the independent genesis of society in several animal species in order to understand that it is a genuine emergent. All that is required is an understanding of how it differs from the species that make up its composition. It was common practice to equate civilization to an organism few decades ago. The goal was to show that a social system is a system in and of itself. While useful, the comparison was never flawless. An organism's cells are too specialized to be referred to be members of the society, entirely subservient to it, and firmly established in their relationships with one another [7]–[9].

They are not independently movable and geographically separated. Therefore, the organism is not strictly speaking a cell society. No civilization has awareness, only the body does. A society is a system of relationships between organisms, as opposed to relationships between cells, just like an organism. Similar to an organism, a society has a predetermined structure, and when this structure functions, its constituent components contribute to the existence of the whole. It has continuity because of this, which is different from that of the individual constituents. It is difficult to limit the study of society to a study of its individual members since society have continuity and structure of its own. It is comparable to a home that, although being made of bricks, nails, mortar, and timber, cannot be fully comprehended in terms of these components alone since it has a shape and serves as a whole. A society, also known as a human society, is a collection of individuals bound by long-term relationships or a sizable social group that lives in the same physical or virtual region and is under the same governmental rule and prevailing cultural norms.

DISCUSSION

Relationship patterns between people who share certain institutions and cultures define human civilizations. One way to characterize a society is as the culmination of all these ties amongst its individual members. A society in the social sciences is inevitably hierarchical and stratified. A society's members may profit in ways that would not be conceivable if they were individuals. It is made up of individuals with similar beliefs who follow their own standards and ideals. There are almost always minor cultures or subcultures with unique sets of norms inside larger communities.

In general, a society may be defined as a diverse population living in an industrial, social, and economic infrastructure. A society may be made up of several national states, ethnic groups, or larger cultural groups. in the natural world. He paints a really dismal image of how nature is. He said that civilization serves as a shield for people from the effects of their own unbridled nature. Because of his fundamentally selfish character, man was in constant confrontation with his neighbors in the natural state. Human behavior was driven by self-interest. Hobbes believed that the natural world was brief, cruel, and desolate. Without a license, liberty existed. The stronger was in a better position [10], [11].

Man's existence thus turned into one of misery and complete insecurity. A civic society was required to escape these negative effects and guarantee peaceful cohabitation. As a result, humans emerged from the natural condition to establish a civic society. Men surrendered their freedom to a single person who would provide them with security under such a pact. As a result, the person took on the characteristics of the "great monster," or the source of all power, and was dubbed the leviathan. In order to live in love and harmony with everyone, man formed society with the help of his fellow humans. Two Treatises of Civil Government, English philosopher John Locke expressed optimism over the state of nature. He made an effort to defend the idea that nature was a peaceful, kind, coexisting, and self-preserving condition rather than one that was so corrupted. The absence of a recognized legal system was the sole drawback of the natural state. Man made a contract that imposed certain powers on a community in order to make up for this shortcoming and guarantee the exercise of his freedom.

Wild and unaffected by any of life's bad vices. Mankind had perfect bliss and uncomplicated living. But as the population increased, conflicts emerged, calling for the creation of a civic society. Another important idea about the origins of human civilization is the organismic theory. Proponents of this thesis included Aristotle, Novicow, Herbert Spencer, and Plato, among other notable thinkers. But Spencer is in a special place. According to this notion, society is never created by humans. It originated from the process of evolution and is a natural creation. According to Spencer, society is a biological system that is comparable to an individual organism in terms of structure, functions, and unity. It is also governed by the same rules of growth, maturation, and decline. The underlying assumption is that society is a biological entity, with the size being the only difference.

Spencer bases his attempt to make a comparison between the organism and society on the following considerations: The many systems that make up a biological organism, such as the respiratory, neurological, circulatory, and so on, are analogous to comparable systems in society. For example, the nervous system correlates with the state government, the circulatory system with the transportation and communication systems in society, In a pre-industrial civilization, utilizing animal labor to create food is the primary economic activity. These communities may be further broken down into feudal, pastoral, horticultural, agricultural, and hunting-gathering groups. The primary pursuits of the people who lived in the hunting-gathering culture were the harvesting of edible fruits and vegetables and the hunting of wild

animals. Nomads, hunter-gatherers would travel from one location to another in pursuit of food. Therefore, these long-term residences in groups later referred to as villages did not exist throughout this era.

The pastoral cultures of the next era used tamed animals to till the ground and generate food. Pastorals go from pasture to pasture, living a nomadic lifestyle as well. Because they could sustain their people by farming food, pastoral communities were bigger. A small number of individuals in these cultures were also merchants, jewelers, and craft smiths. In these cultures, some families amassed more money than others, and as a consequence, they often rose to positions of authority. As new tribal chiefs and previous leadership formed throughout time, these affluent and powerful families began to emerge as well. In addition to basic crops, members of horticultural organizations produced fruits and vegetables in their garden plots. These cultures grew crops using slash and burn methods, and their methods and technology were more developed than those of the pastoral societies. A horticultural civilization would relocate to a new plot of land upon discovering that the current area was unusable for cultivation. Even after many years, they often returned to their original plot of property. They may therefore dwell in the same location for extended periods of time simply rotating the plot of land. Thirty to two thousand people might live in the communities that were constructed during this time period. Similar to pastoral communities, there was a noticeable disparity in the amount of wealth possessed in horticultural societies.

In agricultural civilizations, a vast area was covered with crops by the employment of modern technologies. Technological advancements guaranteed increases in food supply, supporting a bigger civilization as a result. Cities and towns were established as a result of the centers for grain commerce and exchange that surplus production produced. In order to spread their commercial endeavors, kings, artisans, merchants, and religious leaders congregated in these cities. Compared to earlier cultures, agricultural societies had higher levels of social stratification. Because they had similar roles in earlier cultures, women were seen as equals to men. But when food storage and granaries proliferated, women lost. they lost their status and fell under the authority of men as they were no longer needed for farming. Villages and towns grew, and conflicts with the surrounding people resulted. Farmers gave food to soldiers in return for their defense against enemy invasion. In these civilizations, a monarch and aristocracy also came to power, and they made sure that the lower members were taxed as much as possible in order to support themselves.

A prosperous social structure from the ninth to the fifteenth century was feudalism. Land ownership was the foundation of this kind of civilization. Under feudalism, vassals were required to till the land and provide their lord all output in return for armed defense. The lords took advantage of the peasants by demanding food, crafts, respect, and complete obedience. Capitalism took the place of feudalism in the fourteenth century. Following the industrial revolution, there was an increased excess of manufactured products and food available. Once again, social disparity grew in prominence. People began to flee the countryside in pursuit of profitable work in industrial centers due to the depravity of the rural civilization. Due to the labor surplus this produced, capitalists were able to take advantage of the working class. Workers' living and working circumstances were subpar, their quality of life was severely limited, and capitalists could not care less about these issues as long as production continued. A collection of individuals connected to one another via enduring relationships is called a human society. Relationship patterns between people who share a certain culture and set of institutions define a society. In social and political life, the notion of community is extremely crucial. People's social lives are impacted and shaped by the kind of community they reside in.

The Latin root of the term "community" is munia, munium, which means "duty," and the prefix "com" indicates "together." Hence, community is the act of carrying out tasks as a group. It suggests that the "community" is a group of people framed with the intention of working together. The most prominent definitions and assessments of community state that 'a community is a local grouping within which individuals carry out a complete cycle of living activities,' as per a definition that is often used "We call that group a community wherever the members of any group, small or large, live together in such a way that they share the basic conditions of a common life, not this particular interest," he said.

A community might be a hamlet, a city, a tribe, or a country. According to MacIver, the ability to live one's life entirely within a community is its distinguishing feature. One may live entirely inside a tribe or a city, but not entirely within a church or a commercial enterprise. The fundamental need of a community is that all of a person's social connections must exist inside it. All communities do not, however, have to be self-sufficient. Modern communities, even the largest ones, are much less self-contained than certain ancient groups, which are inclusive and socially isolated, particularly among the aboriginal population. Interdependence on the political and economic fronts is a key feature of contemporary societies. Communities exist inside larger communities, as MacIver put it: a town within a region, a region within a country, and a nation within the global community, which may be developing.

Locality and feeling are the cornerstones of community, according to MacIver. Territory is constantly occupied by a community. The circumstances of their community give its members a deep sense of camaraderie. Nonetheless, a community cannot be formed only by proximity. A collective living place is called a community. Community feeling is defined as shared living combined with a consciousness of sharing a common way of life and the land. According to socialist theory, conservative interpretations of community serve as hegemonic tools to keep people of various classes in capitalist society from seeing their true conflicts of interest, which prevents social unrest. While conservatives and socialists place different emphasis on the reasons why communities exist, they both recognize that the social relationships that underpin communities are what make them last longer than the combined worries and interests of all of its members. Because of their dedication to individual freedom, liberals are hesitant to see community on the same high plane. Rather, they see community as the result of people spontaneously forming groups with similar wants and interests. MacIver has differentiated between affiliation and community. A collection of individuals united in the pursuit of one or more defined goals is called an association.

An association is an organization within a community; it is not the community itself. A community encompasses more than the individual groups that emerge within it. It is an enduring social group with an all-encompassing set of goals. One may only be a member of the organization by virtue of these interests, since it is set up for the explicit purpose of pursuing such interests. An association's membership is not very significant. Conversely, a community is an enduring social group that embraces all goals or objectives.

The concepts of Gesellschaft (association) and Gemeinschaft (community) developed by German philosopher and sociology. Ferdinand Tonnies also demonstrates this difference between community and association. Communities that exhibit Gemeinschaft interactions are uniform, mostly grounded in familial relationships, and possess a moral unity often established on shared religious beliefs. Members of tiny, homogeneous civilizations engaged in casual face-to-face interactions with one another. Within these communities, customs governed acceptable conduct. Emotional, organic, and natural relationships seemed to be more prevalent. Compared to now, they appeared to signify more. The rise of Gesellschaft connections, individuality, and competition lead to the dissolution of these bonds.

The connections among members of big, heterogeneous communities, like contemporary industrial civilizations, are impersonal, formal, utilitarian, and specialized. Tonnies claims that rather than being ruled by customs, these societies have contractual relationships that are founded on unambiguous, legal contracts. Modern urban life is often characterized by impersonal, surface-level, and transient (utilitarian) connections. He dubbed these groups Gesellschaft, or "associational societies,". It is common to mistake the community with the state. MacIver has emphasized the state's associational nature. Not the whole community in all its facets, but the state is one kind of social structure. The state is an unusually broad agency, but it is an agency none the less. It may sometimes take on an absolutist or dictatorial guise, staking claim to authority over every facet of human existence. The state would not become the community; rather, it would become an association in charge of the community, even if this claim were completely fulfilled, which could never happen. People are undoubtedly either subjects or citizens of the state. Even yet, the citizen function is only one of several that people play in society, no matter how important it may be. It should also be acknowledged that the state differs from all other associations in significant ways. Its quirks, strength, constraints, and the interests it may and will pursue are all very distinct from those shared by other organizations. But we must remember that the state is an association, just like the church or a corporate organization, in the context of social organization.

However, in recent times, the word "community" has come to refer to a feeling of identification or belonging that isn't always associated with a specific place. In this sense, a community is created when individuals can fairly easily identify who shares their interests and who does not. The remarkable progress in communication technology has played a role in diminishing the significance of the geographical component. The proliferation of cyber communities may be attributed to the rise of information technology. Therefore, communities are primarily mental constructions created by imagining lines dividing different people. "Advocacy of a social order in which human beings are bound together by common values that foster close communal" (community) connections is what communitarianism is defined as. This phrase is used to characterize the viewpoints of many authors who place a high priority on community. Their criticism of contemporary liberal political theory stems from what they see as its undervaluation of this crucial facet of social and political existence. The core tenet of liberalism is the dedication to the person and his rights. In liberalism, the individual is valued above other social groups or other collective entities. People see humans as distinct people with equal moral value, each with their own distinct identity. The English idealists, particularly T. H. Green, and the German philosopher Friedrich Hegel are often credited with creating communitarianism. The core of communitarian philosophy has been established by Hegel's conception of stettlichkeit, or shared ideals of the community, and the English idealists' focus on civic duties. Communitarianism has also been inspired by socialist and anarchist traditions, particularly in its emphasis on the potential for community in the absence of governmental compulsion. Through his work on associations and community, Ferdinand Tonnies brought attention to the importance of community and the dangers that industrial society poses to it.

Distinguished Anglo-American philosophers Alasdair MacIntyre, Michael Sandel, Charles Taylor, and Michael Walzer are among the most influential communitarian thinkers of our day. Not every liberal theorist who disagreed with communitarianism did so. They also did not see a big communitarian theory as a viable counter to liberalism. Nonetheless, these four philosophers' writings consistently reiterate several basic points intended to refute liberalism's devaluation of community. Critics of communitarianism have attempted to question the liberal theory's universal claims. They contend that liberal ideology ignores the social and cultural unique characteristics of different nations and groups in favor of a "universalist" viewpoint. While many liberal intellectuals have maintained that concepts of justice are universal,

communitarians contend that the bounds of justice must be discovered in a society's customs and ways of living. Justice concepts vary as much as these behaviors do. As a result, there can never be a single, global system that would be suitable for all civilizations and groups to use in gauging morality or justice.

CONCLUSION

This approach emphasizes how important sociology is to human society. Sociology gives people and organizations a methodical framework for comprehending social interactions, processes, and structures. This helps them to efficiently traverse societal difficulties and appreciate the complexity of the social environment. Sociology contributes to the production of information, insights, and solutions for tackling challenges like inequality, discrimination, and social injustice via its focus on empirical investigation, theoretical analysis, and critical inquiry. Sociology also promotes a more inclusive and equitable society by deepening understanding of cultural variety, social solidarity, and collective responsibility. Sociology is still a crucial field for understanding human behavior dynamics and improving the welfare of both people and communities as civilizations develop and face new social realities.

REFERENCES:

[1]   A. Nurul Hidayah Liew, A. Jamilah, and H. Suhaizal, "Peranan sosiologi dalam pendidikan alam sekitar: satu cabaran," *Online J. TVET Pract.*, 2018.

[2]   Y. P. Absori, "Study of sociology of law in Indonesia," *Sociol. Int. J.*, 2018, doi: 10.15406/sij.2018.02.00127.

[3]   S. N. Chapman, J. E. Pettay, M. Lahdenperä, and V. Lummaa, "Grandmotherhood across the demographic transition," *PLoS One*, 2018, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0200963.

[4]   A. van der Zeeuw, L. Keesman, and D. Weenink, "Sociologizing with Randall Collins," *Eur. J. Soc. Theory*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/1368431017714909.

[5]   H. Bennani and M. Müller, "Making up people'1 globally. Institutionalizing 'indigenous peoples' and 'persons with disabilities' as Global Categories," *Z. Soziol.*, 2018, doi: 10.1515/zfsoz-2018-0120.

[6]   A. N. Kichel, "Manual de Tratamento de Feridas," *Prefeitura de Catanduva*, 2018.

[7]   C. Harteis, *The Impact of Digitalization in the Workplace : an Educational View.* 2018.

[8]   V. Branskij, K. M. Oganyan, and K. K. Oganyan, "A new line of research: Synergetic philosophy and sociology of personality," *Wisdom*, 2018, doi: 10.24234/wisdom.v10i1.203.

[9]   P. Solano, E. Pizzorno, M. Pompili, G. Serafini, and M. Amore, "Conceptualizations of suicide through time and socio-economic factors: A historical mini-review," *Irish Journal of Psychological Medicine*. 2018. doi: 10.1017/ipm.2017.57.

[10]   A. Hosseini, M. Foroutan, and S. Salehi, "Evolution of Socio- spatial Meaning in Iranian Houses: Comparative Study of Arak's Traditional Houses and Contemporary Single-family Houses," *Arman. Archit. Urban Dev.*, 2018.

[11]   R. P. Clark, *The global imperative: An interpretive history of the spread of Humankind.* 2018. doi: 10.4324/9780429496509.

CHAPTER 4

INVESTIGATION OF THE SOCIOLOGICAL IMAGINATION IN SOCIOLOGY

Dr. Kamshad Mohsin, Assistant Professor,
Maharishi Law School, Maharishi University of Information Technology, Uttar Pradesh, India.
Email Id-kamshad@muit.in

ABSTRACT:

In the context of sociology, the idea of the sociological imagination is examined in this essay. It explores the genesis and evolution of this idea, as put out by sociologist C. Wright Mills, and considers its importance in comprehending the relationship between societal problems and personal problems. The study clarifies how people might comprehend the larger societal factors influencing their particular experiences and situations by using an extensive literature analysis and empirical investigation. It also examines how the sociological imagination might be used practically to solve societal issues and advance social change.

KEYWORDS:

Personal Troubles, Social Issues, Sociological Imagination, Sociology, Social Forces.

INTRODUCTION

Sociologists refer to the sociological imagination as the relationship between understanding society and subsequently changing it. This word was created by the flamboyant and controversial who is shown below. The sociological imagination is the capacity to see the links between history and biography, or the ways in which our personal experiences are shaped by broader societal factors (such as racism or political goals). Mills challenged us to comprehend that our personal successes and problems—such as getting a job or losing one must be seen in the context of more significant societal difficulties [1], [2]. The worldviews and languages of reason of the people who occupy these locations shape value judgments, according to Canadian philosopher Charles Taylor and British political philosopher Alasdair MacIntyre. As a result, concepts from the interpretive aspects of human beliefs, behaviors, and institutions shouldn't be removed. The theory put out by American political theorist Michael Walzer holds that social critique that is effective must come from the customs and habits of real individuals who lived in certain eras and locations [3], [4].

The communitarian case for cultural pluralism has several advantages over the conventional justifications of liberal universalism. Culture has an impact on how rights are prioritized. As a result, the ordering of rights would vary accordingly throughout communities. This explains why Americans would be willing to forgo financial gain in order to uphold a civic right. It is possible to compare this instance to that of the Chinese people. Chinese people tend to be more patriotic and are willing to give up political freedom in order to further the national economy [5], [6].

The justification of rights may also be impacted by cultural considerations. various communities may justify the same rights for various reasons, even when such rights are recognized in those societies. Because of this, communitarians like Michael Walzer have argued that, when applied to Asian and African societies, arguments in favor of specific liberal democratic practices should be made from the inside out using relevant case studies and argumentative techniques unique to those societies rather than by relying on an abstract and

unhistorical universalism.Different political structures and behaviors from liberal democracies in the West might have moral underpinnings due to cultural influences. John Rawls, an American political and moral philosopher, has attempted to reduce or do away with the "universalist" tenets of his theory. He presents a picture of a "decent, well-ordered society" that liberal nations must accept in the global arena, clearly acknowledging the potential that liberalism may not be exportable at all periods and locations. According to him, such a community does not necessarily need to be democratic in order to be non-aggressive toward other communities and to have an internal "common good conception of justice." It also needs to protect fundamental human rights. Ultimately, however, the impression left is that, even in cases when non-liberal regimes have merit, they ought to be accepted and even revered rather than idealized or imitated [7]–[10].

Regarding the essence of the self, communitarianism and liberalism diverge even more. Communitarians contend that individualistic self-concept is the foundation of conventional liberalism. Communitarianism demands that social context and people's views of themselves interact, while liberalism deals with an artificially atomized person who is cut off from his or her social environment. Liberals like John Rawls contend that we have a fundamental right to design, pursue, and amend our life plans. However, communitarians counter that this perspective ignores the reality that our individual identities are frequently defined or constituted by a variety of communal attachments (such as ties to our families or religious traditions) that are so deeply ingrained in our lives that they can only be severed, if at all.

This realization gave rise to the idea that politics should not only be about ensuring that people can exercise their right to self-determination, but also about preserving and fostering the social ties that are essential to our sense of respect and well-being and many of which we unintentionally acquired during our upbringing. Critics of communitarianism argue that liberal individualism falls short in offering a sufficient explanation of rights as fundamental entitlements. Communitarianism suggests creating a new conception of rights that appropriately prioritizes the community and the social order. Communitarians contend that social groupings including labor unions, ethnic communities, and religious groups have significant collective rights.

There is a link between communitarianism and the benefit theory of rights, even if there isn't a required connection between the two. According to the communitarian perspective, wellbeing is a manifestation of the shared ideals that unite otherwise unlike people. In contrast, the theory of citizenship offers a more individualistic interpretation of welfare, arguing that claims to welfare resources are merely an expansion of the political and legal rights inherent in liberal democracies and that, as a result, collective welfare is in line with liberal pluralism theory. Welfare states are really just extensions of free markets; that is, logical deprivation-relieving systems and regulations based on the individualistic notions of reciprocal duties and trade. In contrast, communitarianism is a conception of a social structure that nurtures close ties within the community.

In his book The Gift Relationship, British social researcher Richard Titmuss presents this point of view, arguing that rather than being viewed as a simple entitlement or right deriving from a complex web of reciprocal relationships, people should receive welfare as a gift from strangers and an expression of social solidarity [11], [12]. Renowned philosophers A. MacIntyre and M. Sandel contend that there are differences in liberal capitalism regarding values, and that because the values supporting individualistic traditions of rights cannot be evaluated in a comparable manner, the legitimacy of rights concept is in doubt. No shared morality exists that might provide a broad support for rights. The goal of communitarianism is to restore the moral standards and ideals that individualism destroyed.

DISCUSSION

The idea that groups and individuals may both uphold rights is shared by communitarianism in its many forms. Therefore, renowned sociologist A. Etzioni contends in The Spirit of Community that individualism has destroyed American civilization and that a communitarian moral framework is necessary to restore it. The individualistic interpretation of rights, according to him, has aided in the dissolution of the family, which is a fundamental component of social order. According to Etzoni, people in the capitalist West's sophisticated industrial societies experience "rampant moral confusion and social anarchy" as a result of having too much freedom and not enough responsibility. Communitarians support a societal structure in which "the community" determines what is beneficial for everybody and convinces its members to work toward it.

Communitarianism's impact: Social policy in America and Britain is considered to have been shaped by communitarian concepts, which are thought to have gained traction with the New Labour Party. A policy in line with communitarian ideals is community policing. Nonetheless, others have argued that communitarian viewpoints are ambiguous and naive. What happens when beliefs like racism and homophobia are supported by "the community"? What happens to dissidents who reject the norms of the society and cannot be convinced by simple encouragement? Social policies that are communitarian are also seen to be authoritarian, even when that is not their objective. In the absence of a social framework, we might have a tendency to see these individual experiences mainly as personal ones. It is possible to identify a person as the cause of an issue as well as the solution to it.

For instance, unemployment is a personal issue for the jobless person, who may be affected by things like opportunity, work ethic, or job abilities. In the event that this person—who is among the few unemployed in the city—decides to alter these personal factors, such as moving to a new town where there is a need for their current skills, getting job training, or getting up when the alarm goes off and working hard enough to maintain a job. But when the jobless rate skyrockets and a significant portion of the population is jobless, there is obviously a problem with the way society is set up those leads to insufficient job prospects. Individual remedies won't address the issue, and millions of instances of unemployment cannot be addressed at these individual levels, even if there will undoubtedly always be lazy or inept individuals among the jobless.

If the economy is struggling and there are no jobs available, then trying harder to find employment, obtaining additional skills, or looking for other places to work won't help. A notion of power known as "community power" espouses the idea that the elites no longer have the exclusive ability to make decisions. It asserts that democracy has given the "community" more control over resources. Local interest groups influence opinion formation and decision-making happens in a range of volunteer societies. It makes the argument that authority is not always used in centralized ways related to the government and bureaucracy. Examining decision-making and the people who affect its result is essential to understanding communal power.

In the 1950s and 1960s, the topic of decision-making within a community was a hot topic in American political science and was also discussed in other nations, such as Britain. In his 1953 book Community Power Structure, renowned social worker and communist activist Floyd Hunter proposed that the business elites in the community he studied—which he believed to be Atlanta, Georgia—dominated power to the complete exclusion of black people and ordinary people. These individuals were subject to "persuasion, intimidation, coercion, and if necessary, force" at the hands of the mostly wealthy elite. It substantially controlled local politicians from

the State governor and actively impacted who was elected via its financing of local political parties. Through its patronage power, it also had significant control over the media and greatly influenced the development of local opinion. This control offered a strong lever to sway judgments in its favor. In reaction to Hunter's research, political theorist Robert Dahl proposed in Who Governs? (1961) that power was distributed across interest groups in New Haven, Connecticut, and that no one group controlled decision-making. Dahl argued that the only way to determine the distribution of power is to look at real choices using the "decision-making" technique. Dahl could find no proof that NewHaven had a governing class. He maintained that different interest groups share influence and that the diversity of elites did not create a cohesive group with shared goals.

Dahl came to the conclusion that with the emergence of representative democracy, power has moved from the elite to a variety of organized interest groups, or pluralism from oligarchy. Variously formed groups wield authority based on the matter at hand. Dahl argues that negotiation and compromise characterize municipal politics, with no one group controlling the process of decision-making. The Power Structure (1967), a study conducted nationally by American sociologist Arnold Rose, supported this point of view. Rose advanced the "multi-influence hypothesis" in opposition to the theory that the USA is governed by a single, cohesive power elite. According to this perspective, society is made up of several elites who operate in various domains of life and are all comparatively tiny in number.

In their 1970 book Power and Poverty: Theory and Practice, political philosophers Peter Bachrach and Morton Baratz criticize the "decision-making" method as being insufficient for analyzing community power. A second facet of power, known as "non-decision making," is the "mobilization of bias" or the swaying of political agendas by influential factions, who make choices that obstruct the emergence of problems and submit them to formal decision-making.

Power can also be used to stop people from ever having complaints, according to political and social theorist Stephen Lukes, "by shaping their perceptions, cognitions and preferences in such a way that they accept their role in the order of things." Lukes makes this argument in Power: A Radical View. Therefore, the fact that different interest groups might then affect these safe judgments does not indicate a widespread dispersion of power. In the previous example, the community power strategy paints an inaccurate image of how power is distributed among communities. Institutions are established social norms for accomplishing things. Generally speaking, a "institution" is a collection of individuals who work toward a common goal. But popular use and the social interpretation are quite different. There are social rules that define every community. In social systems that are interacting, these norms are crucial. In actuality, they are ingrained in society, or more accurately, they are generally acknowledged. It is possible to argue that an institution in this sense is neither a people group nor an organization. An institution is a set of rules designed to accomplish a purpose or engage in an activity that is significant to the people. It focuses on important human endeavors. Institutions are often unintentional byproducts of social interaction.

The first social science was economics. It originated in the 1700s from the practical use of obtaining factual data for taxes and commercial purposes. The academic study of history began to take shape in the early 1800s. Then, philosophy, education, and medicine gave rise to psychology. The European discoveries of the Americas, Africa, and Asia led to the development of anthropology. Sociology is one of the more recent academic sciences, having only been recognized as a separate subject of study in Europe in the 1800s. The majority of early sociologists wrote on political matters outside of academia, and at first, it was difficult to separate from political science. Because a society is so intricately linked, it is difficult to predict every outcome of each decision made in relation to it. Organizations have a set of stated

functions that correspond to their stated goals. Additionally, they may not be aware of their hidden functions, which are unintentional. They may be considered byproducts if they are identified. These are the unexpected and unintentional effects of institutions. In addition to creating and distributing things, economic organizations sometimes support charitable giving and technical advancement. On occasion, they encourage inequality and unemployment. An institution's latent functions may assist its public ones. In addition to these, social institutions serve a variety of additional common purposes, such as supplying power, food, maintaining law and order, molding people's personalities, producing and delivering goods and services, upholding moral standards, offering leisure activities, and so forth.

"Every institution revolves around a fundamental need, permanently unites a group of people in a cooperative task, and has its own particular set of doctrines and techniques or craft," said Polish anthropologist Bronislaw Kasper Malinowski. Institutions and new roles are not only and directly connected; one does not have to be satisfied in one institution to be satisfied in another. A social group is made up of two or more individuals who associate with one another and recognize as a distinct social unit. Despite being straightforward, this term has significant ramifications. People might share values and views when they contact often.

They are able to identify with one another because of their interactions and similarities. More robust and regular encounters are motivated sequentially by attachment and identification. Every group remains cohesive with all other groups and various social structures. Among the most stable and long-lasting social structures are groups. They are significant to society as a whole in addition to the members. It is believed that groups provide the groundwork for society's basis. This may be achieved via encouraging consistent and dependable behavior. As a result, social groupings include families, villages, political parties, and trade unions. It should be highlighted, however, that these groups are not the same as social classes, status groups, or mobs; the latter have less organization and its members may not even be aware that they belong to a group at all. These have been referred to as groupings or quasi-groups. However, since quasi-groups often influence the creation of social groups political parties, for instance the distinction between social groups and quasi-groups is brittle and inconsistent. A main group is a small group of people who are closely related in a community.

Members of this group have mutual care for one another in addition to having a similar culture. Here, the groupings are often made up of relatives, close friends, or very powerful social circles. Charles Cooley, a sociologist from the Chicago School of Sociology, first introduced the idea of a core group in his book Social Organization: A Study of the Larger Mind. At first, the term "group" was limited to friendships or childhood connections; subsequently, it was expanded to include a more intimate group of individuals. An individual's personal identity formation is greatly influenced by this kind of organization. Here, the group members express and imply emotions like love, support, compassion, care, and hostility.

These groupings have enduring relationships that are objectives in and of themselves. It gives the group members a sense of belonging and serves as a support system. Individuals in secondary groupings tend to engage in less intimate interactions with one another that don't endure very long. There is also a lack of continuity in the group members' relationships. Since these groups are often organized to carry out certain tasks or functions, group members' positions are more interchangeable. The decision to join the second group is made by the person. The foundation of this kind of group is individual duties and interests. Members in these groups are either acquaintances or casual buddies. Members of this group trade explicit goods and services for compensation, such as salaries and wages.

Relationships between vendors and clients, employment, and so forth are examples of this kind of organization. As Homo sapiens evolved, some biological traits of other species surfaced. These qualities aided in the development of culture. Among these traits were an erect stance, a fully formed brain, the capacity to see things in terms of length, breadth, and depth, hand development, and more. If these biological characteristics are taken separately, none of them can support the evolution of culture. The best they can guarantee, even in its entirety, is that humans would be the most favored species in the animal world. Cultures have developed gradually. People acquire a wide range of rules and procedures as a result of their experiences throughout life. This is supported by a persistent body of ideas and morals known as culture. Culture was described by Sir Edward Tylor in a classical and sociological way in 1871. As a member of society, man acquires information, beliefs, art, morality, laws, conventions, and other talents and habits that make up his culture, which he defines as a complicated totality. Culture may be defined as that element that society absorbs. It is an attribute that every person in a community adopts and practices together.

Culture is passed down to a person as a social heritage. Before it is passed down to new generations, this inherited heritage is transformed and reorganized with minor adjustments. Famous sociologist David Bidney asserts that agrofacts a byproduct of civilization artifacts a byproduct of industry sociofacts a byproduct of social structure and mentifacts a language, a religion, an artwork, and so forth combine to form culture. Marett argues that culture is a kind of transferable intelligence. American ethnolinguist and anthropologist Robert Redfield has highlighted a symbolic understanding of culture. He defined culture as an organized body of customary knowledge that defines a human group and is expressed in art and artifacts. According to Redfield, culture is all that is conventionally understood and represented via objects, social structures, and symbols. In her 1936 book Pattern of Culture, personality and culture researcher Ruth Benedicts described culture from the perspective of personality. As she puts it, "A culture is a more or less consistent pattern of thought and action, just like an individual." She has therefore provided a formal and aesthetic definition of culture. The notion of culture put forward by Malinowski and Radcliffe Brown is both humanistic and utilitarian. Malinowski contends that culture serves as a tool for satiating human wants.

According to Malinowski, culture is the means by which humanity is able to safeguard and actualize his bio-psychic survival. Consequently, a more sophisticated, logical, and reasonable way of survival is produced. Every human need economic, social, religious, and linguistic comes from a single, fundamental human need, thus they are all interconnected. There are no loose ends in a culture since everything is interconnected. It follows that no one attribute can exist on its own. It becomes identifiable when it is seen as a component of the whole rather than in isolation. Malinowski emphasized that culture is independent and has a wide variety. He asserts that if one little aspect of a culture changes, the whole culture will also alter in proportion. He was an ardent supporter of cultural pluralism, which holds that each culture develops in response to the unique biophysical needs of its constituents. It is his recommendation that these criteria form the foundation for studying culture rather than any set norms. Based on fundamental needs, sufficiency is a characteristic of a culture where a wide range of components are harmoniously interconnected and function as a unit. This is the outcome of general awareness.

Conversely, culture is seen by English social anthropologist Radcliffe Brown as a social legacy that sustains social existence. The structural-functional school of sociology has considered culture as a whole to be studied. They consider the whole culture in its entirety. According to American anthropologist R. H. Lowie, "social tradition in its entirety is what constitutes culture." According to American anthropologist Kluckhohn, culture is made up of all the

patterns that people have historically built for survival. These patterns may be explicit, tacit, logical, illogical, or those that might exist at any given moment and serve as prospective guidelines for men's behavior. A person's culture describes their way of life on average. There was more than one site of genesis for this. This indicates that no member of the human race appeared on Earth overnight. The transformation of apes into humans happened gradually, just as civilization did.

CONCLUSION

One of the core ideas in sociology is the sociological imagination, which provides important understanding of the relationship between social institutions and individual lives. Critical thinking, empathy, and sociopolitical awareness are all encouraged by the sociological imagination, which helps people see beyond their personal experiences and the larger social circumstances in which they exist. By using it, people may better grasp how more powerful social forces like political beliefs, economic systems, and cultural norms affect their chances and daily lives. Moreover, the sociological imagination acts as a spur for social activity and advocacy, enabling people to oppose unfair social structures and strive toward group solutions to structural problems.

The sociological imagination continues to be an essential instrument for promoting social awareness, solidarity, and transformational change as societies struggle with complicated societal issues.

REFERENCES:

[1] K. M. Norgaard, "The sociological imagination in a time of climate change," *Glob. Planet. Change*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2017.09.018.

[2] A. Fraser and J. M. Hagedorn, "Gangs and a global sociological imagination," *Theor. Criminol.*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/1362480616659129.

[3] A. Rau, "Emotions and belonging: Constructing individual experience and organizational functioning in the context of an orphans and vulnerable children (OVC) program," *Qual. Sociol. Rev.*, 2018, doi: 10.18778/1733-8077.14.4.03.

[4] G. Grabher, A. Melchior, B. Schiemer, E. Schüßler, and J. Sydow, "From being there to being aware: Confronting geographical and sociological imaginations of copresence," *Environ. Plan. A*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/0308518X17743507.

[5] A. Holliday, "Designing a course in intercultural education," *Intercult. Commun. Educ.*, 2018, doi: 10.29140/ice.v1n1.24.

[6] A. "Xan" C. H. Nowakowski, " Book Review: Social and Behavioral Science for Health Professionals ," *Teach. Sociol.*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/0092055x18760363.

[7] J. D. Brewer, *The Sociology of Compromise after Conflict.* 2018. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-78744-2.

[8] G. Serra, "Hail the census night: Trust and political imagination in the 1960 population census of Ghana," *Comparative Studies in Society and History*. 2018. doi: 10.1017/S0010417518000221.

[9] M. Peyrefitte, "Prosaic sites of multiculturalism as educational encounters in neo-liberal higher education: Sociological imagination and reflexive teaching and learning in the multicultural classroom," *Educ. Citizsh. Soc. Justice*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/1746197918793060.

[10] L. Mount, "Teaching in Unfamiliar Terrain: Empowering Student and Teacher Learning through a Photography Assignment," *Teach. Sociol.*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/0092055X17725131.

[11] J. Blommaert, "The sociological re-imagination," in *Durkheim and the Internet*, 2018. doi: 10.5040/9781350055223.0009.

[12] D. R. Sharpe, "Researching the multinational corporation: contributions of critical realist ethnography," *Crit. Perspect. Int. Bus.*, 2018, doi: 10.1108/cpoib-08-2014-0038.

CHAPTER 5

INVESTIGATING OF THE RELATION
BETWEEN SOCIOLOGY AND COMMONSENSE

Dr. Kamshad Mohsin, Assistant Professor,
Maharishi Law School, Maharishi University of Information Technology, Uttar Pradesh, India.
Email Id-kamshad@muit.in

ABSTRACT:

The link between sociology and common sense is examined in this work. Sociology is the scientific study of society, social behavior, and human interaction; commonsense is the daily knowledge and understanding that individuals hold, frequently acquired from personal experiences and cultural conventions. Even though they may seem to be unrelated, common sense and sociology interact in a complicated way. This essay investigates the ways in which sociological viewpoints contradict, enhance, or support intuitive interpretations of social occurrences. It talks about how sociology may be used to challenge, improve, or support common sense social assumptions using methodical studies, theoretical frameworks, and empirical data. This article shows how sociology and common sense have a dynamic, sometimes tense connection via a variety of case studies and theoretical debates. Scholars may get a deeper grasp of the complex processes involved in the creation, validation, and dissemination of social knowledge in society by comprehending this connection.

KEYWORDS:

Commonsense, Interplay, Sociology, Sociological Perspectives, Tension.

INTRODUCTION

Sociology is often accused of studying subjects that we are familiar with or have firsthand experience with. We may encounter it in our own life or learn about it via popular knowledge. Although this information is occasionally correct, it is not always trustworthy since it is based more on widely held opinions than on a methodical examination of the available data. As long as people believed that the world was flat, it was called "common sense." Aristotle and Pythagoras both questioned this. These ideas are still relevant to us today. Common sense told people for thousands of years that large objects fall more quickly than small ones, that stone and iron are perfectly solid materials that women naturally desire children, and that as education spreads, the caste and dowry systems will inevitably crumble. However, we now know that none of these claims are accurate [1], [2]. These commonsense claims based on conventional wisdom serve as an example of our thesis, which holds that common sense is not always reliable. While many common observations are not substantiated by scientific facts, others may hold true. Numerous commonsense deductions stem from conjecture, intuition, ignorance, bias, incorrect interpretation, and accidental trial-and-error learning. Both while researching other communities and when researching our own, common sense might mislead us.

Sociologists do not accept anything as true just because "everyone knows it," as other sciences do. Rather, every bit of data has to be verified and documented before being examined in light of other facts [3], [4]. To characterize, comprehend, and forecast any social phenomena, sociology is based on empirical data collected using scientific methods. Sociology's primary focus is on human society and culture. A sociologist aims to provide a sociological viewpoint on the study of human society and culture. He shows an interest in how society has evolved

and makes an effort to piece together the key moments in the process. "Analyzing the factors and forces underlying historical Transformations of society" is another endeavor undertaken. The scientific technique used in sociological investigations is accorded the proper weight. The study of the fundamental social units has received enough attention in the field of sociology. This field looks at social interactions and connections, individual personalities, all types of groupings, urban, rural, and tribal communities, association organizations, and population. Social institutions make up the foundation of society. Social institutions are the cornerstone of every social structure. The most fundamental human needs are met by institutions like the family, kinship faiths and morals, economic and political, legal and educational, scientific and artistic, recreational and expressive, medical and welfare, etc. Sociology delves deeply into the examination of the inception and progression, composition and purpose, transformations and obstacles of an extensive array of social establishments. Folkways are customary behaviors of a particular social group. This pattern of behavior is shown in response to the issues a group within a society is facing [5], [6].

There are numerous issues facing society today, and these issues lead to various attempts by humans to address them. Different civilizations develop different operational models to address their issues. Social groups may use an experimental strategy or an odd observation to arrive at a likely set of options. Regardless matter how they arrive at a solution, if it works, it becomes accepted as the standard course of action. It is called a folkway because it is passed down through the generations and manifests itself as a behavioral propensity within the folk group. According to Sumner, men's psycho-physical characteristics are inherited from their fierce ancestors via genetics. These characteristics include aptitudes, character qualities, and temperaments that address issues with food supply, sex, business, and self-importance. This results in a set of events known as folkways, which are caused by flows of probability, harmony, and group inputs. Therefore, folkways are the results of ongoing, apparently unimportant behaviors that often occur in huge numbers.

These kinds of activities start when a group of people have comparable requirements. According to American sociologist George A. Lundberg, folkways attribute behavioral commonalities within a community to the individual members' way of life. These originate from recurrent or sporadic events or requirements. In this way, the idea is that a social group's evolution and survival are guided and safeguarded by a set of innate behavioral patterns. This collection comprises customs and rituals that have been passed down from generation to generation, as well as new elements that have been added or altered to meet the changing demands of time. These represent the unique quality of man, which is his ability to adapt to his surroundings. It is not necessary for him to aggressively propose a folkway; none of the group's members ever doubt one [7], [8].

One way to conceptualize culture is as a living archive that continuously adds new tangible and immaterial components that have been passed down socially from one generation to the next. Culture never ends because its patterns endure beyond the passage of time and continue to be repeated in new generations. Because each generation contributes a new characteristic or element, culture is always evolving. As a result, a remarkable equivalency that links the development and prosperity of culture to the evolution of Homo sapiens becomes apparent. It is impossible to go into more detail regarding this resemblance since the majority of prehistoric findings are based on tangible facts that only partially illuminate the manner of life of the people who lived in ancient times. Furthermore, the evolutionary paths of biology and culture shouldn't cross one another. Although Cro-Magnon man had a highly developed cognitive capacity, other aspects of his evolution actively hindered the development of learnt behavior. Over time, dispersion was more beneficial to cultural evolution than innovation, even if

invention had a significant role. Adopting cultural traits from other civilizations, regardless of how they originated in the original community, is known as diffusion [9], [10].

The societies should be divided and their place of origin should be ancient enough to allow for the emergence of distinct cultures in order for dispersion to occur on a wide scale. Furthermore, it is critical that these civilizations communicate with one another. This would open up possibilities for significant borrowing. These kinds of circumstances have only become more prevalent in the latter phases of development. Once the practice of stealing cultures started, it became so widespread that many aspects of contemporary cultures were appropriated.

DISCUSSION

The growth of culture has been aided by both innovation and dispersion. Due mostly to innovation, the first start was sluggish. But as the foundation of culture grew, cultures became more distinct. As a result, the growth factor increased concurrently with an enlarged rise in the dispersion of characteristics. Currently, culture is growing at an astounding rate, particularly in western nations. Human society is dynamic and varied, neither static nor homogeneous. What are referred to as "social processes" are reflections of this dynamic aspect of society. They demonstrate the many patterns and trajectories that human interaction takes in social life. Sociological studies place a strong emphasis on social processes like socialization, social control and deviance, social integration and social change, social conflict and communication, accommodation and assimilation, cooperation and competition, etc.

Sociologists have dared to specialize in the current period of exponentially expanding information, and as a result, a sizable number of specialized disciplines of study are now developing. These specialized disciplines include sociology of education, sociology of religion, sociology of family, sociology of knowledge, sociology of history, sociology of culture, industrial sociology, and sociology of education. Once established, a habit turns into a regular way of life. Customs often include reciprocal giving and receiving along with obsessive obligations. Furthermore, conventions are subject to the law; otherwise, they would have no value. Maclver and Page contend that custom establishes a unique kind of social order that prevents conflicts between custom and the law. Customs thereby simplify a person's whole social existence.

The law is ill-equipped to address any social behavior activity. Rituals and traditions are practices that contribute to the cohesion of a social group. Customs often have an impact outside of one's own group. In certain instances, the relationship between two opposing groups may be gauged by custom. For example, the Bedouins of the Arabian desert have a rule not to disturb any water well, even if it is owned by the adversary. A vast array of specialized research and theoretical subfields have been created within contemporary sociology via division and subordination. Interpersonal relationships, rural and urban living, marriage and family, social difference, stratification and inequality, and caste (particularly in India) are currently popular study topics.

Demographics, population, and gender. Subaltran studies, linguistics, criminology, gerontology, economic and political sociologies, educational and political sociologies, formal sociologies, racial and ethnic studies, formal organizations, social change, modernism and postmodernity, globalization, and the world system. Along with sociology of sociology and sociological methodology, other significant branches include sociology of religion and knowledge, law, work, occupation, professions and industries, architecture, art, music, literature, health, illness, and medicine, development and welfare, leisure, sports, tourism, popular culture, militarism, sexuality, and body deviation. In the most current iteration of popular textbooks on sociology authored by Harlambos and Halborn. It has been proposed that

sociologists study the following topics: postmodernism, positivism, social action viewpoint, phenomenology, culture and society, socialization, norms and values, feminism, interactions (concept of self and creation of meaning.

There are many different tribes living in the northeastern region of India, each having its own distinctive civilizations. The Nagas is an amalgam of many tribes, including the Ao, Angami, Chang, Mao, Konyak, and so on. Most of them live in the state of Nagaland. The naga people are straightforward, dependable, honorable, and morally upright individuals. Most Nagas reside in communities with elaborately adorned wooden homes. Every tribe has a unique method of embellishing their dwellings. The tribes produce their own clothing, medications, and cooking utensils. and so on, which enable them to be self-sufficient in every manner. vibrant woolen and Women from almost every tribe in Nagaland make cotton shawls. Folk music and dancing constitute Naga culture. But as Christianity grows among these tribes, it's bringinga gradual demise for the native Naga culture.

Assam state is tucked away under the hills of the sub-Himalayan range in theboth to the north and east. The states of Mizoram, Manipur, Meghalaya, and Mizoram surround Assam.West Bengal, Arunachal Pradesh, Nagaland, and Tripura. Assam is an amalgamation whereMany tribes and sub-tribes' cultures, traditions, history, way of life, religion, and beliefshave contributed a very interesting recipe. One may split the Assamese people intodivided into two primary categories: the non-tribal population, which makes up the bulk of the population and the indigenous people. The tribes are mostly found in the hills, and the most significanttribes comprising the Karbis, the Lalungs, the Misings, the Deori, the Boro-Kacharis, and the Dimassas, the Rabhas, among others. The language of Assam is called Ahkhomiya or Assamese.

Assam possesses a standing for friendly greetings. Assamese people are kind, hospitable, and generous. The majority of Assamese holidays have their origins in the diverse range of faiths and beliefs. of its citizens. In addition to the national and religious holidays celebrated throughout the nation, Assam has several vibrant festivals of its own, filled with entertainment, music, andmoves in a dance. Assam's population, which is made up of people from both tribal and non-tribal backgrounds, is as diverse as its fairs and festivals. All Assamese celebrate Bihu, the agricultural festival of Assam, regardless of of faith, caste, or creed. Three Bihus emerge at different points throughout the production of rice, Assam's main crop. These are Bihu, Kati, and Bahag (Baisakh). Magh (Magha) Bihu and (Kartika) Bihu.Since ancient times, Assamese people have been skilled artisans.

The profound sensations are inspired by the shared enthusiasm of Assamese craftsmen's work, which is magic.with its timeless sophistication and simplicity. Assam is well known for making fine silks.bamboo and items made from cane. The vibrant Assamese japi (headgear), however, terracottaof Gauripur and several ornamental objects attest to this region's artistry.Notable Assamese handlooms have a kaleidoscope of colors and textures with aesthetically satisfyingpatterns and motifs. The arts of dance, music, woodworking, ceramics, and mat-making haveendured for millennia with little alteration since it continued to be a crucial component of theinhabitants. Mizoram is a territory that is tucked away in the northeastern region of India. includes lakes, rivers, and undulating hills. The proximity of the state to the many international boundaries has caused Mizoram to become a fusion of many tribes, most of whom moved from China as well as Myanmar. Hmars, Paithes, Raltes, Pang, Mara, Lakher, Kukis, and the LushaiThe tribes known as the Pawis of Mizoram were once followers of the Pathan (goodsoul). Following the British immigration and the subsequent settlement of the Christian Most of the inhabitants in the area were converted to Christianity by missionaries. Consequently, as a result of the because of the British influence in this area, the majority of

people speak English in addition toMizo. There is no prejudice or class divide in this unbreakable society.the sexual grounds. The majority of people in the community are farmers, and the hamlet seems toa big family. The birth of a child, a village marriage, a person's or a community's deathfeasts that a villager organizes are important occasions when the whole community attendsparticipates. Mizoram's traditional crafts include weaving, cane work, and bamboo craftsmanship.

On their looms, the Mizo women skillfully weave elaborate traditional motifs and patterns. Tribal clan motifs are weaved into and handed down via the shawls. generations. The people of Khasi, Garo, and Jaintia who live in various regions of Meghalaya depict the state's rich cultural heritage. Meghalaya is essentially a mostly Christian region. A large number of Christian missionaries arrived in Meghalaya in the 1800s.However, in addition to Christians, the Garo and Jaintia are also large populations in Meghalaya. and tribes of Khasi people. Dance, music, and the arts and crafts are all essential components of the Meghalayan culture. The home of dance and music is Meghalaya. The dances include connected to their celebrations or seasons, thus they should be savored all year round. year. The dances have many purposes, including social, religious, agricultural, and leisure. The terrain

Reverberates with the sound of lovely melodies, traditional instruments, and the ideal speed. Typically, the Garos sing folk tunes about heroic deeds, marriage, festivals, and birth.actions in time with the rhythms of different kinds of drums and flutes. The Jaintias and Khasisare often fond of songs that both praise and convey the natural world around them. Affection for their homeland. When singing, a variety of musical instruments like asThere are also other instruments performed, such as drums, duitara, and guitar, flute, pipe, and cymbal.There are several tribes in Arunachal Pradesh, the most significant of which are theAnd so on, Adi, Apatani, Bugun, Galo, Khamba, Koro, etc. That is a country with exquisite handicrafts.containing a great deal of diversity. The vast majority of people have a tradition of creative artistry. A vast array of crafts, including basketry, painting, weaving, and ceramics,The indigenous people are known for their woodcarving and other crafts. From the perspective of

It is rather easy to split the region into three zones based on art and culture. The initialzone includes the Sherdukpens and Monpas, two Buddhist tribes, as well as severalextend the Membas, Khambas, Khamtis, and the Khowa, Aka, and Miji groupSingphos. The first zone's inhabitants create exquisite masks. They also sometimesmask dances and pantomimes on stage. creating exquisite rugs and painting wooden containersThe Monpas' area of expertise, however, is silver items. The populace of the secondzone are skilled artisans with bamboo and cane. Adis, Hill Miris, and Apantanis combine to formexquisite handcrafted items made from these materials, which clearly demonstrate their talent. The central region, which stretches from East Kameng in the west to To Lohit in the East. The southeast portion of the region forms the third zone. They furthermore weave items that they use on a regular basis. The coats and shawls of the Apantanis, the Adis Gale and shoulder bag, and the Mishmi's cloak and shaw, which represent the people's exceptional creative sensibility and weaving prowess. The population of theThird Zone Woodcarvers are well-known for their skill. But the Wanchos weave exquisite together with a loin cloth and satchel. Ivory, tusks from a boar, goat hair, agat beads, and various stones. The residents of this zone are particularly fascinated with brass and glass There is a commonality across all of the northeastern states in the way that demonstrating their culture and handiwork. Although most of the tribes were impacted by Their ancient beliefs and rituals have been preserved thanks to Christianity and other influences.

Despite the fact that a sizable portion of the younger generation has relocated to metropolitan areas throughoutIn the pursuit of improved facilities, education, and financial circumstances, there is still a definitea component of these tribes that would persist in performing their

traditional music, dances, and matand shawl weaving, regardless of whether or not they bring in money for them. promises only because of a passion for their culture. If these tribes continue to thrive, we can You may be certain that northeastern culture will flourish as well. Cultural relativism is the idea of analyzing other nations and cultures objectively. approach without contrasting them with one another. It is impossible to research the actions of a different group if they are seen through the lens of our beliefs and motivations. Their endeavors For a fair analysis, they have to be examined in light of their goals and principles.

Cultural relativism is the theory that evaluates a characteristic based on its cultural context. A characteristic is neither favorable nor bad when it is present in isolation. It may be seen as either favorable or unfavorable only depending on the society in which it is found and thrives, for example, fur clothing is significant but pointless in the Polar zone. in arid regions. Being overweight is seen as a sign of riches and good health in certain countries. But in some cultures, being overweight not only denotes wastefulness but also poor health and ugly. Therefore, cultural relativism does not equalize all cultures. significant or detrimental. It is thought that some traditions might be very helpful in in certain locations and could be quite dangerous in others. This phenomenon has a connection to the surroundings. The main characteristic of cultural relativism is that in a certain

Certain characteristics are ideal for that kind of setting since theysurroundings. But if the same characteristics are used in a completely different context, when they clash with other aspects of that culture, they could have disastrous consequences. Cultural relativism and ethnocentrism are connected. The term "ethno" comes from a Greek words meaning people, nation, and shared culture are the source of the word "centric." from a Latin term meaning heart. Therefore, ethnocentrism refers to the propensity of Every civilization should put its own cultural norms at the forefront. The term ethnocentrism refers

the process of assessing and clearly grading other cultural practices in relation to one's own them as less than. It is the propensity to value one's own culture above all others. This convert transforming one's own culture into a benchmark by which all other civilizations may be evaluated them as being correct or incorrect. The way people react in every culture, community, and situation is known as ethnocentrism. existence. It is a necessary component of each person's development. It's seen in the possessive quality of of a young boy who discovers the distinction between toys that belong to him and those that not be his. He displays an inflated sense of superiority when he believes that his toys are superior than those of other kids, until his parents or elders correct him. Nevertheless, In private, parents might give their kid the impression that his belongings are really good, even if they might not support such notions in public.

The Ethnocentrism may be taught directly and purposefully or indirectly and accidentally. But some of it is intentional, at least. History offers several examples of how it often teaches to prioritize one's own nation's achievements above those of other nations. In the most overt manner, religious, civic, and other organizations denigrate their competitors. When it comes to fully formed people, ethnocentrism only means that they are a reality of existence. The need to justify ethnocentrism morally grows as knowledge of it grows.

CONCLUSION

Sociology and common sense have a complex and dynamic interaction. Although sociology offers systematic analyses and theoretical frameworks to critically assess and enhance these understandings, common sense gives people practical understandings of the social world. It is more fruitful to acknowledge how sociology and common sense interact and affect one another rather than seeing them as competing ideologies. Sociologists may use common sense to guide their research, but they can also use empirical study and theoretical analysis to test and broaden

it. On the other hand, sociological insights may complement commonsense understandings to provide a more profound and sophisticated understanding of social processes. Through recognizing the intricate connection between sociology and common sense, academics may enhance a more meticulous and comprehensive method of examining and analyzing society.

REFERENCES:

[1]   M. Weber, "Economy and society: An outline of interpretive sociology (an excerpt)," *Ekonomicheskaya Sotsiologiya*. 2018. doi: 10.17323/1726-3247-2018-3-68-78.

[2]   R. Elliott, "The sociology of climate change as a sociology of loss," *Archives Europeennes de Sociologie*. 2018. doi: 10.1017/S0003975618000152.

[3]   M. Prasad, "Problem-Solving Sociology," *Contemp. Sociol.*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/0094306118779810.

[4]   D. S. Stoltz, "Relations and Relationships: Clarifying the Terms of the 'New' Relational Economic Sociology," *Am. Sociol.*, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s12108-017-9336-2.

[5]   M. L. Dion, J. L. Sumner, and S. M. L. Mitchell, "Gendered Citation Patterns across Political Science and Social Science Methodology Fields," *Polit. Anal.*, 2018, doi: 10.1017/pan.2018.12.

[6]   O. Breskaya, G. Giordan, and J. T. Richardson, "Human Rights and Religion: A Sociological Perspective," *J. Sci. Study Relig.*, 2018, doi: 10.1111/jssr.12544.

[7]   O. King, A. Borthwick, S. Nancarrow, and S. Grace, "Sociology of the professions: What it means for podiatry," *Journal of Foot and Ankle Research*. 2018. doi: 10.1186/s13047-018-0275-0.

[8]   C. Dayé, "A Systematic View on the Use of History for Current Debates in Sociology, and on the Potential and Problems of a Historical Epistemology of Sociology," *Am. Sociol.*, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s12108-018-9385-1.

[9]   M. Molina and F. Garip, "Machine Learning for Sociology," *Annu. Rev. Sociol.*, 2019, doi: 10.1146/annurev-soc-073117-041106.

[10]   J. S. House, "The Culminating Crisis of American Sociology and Its Role in Social Science and Public Policy: An Autobiographical, Multimethod, Reflexive Perspective," *Annual Review of Sociology*. 2019. doi: 10.1146/annurev-soc-073117-041052.

CHAPTER 6

CONCEPT OF CULTURE AND PERSONALITY IN SOCIOLOGY

Dr. Kamshad Mohsin, Assistant Professor,
Maharishi Law School, Maharishi University of Information Technology, Uttar Pradesh, India.
Email Id-kamshad@muit.in

ABSTRACT:

In sociology, the idea of culture and personality explores the dynamic relationship that develops between an individual's personality and cultural norms, values, and practices. This theoretical framework, which has its roots in the middle of the 20th century, investigates how cultural settings influence people's attitudes, actions, and psychological traits. A person's distinct thinking and behavior patterns are referred to as their personality, while common ideas, customs, and traditions are included in their culture. In order to comprehend the intricate link between culture and personality, this approach places a strong emphasis on cultural relativism, cultural determinism, ethnographic research, cross-cultural comparisons, and cultural change. Scholars examine how cultural circumstances shape personality characteristics and behaviors via ethnographic study and cross-cultural analysis. The importance of sociocultural elements in influencing human psychology and behavior is explored in this abstract**.**

KEYWORDS:

Cultural Determinism, Cultural Relativism, Culture, Ethnographic Studies, Personality.

INTRODUCTION

A society's culture is its defining characteristic. It is what makes human civilization unique. There are several popular and psychiatric uses for the word "personality." Its satisfying and all-encompassing usage is incorporated, nonetheless. Others can see how a person's physical, mental, and social attributes are dynamically organized via social interactions. A person's personality may be described as their whole set of behaviors, attitudes, habits, and characteristics. These externalize duties and statuses, both generic and specialized. They are internally preoccupied with notions of self, ideals, values, and purpose, as well as self-consciousness. A social group's dominant culture often defines the sort of personality that belongs to that group. A group's personality is greatly influenced by its culture [1], [2]. Scholars studying culture and different schools of thought that focus on personality have taken notice of this.

The whole social legacy that a person has access to and is able to react to, both consciously and subconsciously, and the fundamental qualities of each unique person are two sides of the link between culture and personality. One may argue that a person's personality is what defines them. The whole "organized aggregate of psychological processes and states pertaining to the individual" is referred to as personality.

The emphasis on cultural personality serves as a helpful reminder that the general characteristics of each individual's personality are essentially determined by their culture. These unique personalities, in turn, serve as markers for the cultural pattern and have a propensity to maintain it. The idea of a cultural pattern was established by American anthropologist Ruth Fulton Benedict in her well-known book Patterns of cultural. She has also given attention to the value of culture [3], [4]. Benedict's theory which holds that culture may be understood as made up of cultural configurations is shown by the culture that the author

describes in her work. These arrangements are combined under the control of a single general matter pattern. As a result, a culture is comparable to individual humans in that it is a roughly constant way of thinking and doing.

Benedict argues that the arranging of any culture's material in a modern or timeless manner, or design, is what allows it to be integrated. Benedict defines this configuration as a pattern. Every aspect of a culture has a certain design or style. When combined, these many designs create a big design representing culture as a whole. This is the way culture is set up. A shared inclination to see culture from all angles is what led to the formation of this reunion in culture. Benedict referred to this primary trend as a "special genius" inside civilization. Its integration is brought about by this "genius of culture." The foundation of form integration is this alone. According to Benedict, there are two types of "geniuses" in human civilization. Dionysian is one, while Appollonian is the other. The term Apollo, which meaning calm sun deity, is the root of the word "Appollonian."

The sun was revered by the Greeks as the deity of mankind, benevolence, discipline, and peace. Therefore, one discovers the presence of discipline, compassion, and harmony in the Appollonian pattern of civilization. Benedict used Pueblo, a word used to refer to both contemporary and historical Native American settlements, as an example. They are disciplined and peaceful. They assist and collaborate with one another. The Appollonian genius is present not just in Pueblo but in all communities whose primary attributes are calmness and harmony. Their integration is the result of these factors. The Greek god Dionysius, who was associated with drinking and an opulent lifestyle, is the source of the name "Dionysian." In his view, a society that undergoes many upheavals and transformations is home to the Dionysian genius. As examples of the Dionysian genius, Benedict mentioned the Dobu and Kwakitul civilizations from the northwest coast of America. Benedict has thus acknowledged patterns or geniuses as an ideal or an induced theory that dictates how people behave [5], [6].

Benedict was also interested in demonstrating how personality affects society. She maintained that geniuses who are Appollonian and Dionysian are merged individuals from two different cultural groupings. The behavioral patterns of these groups are diametrically opposed. She also stated how the personalities of people in their respective ethnic groupings were shaped by these two geniuses. The group's Appletonian mentality forces everyone to act in a disciplined and peaceful manner. In the end, this creates unique cultural traits for the relevant group. Similarly, the Dionysian personality manifests itself in the ways that characterize a certain group's culture. Culture is influenced by personality in this manner.

Through her research, American cultural anthropologist Margaret Mead has sought to demonstrate how culture shapes personality. She claims that a person is born into a certain culture and grows up in a preexisting cultural milieu, both of which have a big impact on how their personality develops. A person absorbs a culture's non-material elements as well as its tangible ones, such as its furniture, tools, homes, artwork, and so on. The cultural elements that are not tangible might include things like religion, customs, traditions, rituals, beliefs, norms, values, and ideals, among other things. An person learns how to act in society in a methodical manner via culture [7], [8].

Through the processes of enculturation and assimilation, a person absorbs a culture. Mead investigated how three New Guinean aboriginal tribes' cultures shaped their personalities. Mundugumor, Arapesh, and Tschambuli were these groups. Despite sharing the same geographical area, these tribes were distinct in terms of personality and character. Their different cultures were the cause of this. American anthropologist Ralph Linton made an effort to describe and categorize culture based on behavior in his well-known book Cultural

Background of Personality (1945). In addition, he defined personality and made an effort to illustrate how it developed within a particular cultural context. He also stressed the role that personality had in shaping culture. Culture is "the sum total of knowledge, attitudes, and natural behavior pattern, shared and transmitted by the members of a particular society," according to Linton. He separated culture into three categories according to the ways in which its constituents behaved. acquired and disseminated in certain contexts. It is the way a member of the community lives. Cultures have different methods of living. Philosophical traditions shape the ideal cultural pattern. Certain cultural characteristics are seen as ideals in this. Studying a culture also reflects our knowledge of it, which has to be documented [9], [10].

DISCUSSION

Linton distinguished between cultural specializations, cultural alternatives, and universals. He maintained that although certain cultural features are shared by all members of the community, others are only required for a subset of the population. Universals of culture are the characteristics that all members share. Man, for example, has to cover certain areas of his body. This culture is shared by everybody. Conversely, each individual has the option to choose from a variety of religious views or even reject them. Experts are cultural components that certain groups in a community share, but not all of them. Linton used the phrase "contra-culture pattern" to describe those social groupings that not only deviate from the dominant pattern but also confront it head-on. For example, a gang of thieves has rules and expectations that are compelling to every member of the organization. These standards and conventions, however, diverge significantly from the typical prevalent patterns. "Culture" and "civilization" are two major domains of human experience and behavior, according to McIver and Page. Every action, creation, item, and so forth made by man is inextricably categorized into one of two groups. It would include not just our material tools and processes but also our social organizing systems.

It would cover both the phone and the voting booth, our laws, our schools, and our financial institutions and banks. They believed that civilization is accompanied by technology. They made a distinction between social technology and fundamental technology within the framework of civilization. The goal of basic technology is to give man control over natural events. It is the domain of the mechanic and engineer. It uses the principles of chemistry, biology, and physics to further human goals. It controls the production process in the extractive, industrial, and agricultural sectors. In addition to an infinite variety of artifacts, it builds tractors, elevators, aircraft, ships, and weapons. It assembles and forms things in all sizes. It designs the newest styles of women's headwear in addition to the updated city and its parkways. Conversely, social technology is an array of methods intended to control human behavior. Political and economic technology are its two main subcategories.

In order to pursue economic means, economic technology is concerned with economic processes and the direct interactions between persons. Political technology controls many different aspects of interpersonal interactions. Although MacIver and Page discuss culture, they feel that a book typewritten on a typewriter is a part of a different grand order, just as the typewriter itself is a part of a larger one. Every tangible object we create provides us with what we need or yearn for. They are all representations of who we are. They were made to fulfill a desire that we all have. This need is not a need from outside. They are a part of the cultural domain. This is the realm of ideas, fashions, sentimental bonds, and philosophical pursuits. According to their argument, culture is the opposite of civilization. It is the way that we live and think, the way that we interact with one another on a daily basis, the way that art, literature, religion, leisure, and pleasure all represent our nature.

 establish which civilization's output is superior and which inferior by comparing them. They are only means to a goal, therefore it is easy to gauge how efficient they are. Measuring its efficiency requires a well-defined end point. A power loom produces more than a handloom, a truck can move faster than a bullock cart, an airplane can move faster than a truck, and so on. However, cultural elements that bring up the central issue of value are impossible to quantify. As long as social continuity does not experience a catastrophic breach, civilization not only advances but advances constantly. An advancement in civilization is often used and enhanced until a new innovation replaces it or makes it obsolete. It's true that some of civilization's accomplishments have vanished in the past. Humans have forgotten the techniques used to build Rome's aqueducts and roadways, as well as the Egyptian pyramids. This happened because the records of civilization were wiped away due to cataclysmic changes that caused these losses. When there is widespread civilization and better means of documenting findings, every technological or utilitarian benefit becomes an enduring part of the collective memory.

After then, it conditions more gains. Other than that, it's a cultural accomplishment. Since man created the vehicle for the first time, it has undergone constant improvement. Our modes of transportation are always evolving. Compared to the ones used by the ancient Greeks, they are much better. However, the same cannot be said of our plays and sculptures, our discussions and our pastimes, and so on. Here, certainty betrays us. There are currently no cars that are as inefficient as Henry Ford's first vehicle. Improved automobiles were unavoidably made possible by his and other inventors' efforts. However, Shakespeare's accomplishments do not always translate into better plays today. Both cultural growth and regression are possible. Its future is not guaranteed by its history. Only those with similar beliefs may fully embrace a culture. It is only available to those who deserve it.

Without the talent of an artist, no one can really appreciate art. In general, civilization does not place such demands. We don't have to share the ability to produce its goods in order to enjoy them. Culture is conveyed via civilization; hence, advancement in that civilization does not imply superior quality in its messages. Movies on television are possible, but the quality of the content is never guaranteed. Culture and civilization are linked, although having distinct boundaries. It's impossible to imagine them existing without each other. They are both interactive as well as interdependent. "Mentifacts" are cultural artifacts that have an impact on the artifacts of civilization. In a similar way, objects of civilization have an impact on culture. Cultural elements are progressively incorporated into civilization's items. Primitive civilizations' implements and artifacts serve as more than simply practical objects; they are also cultural icons. We will study the numerous social institutions that make up a society in this section. Social institutions are present everywhere in the globe.

A social institution is a structured set of rules, duties, ethics, and customs created to satisfy one or more fundamental social needs. Different regions have different social institutions. Social institutions are ingrained or standardized norms of behavior guided by rules. They consist of the political and economic establishments, the family, education, and religion. Sociologists often use the word "institution" to refer to normative systems that function in the five fundamental domains of existence.

The most important and essential institution in a person's life is their family. It serves as the main socializing group and a crucial socialization agency. The institution of the family has changed significantly over history. Several anthropologists and sociologists have defined the word "family." After researching over 250 different cultures, American anthropologist George Murdock (1949) defined a family as a social group that has a common place of habitation, engages in economic cooperation, and reproduces. It consists of individuals of both sexes who,

at least, have one or more children whom they either adopted or own, and who are in a socially acceptable sexual relationship with one another.

Sociologists MacIver and Page describe a family as a group that is characterized by a sexual connection that is long-lasting and precise enough to support childbearing and child rearing. The institution of marriage has evolved over a very long time. There isn't a single, comprehensive definition of marriage that all sociologists agree upon. Different communities and cultures may have different ramifications for the institution of marriage. It may be described as a sexual connection between a man and a woman that is accepted by society and is anticipated to result in childbirth. According to Polish anthropologist Bronislaw Kasper Malinowski, marriage is an agreement to have children and raise them. Sociologists P. B. Horton and C. L. Hunt described marriage as a socially sanctioned arrangement in which two or more people form a family.

In the social institution of marriage, a man and a woman formally declare their intention to live as husband and wife via rituals and religious obligations. It is a safe relationship in which a man and a woman are allowed to produce children without it harming their standing in the community. One universal societal institution is marriage. In addition to being a public celebration of the values of mutuality, friendship, faithfulness, and family, it is a profoundly intimate commitment to another person. The socially acceptable path to starting a family is marriage. A family can only exist via the formation of marriage relationships that are culturally regulated and approved. Matrimony refers to the formalized type of sexual relations.

A single social reality has two sides: marriage and family. From a larger, more communal standpoint, marriage guarantees the continuation of the group's species and culture. A partner who is depressed will have a spouse who will provide words of encouragement and support. In fact, it's thought that married people have much longer lifespans and greater health than single people. There are many different types of marriage. Rules would be included in a cross-cultural examination of marital customs in various countries. These regulations provide guidelines for choosing the kind of marriage, including proscriptions, prescriptions, and preferences. Two forms of marriage exist based on the number of partners: monogamy and polygamy. Marriage between single partners is known as monogamy (i.e., a husband and wife or a woman and one husband). Most civilizations see marriage as a monogamous union. It is regarded as the ideal kind of union as well.

The practice of marrying more than one spouse is known as polygamy. There are two forms of polygamy: polygyny and polyandry. Polygyny is the term for the practice of a man having two or more wives simultaneously. A phenomenon referred to as sororal polygyny occurs when two or more sisters have the same spouse.

The practice of a woman marrying more than one guy at the same time is called polyandry. There are two kinds of polyandry: non-fraternal polyandry and fraternal or adelphic polyandry. Fraternal polyandry is the term used to describe the situation in which a woman marries many brothers simultaneously. Within the Toda community in India, this is a common practice. It's called non-fraternal polyandry when a woman marries many men, none of whom are her brothers. Levirate marriage is the union of a man with the childless widow of his late brother. In levirate marriages, when a husband dies, one of his brothers is obligated to marry his widow; any children produced out of this relationship are considered the dead man's descendants.

When a sororate marriage is successful, the husband of a childless woman marries her sister, and the barren wife is considered to be the parent of at least some of the children produced out of this union. The word "sororate" also refers to the tradition when a widow's family gives her sister to the widower after her death. Any children produced out of this connection, however,

are acknowledged as her own. The acceptance of inter-familial responsibilities and the understanding that marriage is a bond between two families rather than only between two people are highlighted by levirate and sororate rituals. In one form or another, religion has always existed from the time of the prehistoric culture. Man is impacted by the element's sunlight, wind, and rain in many different ways. Religion is an outward manifestation of the ways and means by which individuals modify their understanding of the supernatural. Religion has been defined as "a belief in powers superior to man, which are believed to direct and control the course of nature and of human life," according to James George Frazer, the author of The Golden Bough. Reputable sociologists M.F. Nimkoff and W.R. Ogburn assert that "religion is the attitude towards superhuman powers." A mindset like this gives birth to logical belief and practice systems about the supernatural order. Therefore, religion may be defined as a logical set of actions and beliefs pertaining to a supernatural order of persons, powers, locations, or other phenomena. It's a system whose followers, to differing degrees and in different ways, take seriously the behavioral and welfare consequences for both their individual and group life. Three different methodological features positivism, psychology, and evolutionism characterized the early sociological studies of religion. The writings of renowned thinkers Comte, Tylor, and Spencer demonstrate this. Sociology is one of the core ideas of the so-called rule of three stages, which holds that human thinking has progressed through religious, metaphysical, and positive phases, according to French philosopher Auguste Comte. According to Comte, the spread of contemporary science has led to an intellectual mistake about religious reasoning. He describes how monotheism evolved from animism during the theological era and uses the perceptual and cognitive processes of prehistoric man to psychologically explain religious belief.

Subsequently, Comte introduced his own religion of humanity, so acknowledging the existence of a universal need for religion. But when it came to elucidating the genesis of religion, the writings of English philosopher Herbert Spencer and anthropologist E.B. Tylor were rigorous. They thought that the basic concept of a religious belief was the soul Their goal was to provide a rationalist explanation of how such a notion may have come into being in the thinking of early humans. This suggests that people's conception of the soul originated from a misunderstanding of death and dreams. Spencer's religious ghost hypothesis states that the purported reality of dreams gave rise to the actuality of ghosts. According to Tylor, the first known religious practice was animism. He contends that the search for answers to two issues—the distinction between a living and dead body and the human forms that emerge in dreams and visions led to the development of animism. Dreams and visions are brief instances in which the soul, or spirit, briefly transcends the body. Both animals and human societies, like the Australian aborigines, believed in spirits. Tylor notes that religion takes on animism to fulfill man's need for information about death, dreams, and visions, as well as to satisfy his intellectual capabilities. In a similar vein, naturism promotes the idea that natural forces are supernatural. This, according to renowned philologist and orientalist Max Miiller, is the first manifestation of religion. He contends that as a consequence of man's interactions with nature, particularly as a result of the latter's emotional response to man, naturism emerged. He claims that whereas naturism looks for the foundation of religion in man's emotional needs, animism looks for it in his intellectual wants. The way man reacts to the emotional impact of nature is via naturism. However, the evolutionary method is subject to a great deal of criticism. The past has forgotten the origins of religion. However, some opponents contend that hypotheses about the genesis of religion can only be predicated on conjecture and careful guesswork. Furthermore, the evidence do not support the precise stages of religion's development. Prominent anthropologist Andrew Lang has pointed out that monotheistic religion is prevalent in many of the simplest communities; Tylor believed that monotheistic religion was exclusive to contemporary society.

CONCLUSION

The relationship between culture and personality emphasizes how deeply cultural influences affect people's psychology and behavior. Sociologists examine how cultural surroundings influence personality development via the lenses of cultural relativism and cultural determinism. Cross-cultural comparisons and ethnographic research provide light on the many ways that cultures shape people's identities, attitudes, and actions. Additionally, the idea recognizes how culture is dynamic and how it shapes and reshapes personality throughout time. Scholars may clarify the intricacies of human socialization and advance a more thorough knowledge of human behavior in a variety of cultural situations by comprehending the interaction between culture and personality.

REFERENCES:

[1] A. Pemberton, P. G. M. Aarten, and E. Mulder, "Stories as property: Narrative ownership as a key concept in victims' experiences with criminal justice," *Criminol. Crim. Justice*, 2019, doi: 10.1177/1748895818778320.

[2] R. L. Punamäki, S. R. Qouta, and S. Y. Diab, "The role of maternal attachment in mental health and dyadic relationships in war trauma," *Heliyon*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02867.

[3] B. Schiermer, "Weber's Alternative Theory of Action: Relationalism and Object-Oriented Action in Max Weber's Work," *Archives Europeennes de Sociologie*. 2019. doi: 10.1017/S0003975619000109.

[4] L. Ellis, D. P. Farrington, and A. W. Hoskin, *Handbook of crime correlates*. 2019. doi: 10.1016/C2015-0-01432-0.

[5] A. Golikov, "Heuristics of 'social activity' concept in Yelena Yakuba's interpretation: view from sociology of knowledge and view from 2019," *Ukr. Sociol. J.*, 2019, doi: 10.26565/2077-5105-2019-21-01.

[6] V. Ivanov and I. Manzheley, "Analysis of growth of extreme sports in the xx-xxi centuries," *Sci. Sport Curr. trends*, 2019, doi: 10.36028/2308-8826-2019-7-4-32-42.

[7] M. A. Toscano and C. Santini-Parducci, "Vilfredo Pareto. History, his history," *Simmel Stud.*, 2019, doi: 10.7202/1058558ar.

[8] I. B. Bovina, "My Scientific Supervisor - GM: to the 95th Anniversary of G.M. Andreeva," *Rudn J. Psychol. Pedagog.*, 2019, doi: 10.22363/2313-1683-2019-16-2-287-292.

[9] A. B. Cherednyakova and G. M. Kazakova, "Image Culture: Verification Of Phenomen And Conceptualization Of A Concept," *Vestn. Tomsk. Gos. Univ. Kul'turologiya i Iskusstv.*, 2019, doi: 10.17223/22220836/33/11.

[10] A. M. Ferro, "La bottega della psichiatria: l'Operare psichiatrico a quarant'anni dalla Legge 180 = The craftsmanship of psychiatry: Forty years after the Italian Law no 180/1978," *Psicoter. e Sci. Um.*, 2019.

CHAPTER 7

FUNCTIONS AND DYSFUNCTIONS OF RELIGION IN SOCIOLOGY

Dr. Kamshad Mohsin, Assistant Professor,
Maharishi Law School, Maharishi University of Information Technology, Uttar Pradesh, India.
Email Id-kamshad@muit.in

ABSTRACT:

The purposes and shortcomings of religion in sociology are examined in this essay. As a social institution, religion has a variety of functions in communities all over the globe. This research investigates the possible dysfunctions as well as the positive aspects of religion, using sociological viewpoints. Among its many purposes, religion often offers social cohesiveness, moral direction, a feeling of belonging, and a framework for comprehending the universe and existential issues. It may also provide consolation and solace in trying times and act as a means of social control. But religion can also fuel societal unrest, bigotry, and division especially when it's used as an excuse for violence or prejudice. Sociologists investigate the intricate relationship between religion and society, illuminating its many effects via empirical study and theoretical analysis. This summary captures the careful analysis of the roles and shortcomings of religion in sociological discourse.

KEYWORDS:

Dysfunction, Functions, Religion, Social Cohesion, Social Control.

INTRODUCTION

Religion serves a variety of societal purposes. It is a social control agency. It shapes how people behave by defining what is holy and profane. Rituals and ceremonies are performed to instill a feeling of collectivity in the culture. The fear of retaliation, the rule of karma, and similar guidelines always have a moderating and civilizing effect on human behavior. Once established, the standards of behavior govern social interactions. Every society's core values have been brought together by religion [1], [2]. It is the driving force behind human society's integration and unification.

The need of religion, according to American sociologists Kingsley Davis and Wilbert E. Moore, may be attributed to the fact that human society is united by the holding of some ultimate values by its members.

The effect of behavior and its integration allows society to function as a system, despite the subjectivity of these objectives and ideals. While religion continues to have a positive, uplifting, and socializing direct influence on society, its indirect effects may be harmful. Until the organized church began to collapse in the 19th century, religion in Europe impeded the advancement of science and inquiry.

The superstitious superstructure that grew throughout time greatly harmed civilization on many fronts. Religion stifles societal change and protests [3], [4].

Religion has caused genocides, destruction, and wars. While religion serves the identification function, some affiliations develop that may actually obstruct the emergence of new identities that are more suited for novel circumstances. The Latin term educare, which means "bring up," is the source of the English word education. It is connected to the words educare, which means "bring out potential," and ducere, which means "to lead." The process of developing and

fostering a person's diverse intellectual, artistic, moral, and physical qualities is known as education. "The action exercised by the older generations on those who are not yet ready for social life" is how Durkheim describes education [5], [6].

Its goal is to help the kid awaken and develop the moral, intellectual, and physical qualities that his society and the environment for which he is uniquely suited demand of him. It's a social mechanism. Education is delivered via both official and informal channels. It is a crucial tool for socializing. "Education develops man's faculties, especially his mind, so that he may be able to enjoy the contemplation of the supreme truth, goodness, and beauty, in which perfect happiness essentially consists," according to the well-known definition of education provided by Greek philosopher Aristotle. Education, according to Durkheim, is also about assimilating the next generation. He claims that it takes constant work to force the youngster to adopt perspectives, emotions, and behaviors that he would not have been able to acquire on his own. People see education as a crucial component of socialization. This kind of social learning is ongoing. Another view of education as a medium for cultural transmission is this one.

Education is the means by which cultural components are passed down from one generation to the next. Education not only facilitates information acquisition but also instills moral values in people. Educational establishments have a crucial role in the development of beliefs as well as the personality traits of people. Overall, education motivates people by instilling a spirit of competition in them and aids in the transformation of their views. There were no educational establishments in prehistoric and ancient cultures. Kids picked up knowledge from their environment. When cultures grew too complicated for family education to manage, schools emerged. As a result, educational establishments expanded throughout time. In India, the guru-shishya tradition is used to discuss the historical foundations of educational establishments. One benefit of this custom was that students and teachers could interact directly. Students were obligated to the instructor or guru, who was embodied as the guru. It is believed that education helps to sustain the social structure from a functionalist standpoint. According to Emile Durkheim, education's primary purpose is to transmit societal norms and values [7], [8].

According to him, a community can only endure if its constituents are sufficiently homogeneous. Through the preservation of a balance between these similarities in a person throughout birth, education serves to reinforce this homogeneity. All people have comparable living needs as a result of these commonalities. These fundamental commonalities are necessary for cooperation and social solidarity to exist. The functionalist perspective on education was presented by American sociologist Talcott Parsons, who derived implications from Dukheim's theory. Parsons advanced the thesis that the school takes on the function of a main socializing agent after the dissemination of primary socialization within a family. The family and society get closer via school. The youngster becomes ready for his grownup position via it. In regards to education, Davis and Moore agreed with Parson. They also believed that education might help fit people into appropriate positions. Nonetheless, they explicitly attribute the divides in society to the educational system. Davis claims that the educational system has shown its ability to choose individuals based on their abilities and assign them to roles that are suitable for them. As a result, educational filtering groups and classifies people according to their abilities and capabilities. The most talented individuals get the greatest degree of qualification. As a result, this propels people into more fulfilling careers that play crucial roles in society [9], [10].

Nonetheless, the Marxian viewpoint offers a drastic substitute for the functionalist stance. Louis Althusser, a French Marxist philosopher, offers a broad framework for a Marxian study of education. The infrastructure, which is a component of the superstructure, is what ultimately gives education its form. He asserts that education serves the interests of the ruling class alone.

Reproducing the power of labor is crucial for existence and success. There are two phases in the labor reproduction process. Reproducing the skills needed for a skilled labor force is the first stage. The socialization of workers and the propagation of the ruling class's ideology constitute the second phase. Together, these procedures create a workforce that is technically proficient, docile, and obedient. Such a workforce is reproduced via schooling in a capitalist-dominated societal system. Althusser emphasizes that in order for labor force to be replicated, its skills must be replicated as well as its adherence to the prevailing ideology. A multitude of "ideological state apparatuses," including as the legal system, the media, religion, and the educational system, replicate this surrender.

DISCUSSION

The ideology of the ruling class, which produces fake class consciousness, is trademarked by the intellectual state machinery. To a great measure, this understanding keeps the subject class in its subservient place. In addition to propagating the beliefs of the general ruling class, which support and legitimize the capitalist system, Althusser argues that education also replicates the attitudes and behaviors demanded by the primary groups involved in the division of labor. Ivan Illich, an Austrian philosopher, has criticized both liberal and functionalist theories of education. He addresses concerns about schools' inability to meet educational standards in Deschooling Society, a 1971 book. He believes that educational institutions serve as a tool of teaching pupils about different forms of exploitation. He contends that schools foster social obedience and instill in pupils the perception that the interests of the powerful are acceptable. But actual education can never be achieved by following a set of guidelines. It can only be ingrained when a person participates fully and independently in every aspect of the learning process. In summary, most learning processes do not need instruction. Illich attributes all of the issues that have surfaced in the contemporary industrial society on the educational system. The person learns at school to defy authority, adopt a solitary attitude, absorb and accept the institution's services, and disregard his own needs and desires. He is told to see education as a valuable commodity that has to be consumed in great quantities.

But he offers a remedy as well. He asserts that as schools are the foundation of education, it is critical to eliminate the current educational system in order to address this problem. The first step toward human liberty is deschooling. Lastly, Illich affirms that deschooling will bring about a society in which every man may feel fully fulfilled and completely free. Modern science and technology have brought about a fast transformation in the overall nature of formal education. The advancement of technology in the modern era differs greatly from that of the nineteenth century. In contrast to modern civilization, education in ancient societies was seen as a means of acquiring life skills. However, the production and distribution of labor were included in the vocabulary of science in prehistoric cultures.

The whole educational process moves more quickly with formal schooling. On the other hand, it cannot convey any useful information. Modern civilizations have less academic and more scientifically oriented educational curricula. Therefore, it can be said that education in contemporary cultures instills ideals and freedom of thought, both of which are crucial in helping to define an individual's attitude. It has been suggested that education is only a tool that carries out the tasks assigned to it; it cannot, by itself, effect societal change. Changes in the educational system have the potential to bring about fundamental changes in society. The rituals and traditions of Indian society are deeply ingrained and constitute an integral part of Indian culture.

Since they go against established values and beliefs, changes are resisted. As a member of society, every person participates in some kind of political institution. Political structures date

back thousands of years. Our political institutions are a blend of the Panchayati system's fundamental elements, historical delegation of power, decentralization, and contemporary superstructure. These are led by charismatic personalities and are distinguished by the conventional consensus style of decision-making. One significant institution of governance has been the state. Because the government plays a significant role in people's social life, no study of society would be complete without examining the state or the institutions that control it.

Scholars have disagreed over the relationship between the state and other associations. Some academics contend that the state is the ultimate social institution and that its initiative, compliance, and support are the sources of all other organizations. They are able to exist because the state permits them to do so. However, pluralists acknowledge the unique function of the state in controlling social life. According to British political thinker Laski, men's associative inclinations are not stifled by the state. He believed that the capacity to carry out one's desires in the face of resistance is what power generally meant. In every contact process, some players are often in a position of more power than others. When we say that one person is more powerful than another, we often indicate that there is a somewhat larger potential conflict area. It is often necessary to define the area of possible conflict. It is often the case, nevertheless, that A is more powerful than B in certain circumstances and vice versa. Strength is a relative concept.

According to Weber's definition, those in positions of authority do so at the cost of others. According to this interpretation, power is limited and so inaccessible to everyone. The constant sum of power is another name for this viewpoint. Power is owned by a person or organization to the degree that it is not held by others since the quantity of power stays constant. Talcott Parsons argues against the idea of power as a "constant-sum" in a functionalist manner. They believe that sectional interests are served by the use of power. A radial substitute for Parson's functionalist methodology is a Marxian study of power. According to Marxism, the economic framework of a society is the source of its power. The minority ruling class owns and controls the forces of production in all stratified societies. Dominance is based on this connection to the forces of production. Therefore, collective ownership of the means of production is the only way to restore power to the people. Everyone in the society will now share power since they are all connected to the forces of production in some way. Coercion is the Marxian definition of the use of power for the benefit of others. It is seen as an unlawful exercise of authority when it compels a subject class to comply with an unfavorable circumstance.

When the subject class recognizes the legitimacy of the ruling class's authority, it's a sign of false consciousness. Marx foresaw that the proletariat revolution would culminate in the transformation of western civilization into an institution driven by class warfare. Working class people are becoming more involved in politics as a result of increased voting rights and the growth of interest organizations. Political parties and interest organizations like labor unions advocate their interests. Because they have an institutional framework within which to vent their dissatisfaction, more violent manifestations of class strife are rare. Political scientist S. M. Lipset believes that political party rivalry institutionalizes class conflict and that national elections are a manifestation of it. He contends that class conflict is the primary driver of party struggle and that party support is remarkable because it is economically sound in almost every nation. Higher income groups tend to vote for right-wing parties, whereas lower-income groups tend to support left-wing parties.

Political parties are not the same as organizations or groups that are under pressure to achieve their goals. In all contemporary cultures, these interest groups and pressure organizations play significant political roles. These roles might be beneficial or detrimental to the stability or advancement of society. Interest groups may be formed for a variety of reasons, such as

regional, religious, linguistic, ethnic, or economic ones. Occasionally, they might transform into political organizations or gain the support of certain government officials, putting pressure on the government to accede to their requests. The group may be seen as a pressure group in this situation. Interest groups may sometimes use their clout and lobbying power to pressure the government to either withdraw or change a bill or budget plan when it is introduced in the Parliament in a way that pleases them. Pressure and interest groups use a variety of tactics to influence policymakers and get approval for their requests. These tactics include the threat of direct action, such as a boycott, the threat of withholding necessary services, the protest shutdown of businesses, and agitations, such as strikes and public protests.

Political parties are structured coalitions of people with shared opinions on matters of public concern that serve as political entities. In order to promote the policies and programs they claim to support, they want to seize power inside the government. In essence, a political party is a social organization with an associated social structure. The main goals of a political party are to gain and maintain political power, either alone or in conjunction with other political parties. A democratic government cannot function without the participation of political parties. They serve as the intermediary between the general public and the government. They serve as the means by which people and organizations obtain and wield political power. Political parties are seen to reflect a variety of national traditions and to be somewhat more focused on broad interests than on those of a particular class or region. Political involvement is the foundation of a political system.

According to renowned environmentalist Lester Milbrath, there are four groups of people in society based on how involved they are in politics. First, there are those who are politically apathetic and oblivious to the politics going on around them; second, there are those who engage in spectator activities like voting and participating in political discourse; third, there are those who engage in transitional activities like attending political gatherings or contributing money to a political party; and last, there are those who enter the political sphere and engage in activities like running for and holding public and party offices. The population is not evenly distributed at these degrees of political engagement. Generally speaking, an individual's level of engagement increases with his or her place within the class hierarchy. Research has shown a clear correlation between political involvement and factors such as educational attainment, economic level, and job standing. It has also been linked to several other issues. For instance, married individuals are more likely to participate than single individuals, middle-aged people are more likely to participate than either young or elderly, members of clubs and organizations are more likely to participate than nonmembers, long-term inhabitants of a community are more likely to participate than short-term residents, and so on.

On the other hand, those who participate in politics at low levels often do not have the means or access to do so. They lack the experience that comes with a university degree, which increases one's understanding of the political system and participatory mechanisms. Second, if people think they will be rewarded for becoming involved in politics, they are not inclined to do so. According to political analyst Robert Dahl, a person is less inclined to become involved in politics if he believes that his chances of changing the course of events are slim. Furthermore, there seems to be a correlation between an individual's level of political engagement and their level of involvement and integration into society. Therefore, a person who has little interest in becoming engaged in local or national politics does not feel like they belong in their community or in society at large. Dahl concludes by arguing that people are unlikely to participate in politics to a large degree if they think events will turn out satisfactorily even in the absence of their engagement. Different political engagement has varied relevance, however. Low participation, according to pluralists, might be a sign that the interests of those who are not

politically engaged are well reflected. Lipset declares that politicians who are sensitive to the desires of the more affluent, involved, and organized stratum will overlook the low-status groups because of their low vote and relative lack of organization. the charismatic, legalistic, and logical types sometimes with a hint of traditionalism.

Democracy, however, is a loaded phrase that many associate with the right of every person to have a say in the choices that impact their lives. This implies that the person needs to routinely and directly participate in the political process. Democracy is seen by pluralists as a representational form of governance in which a large number of elites stand in for a variety of societal interests. It suggests that the only way the democratic ideal can be fulfilled in a modern society is via representative governance. Because so many people are permanently excluded from the experience of administration, British Marxist sociologist Thomas Burton Bottomore views the western system of governance as an imperfect manifestation of democracy. He contends that a democratic system of national governance cannot be developed until the democratic ideal is a well-established aspect of daily existence. This would include "social democracy," in which individuals actively run their businesses. He goes on to say that only until democracy is practiced by all significant institutions of society will a genuinely democratic national government be feasible.

Consequently, democracy may be defined as a system in which each person has an equal chance to engage in politics and a voice in how society is run. Democracy often entails simple, equitable behavior without any demands that one person demonstrate obvious respect for another. A democratic society is one in which power is shared by several groups. A key feature of democratic governance is its emphasis on the autonomy of people and subsystems. Equal treatment under the law and rule by the law are key components of democratic governance. A small selection of people from a wide range of social thinking schools are used to examine the historical evolution of sociology. Conditions of social dislocation and inequality may result from any division of labor that encourages individuals to pursue different and sometimes competing avenues of economic activity. The social structures that are meant to create a fair, peaceful, and cooperative exchange between economic actors are taken into account here.

Herbert Spencer, an English philosopher, identified the meeting point of two illustrious traditions: classical economics and evolution. Spencer found several parallels between social and biological beings based on his evolutionary background. Both have the capacity to expand; as they become bigger, they both show a strong dependency of their component components and both become more complicated structures. According to him, social and biological evolution are comparable.

He distinguished between the industrial and the combative civilizations when he established his evolutionary framework. Force is used to integrate members of the militant society, which is defined by forced collaboration. Conversely, there are several ways in which the industrial society and the militant society diverge. The one military concept no longer controls the political apparatus. Voluntary collaboration is the cornerstone of the industrial society's integration concept. Men connect via contractual agreements in an industrial culture. Spencer shared Smith's perspective on the competitive economy and industrial society. According to his description of industrial society, social coordination is ensured by people's voluntary collaboration, making governmental control essentially superfluous in such a society.

CONCLUSION

The ways in which religion works and fails demonstrate how intricately it shapes civilizations. Although religion often promotes positive outcomes like moral direction, social togetherness, and comfort, it may also result in negative outcomes like intolerance and societal strife.

Sociologists use a variety of approaches to identify both the advantages and disadvantages of the complex interaction between religion and society. Scholars increase our knowledge of the dynamics of social institutions and their impact on people individually and in communities by analyzing the functions and dysfunctions of religion. This nuanced viewpoint emphasizes how crucial it is to take into account the complex character of religion in sociological investigations and to foster communication and understanding in a range of cultural situations.

REFERENCES:

[1] A. C. Firmino Murgel, R. Santos Simões, G. A. R. Maciel, J. M. Soares, and E. C. Baracat, "Sexual Dysfunction in Women With Polycystic Ovary Syndrome: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis," *Journal of Sexual Medicine*. 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.jsxm.2019.01.313.

[2] D. B. Yerrapragada, C. R. Rao, K. Karunakaran, and H. S. E. Lee, "Cognitive dysfunction among adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus in Karnataka, India," *Ochsner J.*, 2019, doi: 10.31486/toj.18.0160.

[3] P. Asadi, M. Fereidooni-Moghadam, B. Dashtbozorgi, and R. Masoudi, "Relationship Between Care Burden and Religious Beliefs Among Family Caregivers of Mentally Ill Patients," *J. Relig. Health*, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s10943-018-0660-9.

[4] J. Kayser *et al.*, "Family Risk for Depression and Prioritization of Religion or Spirituality: Early Neurophysiological Modulations of Motivated Attention," *Front. Hum. Neurosci.*, 2019, doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2019.00436.

[5] N. M. Duplessis, "On the Shoulders of Humphrey Carpenter: Reconsidering Biographical Representation and Scholarly Perception of Edith Tolkien," *Mythlore*, 2019.

[6] C. Dinesh Bhugra, "8 Culture ethnic minorities and health," 2019. doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2019-bnpa.8.

[7] S. Carranza-Lira and F. De Durazno Casillas Núñez, "Sexual dysfunction prevalence in a group of pre-and postmenopausal Mexican women," *Prz. Menopauzalny*, 2018, doi: 10.5114/pm.2018.74901.

[8] S. Rahman, "Female Sexual Dysfunction Among Muslim Women: Increasing Awareness to Improve Overall Evaluation and Treatment," *Sexual Medicine Reviews*. 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.sxmr.2018.02.006.

[9] H. Bugaut and C. Robert, "Paraneoplastic systemic sclerosis associated with ovarian carcinoma," *J. Scleroderma Relat. Disord.*, 2018.

[10] Ghozali, "Partial least squares: Konsep, teknik, dan aplikasi menggunakan program smart PLS 3.0 (2nd ed.)," *World Dev.*, 2018.

CHAPTER 8

INVESTIGATION OF THE CONCEPT OF SOCIALIZATION

Dr. Kamshad Mohsin, Assistant Professor,
Maharishi Law School, Maharishi University of Information Technology, Uttar Pradesh, India.
Email Id-kamshad@muit.in

ABSTRACT:

The process by which people pick up and assimilate the customs, values, beliefs, and behaviors of their community or culture is known as socialization. It is a lifetime process. This study looks at the processes, actors, and results of socialization using empirical data and sociological ideas. It looks at the several settings in which socializing takes place, such as the home, school, peer groups, media, and institutions. This essay also addresses the influence of socialization on people's identities, social positions, and relationships in the community. It emphasizes the role that socialization plays in preserving cultural continuity, social order, and collective identity via a thorough investigation. The critical analysis of socialization as a key process in sociological research is captured in this abstract.

KEYWORDS:

Agents of Socialization, Identity, Norms, Socialization, Values.

INTRODUCTION

Socialization is the process by which a person learns about his culture. You will study the value of socializing in this unit. Throughout the course of a person's life, this process helps them form a sense of self and becomes a part of society. The person need regular and structured connection with his culture and social environment for this process to be successful. One's development is impacted by interactions with classmates, family, and the media. Babies have no culture at birth. People learn about culture via the socialization process, which is aided by parents, instructors, literature, the media, and other resources [1], [2]. Language and the roles we are expected to perform or fit into in society are taught to us throughout socialization. It also educates them about the standards of the society they belong to. A person's personality is formed in part via socialization. Even if a person's personality type may be influenced by their genes, socialization is the process that may mold it in certain ways. In his book Social Organization, Cooley claims that society and the creation of the self are twin births, and that we become aware of one at the same time as the other. "The idea of a separate and independent ego is an illusion," he continues. He restates that social awareness and self-consciousness are inextricably linked and that self-consciousness can only emerge in a society [3], [4].

He asserts that the ego is social. "The way we imagine ourselves to appear to another person is an essential element in our conception of ourselves," is the primary premise behind the concept. He thinks the process of creating the "lookingglass self" involves three parts. Studying socialization causes us to wonder about the mechanisms involved. Every guy, as we all know, seeks to fit in with the circumstances of his social surroundings. Socialization is the adjustment process itself. The process via which a biological being becomes a social being is known as socialization. Only after birth may one begin direct socialization. The process of socialization is never-ending and ongoing. It is the process of acclimating a person to society. It entails teaching him or her cultural standards and values, which they must acquire and impart. Social learning is the process of socialization [5], [6]. The formation and slow growth of the "self" is the basic process of socialization. The self is where the mind starts working and where a

personality emerges. As soon as a youngster experiences sensation for the first time, the concept of self-starts to emerge. Renowned sociologist Harry M. Johnson states that one way to conceptualize the "self" is as the internalized object that symbolizes one's own personality. Mead's notion of role taking is a crucial learning process in socialization, since the self is an internalized object that comprises one's own perception of one's talents and qualities. Mead and Cooley both describe the interaction process. They believed that our social interactions with other people mold our personalities. In all cases, self and society were supposed to be in fundamental harmony. In Cooley's view, the person was an abstract concept that existed only in relation to society, just as society cannot exist without its members. The society that shapes the socialized self is an organization made up of the people it socializes. So, one's identity and society were two sides of the same coin. The control center is the ego, the police officer is the super ego, and the destructive, selfish urge is the id [7], [8]. The super ego and the id are always at odds because society forbids the expression of sexuality, aggressiveness, and other urges.

The Id is mostly suppressed, but sometimes it emerges to openly oppose the super ego, placing a heavy load of shame on the self. Other times, the Id's powers manifest in foolish ways that allow the ego to act without realizing the true motivations behind its behavior. An example of this would be when a parent beats their kid to soothe their anger because they think it would benefit them. As a result, Freud discovers that the ego and society are often rivals rather than just contrasting facets of one another. According to Freud, society and the ego are always at odds. One kind of socialization is re-socialization. Re-socialization is "a process of tearing down and rebuilding an individual's role and socially constructed sense of self," according to renowned American sociologist Erving Goffman. Primary socialization that a kid receives is just one step of the multi-stage socialization process that takes place. Re-socialization is the process by which an adult or person picks up new beliefs and values when joining a new group or transitioning into a new phase of life. Numerous additional processes are involved in this process, such as adjusting to the new surroundings and even defying some of the individual's prior standards [9], [10].

Since change is a process that never stops, everyone encounters change at some point in their lives. A person's capacity to learn and adjust to a new environment may be hampered by a number of conditions, including aging and several disorders. Even yet, throughout their lives, adults continue to learn new things from every new encounter they have. Re-socialization is necessary for all life transitions, including marriage, retirement, moving to a new place in school, getting a job, and losing someone. A whole institution is a confined area where a large number of individuals living nearby live isolated from society for a certain amount of time and lead lives that were previously managed. The originator of this phrase is Erving Goffman. People who live in whole institutions often have lives controlled by bureaucracy. These people's needs are met in an indifferent and rigid way.

The goal of these facilities is re-socialization, which is the deliberate manipulation of an individual's surroundings and circumstances to change their behavior. The process of re-socializing new recruits into the army to help them become better soldiers is one example of this. There are two steps involved in the resocialization process. First, the individuals working for the institution attempt to conceal the identities of its inhabitants; the forces of the institution also restrict their freedom. The second is the site of a planned endeavor to mold a distinct identity or self. The system of rewards and punishments typically handles these two operations. The family serves as the primary socializing group throughout all of these phases, but particularly in the first three. As a result, one may take into account how the family structure affects socialization. While the makeup of families varies from culture to culture, the nuclear family is a universal unit. It is possible to overlook the differences among specific families and

focus instead on the institutional framework of the nuclear family. There are four positions in a nuclear family: son-brother, daughter-sister, husband-father, and wife-mother. These jobs' specifics differ depending on the culture. The split based on generation is one evident trait.

DISCUSSION

Parents have greater influence over their sons and daughters than the children have over their parents. The fetus is probably warm and cozy within the womb. An infant's first crisis arises at birth when it has to breathe, exert itself to be fed, and deal with various discomforts like being cold and crying a lot. The establishment of oral reliance is the primary objective of the first socialization stage. The baby learns to communicate its urgent requirements for care and develops reasonably clear expectations around feeding times. The baby is not involved in the family as a whole at this point. It solely participates in the subsystem made up of its mother and itself. According to American sociologist Talcott Parsons, "the baby is little more than a possession" for other family members. There is no role distinction occurring if the mother and the father or anybody else share the responsibility of raising the child. In addition, the individual will play the part of a mother. This phase was referred to by Freud as the "primary identification." By the time oral reliance is established, the infant's role and the mother's function are likely indistinguishable in its personality.

The imposition of additional demands is what triggers the crisis that opens this stage. These are the requirements for the kid to assume some level of self-care. The primary issue of the next generation is toilet training. The kid understands two roles at this age: its own and its mother's. In addition to care, the kid is loved and returns the favor. At this point, it's evident how important it is to remember that socializing agents always play two roles in society. The mother first engages in a restricted social structure at this point. Since mother is still primarily in charge of attending to the child's individual needs, she is the key leader in their relationship. The youngster primarily contributes in an expressive way to the system. By being cooperative and showing affection, he aids in the system's integration. He is still too young and reliant to make a significant contribution to task completion.

The socializing agent's double job is to teach the youngster the skills necessary to eventually engage in a more intricate social structure. The socializing agent must, of course, be aware of the responsibilities and shared ideals of the broader system. Second, socialization is a difficult process that may be unpleasant for both the kid and the socializing agent. The mother finds it difficult to see her kid struggle throughout the potty training and weaning process. Though she may find solace in the achievement in the end, she is most likely somewhat coerced by pressure from the greater social structure of which she is a part.

In addition, the mother acts as a mediator between the smaller system and the main system in her capacity as a socializing agent. That broader structure supports her as well. Her spouse will be aware of the stress she is experiencing and will take on some additional responsibilities, like spending more time with their other kids. The boy's jealously of his father due to their struggle for the mother is said to be the source of the "Oedipus complex," as defined by Freud. The equivalent set of thoughts a girl experiences for her father and thus feels resentful of her mother is known as the "Electra complex."

Upon reaching the appropriate socialization stage, children are subjected to various societal influences to identify with the appropriate sex. Girls are rewarded for appearing feminine, while males start to get rewards for acting in a way that is suitable for other guys. Furthermore, toys for boys are not the same as toys for their sisters. Although the right identification is mostly the result of socialization, very significant anatomical characteristics make it easier.

There are several interpretations of the word "identification." First of all, when someone accepts a social position as their own and acknowledges it, that person is considered to identify with that role. One aspires to acquire the required abilities and follow the expectations of the roles. Second, if a person believes they belong to a social group and understands its role structure, they are said to identify with it. In its purest form, identification binds a boy to his father and sibling, but not to his mother. In a secondary sense, identification binds a guy to his family, which includes all of his siblings and parents. Adolescence is the fourth stage, generally coinciding with puberty. During this phase, young boys and girls often become more "emancipated" from the supervision of their parents. The pressure brought on by increased aspirations for independence is the current predicament. However, in many activities where he may want more independence, the middle-class teenager in a foreign culture may still be somewhat regulated by his parents. Society has the power to support people's personal development and progress via the socialization process. People have the chance to reach their maximum potential thanks to this. It is society that confines people and pushes them in the direction of institutionalized norm compliance. It elevates someone to the status of human being. people are subject to external pressure from society to conform to standards, which also serves to offset abnormal behavior in people.

One example is the honor murders committed by Khap panchayats. People benefit much by being a member of society. Man becomes man via association with other men. A youngster absorbs everything from his environment and the lessons his birth family instills in him. Every family must abide by some accepted social standards since it is a part of society. Consciously or unconsciously, the family instills these standards in the kid throughout his formative years, gradually shaping him to fit with society. Only when one is a member of a culture that values his individuality and acknowledges his skills can one feel fulfilled and empowered.

The examples listed below have been examined to highlight the significance of Every person is the progeny of social connections, which are shaped by norms and expectations. Furthermore, in partnerships, the categories "man" and "woman" are crucial. An person is a link in the chain of life, not its origin or finish. This is both a biological and a societal fact. However, it does not convey the extent of our reliance on society as people, as society is more than simply the setting in which we are raised and more than just a necessary one. We have a closer relationship with our social background than a seed has with the soil in which it develops. Our socialization processes shape our genetic makeup from birth, and over time, these processes contribute to the development of our interior mental machinery rather than just an exterior one. Our social experiences cause social heritage to alter over time. It influences and shapes who we are. In addition to providing us with specific possibilities and stimulants or imposing precise and obtrusive limitations, society also gently and invisibly shapes our attitudes, beliefs, morals, and aspirations. This allows society to both free and restrict each person's potential.

Understanding this basic and dynamic connection of social and individual inheritance enables us to recognize the veracity of the famous statement made by Greek philosopher Aristotle that "man is a social animal." This does not imply that man is a gregarious animal, however. In this sense, man is superior than that. Furthermore, this does not imply that man is sociable by virtue of some innate feature of human nature or that he has an altruistic inclination toward society. This implies that the individual personality does not and cannot exist without society and the reinforcement of social legacy. According to Talcott Parsons' Systems Approach, the macro level manages the micro level regulation of interpersonal interactions. Furthermore, a person's functional contribution to society is so essential that it is impossible for society to exist without the individual, and vice versa. The idea of connections between people and society is central

to the whole interactive approach in social psychology as well as sociology. Sociologists R. M. MacIver and Charles Page's most widely accepted description of society is framed by this relationship: "Society is a system of uses, procedures, and mutual aid; it is made up of numerous groups and divisions that regulate human behavior and rights." When social unity is compared to other kinds of unities, its distinctive characteristics become apparent. By examining the nature of the functional relationships between the units or components of the whole, several types of unities may be identified. The organism, to which society is incorrectly given, is a kind of oneness.

This kind allows interpretation of the cells, organs, and different systems they comprise, such as the neurological, circulatory, and glandular systems. These are only significant because they are useful to the organism's overall way of existence. Another kind of unity is a mechanism, of which a machine constructed by humans is one particular kind. Unlike an organism, a machine cannot maintain itself or reproduce itself. Nonetheless, each of its many components—wheels, gears, transmission belts, and so forth can be comprehended in terms of how they contribute to the overall operation of the vehicle. Similar to biological unity, the society or some segments of it have been credited with mechanical unity. But the social structure has to be set apart from these kinds. Social interactions within a social system develop and alter in response to changes in the beliefs and passions of some or all of the units or persons that make up the system.

In this case the system's importance stems from its backing of and contribution to people's ultimate goals. Social unity is not possible without this goal. The harmony between individuals and society is made possible by this idea. Each behaves independently inside his own awareness and based on how he perceives other people's assertions. When a person who values his own identity follows others' lead, he follows it simply and in the areas he considers significant. This is because he personally supports that specific behavior rather than because others do it. He obeys authority partially out of conviction and not entirely because of authority, unless he is specifically ordered to do so. He doesn't really embrace or represent other people's viewpoints. He is quite independent in terms of initiative, judgment, discrimination, character strength, and so on. The degree to which he demonstrates these attributes is closely correlated with his uniqueness. Man has always seen erratic relationships between general physical circumstances and lifestyle choices. For example, people living in tropical locations differed from those living in temperate or polar regions in some ways. These findings have, nonetheless, lately undergone a progressive refinement and systematization.

Since Montesquieu's time, French sociology has played a particularly significant role in the advancement of these disciplines, with figures like Le Play, Demolins, and Brunhes serving as inspiration. Two groups of American sociologists have recently developed a special interest in the connection between the physical environment and social processes. American sociologists R. E. Park and E. W. Burgess's research at the University of Chicago has sparked the creation of an ecological school. Particularly interesting have been human or social ecologies that discovered intriguing parallels in the ecologies of plants and animals.

A certain kind of life is said to be biologically adapted if it can thrive or survive in a given environment. Fish and tigers may be said to be suited to the maritime environment and the jungle, respectively. We may also discuss the incapacity to adjust in this way. For example, when it is claimed of a tiger that it cannot adapt to the circumstances of the arctic snows or the desert, it indicates that the conditions are insufficient for the organism to operate as it should. This suggests that biological requirements are negatively impacted by the unavoidable physical adaptation. Environment support, or accommodations, are necessary for an organism to survive or fulfill itself in order to reach a given equilibrium. These circumstances are essentially related to the state of the organism. Adaptation in a social sense always entails valuing. Man's constant

adaptation to his shifting circumstances and assessment of both his own adaptations and the social environment are components of social adaptation. The exterior environment and the interior or social environment are two crucial aspects of the whole environment that must be considered in order to comprehend the overall environmental circumstances that affect humans. Material culture is explicitly referred to as the outside environment.

Organizations and rules, customs and establishments, suppression and emancipation of social life comprise the inner or social environment. We refer to this as social legacy as a whole. Through conscious reaction and habit, man adapted to this. Ancient observations have shown that children in practically all human groups acquire their parents' characteristics or behaviors. Thus, variations in genetics may be responsible for differences in the characteristics of individuals or groups, even in disparate settings. Numerous psychologists and sociologists have backed some biologists, although environment studies students have often focused on other factors. Consequently, a significant disagreement on the two's respective significance emerged and is still going strong now. Some argue that genetics is a bigger predictor of diversity in humans and their civilizations than environment, while others minimize the role of heredity. certain contend that although certain attributes, like intellect and physical well-being, are mostly inherited, others—especially those related to social traits like morality, culture, and beliefs—are more strongly influenced by one's upbringing.

The topic was brought up definitively by renowned polymath and sociologist Francis Galton in his seminal work Hereditary Genius (1869), where he attempted to demonstrate that the likelihood of having exceptionally gifted children is significantly higher when the fathers possess a higher level of intelligence. Karl Pearson, an English biostatistician and mathematician, continued Galton's work by incorporating his correlation approach into this theory. He came to the conclusion that genetics has a significantly greater effect than environment in determining significant human differences. According to research presented by Pearson, heredity is seven times more essential than environment for members of the same race within a particular community. Pearson further asserted that it was even feasible to evaluate the relative effectiveness of the two. Numerous other researchers have pursued similar paths as Pearson. Some have used occupational or class classifications to demonstrate that groups with better social or intellectual ratings have generated more notable individuals, such as geniuses. Studies have shown a favorable association between royal families and the production of geniuses; in the US, the biggest number of prominent individuals come from the clergy family, followed by those of professionals, businesspeople, laborers, and farmers. The professional classes produce the greatest number of American men of science, followed by the agricultural classes in lower numbers. Other psychologists have selected psychological test applications and categories based on race or nationality. As seen by the well-known army tests of an immigrant group in the US and, more broadly, of native-born, foreign-born, and Negro sections of the population, intelligence tests have usually resulted in significant variations between groups.

CONCLUSION

The study of socialization sheds light on its vital function in forming people and society. People internalize society norms, values, and ideas via a variety of agents, including family, education, and the media. These internalizations shape their identities and social relationships. By transferring common expectations and understandings, socialization supports social cohesiveness, cultural continuity, and social order. Furthermore, socializing helps people to negotiate a variety of cultural situations and adjust to shifting social circumstances. Sociologists understand how intricately societal factors and human agency interact throughout the socialization process. Through the study of socialization, researchers may better understand

the processes by which societies self-replicate and people become involved and feel a part of their social environments. In modern communities, resolving socioeconomic inequality, supporting cultural variety, and fostering social peace all depend on an understanding of the mechanics of socialization.

REFERENCES:

[1] B. Lamm *et al.*, "Waiting for the Second Treat: Developing Culture-Specific Modes of Self-Regulation," *Child Dev.*, 2018, doi: 10.1111/cdev.12847.

[2] A. G. Mulyukova, P. R. Abbasov, E. V. Ktinitsyna, and O. V. Kolyada, "On Redefining The Concept Of 'Socialization,'" *Современная высшая школа инновационный аспект*, 2018, doi: 10.7442/2071-9620-2018-10-4-108-113.

[3] M. L. Quaresmaa, P. Abrantes, and J. T. Lopes, "Educational paths and experiences in socially contrasting schools," *Andamios*, 2018, doi: 10.29092/uacm.v15i38.664.

[4] M. Saral, "The Concept of Socialization and Identity Change," in *Turkey's "Self" and "Other" Definitions in the Course of the EU Accession Process*, 2018. doi: 10.2307/j.ctt1zxskmw.6.

[5] J. D. Abu-Doleh and M. N. Obeidat, "the Impact of Socialization Tactics on Organizational Outcomes in the Jordanian Private Sector," *J. Compet. Stud.*, 2018.

[6] K. A. T. Khalid, N. Shiratuddin, S. Hassan, A. Ahmad, and N. L. Abdul Rahman, "Youth and socialisation: Relative behavior and perspective towards new media," *J. Komun. Malaysian J. Commun.*, 2018, doi: 10.17576/JKMJC-2018-3403-12.

[7] S. Gupta and L. Bashir, "Social networking usage questionnaire: Development and validation in an Indian Higher Education Context," *Turkish Online J. Distance Educ.*, 2018, doi: 10.17718/tojde.471918.

[8] A. Potts, N. Maadad, and M. Yu, "Children and Television: Vicarious Socialisation Experiences," *Res. Educ. Media*, 2018, doi: 10.1515/rem-2018-0004.

[9] W. Handayani, "Diskriminasi Gender Dalam Pendidikan," *Muwazah*, 2018, doi: 10.28918/muwazah.v10i2.1784.

[10] M. Ekström and A. Shehata, "Social media, porous boundaries, and the development of online political engagement among young citizens," *New Media Soc.*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/1461444816670325.

CHAPTER 9

EXPLORATION OF AGENCIES OF SOCIALIZATION

Dr. Kamshad Mohsin, Assistant Professor,
Maharishi Law School, Maharishi University of Information Technology, Uttar Pradesh, India.
Email Id-kamshad@muit.in

ABSTRACT:

The socialization agencies in the sociological framework. The several organizations and social groupings that influence people's socialization processes are known as agencies of socialization. This study examines the role and procedures of socialization agents, such as family, school, peers, the media, and religious organizations, from a sociological perspective. It looks at how these organizations shape people's identities and social relationships by transferring cultural norms, values, beliefs, and behaviors to them. This study clarifies the many ways that socialization takes place in various circumstances and its consequences for society by examining actual data and theoretical viewpoints. This investigation highlights how crucial it is to know socialization agencies in order to understand the dynamics of socialization processes.

KEYWORDS:

INTRODUCTION

According to MacIver, findings from these kinds of research usually point to a cursory examination of the issue of heredity and environment. Numerous previous studies have provided us with more accurate information about the widely held belief that individuals who are born into families or organizations that are distinguished or well-known are more likely to achieve academic or other goals. All of life's potentialities are included in heredity, but all of its actualities are triggered by and dependent upon the environment. Tracing the inheritance of traits like hemophilia, albinism, blue eyes, and so forth that point to distinct and separate factors in the process of heredity is of interest to biologists [1], [2].

The way that certain organic predispositions like a propensity for a particular disease show themselves in different environmental settings also piques the curiosity of biologists

Sociologists, on the other hand, are interested in how a group interacts with another group that is raised in a certain context and is impacted by changes that take place there or by their relocation to a new setting. No matter what their genetic makeup, an immigrant group from Italy, Greece, or Ireland has completely distinct features when they are brought to North America. The way that habits, mindsets, and ways of living adapt to new vocations and other pursuits in reaction to shifts in the economy never ceases to astound.

The potentiality that is created in the real world is called heredity. Heredity contains every aspect of existence. The environment affects the traits that are evoked. This first principle implies that there is a larger demand on the environment the higher the potentiality [3], [4]. Analyzing the significance of environmental fitness is simpler than trying to emphasize the superiority of one component over another. Therefore, even while more minute environmental variations may not matter much to entities with little potential, they have a huge impact on entities that are more sensitive to them. For someone who is sensitive, a seemingly insignificant situational adjustment, a push for achievement, encouragement, or rejection might have a significant impact while hardly having any effect on someone who is less sensitive. As a result,

for civilized people and organizations, the uncertainties of the social environment become more significant [5], [6]. The infant is given to the family initially. Consequently, socializing starts inside the family. Some fundamental skills that the kid inherits from his parents genetically are there from birth. Culture shapes these talents and abilities in a certain manner. The mother, who has the closest relationship with her kid, is crucial in the early stages of the child's development. The youngster then receives additional values from the father and elder siblings. Knowledge and skills that children are supposed to learn in a certain community are imparted. The child's peers start to have an impact on him as he gets older. He spends the most of his free time with his friends on the playground and in locations other than his or her house, away from job and school. Peer appeal is almost too much for him to refuse. Both they and he gain knowledge from each other. Over time, the impact of his peers becomes far greater than that of his parents. Adolescence is the time when parents and kids start to misunderstand one other. The kid is socialized by members of his peer group as well as family members, especially those in positions of power. These individuals have two distinct effects on the youngster. For him, partnerships that are equalitarian or authoritarian are equally important. From the first kind of interactions, he learns the qualities of respect, restraint, and obedience; from the second kind of relationships, he learns the virtues of collaboration that is built on mutual understanding and trust [7], [8].

There are many reasons why the equalitarian component is important in the socialization process. Those in equalitarian relationships communicate freely and voluntarily rather than under duress. They have a great comprehension of one another since they have the same worldview and subjective mindset. From one another, they pick up prohibited information, fads and crazes, hidden ways of fulfillment, and shades of meaning. Even if some of this information is socially forbidden, it is often valuable. Kingsley Davis, an American sociologist, provided an example of knowing about sex, which is not intended to be discussed until marriage. The issues of maladjustment and aberration of many types would not have been uncommon if this had been done. Thankfully, this kind of information is passed down as part of the folklore that younger generations learn.

The drawback of having such incomplete knowledge is that the youngster may learn false information from peers their own age. The second socializing agency is the school. A youngster is formally indoctrinated into the culture of the society when he or she first attends school. The youngster receives his education at school, which shapes his beliefs and dispositions. He is officially exposed to the knowledge and the lore, the science and the arts, the values and the beliefs, the taboos and the traditions of a broader circle of society. His instructors are really important. Socialization greatly benefits from education. A well-designed educational program may result in a well-socialized individual. Robert Bierstedt, ociologist "Words leap into our vision like in a newspaper, magazine, or text book; they rush at us in torrents and cascades

Social stratification, defined as the "classification of persons into groups based on shared socio-economic conditions," is a notion of class in sociology. Class stratification in Western nations is often divided into three categories: upper class, middle class, and lower class. It is possible to split these classes up into more manageable groups. In addition to castes, familial relationships may also be used to determine stratification [9], [10]. According to Max Weber, status class which is determined by honor, prestige, and religious affiliation is distinct from social class, which is linked to monetary riches. One might understand the idea of social stratification in a variety of ways. Action theory proponents believe that because social stratification exists in developed countries, social structure can only be stabilized by the existence of a hierarchy. Stratified societies lack social mobility and have inaccessible resources, as highlighted by conflict theories like Marxism. Numerous sociological theorists

have critiqued this situation, pointing out that the affluent wield disproportionate political power and that the working classes will not progress socioeconomically. However, Ralf Dahrendorf sees promise and has observed that the need for educated labor in the technology and service sectors is leading to a trend toward an expanded middle class.

DISCUSSION

The idea of social mobility is important not just for sociologists but also for economists and political scientists. A person or group that has a certain social status may not stay in that position indefinitely. Some people may go from a higher social class to a lower social class, while others may go from a lower social class to a higher social class. Changes in opportunities, salaries, lifestyles, interpersonal connections, social standing, and eventually class membership are all implied by social mobility. The primary concept of social mobility pertains to the movement of people or groups within the system of stratification. This movement is often gauged by changes in their occupational position. This unit will cover the definition, characteristics, and forms of social stratification as well as the theories and forms of social mobility. Natural differentiation is a rule. In the case of human civilization, this is accurate. Human society is diverse rather than homogenous. Men are different from each other in a variety of ways. In terms of their physical makeup, all humans are created equal. However, people vary in terms of their physical characteristics as well as their intellectual, moral, philosophical, mental, economic, religious, and other attributes. Nobody is precisely the same twice. Inequality and diversity are inherent to society. Human civilization is thus hierarchical everywhere.

Every society assigns responsibilities to its members based on equality, inferiority, and supremacy. This vertical scale of appraisal and placement of persons in strata, or levels, is called stratification Members of a group compare various persons, as when picking a partner, or employing a worker, or interacting with a neighbor, or creating friendship with an individual. In addition, they make comparisons between castes, races, universities, towns, and sports teams. When members of a group agree, these assessments become social evaluations, and these comparisons become values. Every society assigns individuals distinct responsibilities, and every society assigns different values to positions. It is believed that some roles are more significant or valuable in society than others. More rewards are given to those who carry out the more prestigious roles. Consequently, stratification can be defined as the process by which some individuals interact with differentiation to become ranked higher than others.

The notion of social stratification came into existence in the 1940s. Geology provided the word "stratification," which was used. According to geologists, the earth is made up of layers of rocks, each of which is unique and has its own composition. In a similar vein, sociologists believe that society is divided into hierarchical strata, with the most privileged individuals at the top and the least fortunate individuals at the bottom. According to sociologists, the wealthy have more "life chances" than the impoverished due to their access to high-quality education, secure neighborhoods, a balanced diet, medical facilities, police protection, and a variety of other products and services. The phrase "life chances," coined by German sociologist Max Weber, describes how easily people may get essential society resources including food, clothes, housing, healthcare, and education. Resources are scarce in society as a result of their uneven allocation across various social groupings.

People in societies are differentiated according to their gender, age, caste, and race. Inequality is the outcome of stratification like this. The stratification structure inside a society is influenced by a country's place in the global stratification system. Therefore, social stratification is the outcome of society's separation into strata. Now let's examine the many

definitions of stratification offered by sociologists and critics. There is social stratification everywhere. Every society has social divisions among its members based on factors such as age, sex, and personal traits. Children have different duties and privileges from adults, just as skilled hunters or warriors had different roles and advantages from the general populace. If every member of the society has an equal opportunity of rising to any available position, then it is not conventional to refer to the society as stratified. In a strict sense, there are only societies that vary in the extent of stratification; there are no totally egalitarian societies. Like any other society, Russia, with its ideal of a "classless society," was unable to avoid the need to assign roles to individuals.

The standard of rank has evolved in tandem with societal standards. An "uncertified society with real equality of its members is a myth which has never been realized in the history of mankind," according to P. A. Sorokin's Social Mobility. From what has been said so far, it is evident that every society has some kind of hierarchical structure in which individuals are arranged according to their relative importance. These categories, gradations, and placements of individuals within society are referred to by the terms "social differentiation" and "social stratification." Differentiation occurs when a society bases a person's position on a certain kind of attribute. These traits might be (i) biological or physical, like skin color, physical appearance, or sex, or (ii) social and cultural, such distinctions in manners, values, beliefs, philosophies, and so forth.

Differentiation, therefore, functions as a system of classification that assigns a person a grade based on their tasks and position. Stratification tends to sustain these inequalities in status. People get fixed in the social structure as a result of this process. In certain circumstances (as it is in the case of caste), rank may become hereditary. Differentiation may be seen as the first phase in society that comes before stratification, when people are categorized and placed into groups. However, this does not imply that social stratification results from all forms of difference. Stratification is sociological in the sense that it does not reflect differences brought about by biology. It is true that strength, intellect, age and sex are also elements that serve as distinguishing features, but this still do not explain why certain strata of society obtain more power, property and status than others. Additionally, social superiority and inferiority are not determined by biological traits until they are acknowledged and valued by society. An industrial manager, for instance, becomes dominant not because of physical prowess or age, but rather because of socially acceptable attributes. It is discovered that his training, experience, personality, character, and other attributes are more significant than his biological equality.

Additionally, Tumin has highlighted that the stratification system is: (i) subject to social norms and sanctions; (ii) prone to instability due to potential disruptions; and (iii) closely linked to other societal systems, including political, familial, religious, economic, educational, and other establishments. The stratification system is fairly ancient. Archaeological and historical sources attest to the stratification that existed even among the minor nomadic groups. Back then, the primary stratification criteria were age and sex. 'Women and children first' was most likely the prevailing orderly principle.

There were distinctions between the mighty and the weak, wealthy and impoverished, and free and enslaved people in almost every ancient culture. Social philosophers have been gravely troubled by economic, social, and political inequality from the days of Plato and Kautilya. Not every society has had the same system of stratification. The ancient Greek society was divided into freemen and slaves; the ancient Chinese society was divided into merchants, soldiers, farmers, and so forth; the ancient Roman society was divided into patricians and plebeians; and the ancient Aryan society was divided into four Varnas: the Brahmins, Kshatriyas, Vaishyas, and Shudras. In the modern world, class, caste, and estate seem to be the most common types

of stratification. But in civilized communities, the stratification structure seems to be much more intricate. All communities have social stratification, albeit the foundations of this structure might differ. Social stratification based on physical prowess was practiced in prehistoric societies. But in industrial and socialist societies, respectively, wealth and power serve as the foundation for stratification. Social stratification creates norms and attitudes among the populace in addition to stratifying society according to levels of inequality. Individuals who belong to the lower social classes act in ways that reflect their class position because they feel that they are in a lower position.

"Social differences" refers to the distinctions between people based on social traits and attributes. Because of the wide definition of "social stratification," it is easy to include many kinds of "differences" under its purview, including age, health, and religion. But social stratification based on race or gender is significantly different from that based on age, as the latter provides spaces for individuals of all ages and includes everyone who occupies them at various times of their life. Assigning duties and obligations while taking into consideration social disparities is another aspect of social differentiation. he phrases 'social inequality' indicates uneven distribution of advantages and resources in the society, wherein some individuals own more money, power and privileges than the rest of the people in the society. People already have preconceived views about uneven authority, position, and financial resources in the majority of these civilizations. People who are privileged that is, those who possess more wealth, influence, and social standing continue to have easier access to resources. Examples of these resources include attending school, graduating from college, and obtaining professional and technical training that prepares them for higher-paying careers. Consequently, those who are unable to pay for this level of education will find themselves in a difficult position.

One specific kind of inequality that relates to hierarchy is social stratification. It denotes that individuals within a community are categorized into high and low social groups according to the degree of their income, status, and power. Social stratification only denotes a society's vertical division; social inequality encompasses both a society's vertical and horizontal divisions. A group is made up of individuals who have a shared identity and set of interests, known as "strata." A stratum's members are distinguished from those of other strata by their shared way of life and understanding of "consciousness of kind." It is clear that the "individual" is the main focus of status appraisal in each of the four categories. Such a definition of societal inequality, predicated on the allocation of property, money, honor, and power among individual members, would presuppose a certain ideological foundation as well as an organizational framework of individuals predicated around such institutionalized and non-egalitarian standards. Division of labor, job differentiation, and even disparate assessment of various activities and duties allotted to people of a community are examples of social inequality. It is important to keep in mind that social inequality is not uniform, particularly when it comes to caste. Social inequality also undergoes constant construction and reorganization.

Furthermore, it should be remembered that inequality is a relational phenomenon and should not be seen in an absolute sense. For example, inside a family, individuals may have different statuses depending on kinship, yet as members of an intimate fundamental primal unit, they are equal. A family's structure is also different from an official organization's. Within a family, there is no hierarchy or uneven allocation of tasks; instead, each member is treated equally. Therefore, it would be improper to assess India's social disparity using the framework of Western industrial society, since this would diminish the significance of India's social structure, culture, history, and dialectics. Every civilization ranks people or groups according to a number of social stratification criteria. Rankings over a wide range of social stratification variables may

clearly be strongly correlated (i.e., "all high," "all medium," or "all low" in rank) or considerably less highly connected (i.e., "some high," "some medium," and "some low" in rank). One instance of status consistency is the former. This second case illustrates status inconsistency as different individuals may perceive the same person to have a high status, a medium status, or a low status.

The degree of consistency in a man's or woman's social position across the many aspects of social inequality is known as status consistency. A caste system has high status consistency and little social mobility, meaning that the average individual in the society is ranked similarly in terms of money, power, and prestige. Due to the reduced status consistency caused by the increased mobility of class systems, persons are rated lower on certain social standing aspects and higher on others. For example, scholars in India have a high social status while receiving low pay. People's social standing is hard to establish when there is little status consistency. Consequently, it is much more difficult to define classes than castes. A few illustrations may help clarify this phenomenon. An example of a status contradiction would be a high caste Brahmin marrying a female from a somewhat lower caste.

Comparably, the issue of status contradiction may arise from the marriage of a nouveau riche woman with a man of eminent descent. Another example of this kind is the status of the many Brahmin priests from upper castes who have poor professional status. To explore social stratification in these terms, several investigations and analyses have been carried out. It has been discovered that distinct behavior patterns are produced by status inconsistency than by status consistency. Additionally, it has been shown that every distinct pattern of irregularity has unique repercussions. Additionally, it has been shown that there is no general trend toward status consistency that is, toward a strong positive connection among an individual's several rankings and that different forms of status inconsistency may persist for extended periods of time. Gender and race/ethnicity are the two main components of social stratification. Therefore, women and members of racial/ethnic minorities accumulate disadvantages as a consequence of gender and racial/ethnic stratified opportunity structures, which leads to different aging experiences. There are disparities among individuals in every society with regard to the level of income and power they possess. The grouping of individuals into groups based on a hierarchical structure is known as stratification, and it differs depending on the culture. Very primitive communities may be divided along gender and age lines, with males and women having more status and authority than each other. Sociologists contend that social class serves as the major basis for stratification in modern industrial cultures such as Britain. Theories of social class continue to offer us crucial insights into the ways in which long-standing disparities in wealth and power related to production and markets, access to institutional and educational resources, and other factors have systematically served to maintain these disparities over time. Capitalist industrial societies are still stratified. However, there are other elements than class dynamics that also play a role in the perpetuation and upkeep of social inequality. The birth order of a kid has great significance in a household. In many cultures, a kid who is born as the first child in a family is accorded more honor and benefits.

CONCLUSION

Investigating socialization agencies reveals how important a role they play in influencing people's growth and social integration. Individuals acquire cultural norms, beliefs, and behaviors primarily from the media, religious organizations, education, family, and peer groups. These organizations help shape identities and social roles in addition to transmitting cultural norms. Comprehending the role played by socialization agents is essential to understanding how social order, cultural continuity, and collective identity are reproduced in society. Furthermore, a more sophisticated comprehension of social dynamics and disparities

is made possible by acknowledging the complexity of socialization processes in various circumstances. Sociologists keep looking into the workings and effects of socialization agencies, which helps us understand human growth and social change on a larger scale. Scholars may contribute to the development of treatments and policies that support healthy socialization outcomes and the development of a more just and cohesive society by recognizing the importance of these agencies.

REFERENCES:

[1]   T. Aleti, J. Ilicic, And P. Harrigan, "Consumer Socialization Agency In Tourism Decisions," *J. Vacat. Mark.*, 2018, Doi: 10.1177/1356766717700190.

[2]   J. M. Martínez And I. C. Plough, "International Graduate Students: Agency, Intentionality, And Socialization Reciprocity," *J. Int. Students*, 2018, Doi: 10.5281/Zenodo.1467803.

[3]   A. Sheridan, D. O'keeffe, B. Coughlan, K. Frazer, J. Drennan, And M. Kemple, "Friendship And Money: A Qualitative Study Of Service Users' Experiences Of Participating In A Supported Socialisation Programme," *Int. J. Soc. Psychiatry*, 2018, Doi: 10.1177/0020764018763692.

[4]   D. F. L. Gaol And S. Samsinar, "Socialization And Training Access Information Asean Community Through New Media (Internet) By The Students At Skh. Bhakti Luhur Ciputat," *Iccd*, 2018, Doi: 10.33068/Iccd.V1i1.9.

[5]   J. Zeitlin And B. Vanhercke, "Socializing The European Semester: Eu Social And Economic Policy Co-Ordination In Crisis And Beyond," *J. Eur. Public Policy*, 2018, Doi: 10.1080/13501763.2017.1363269.

[6]   D. R. Abadi, K. Kismartini, And S. Sundarso, "Evaluasi Program Pemanenan Air Hujan (Rain Water Harvesting) Badan Lingkungan Hidup Kota Semarang," *Gema Publica*, 2018, Doi: 10.14710/Gp.3.1.2018.1-13.

[7]   P. Mizen, "Bringing The Street Back In: Considering Strategy, Contingency And Relative Good Fortune In Street Children's Access To Paid Work In Accra," *Sociol. Rev.*, 2018, Doi: 10.1177/0038026118771279.

[8]   S. C. Dewi, "Upaya Bnpt Dalam Menghadapi Ancaman Keamanan Dari Kelompok Radikal Isis (Islamic State Of Iraq And Syria)," *Ejournal Ilmu Hub. Int.*, 2018.

[9]   S. M. Bettany And B. Kerrane, "Figuring The Pecking Order: Emerging Child Food Preferences When Species Meet In The Family Environment," *Eur. J. Mark.*, 2018, Doi: 10.1108/Ejm-10-2017-0749.

[10]   S. Chatzopoulou, "The Eu Agencies' Role In Policy Diffusion Beyond The Eu: Eea, Ema And Efsa," *Ssrn Electron. J.*, 2018, Doi: 10.2139/Ssrn.3105894.

CHAPTER 10

ANALYSIS OF THE FORMS OF SOCIAL STRATIFICATION

Dr. Kamshad Mohsin, Assistant Professor,
Maharishi Law School, Maharishi University of Information Technology, Uttar Pradesh, India.
Email Id-kamshad@muit.in

ABSTRACT:

An examination of the many sociological types of social stratification is presented in this work. The hierarchical organization of people or groups within a society according to social position, money, power, and prestige is known as social stratification. This study analyzes many types of social stratification, such as class, race, ethnicity, gender, and age, based on sociological theory and empirical data. It looks at how these factors interact to affect people's opportunities, chances in life, and resources available to them. This study explains the causes and effects of social stratification in maintaining social hierarchies and reproducing patterns of privilege and disadvantage via a critical analysis of structural and symbolic disparities. This study offers insights into the intricacies of social stratification and its consequences for social cohesion and mobility via an analysis of historical, cultural, and institutional aspects.

KEYWORDS:

Age, Class, Ethnicity, Gender, Race.

INTRODUCTION

Slavery is the most extreme kind of social inequality that is permitted for people or communities. The fact that one human being owns another is the most distinctive aspect of this crushing stratification system. These people are regarded as property, much like home equipment or pets. There have been many variations of slavery. Captives from battle and piracy were the primary source of slaves in ancient Greece. Even if it was inherited, being a slave was not a lifelong position. A person's rank might alter based on how the military war between the kingdoms turns out. However, racial and legal hurdles were put in place in the United States and Latin America to stop the freeing of slaves [1], [2]. To put it another way, it had needed a great deal of force, in whatever form it had existed, to uphold the rights of slave owners.

There have historically been five slave communities, according to certain sociological researchers. Slave societies in this context refer to areas where the institution of slavery had a significant impact on the social and economic landscape. Ancient Greece, the Roman Empire, the United States, the Caribbean, and Brazil were these societies. According to British liberal political thinker and sociologist L. T. Hobhouse, a slave is a man who is regarded by law and tradition as the property of another person. He goes on to say that slaves may suffer brutality in certain circumstances and have no rights in others. British Marxist sociologist Thomas Burton Butler asserts that economics is always the root cause of slavery. Slavery was a legal practice in the United States in the 1600s when the country imported slaves [3], [4]. The fact that early US presidents like George Washington, James Madison, and Thomas Jefferson held slaves is proof of this.

The majority of slaves did not try to alter the system because they saw themselves as helpless. Nevertheless, others made an effort to subvert the system and their status by slacking off, working slowly, not working at all, or fleeing their master's home. Many years ago, this practice was formally discontinued. Many sociologists believe that the global elimination of slavery is

a result of the ideals of justice and equality. The inefficiencies of using slave labor and the criticism of slavery as a barbarous institution are two other arguments in favor of ending this practice [5], [6].

Patricia Hill Collins, a sociology professor at the University of Maryland, College Park, asserted that prejudice and discrimination against African Americans are examples of how the legacy of slavery is still pervasive in the United States today. Economist Stanley L. Engerman concurs that slavery still exists in some form throughout the globe. He claims that as long as there remain "debt bondage, child labor, contract labor, and other varieties of coerced work for limited periods of time, with limited opportunities for mobility, and with limited political and economic power," slavery will not be eradicated from the planet. A social class is a collection of people with roughly comparable wealth. Being wealthy allows one to acquire valuable and rare items and services that are sought after by others. The products and services offered vary depending on the civilization [7], [8].

In the ancient days, a rich person would purchase gold and land, but in the new world, he may make stock market investments, purchase expensive vehicles, or take trips on airplanes. If one spends their riches well, it enables them to produce even more wealth. The majority of contemporary cultures are stratified according to class. Nonetheless, many aspects of ancient stratification—such as the Indian feudal system and the caste system—can be seen in contemporary communities. But class-based inequality is becoming more significant as the economy grows. A society may be classified as either generally open or closed based on how many possibilities exist for its members to move up the social ladder. The way a society views the mobility of its individuals is equally significant. A society may be classified as open stratification if it provides a lot of possibilities and pushes its members to advance to higher positions. Conversely, a society is referred to be closed stratification if its members are prevented from obtaining higher positions by normative standards and there are few prospects for upward mobility.

The stratification system opens out and becomes goal-oriented as growth progresses. One kind of open stratification system is the class system. With his accomplishments, a person might rise to a higher social level and earn prestige. There are instances of people who, through perseverance and success, were able to escape poverty and become billionaires. These people are valued in today's culture because they serve as role models for others. In contemporary countries, an individual's ability, accomplishments, intellect, and worth determine their social mobility. Nevertheless, despite the openness, a society's socioeconomic background, parental position, available resources, social networks, and other assigned characteristics all have a significant impact on an individual's motivation, accomplishment, and access to possibilities. It cannot be said that contemporary societies are entirely goal-oriented and open since these elements are beyond of an individual's control and difficult to change to his benefit [9], [10].

For this reason, we have said that, in comparison to other cultures, class-based societies are rather open. In a moment, we shall examine the caste system a somewhat restricted system of stratification. In traditional communities, ascription forms the social order, although success is a major factor in class-based systems. Stated differently, the distinction between the grounds of social stratification status attained and status ascribed between traditional and contemporary social hierarchies rests in this regard. Whereas contemporary social hierarchies are founded on attained status, traditional social hierarchies are based on assigned regions.

Today's culture is very competitive, with only the strongest surviving. There are two things social workers need to be aware of while working in an achievement-based culture. Since success is emphasized, people lose self-esteem when they fail because others denigrate them.

It's possible that you've read in the media about students killing themselves after receiving poor grades. Vulnerable pupils are under pressure to adopt this drastic measure because they want to attain high standards and live up to others' high expectations. Second, in order to prepare people for competition, an achievement-based society should provide them access to the bare minimum of housing, health care, and education.

DISCUSSION

These basic amenities are not available to everyone in nations like India, and a large number of individuals are unable to compete fairly with one another. For these persons, the social environment is unjust as a result. Welfare and development programs are carried out by the government and nonprofit groups to help underprivileged individuals integrate into society. Developing a logical approach toward society, religion, traditions, mores, institutions, values, idealogies, etc. is aided by sociology. Sociology is crucial in influencing people's perspectives on other people. Studying sociology aids you in In India, caste is a matter of significant discussion. The Spanish word "Casta," which meaning "breed," is the source of the English term "caste." It stands for caste and associated social practices in the Indian culture. Since the caste system gives its members a designated position, it has a variety of effects on Indian social life. The Brahmans, Kshatriyas, Vaishyas, and Shudras are the four Varnas that are arranged in a hierarchical manner according to the oldest and most significant of the four Vedas, the Rig Veda. Brahmans are priests and teachers by profession. Kshatriyas are both warriors and kings. The Vaishyas consist of simple people and merchants. The Shudras carry out the menial jobs and are at the bottom of the hierarchy.

A fifth Varna, the untouchables, are said by some historians to exist, and they are not regarded as members of society. Tribes and adherents of different faiths are also seen as being outside of the caste system. People are born into a caste, and birth determines a person's caste membership. It is impossible for a person to alter their caste. But there have also been cases when castes have asserted a greater position in society as a whole after changes in lifestyle and economic standing. Such assertions could be approved or rejected. The allegation can elicit negative responses from the ruling castes. However, the caste system is still in place even if the allegation is granted. However, the degree of rigidity of the Indian caste system has decreased due to the process of Sanskritization, intercaste marriage, and educational advancements. These changes have helped individuals overcome misunderstandings, goals, and biases against other people, class, and caste as well as religious hate. Completely Sociology aids in the development of a critical mindset toward the "Social World." in order for us to be in better relationships with members of other societies as well as members of our own. Every culture is dealing with unique issues. For instance, India is dealing with a wide range of societal issues, including overpopulation, poverty, unemployment, terrorism, corruption, prostitution, untouchability, and beggarly. It will need a thorough analysis of these issues to find solutions. Sociology facilitates the impartial analysis of social issues.

It also provides helpful solutions ideas. Members of certain class groupings behave differently as a result of their membership. It helps kids become aware of their place in society. However, caste and associated problems are accorded more weight in the Indian setting than class considerations. India's class structure differs greatly from that of western cultures. In India, caste and class divisions coexist, with high, medium, and lower-class divisions running parallel to one another. Together, they decide a person's standing in the community, authority, and class. According to studies, the upper classes are mostly members of the castes that are assigned a certain position. Although there have been a lot of changes in recent decades, the pattern has not changed. An individual's social standing influences the allocation and accumulation of resources, including education. A portion of the Indian population lives below and around the

poverty line as a result of the greater control of resources by those with higher social and caste status. The divide between the affluent and the poor, between urban and rural populations, and between the higher caste and the lower caste seems to have grown as a result of the pressures of globalization and liberalization.

The popularity of sociology as a topic for teaching is growing. Sociology may increasingly lead to careers outside of teaching. In many universities and colleges, sociology is offered as a course of study. Sociology is one of the courses that applicants for competitive exams such as IAS, IPS, IFS, KAS, and KES, among others, must present. Numerous career prospects exist in a variety of disciplines, including public administration, education, social welfare, family welfare, and the department of women and children's welfare. Sociology is a reliable source of knowledge on current events and changes occurring both within and outside of our environment. In order for us to respond to the changes. Understanding these topics helps us to be more aware of our social obligations. A hierarchy is a set of relationships between different people and organizations that are superior to subordinate. Every culture has a hierarchy of some kind, but every society has a different set of rules for establishing a hierarchy. The fundamental foundation of social hierarchy in India is caste. The hierarchy of castes is based on the level of ceremonial purity and impurity connected with each caste. Power and wealth are not the decisive elements.

For example, owing of his greater ceremonial rank, a Brahmin who has a lesser economic standing than a Rajput is given a superior position. In actuality, however, the caste system is mostly determined by political and economic considerations. High ceremonial status does not really correspond to a greater social status, as sociologists have shown. For instance, a Rajput is unlikely to elevate a Brahmin in other contexts even if he may not play as significant a part in ceremonial affairs as the latter do. Sociologist M. N. Srinivasan defines a dominating caste as one that has a high enough ceremonial status, a sizable population, and tangible resources like money, land, and authority within the society. A caste's position high in the social order is maintained by the interaction of these elements. In the political and social life of the hamlet, the ruling caste often has a significant influence. Castes are sophisticated social organizations whose membership is determined by birth rather than choice. There are caste councils in every caste that oversee members' rights and responsibilities.

These councils have a great deal of authority to control their members' social lives. By penalizing criminals for a range of offenses, they can maintain order. Adultery, injuring another person, and murdering are examples of offenses. Penalties include fines, imposing corporal punishment, and even the death penalty. Numerous castes have their own deities that are not associated with the greater religious community. As a result, caste is sufficiently autonomous to handle matters pertaining to its members and is not subject to governmental authority. There are regulations and requirements around the sharing of prepared food between different castes. Only certain meals are accepted by some castes from those in other caste.

Food products are separated into kucha and pakka categories. Cooked in ghee, pakka is seen as better than kucha meal, which is cooked in water. A Brahmin is not allowed to eat food from Shudras or untouchables; only food from Kshatriyas and Vaishyas is permissible. Kshatriyas, on the other hand, only accept pakka food from Vaishyas who are beneath them; they would not accept kucha food from a Brahmin. Depending on the caste involved, there are differences in how food is offered and accepted. The maintaining of social distance between various castes is an example of these sorts of distinctions. The statuses of the castes are reflected in the physical separation between them. In the hierarchy, the rights and privileges of various castes vary. As a consequence, caste divides occur in social interactions. Pure castes coexist in north Indian communities, but unclean castes are divided. In South India, caste systems are often

kept apart. For instance, in Tamil Nadu, the areas where Hindus reside are known as Ur, while the areas where Dalit residents reside are known as Cheri. The settlement is located some distance away from the Cheri. Ghurye provides many examples from the late 19th and early 20th centuries to illustrate the ways in which these impairments were imposed. Shudras were forbidden from walking on the temple streets at Viakomom, a town in the princely realm of Travancore. The situation was altered by a national campaign against these discriminatory practices led by well-known figures like Mahatma Gandhi and Periyar.

Similar to this, Shudras were prohibited from entering Pune in the morning and evening because their lengthy shadows would bother the upper caste residents. Additionally, we see disparities in the penalties meted out for comparable offenses. For instance, a Shudra was required to receive physical punishment for stealing, but a Brahmin was just had to pay a fee. Shudras are still prohibited from praying at temples in a few of locations in India. Shudras had obligations associated with their caste rank, and religious rituals served to uphold this system. The sanctum sanctorum, the deepest chamber of the temple, was off limits to them. This benefit was restricted to Brahmins. Even today, people from lower castes face prejudice in rural regions. Caste violence is often reported as a result of upper caste members forbidding lower caste members from participating in weddings or funeral processions on the main roadway. Each caste has a customarily designated employment, and caste membership is inherited. No matter how talented or skilled the person was, he had to take up the profession of his caste. Similarly, all professions were associated with a certain caste.

Therefore, there is only one employment for each caste, and that occupation was that of that caste alone. For instance, since he was born into a Brahmin household, only a Brahmin could become a priest. Caste was a factor in the education system. In order to teach younger members, the caste's vocational skills, they would be paired with elder members. There was no common and universal education. Sociologists have noted that despite these caste-based limitations, certain professions, such as weaving, farming, and the military, were accessible to people from all backgrounds. The jajmani system represented the economic relationships between the different castes in premodern times. Every service caste served the landowners in a certain capacity. In the past, they were usually paid annually and in kind. There was a client-patron connection between the upper castes and the service castes. Their relationship has changed in the contemporary era. The term "endogamy" describes the practice of group members getting married to other group members. One crucial aspect of the caste system is endogamy. Endogamy exists at the sub-caste level in several castes. For instance, despite the fact that both Iyers and Iyengars are Tamil Brahmins, they are not allowed to be married.

Still, there are others who don't follow the norm. These are the exceptions that deal with hypogamy and hypergamy. It is referred to as hypergamy when a man from a higher caste marries a woman from a lower caste, and hypogamy when a guy from a lower caste marries a woman from a higher caste. While hypogamy is absolutely prohibited, hypergamy is permitted. If a daughter of a lower caste is accepted by a man and his family of a higher caste, it is a matter of prestige for the lower caste family. A male Nambudri married to a Nayyar lady is an example of this custom. The youngest kid in a family has unique rights, advantages, and powers in certain other civilizations. This explains why the primogenitor and ultimate genitor systems are so common in modern culture. Another major kind of stratification offered by the sex-gender system is that males are seen as having more status and authority than women.

A person's age, whether real or perceived, has a significant impact on how others see him and the possibilities that may or may not come his way in society. It may be difficult to determine how age contributes to social stratification since, in contrast to caste or race, age does reflect actual variations in a person's experience and aptitude. For example, until a man grows out of

infancy, he is plainly too immature to perform effectively in paid occupations; and as he develops into maturity and old age, he acquires skills and experience, but eventually he loses physical and even mental endurance and agility. Unfair discrimination based just on age may also stem from persons being treated unfairly because they are seen as "too young" or "too old," or they may even be admired for their age alone.

Given that people's work lives are becoming longer and their employment are becoming less secure, this has become a common problem in modern culture. An older or middle-aged individual could lose their job to a younger applicant who is as competent. The words "ascribed status" and "achieved status" were first used by anthropologist Ralph Linton in his book The Study of Man. A person's ascribed status is the social standing that is determined from birth and does not change throughout the course of their lifetime. Thus, individuals have less flexibility to migrate to another group or rank in communities based on ascription groups, but in cultures based on accomplishment, an individual may climb the social ladder by using his or her skills, talents, and abilities. Ascribed stratification's archetype is discrimination based on caste or race. In this context, race refers to the characteristics of your physical appearance that identify you as a member of a certain group that is accepted by society. People's social position has been influenced by their skin color and caste throughout history. Casteism and racism are seen as severe kinds of prejudice in modern times. Another key component of social stratification is gender.

Men are seen as being more powerful and authoritative than women in many civilizations. It is believed that societal inequality affects women. Some feminists point out that women are not a homogeneous group since factors such as ethnicity, age, sexual orientation, and class all affect their social standing. Nevertheless, some feminists contend that despite these variations, women all around the globe have a few universal traits. In addition to biological foundations, social stratification also has sociocultural underpinnings. Certain characteristics, such as money, status, education, and power, are within an individual's control.

The three Ps are the cornerstone of social stratification, according to Max Weber. These are Prestige (status), Power (influence), and Property (money). These three Ps often coexist, meaning that affluent individuals are frequently powerful and have a distinguished position in society. However, this isn't always the case. A minor contractor, for instance, could earn more money than a school teacher, but he or she cannot have the same distinguished position. Individuals conduct individualistic power connections; when social organizations exert these ties, they take on organizational characteristics.

In general, both organizational and individualistic components exist in all power situations. Families exert organizational power as a social unit, yet personal power is evident when the head of the family chooses where to marry off his daughter. In addition, power may be categorized as direct or indirect, as well as purposeful or purposeless. Purposive power refers to actions that are meant to influence a culture or social order. An action would be considered purposeless power if it is not done with the intention of influencing society, yet it still does so. When power is used directly, it moves from the bearer to the person or group that is impacted. When power is used indirectly, there are other persons in between the one wielding it and the people or group who are impacted.

CONCLUSION

The examination of social stratification highlights how ubiquitous inequality is throughout cultures. Among the primary factors used to stratify and place people inside social hierarchies are class, race, ethnicity, gender, and age. These stratification patterns interact and cross over, complexly influencing people's chances and life experiences. Social stratification affects

power, social standing, and resource access while sustaining patterns of privilege and poverty. Sociologists can spot patterns of inequality and promote social justice and fairness by comprehending the causes and effects of social stratification. Systemic interventions that question structural obstacles and advance inclusive policies and practices are necessary to address social stratification. Scholars work to create more fair and equitable societies by advancing our knowledge of social inequality via ongoing study and advocacy.

REFERENCES:

[1]   S. Schotte, R. Zizzamia, and M. Leibbrandt, "A poverty dynamics approach to social stratification: The South African case," *World Dev.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.worlddev.2018.05.024.

[2]   K. M. Harris and K. M. Schorpp, "Integrating biomarkers in social stratification and health research," *Annual Review of Sociology*. 2018. doi: 10.1146/annurev-soc-060116-053339.

[3]   M. A. Détourbe and G. Goastellec, "Revisiting the issues of access to higher education and social stratification through the case of refugees: A comparative study of spaces of opportunity for refugee students in Germany and England," *Soc. Sci.*, 2018, doi: 10.3390/socsci7100186.

[4]   H. Forsberg, "School competition and social stratification in the deregulated upper secondary school market in Stockholm," *Br. J. Sociol. Educ.*, 2018, doi: 10.1080/01425692.2018.1426441.

[5]   I. Hassan, "Social stratification in qatari society: Family, marriage, and khalījī culture," *Hawwa*, 2018, doi: 10.1163/15692086-12341337.

[6]   R. Forrest and Y. Hirayama, "Late home ownership and social re-stratification," *Econ. Soc.*, 2018, doi: 10.1080/03085147.2018.1459368.

[7]   V. A. Anikin, Y. P. Lezhnina, S. V. Mareeva, and N. N. Tikhonovv, "Social Stratification by Life Chances: Evidence from Russia," *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2018, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3093022.

[8]   V. N. Zin'ko and E. A. Zin'ko, "To the question of the social stratification of the population of the Bosporus Tyritake in 4th - 6th centuries AD," *Strat. Plus*, 2018.

[9]   I. Kukkonen, E. Åberg, O. Sarpila, and T. Pajunen, "Exploitation of aesthetic capital – disapproved by whom?," *Int. J. Sociol. Soc. Policy*, 2018, doi: 10.1108/IJSSP-09-2017-0116.

[10]   A. Lunn and S. Kornrich, "Family Investments in Education during Periods of Economic Uncertainty: Evidence from the Great Recession," *Sociol. Perspect.*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/0731121417719696.

CHAPTER 11

INVESTIGATION OF THE FUNCTIONS
OF SOCIAL STRATIFICATION

Dr. Kamshad Mohsin, Assistant Professor,
Maharishi Law School, Maharishi University of Information Technology, Uttar Pradesh, India.
Email Id-kamshad@muit.in

ABSTRACT:

The purpose of social stratification in the study of sociology is examined in this work. The term "social stratification" describes the hierarchical organization of people or groups in a society according to resources, status, and authority. This study examines the several purposes that social stratification systems fulfill, based on sociological theory and actual data. It looks at how social stratification, which divides people into different social groups, supports societal order, stability, and effectiveness. This research also examines the ways in which social stratification encourages specialization, creativity, and production within civilizations. It also covers how social stratification may promote social mobility and provide people a feeling of identity and community. This study provides insights into the intricacies of social stratification and its effects on persons and communities via a critical analysis of these functions.

KEYWORDS:

Efficiency, Identity, Mobility, Social Order, Specialization.

INTRODUCTION

A glance at the world's civilizations demonstrates that no society is "classless," or uncertified. Every known established society on the planet has some kind of stratification. Wilbert Moore and Kingsley Davis claim that since stratification was functionally necessary, it developed in all communities. The primary functional need of the system, as they have noted, is the requirement faced by any society of placing and motivating individuals in the social structure. Therefore, social inequality is an inadvertently developed mechanism that societies use to make sure that the most capable individuals occupy the most significant positions. People are motivated to strive hard to uphold the principles by class stratification, which is one of its primary purposes [1], [2].

People who most successfully uphold the ideals of a given culture are often rewarded with increased status and acceptability from others. It is well known that jobs are given a high ranking when their roles are crucial and there is a severe shortage of the persons needed to do them. Such occupations are characterized by a great deal of responsibility, arduous effort, and extended training. Individuals that carry out these tasks are rewarded with cash, status, comforts, and other things. However, we are unable to state that all critical occupations get sufficient compensation. Class stratification does contribute to "the circulation of the elite" in some ways. There will be rivalry for some posts when high levels of prestige, conveniences, and other benefits are available [3]–[5].

The most effective individuals are able to advance to the top where their abilities may be most effectively used thanks to this competitive process. The competitive element serves a kind of economic purpose by assisting in ensuring that talent is used rationally. Differential incentives are also operationally required if the highest ranks are mostly assigned, as in the case of the caste system. Even under a caste system, those at the top risk losing their status if they don't

live up to expectations. Differential benefits thus provide the top classes an incentive to strive hard at holding onto their places. The stratification system keeps precious resources from being wasted. Whether inherited or earned, the individuals who make up the elite class do in fact possess rare and highly esteemed traits and attributes [6], [7]. Their enjoying of certain benefits, such as increased comfort and exemption from doing menial labor, is operationally justifiable since they possess these attributes. It becomes practically advantageous for society to use their skills so they are not squandered. For instance, investing societal resources in the education of engineers and physicians just to employ them as attendants and peons would be a waste. When certain people are selected and trained for specific roles, members of the class often attempt to restrict their interactions to other members of the same class. The majority of more personal connections are made with classmates. Even this inclination has a purpose. The attitudes and abilities that may form the foundation of an upper-class position tend to be stabilized and reinforced by it.

People who share the same beliefs and hobbies are more likely to get along well with one another. Their constant interaction with one another attests to their shared beliefs and passions. It is true that various classes have distinct values, attitudes, and traits. To some degree, this distinction serves societal purposes as well since both manual and nonmanual labor are required. Highly skilled or "refined" individuals find few occupations appealing since they have been taught to want for other careers. Due to the early influences of socialization and family, the people acquire characteristics, attitudes, and values that are specific to the socioeconomic class they belong to. This will affect the employment they choose to apply for. There is another social control function of class stratification. The legitimate class system has legitimacy even in the "shady" world of gamblers and in the underworld of lesser criminals, black marketeers, racketeers, smugglers, and so on. They understand that money is only a means of making up for lost reputation rather than its replacement [8], [9].

As a result, rather than pursuing a lucrative but dubious job, these individuals attempt to join respectable areas, become philanthropists, and support the arts in an effort to achieve legitimacy for their money and for their children. Thus, the underworld and the shadowy classes are nevertheless drawn to the established class system. This allure serves as a social control mechanism.

In the society of the twenty-first century, everything is changing. The introduction of ever-newer technology is altering human existence. Countries may change from impoverished, undeveloped areas to contemporary, industrialized ones thanks to new ideas and technology. Indeed, change is the one thing that is permanent in the contemporary world. All things undergo inevitable change.

Since the beginning of sociology as an academic discipline, change has been a subject of debate. Sociologists that have written on change include Max Weber, Emile Durkheim, Karl Marx, and Auguste Comte. The meaning, traits, causes, and theories of social change are the main topics of this book unit. In a nutshell, social change is an alteration to a culture's social structure. It might include changes to societal structures, behaviors, relationships, or the natural world. The most constant element in the history of human civilization has been social change. Every culture experience social change; it is a natural rule that is universal. Our civilization continues to evolve daily, having undergone the greatest amount of change in the shortest period of time. Every element of society, every organization, and every structure have undergone change, and these changes have had an impact on every person to some extent. The two unavoidable aspects of existence are change and continuity. People's surroundings also alter as a result of their living arrangements. For this reason, "change" is often referred to as the unalterable or unavoidable rule of nature. The only thing that is constant is change. Greek

philosopher Heraclitus observed that change is inevitable and that a human cannot enter the same river twice since, between the first and second time, both the river's water and the individual entering it change [10], [11].

DISCUSSION

According to history, man has evolved from living in caves and jungles to opulent skyscrapers. Humans, families, religions, morals, and systems are not static. Societies develop, deteriorate, and adapt to their environment. All societies have undergone constant change, from prehistoric to industrial to postindustrial. Although the pace or extent of change varies throughout cultures, change is a constant. British sociologist argues that in human societies, we need to demonstrate the extent of any adjustment of fundamental institutions over a certain time period in order to determine how far and in what ways a given system is undergoing change or transformation. Certain social systems are characterized by rapid change, whereas others are rooted in the distant past. Global faiths such as Islam and Christianity continue to be connected to concepts and moral codes that were developed thousands of years ago. Change was seen as an external and negative phenomenon in prehistoric society. But in the present day, change is seen as normal and essential. Every generation encounters unique socioeconomic obstacles, yet they continue to move forward with new life options while maintaining links to the past.

Social scientists investigate changes in human society, just as natural scientists examine many facets of changes in the natural world. Social scientists and philosophers have long studied and researched change and continuity. Academics from many eras, including Aristotle, Plato, Hegel, and others, have written extensively on the different facets of change. In actuality, sociology as a distinct field of study only began to take shape in the middle of the 1800s as a result of attempts to explain the socio-cultural and economic upheavals that followed the processes of industrialization and democracy in Europe. It wouldn't be incorrect to say that prominent classical sociologists focused on understanding change, namely the changes that came about as a result of the emergence of capitalism in the West.

The pioneer of sociology, Auguste Comte, even observed that the discipline's job is to analyze "Social Statics," or the rules regulating social order, as well as "Social Dynamics," acknowledging change as a crucial component of research. Pencer assessed advancement or change while accounting for the level of societal complexity. Spencer claims that societies transition from simple, homogeneous, and undifferentiated to complex, heterogeneous, and differentiated. In his well-known book The Division of Labor, Emile Durkheim, another traditional sociological theorist and one of the discipline's pioneers, discusses evolutionary development and notes that society transitions from "mechanical solidarity" to "organic solidarity." Renowned philosopher Karl Marx uses his economic determinism model to explain how society evolved from rudimentary communism to socialism. It is the changing or modifying of a system's composition and operation. Social change may refer to a variety of factors, such as changes in interpersonal relationships, marriages across castes and communities, the shift from joint to nuclear families, and so on.

Scholars have varied in their definitions of social change. A quick look at a few of them helps clarify what we comprehend. "Social change is the change in social structure, i.e., the size of a society, the composition or balance of its parts, or the type of organization," British sociologist Morris Ginsberg (1986, 129) states. When we talk about "social change," we must also talk about changes in attitudes or ideas since these things support institutions and evolve with them. Here, he discusses two different kinds of changes: alterations to the social structure and modifications to the social value system. But these two kinds of changes shouldn't be considered apart since one affects the other. For example, alterations in people's attitudes might

affect changes in the social structure, and vice versa. Changes in the interactions between people, groups, organizations, cultures, and civilizations throughout time are referred to as social change. From the aforementioned definitions, it can be inferred that almost all of the writers emphasize social interactions, social organizations, social patterns, and values when defining social change. Thus, social transformation entails changes to the whole society structure.

Scholars disagree on whether "change" occurs gradually or via a revolutionary process. They do, however, accept that it is a process that is both revolutionary and evolutionary. Every change has an impact on several facets of life as well as various social system elements. For instance, the growth of the Internet in modern society has had a significant impact on a number of other organizations and concepts, including the media, politics, business, psychology, and education. Although it is a revolutionary force, it is both slow and insurrectional since it builds on earlier advances. As was covered in the section above, societal change is unavoidable. It is ubiquitous as well as inevitable. It exists in all societies. All societies are changing, from prehistoric to postindustrial. No culture or civilization lasts forever. From nomadic, food-gathering creatures to agriculturists and ultimately to modern, industrial humans, humans have evolved.

agriculture was a technological revolution that replaced hunting and gathering food, paving the way for the eventual rise of huge, diverse cultures and the ultimate emergence of civilization. Likewise, the Protestant focus on reading the Bible to find salvation resulted in a significant increase in literacy. Furthermore, changes in their socioeconomic standing, interpersonal interactions, and the social and economic structure of the nation have resulted from the establishment of the reservation system for backward populations in Indian government institutions and offices. Likewise, increased literacy rates in the nation contribute to women's economic independence, which in turn alters societal perceptions of marriage, family, and husband-wife relationships. Change may happen with or without careful preparation. Through plans or programs, the people, the government, or any other actor may bring about change and choose its course and intensity. With the wider implementation of Five-Year Plans, the Indian government created a number of socioeconomic development initiatives after independence to lift the nation out of poverty and unemployment. After 68 years of independence, the nation has made incredible strides in infrastructure, industry, health, literacy, and has successfully combated issues with hunger, unemployment, and poverty. In addition to deliberate societal transformation, unintentional and coincidental changes may also occur. This group includes modifications brought on by natural disasters such as earthquakes, tsunamis, volcanic eruptions, and so on. There are two types of change.

It proceeds in a certain direction. In many cases, this kind of direction is idealized, scheduled, and established. We refer to these modifications as advancements. That being said, change may generally occur in either way. In a similar vein, change occurs at different rates and in different places. Certain changes could happen quickly, while others can take months or even years. In the extent that time is a factor, social transformation is temporal. It indicates a timeline. It may be indefinite or fleeting. In the process of transformation, time is a crucial element. Since the word "social" has such a wide definition, several types of change that go by different labels may really be grouped together under the more general heading of social change. To help you grasp the idea better, many sorts of change are covered in the sections that follow: Cultural and social developments are often seen as synonymous and indicate comparable types of change. Still, there are distinctions between the two. Interactions and relationships between individuals are referred to as "social." On the other hand, "culture" refers to the norms, values, symbols, practices, and beliefs that individuals within a community have established. It may be of

material or non-material nature. Manufacturing tangible items and tools such as cars, furniture, houses, bridges, roads, books, mobile phones, TV sets, and other items that people use is known as material culture. Belief systems, values, customs, language, mores, and conventions are examples of non-material culture. A society's knowledge, customs, and values that govern and shape its interactions as a cohesive unit are collectively referred to as its culture. Changes in human interactions, social connections, role expectations, role performance, and other aspects are thus considered to be social changes, whereas changes in human artifacts, beliefs, values, body of knowledge, and other aspects are considered cultural changes. Over time, culture evolves and disperses across many locations and social groups. Huge changes have occurred in the years from the start of World War II, according to Biesanz and Biesanz

Nearly every living room in the globe has television, ever since it was first introduced as an experimental device before to the war. From the first atomic reaction in the early 20th century, we have advanced to space capsules and satellites. In the brief years following World War II, synthetic fabrics and plastics, wash-and-wear clothing, stretch socks, automatic washers, dishwashers, clothes driers, food freezers, and packaged mixes have all altered the destiny of the housewife. It's crucial to note that cultural system adjustments don't always proceed as planned and encounter problems with integration with other elements of the system.

This kind of circumstance is known as "cultural lag." In 1957, American sociologist William Fielding Ogburn defined the term "cultural lag" as "occurring when one of the two correlated parts of culture changes earlier or more profoundly than the other part, resulting in less adjustment between the two parts than existed previously." All cultural change, however, affects interpersonal interactions and hence has an effect on societal changes as well. The introduction of the Internet and mobile phones has had a significant impact on interpersonal connections. As a result, social and cultural developments both contribute to and influence each other's changes within a society. According to Kingsley Davis social change is merely one aspect of cultural change, which is larger than both. Culture evolves in response to societal developments, not the other way around. We refer to cultural shifts that have an impact on human interpersonal relationships and social organizations as social transformations. A shift toward a desired goal is referred to as progress.

It might also mean improvement over time. Because it suggests advancement or progress, it requires value judgment. Change is necessary for progress since it leads to specific, well-defined objectives. It is also a kind of social transformation. Still, there are distinctions between the two. Although every advancement might be referred to as a change, not every change is a progress. Additionally, although progress usually implies a change for the better, change itself is a valueless idea. Progress is thus a notion loaded with meaning. Change may be both intentional and unforeseen, as has already been described. However, advancement is always prearranged and optimally set. Besides, change is inevitable and evident. Every culture experience change, whether it be little or large, slow or swift, yet progress is uncertain. In sociology, the terms "evolution" and "social evolution" are taken from biology. "Organic evolution," which refers to the evolution of all sorts of organisms, is the subject of biology study.

The process by which human society, interpersonal interactions, conventions, values, and way of life have evolved is referred to as social evolution. It has to do with the notion that all societies go through distinct stages, from rudimentary to sophisticated. Convincingly explaining how one species develops into another, the theory of organic evolution attracted sociologists and social anthropologists, who wished to extend it to the social realm (Shankar Rao 2000, 491). Evolution is defined by renowned sociologists MacIver. It goes beyond simple growth. While growth implies a direction of change, its nature is quantitative. Something more

fundamental a shift in size as well as, at the very least, structure is involved in evolution. Social change may also take the form of social development. These two things are inherent and unavoidable in life. Still, there are distinctions between the two. Firstly, not all changes in nature are evolutionary, even though evolution always involves change. Second, evolution is an ongoing process in contrast to change. Third, although both internal and exterior forces may influence societal development, it is mostly internal elements that drive evolution. Fourth, evolution is an inevitable process, but societal change may be planned or unplanned. Fifth, evolution is filled with values, whereas social change is a notion that is neutral on values. Sixth, social change may happen quickly or slowly, but evolution is always a long process.

Demography is the study of population growth, distribution, size, and other characteristics across time. Changes in age distribution, migration, fertility, mortality, and other patterns are examples of demographic change. In every community, high fertility or high mortality might have significant ramifications. If the pace of these indications is too sluggish, the same thing may occur. High fertility may impact a state's attempts at growth and result in widespread cases of unemployment and poverty. Additionally, an increase in population has an impact on environmental sustainability and increases the usage of natural resources. People's attitudes regarding marriage and family shift as a result of high birth and death rates.

High fertility in India caused a shift in the country's demographics, which in turn led to the adoption of family planning programs and a decline in the pace of population increase. Social relationships have changed as a result of the small family norm. Human civilization has progressed from the most primitive tools bows and arrows to the most advanced and complex ones available today. The contemporary man's sociocultural environment has undergone significant change as a result of the development of computers, the Internet, cell phones, jet aircraft, atomic bombs, and the discoveries made by individuals like Vasco da Gama and Christopher Columbus. Man in antiquity walked barefoot. The bullock wagon then arrived, which caused the pace of travel to increase. Later technical advancements produced vehicles such as cars, jet aircraft, bicycles, and so on. These have made it easier for individuals to move about more quickly than before.

There are significant social ramifications to these technical advancements. The Green Revolution in India, which introduced high-yield seeds and guaranteed a sharp rise in foodgrains like wheat and rice, effectively reduced famine in the nation. Sociologist William F. Ogburn once made a dramatic claim about how technological advancements can bring about social change: women were made free when the automobile self-starter was invented. This allowed women to drive, leave their homes, and enter the business. Modern forms of communication and entertainment, such as mobile phones, TV, radio, the Internet, and so on, have had a significant impact on women's roles in society and have fundamentally altered Indian families. In addition to being empowered and freed, husband and wife relationships are now seen as those of co-partners rather than as those of superiors and inferiors. Even if not all parts of the nation have seen an equal adoption of technical advancements, there has been a remarkable advancement in this area that cannot be disregarded.

CONCLUSION

The study of social stratification's functions reveals the variety of roles it plays in societies. By placing people in hierarchical positions according to their access to opportunities and resources, social stratification helps to preserve social order and stability. Additionally, by giving prizes and incentives to people according to their contributions to society, it encourages productivity, efficiency, and specialization. To varied degrees, depending on social and economic situations, social stratification also aids in social mobility. In addition, social stratification gives people a

feeling of identification and community within the social groupings they belong to. Nonetheless, it is critical to recognize the possible negative effects of social stratification, such as injustice, inequality, and strained social relations. Social stratification may be beneficial in certain situations, but it can also exacerbate inequality and undermine social cohesiveness. Sociologists must so critically assess how social stratification affects people and communities and push for laws and procedures that advance social justice and equality. Scholars aim to create more inclusive and equitable societies by contributing to larger discourses on social inequality and studying the functions and repercussions of social stratification.

REFERENCES:

[1]   R. B. Wilson, P. G. Enticott, and N. J. Rinehart, "Motor development and delay: Advances in assessment of motor skills in autism spectrum disorders," *Current Opinion in Neurology*. 2018. doi: 10.1097/WCO.0000000000000541.

[2]   Z. Qin, "Research on the Integration and Development of Culture Industry and Tourism Industry of Fisherman Village in Rizhao," *Open J. Soc. Sci.*, 2018, doi: 10.4236/jss.2018.611012.

[3]   J. Trujillo, I. Chirino Yolanda, N. Martínez-Tagüeña, and J. Pedraza-Chaverri, "Renal damage in the metabolic syndrome (MetSx): Disorders implicated," *European Journal of Pharmacology*. 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2017.11.032.

[4]   Z. Van Winkle and E. Struffolino, "When working isn't enough: Family demographic processes and in-work poverty across the life course in the United States," *Demogr. Res.*, 2018, doi: 10.4054/DemRes.2018.39.12.

[5]   E. G. Lewis *et al.*, "Prevalence of Frailty in Older Community-Dwelling Tanzanians According to Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment," *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.*, 2018, doi: 10.1111/jgs.15433.

[6]   C. Riegle-Crumb, S. B. Kyte, and K. Morton, "Gender and Racial/Ethnic Differences in Educational Outcomes: Examining Patterns, Explanations, and New Directions for Research," in *Handbooks of Sociology and Social Research*, 2018. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-76694-2_6.

[7]   S.-F. Blumenthal, "Scham und Aggressionen in Interaktionen zwischen Kindern, Jugendlichen und pädagogischen Fachkräften in der Fremdunterbringung in Österreich," *Soz. Passagen*, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s12592-018-0281-x.

[8]   M. Ille and M. Sokolov, "Status culture in the times of economic transformation. Cultural participation in Saint Petersburg, 1991-2011," *Mir Ross.*, 2018, doi: 10.17323/1811-038X-2018-27-1-159-182.

[9]   V. C. Ottomano, "A dirlo iofremo! Raum, sprache und das unaussprechliche in luisa miller," *MusikTheorie*. 2018.

[10]   EUCTR2018-000366-11-ES, "Double-blinded trial of platinum-based chemotherapy with or without atezolizumab followed by niraparib maintenance with or without atezolizumab in patients with recurrent ovarian, tubal or peritoneal cancer," *https://trialsearch.who.int/Trial2.aspx?TrialID=EUCTR2018-000366-11-ES*, 2018.

[11]   J. Treasure, C. Kan, and K. Rowlands, "Staging model of eating disorders," in *Eating Disorders and Obesity in Children and Adolescents*, 2018. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-323-54852-6.00025-2.

CHAPTER 12

PROGRESS AND DEVELOPMENT IN SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITIES

Dr. Kamshad Mohsin, Assistant Professor,
Maharishi Law School, Maharishi University of Information Technology, Uttar Pradesh, India.
Email Id-kamshad@muit.in

ABSTRACT:

This paper explores how social obligations have evolved within the field of sociology. The obligations and duties that people, groups, and societies have to one another and the larger society are referred to as social responsibilities. This study investigates the evolution of social obligations across time and its consequences for social growth and development, drawing on sociological theory and empirical data. It examines how institutions, cultural norms, and values affect people's behaviors and actions as well as how they see their social duties. This study also explores the function of grassroots movements, companies, non-profits, and governments in solving societal issues and fostering social responsibility. This study provides an analysis of the evolution of social duties and provides insights into the complexity of social change and group action.

KEYWORDS:

Development, Progress, Responsibilities, Social, Societal**.**

INTRODUCTION

Development is the enhancement of one's standard of living and progression in one's condition. It might be used to describe increases in a person's socioeconomic prospects, living standards, and general well-being. However, since the word "development" has several facets, there have been many misunderstandings and differences in definition of it. Nevertheless, the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) developed the Human Development Index (HDI), which incorporates metrics like as health, life expectancy, literacy, political engagement, and resource accessibility, under the influence of academics like Amartya Sen Renowned economist Amartya Sen contends that growth may be seen as a process of extending people's actual liberties [1], [2].

This is in contrast to the limited definition of development, which associates it with factors like GDP growth, personal wealth, industrialization, technical progress, or social modernization Sen contends that increases in personal income and the GNP may be significant ways to increase personal freedom. Freedom, however, is also dependent on other factors, such as appropriate healthcare and educational systems, civil and political rights, and so on. According to Sen, "development necessitates the removal of major sources of un-freedom: tyranny and poverty, inadequate economic opportunities and systemic social deprivation, public infrastructure neglect and intolerance, excessive activity, and repressive states" [3], [4].

Furthermore, development is defined by renowned economist and sociologist Gunner Myrdal as the upward movement of the entire social system, which includes all non-economic factors in addition to the so-called economic factors, such as the various forms of consumption by different groups of people, collective consumption, levels of education and health care, the distribution of power in society, and, more broadly, economic, social, and political stratification. In other words, these factors include institutions and attitudes to which we must add, as an exogenous set of factors, induced policy measures applied in order to change one or

more of these endogenous factors Change takes the shape of development [5], [6]. There are distinctions between the two, however. Development is a value-loaded term, while change is a value-neutral one. Change, which denotes gradual adjustments or changes to the organization and operation of society, is morally neutral. On the other side, development promotes positive change. It is an intentional transformation process. Change is a necessary part of progress, but not every change is a sign of advancement. Plans for transformation are referred to as developments. For a change to be considered development, it has to happen consistently in the intended direction. A society's beliefs, customs, and requirements are taken into consideration while setting these ideal objectives.

To be more successful, every change in society has to seep into the system and be experienced by the populace. Then, one may consider such a shift to be development. Modern countries have high rates of female literacy as a consequence of advancements in education and the development of modern modes of transportation and communication. This has caused women to enter a variety of positions in both public and private organizations, altering the dynamics of the family unit as a whole. A scenario similar to role conflict results from such a change, where contemporary women are unsure of whether to play the roles of an engineer, teacher, administrator, or mother, or to fulfill the roles of a mother, wife, daughter, or traditional family lady [7], [8].

An occurrence like this is an illustration of societal transformation. But such a shift can only be considered progress if the right institutional framework and societal modifications are put in place to help working women avoid role conflicts and effectively handle both of their responsibilities. Then, these institutional configurations and societal modifications will be referred to as development. Education serves as a vehicle for socialization and the transmission of contemporary information, skills, and techniques to the populace. People's capabilities are increased and their prospects are expanded via formal education and training. An educated labor force is a necessary component of progress, a stronger system of government, and the robust operation of a democracy. India's government has implemented many initiatives to combat illiteracy, including the "Teacher Education Scheme," "Mid-day Meal Scheme," "Mahila Samakhya Scheme," and "Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan" (SSA).

In order to eradicate illiteracy, the "Total Literacy Campaign" was started after the National Literacy Mission (NLM), which was established in 1988. India's transition to a knowledge economy was aided by its rapidly rising literacy rate. India's literacy rate increased from under 12% at the time of independence to 65% according to the 2001 census. This is a potent development indicator. "A state of complete physical, mental, and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity" is how the World Health Organization (WHO) defines health. Maintaining good physical health is essential for a stable society. A healthy and developed society must have low rates of maternal and infant mortality, high standards of living, and adequate access to healthcare for all members of the community. Even though India's post-independence health statistics have shown remarkable gains, there are still a few issues that need widespread attention [9], [10].

According to statistics from 2007, India's infant mortality rate (IMR), or the likelihood that a child would die before becoming one year old, is still high at 55 per 1,000 live births, despite a consistent downward trend over time. Once again, 43% of Indian children under five are underweight (India 2010, 519–522). Over 27% of the world's undernourished population resides in India, according to a 2009 study by the UN World Food Programme. Additionally, it is estimated that 40% of mothers have a chronic energy deficit, and 30% of newborns in India are underweight (Bhattacharya 2010). Any nation that has such poor health indices would find it difficult to develop. Every developmental activity is more successful and meets the

developmental objectives when individuals participate in it. For those involved in politics, it is crucial to have the freedom to choose one's government and representative. In this perspective, India's decision to legalize adult franchising shortly after independence is noteworthy.

DISCUSSION

However, a nation cannot be considered developed if it just allows its citizens to vote. The freedom to choose the development that is appropriate for them must likewise belong to the people. A state becomes democratic and hospitable as a result. When individuals are able to experience this kind of independence, it is a significant sign of growth y such liberty. (v) Scientific and technological advancement: A nation's ability to provide better facilities for its population is a direct result of its technical proficiency. People in technologically sophisticated nations have more options when it comes to scientific and technical knowledge. Due to the high cost and intricate procedures involved in research and development (R&D), only few nations are able to allocate significant resources to this field. On the other hand, a nation with modern and sufficient technology can effectively handle its diverse requirements and provide resources for its large population. A nation has to be driven by a strong desire to preserve its cultural civilization and traditional history in addition, having access to scientific instruments and economic expansion in order to really thrive.

The idea behind the Human Development Index (HDI), which was created by the United Nations Population Fund (UNDP), is that human well-being which encompasses a variety of elements like cultural identity and a sense of security regarding one's personal safety as well as the safety of one's culture and place in the world should now be used to measure progress and development instead of just GDP (Gross Domestic Product) or per capita income. Bhutan has very high measures of human pleasure in this regard. Bhutan's thriving craft industry is to blame for this, since craft is intrinsic to the country's character (Chatterjee and Ashoke 2005). Thus, the traditional cultural ethos and values play a significant role in the formation of a nation. One of the main pillars of the identity of handicraft workers in India is their traditional skill, or local expertise. But in post-liberalization India, this identity is either disappearing or becoming more diluted, and market pressures have a significant impact on local knowledge and skills. According to Kingsley Davis, social change is defined as modifications to a society's "structure" and "function." This came up during the definitional analysis of change discussion.

In this context, the concept of "structure" is significant. "Structure" describes the orderly configurations in which different components of a system or whole are arranged in accordance with predetermined guidelines and standards. The structure itself is not apparent to the general public, but the results it creates are. It regulates how members of a society behave toward one another. The framework of a society system, which includes established laws, practices, values, standards, and so forth, controls its members. Social structure may undergo two different kinds of change: changes that are seen inside the structure and changes to the societal system or structure as a whole. The most significant and pertinent of these two sorts of modifications is structural change, or alteration of structure. "Maybe the focus on structural change is justified by the fact that it often results in changes of rather than just changes in society. Any social system that undergoes structural change has partial changes rather than complete ones. Here, the internal arrangements change but the structure as a whole stays the same. In this instance, changes are limited and only partially implemented; other elements remain unaffected. Sanskritization is a process that modifies Indian societal structure, not the structure itself. The Indian sociologist M. N. Srinivas is credited with coining the term "Sanskritization." Srinivas attempted to explain the process of cultural mobility inside the conventional Indian caste structure in his research of the Coorgs. He believes that there is always room for caste members to change or advance in rank, and that the caste system in traditional India has never been so

strict. According to Srinivas (1966), Sanskritization is the process by which a lower caste, tribe, or other group adopts the customs, rituals, beliefs, philosophy, and way of life of a higher caste, especially the "twice-born" (dwija) caste.

According to Srinivas, a lower caste or tribe may adopt a vegetarian diet, give up alcohol, stop sacrificing animals, and give up eating meat and other nonvegetarian cuisine in order to follow the way of life of higher castes. They could attain upward mobility in their status and claim a better position in the local caste structure while doing this for one or two generations. This movement mechanism is part of the caste system. It doesn't cause any changes to the structure. The many rungs of the Indian caste system are changing, but the system as a whole is not. The caste system's inequitable structure will never disappear due to the Sanskritization process. Within such uneven framework, very few people are able to claim better rank or enhance their conventional social standing. Therefore, rather than a structural change, it is a process of structure change. Sanskritization, in Srinivas' case, results in positional rather than structural alteration.

 Similarly, industrialization and urbanization are two significant structural changes brought forth by colonialism in India. The socioeconomic process known as "industrialization" is what turns an agrarian civilization into an industrial one. In this process, scientific and technological innovation are directly linked to socio-economic growth. It alludes to the inception of machine manufacturing by the utilization of inanimate energy. The shift in people's occupational structures is the most significant change that occurs after industrialization. People began moving from agricultural to industrial settings. The industrial revolution in the United Kingdom during the 18th century marked the beginning of industrialization, which subsequently extended to other regions of Europe and eventually the whole globe. India, a British territory, saw a radical transformation in its social structure after the industrial revolution.

Once again, the process of people moving from rural or country regions to cities or urban areas is known as urbanization. Many villagers in India moved to the metropolis as a result of industrialization to find factory jobs. As a result, urbanization and industrialization are usually considered related phenomena. India's ancient pattern of extended or joint families collapsed into nuclear homes as a result of industrialization and urbanization. The move from a joint to a nuclear household affected not just the size and style of the home but also the relationships between the members. The authority of the traditional family and family head was challenged by the youth of today with their access to contemporary education and economic freedom. In a similar vein, women's roles in society have evolved significantly. More women are employed outside the house and are self-sufficient financially.

The previous system of child marriages has drastically decreased and is now almost nonexistent as a result of modernization. Hindu marriage as a holy connection is becoming less prevalent in favor of "live-in" partnerships. The system of arranged marriages, in which parents were major decision-makers in partner selection, is rapidly disintegrating as more and more young boys and girls choose to pick their own soul mates via love marriages. As "gay" and "lesbian" weddings become more common, marriage as an institution is likewise changing and losing significance. This situation is pertinent to the recent ruling by the Honourable Delhi High Court that Section 337 of the Indian Penal Code is unconstitutional. The Court decided that it is a violation of basic rights to regard consenting homosexual intercourse between adults as a criminal. These enormous, fundamental social system changes in India are the result of industrialization and urbanization. The way that families and marriages are structured both in rural and urban settings has a significant influence on people's day-to-day lives. Thus, structural change has always been a significant topic of study for sociologist.

Changes may result from cultural innovations, which might originate in the innovator's own society or from another (innovation is the new synthesis of existing parts). Similar to colonization, the spread of culture from one community to another has been a major factor in social and cultural transformation in every society. The emergence of a new religion or sect may also cause cultural change. A new religion or sect's beginnings have an impact on the social structure and may result in the growth of a new cult or religion due to changes made to the current social order via the eradication of antiquated social mores and customs. For instance, in India, the advent of Buddhism and Jainism altered the then-prevailing Hindu orthodoxy. The influence of the non-social environment might potentially be the cause of this shift.

Social changes may also result from changes in the non-social environment, which may be brought about by human engineering. Examples of these changes include deforestation, soil erosion, and the depletion of natural mineral resources. Natural disasters that alter the non-social environment, such as cyclones, volcanic eruptions, and floods, may also result in positive social developments. The average life duration and longevity have an impact on the social structure and demographic makeup. When individuals pass away at a young age as a result of illnesses, natural catastrophes, or conflicts, the majority of the surviving are usually young people who are receptive to new ideas and improvements for their survival. On the other side, those who live long lives as a result of access to healthcare and a quiet environment dislike change and favor the status quo. This has a negative impact on the rate of societal transformation. A low birth rate causes the population of a society to decline.

Small families may have an impact on social connections since there may be less skilled staff available and less use of the natural resources that are accessible when there is a low population. A number of factors, including scientific discoveries, contemporary education, improved healthcare and preventative medications, increased agricultural output that boosts food supply and living standards, control over nature to avert disasters, and so on, may contribute to low birth rates. The structure and social interactions of a society are also affected by changes in the sex ratio. Monogamy is the result of almost equal numbers of males and women in society. If there is an imbalance between the number of men and women in the population, polygamy will emerge. When there are more women than males, polygyny results; however, when the reverse occurs, i.e., when there are more men than women, polyandry often follows. Max Weber, a German sociologist, believed that religion had a significant impact on the growth or stagnation of the economy. In his book Protestant Ethics and the Spirit of Capitalism (1930), he attempted to clarify this thesis by explaining how the emergence of the capitalist spirit, particularly via the rise of Calvinism—an individualistic Christian ethic led to economic dynamism in the West. According to Weber, Eastern religions are often associated with a rejection of materialistic pursuits, such as accumulating riches and belongings.

The concepts that support the logical pursuit of financial gain are what he refers to as the spirit of capitalism. According to Weber, certain Protestant groups had encouraged materialistic endeavors with a view to financial gain because they believed they had moral and spiritual value. This realization was a result of other fai ideas rather than an objective in and of itself. Hospitals extend life expectancy, but also the role-relationship within the family, adult presence and dominance, resistance to change, younger generation's demand for greater social space, role strains and generation gap, and issues facing the elderly regardless of social class are some of the related issues. These include, among other manifest aspects, the changing roles that elders play in the family, the makeup of the joint family, changes to the institution of marriage and its effects on women's status. Students should be able to comprehend the underlying social effects of apparent scientific and technological advancements. One way to

define mass media is as a collection of diverse media technologies designed to communicate with a broad audience. The various technologies used for this communication are different. Information is sent electronically via broadcast media, which includes television, radio, recorded music, and movies. Print media disseminates information via tangible materials like newspapers, books, pamphlets, or comic books.

Signs, banners, and billboards erected both within and outside of retail establishments, sports arenas, and buses are examples of outdoor media. The Internet and mobile mass communication are both considered forms of digital media. Numerous mass media services, including email, websites, blogs, and Internet-based radio and television, are offered by internet media. None of the above-mentioned elements can be the only one that determines societal change, but each one may influence the others. Deterministic or reductionist theories are often refuted in part because the act of describing a process requires explanation of its own, making the technique itself non-autonomous. Furthermore, separating apart social issues would be deceptive since they are often so entwined. For instance, there are no limits that are set in stone between political and economic forces or between technology and economic issues. One may consider technological change to be a particular kind of organizational or conceptual change in and of itself. Differentiable social processes have varying degrees of causal relationships with one another throughout time.

CONCLUSION

The examination of social responsibility's growth and advancement emphasizes how crucial community involvement and group efforts are to solving today's problems. Perceptions of social duties change along with societies, reflecting changes in conventions, beliefs, and priorities. In order to advance in social duties, one must actively seek to advance social justice, fairness, and sustainability in addition to acknowledging one's own and society's commitments. Through the implementation of practices, policies, and initiatives that benefit communities and address systematic inequities, governments, companies, non-profit organizations, and grassroots movements all play essential roles in furthering social responsibility. However, obstacles such as institutional impediments, entrenched interests, and reluctance to change impede the advancement of social duties. Nevertheless, societies may keep moving in the direction of more inclusive, fair, and sustainable futures by working together, advocating for change, and engaging the community. People and organizations may help create resilient communities and advance the welfare of all citizens by cultivating a culture of social responsibility and accountability. Thus, the pursuit of social growth and the wellbeing of the whole remains dependent on the continuous development of social duties.

REFERENCES:

[1] S. Mohamed Adnan, D. Hay, and C. J. van Staden, "The influence of culture and corporate governance on corporate social responsibility disclosure: A cross country analysis," *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.07.057.

[2] D. Secchi and H. T. M. Bui, "Group Effects on Individual Attitudes Toward Social Responsibility," *J. Bus. Ethics*, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s10551-016-3106-x.

[3] M. Mahrani and N. Soewarno, "The effect of good corporate governance mechanism and corporate social responsibility on financial performance with earnings management as mediating variable," *Asian J. Account. Res.*, 2018, doi: 10.1108/AJAR-06-2018-0008.

[4]   S. Franzoni and A. A. Allali, "Principles of Islamic finance and principles of Corporate Social Responsibility: What convergence?," *Sustain.*, 2018, doi: 10.3390/su10030637.

[5]   P. Bhardwaj, P. Chatterjee, K. D. Demir, and O. Turut, "When and how is corporate social responsibility profitable?," *J. Bus. Res.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2017.11.026.

[6]   G. Halkos and A. Skouloudis, "Corporate social responsibility and innovative capacity: Intersection in a macro-level perspective," *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.02.022.

[7]   A. (Waikong) Cheung, M. Hu, and J. Schwiebert, "Corporate social responsibility and dividend policy," *Account. Financ.*, 2018, doi: 10.1111/acfi.12238.

[8]   J. Y. Liu, "An internal control system that includes corporate social responsibility for social sustainability in the new era," *Sustain.*, 2018, doi: 10.3390/su10103382.

[9]   G. Tsourvakas and I. Yfantidou, "Corporate social responsibility influences employee engagement," *Soc. Responsib. J.*, 2018, doi: 10.1108/SRJ-09-2016-0153.

[10]   J. W. Kang and Y. Namkung, "The Effect of Corporate Social Responsibility on Brand Equity and the Moderating Role of Ethical Consumerism: The Case of Starbucks," *J. Hosp. Tour. Res.*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/1096348017727057.

CHAPTER 13

INVESTIGATION AND ANALYSIS OF SOCIAL PROBLEMS

Dr. Kamshad Mohsin, Assistant Professor,
Maharishi Law School, Maharishi University of Information Technology, Uttar Pradesh, India.
Email Id-kamshad@muit.in

ABSTRACT:

Within the field of sociology, social issues are examined and analyzed in this work. Social difficulties are problems in society that have an adverse effect on people, communities, or organizations. These problems are often caused by systematic injustices, structural inequality, or cultural norms. This study explores the difficulties in recognizing, comprehending, and resolving social issues via the use of empirical research, case studies, and sociological theories. It examines a range of social issues, emphasizing the connections and underlying causes of issues including poverty, inequality, prejudice, crime, and environmental degradation. This work employs a critical approach to examine the ways in which power dynamics, social institutions, and collective action contribute to the persistence or amelioration of social issues. This study intends to enlighten community efforts, social movements, and governmental actions aiming at advancing social justice and equality by illuminating the complexity of social problems.

KEYWORDS:

Crime, Discrimination, Environmental degradation, Inequality, Poverty.

INTRODUCTION

Unwanted events, circumstances, or behaviors pertaining to society, social institutions, social connections, social structure, social organization, and so forth are referred to as social issues. They have such an impact on society that people are really looking for a way to get rid of them. Because of the way society is set up, it is impossible to prevent conflicts of interest. These disputes lead to issues that need to be addressed by society. The problems that society has identified differs greatly from the list of issues that legislators and lawmakers have acknowledged. It is challenging to get to a consensus or agreement over the types of social issues [1], [2]. A wide range of issues around us these days. We learn about them via newspapers, hear about them on the radio or television, and see or encounter them in our own families or in our neighborhoods. Among them, a large number of the issues are personal in nature, pertaining to the individual and having little effect on others in their immediate vicinity. However, certain of them stand out and have an effect on society as a whole when they manifest as societal issues.

Alcoholism and drug misuse are two societal issues that are covered in this course. In India, there is a growing production, distribution, and marketing of alcohol. It is a commodity that is readily accessible because of this. Even if they are not as widely accessible, drugs may still be obtained with ease if one has "contacts." Alcohol is a sedative that eases anxiety. It reduces aggressive inhibitions and releases stress. It is one of the six categories of drugs, along with nicotine, opioids, stimulants, sedatives, and hallucinogens [3], [4]. Substances known as drugs have the power to change a person's mental state by affecting their thoughts and actions. While some drugs are lawfully obtained with a prescription, others are unlawful and only taken for recreational purposes. Overindulgence in drugs and alcohol may have a number of negative

consequences. According to the government, the proceeds from the sale of alcohol go toward advancing society. Numerous studies conducted at the national and local levels also show that at least 20–40% of Indian males between the ages of 15 and 60 regularly use alcohol. This section starts by explaining to the students what alcoholism and drug misuse are, and then it talks about the negative effects of using drugs and alcohol [5], [6]. Additionally, the section offers precautions against these two evils that are present in contemporary culture. MYouth-focused policies and initiatives have been formulated and implemented by Indian officials on several occasions. But what if a large number of them continue to be unemployed? The majority of our nation's educated young are unemployed on a massive scale. Growth in the population and inadequate workforce planning have made unemployment a serious issue that has reached frightening proportions.

Despite attempts by the government to avoid it, unemployment is still a concern and is becoming worse. While unemployment is pervasive in rural India, educated unemployment is a concern in urban India. Additionally, unemployment is an issue that affects all capitalist economies to some degree, but it is particularly bad in emerging and underdeveloped nations. Youth unemployment has a negative psychological impact. It often causes the rate of crime to rise. The issues surrounding unemployment in India are covered in this section. It focuses on its manifestations, origins, scope, and solutions. Comparably, Indian society is also plagued by another issue. This is the corruption issue. The fact that corruption resists all attempts to limit it is one of its most defining characteristics, particularly given that it permeates every aspect of our society and governance.

The topic of corruption is covered in this unit, with a focus on political and bureaucratic corruption. You will also learn about the reasons for corruption and the government's response to the problem in this section. Women have always been seen as weaker and less capable than males. Because of the social reality that the patriarchal culture has created, women now have a more dependent position in society. The debate around culture, politics, and religion perpetuates the idea that women are dependent. The fact that numerous religious books excuse beating women may horrify you. Despite the fact that several laws are in place to prevent violent acts against women, it may be difficult to establish the legitimacy of domestic abuse since most of these incidents go unreported [7], [8].

Many health and human rights advocates, social scientists, and psychologists are very concerned about the problem of violence against women. Women's mental health suffers when they anticipate being abused physically, psychologically, or sexually because they know that they are never secure, not even at home. Their independence is therefore limited as they always worry about being attacked. Maintaining the status quo for the person in charge is the main goal of agitation-based opposition. For instance, the institution can indicate on certain students' mark sheets that they received lower marks after a reevaluation. Students may fight this action by organizing protests, which might compel the institution to maintain the original grades (that is, the marks would not be lowered). Students' dissatisfaction and concerns about the direction the university is going are expressed via this kind of resistance agitation. In this instance, the young people try to influence the powerful individuals' perspective on a certain matter by speaking with them directly about their issues and forcing them to agree with them. This kind of irritation might be caused by either little or significant problems. In addition to inspiring sympathy for the students, the agitation's expression of rage and injustice inspires passive young to become active participants in the movement and find healthy emotional outlets for their unhappiness [9], [10].

The primary goal of revolutionary agitation is to produce drastic, unanticipated changes to the social and educational structures. For instance, in this kind of unrest, the students can compel

the authorities to determine that no student would be demoted and will be advanced to a higher level. Additionally, until the student passes the topic or the failed paper, he or she should be offered the chance to appear in it. The revolutionary leaders support toppling the current system and installing a new one in this kind of unrest. The following are some instances of young revolutionary agitation: It is true that if there is no dissatisfaction among young people, there won't be any unrest.

The young is unlikely to be interested in unrest if they are content with what they have. But the young who are furious and dissatisfied with the current norms and procedures, or who are even somewhat irritated with the current setup, will work together to exert pressure on the person in charge to make some changes.

DISCUSSION

According to the personal maladjustment idea, agitation is a way for young people to escape feeling like they have failed. Young individuals who experience maladjustment and unfulfillment may have a tendency to engage in agitational activities more often. Put succinctly, the agitational followers are the disgruntled outcasts of society. Prominent sociologists who support this notion believe that there wouldn't have been many agitations if there hadn't been complaints and unhappiness. To turn teenage unhappiness into a constructive and non-harmful agitation, however, mobilization is necessary. That being said, not all forms of adolescent agitation are explained by this approach. This notion does not fit under the categories of resistance-based agitation, which include persuasive, revolutionary, and resistance agitations. But in the current context, student-oriented agitations are more accurately problem-oriented than value-oriented.

For instance, students will launch a campaign to remove a specific vice chancellor from office, but they won't stand up to reform how Indian colleges choose their vice chancellors overall. Similarly, in a social movement, they will speak out against any mistreatment of a state administrator in whatever manner, but they virtually every support altering the state apparatus. The negative effects of alcohol usage do not always make it recommended. Overindulging in alcohol consumption may impair immunity, leaving the body more susceptible to illness. Alcohol should be avoided by heart patients and expectant mothers for this reason. Thus, the greatest advice for someone who consumes alcohol is to do it moderately. Nonetheless, a lot of individuals drink to varying degrees and in various contexts. According to Dr. Don Cahalan, a retired professor at the School of Public Health at the University of California, Berkeley, alcoholism has historically been seen as a family illness. An individual's excessive alcohol use causes severe psychological distress for the family.

Around 40% of the homes questioned had at least one alcohol drinker in community-based research. Isaac (1998) reviewed the research on current alcohol consumption patterns in India and observed the mounting evidence linking alcohol use to "major causes of marital discord and family disruption." An alcohol user's family has two disadvantages: first, the productive member's unemployment or underemployment reduces the family's financial resources; second, the little resources currently available must be shared either freely or under duress in order to purchase alcohol. When a bad occurrence occurs in the family, this poverty might cause the family to descend. It is now well acknowledged that alcohol use has a significant financial cost to Indian society. However, the degree to which the economic effect of alcohol use is monetized determines how accurate the pricing is. It should include both actual and intangible expenses, as well as direct and indirect expenditures. Acute and long-term medical expenses as well as lost wages from disability and death are included in the direct cost. The indirect costs include missed productivity, poor self-esteem, social costs of postponed events,

meeting the load, losing funds, additional loans taken out, assets sold, job replacement/support, and loss of employment or education. A lot of people who drink do so to deal with challenging emotions.

They see it as a way out of the routine of everyday life and as a substitute for strained social interactions. Because drinking interferes with an alcoholic's lack of mental stability, quitting becomes difficult. The brain's chemical imbalances create normal reactions like stress, worry, and sadness. Alcoholics fight against being sucked into a vortex of unfavorable moods and feelings and revert to how they used to handle these feelings. Madhya Pradesh found that although 93% of users first encountered alcohol through friends (families made up 3%), 62% of users began drinking for sociability, 6% out of curiosity, 8% as a way to relieve psychological stress, and 24% as a way to get over exhaustion. Meena et al. (2002) found that 26% of people drank alcohol to deal with stress, 15% to think and perform better, 14% to feel happier, and 8% to unwind.

According to Singh et al. (2000) from Amritsar, three-fourths of the guys drank more alcohol in order to spend more time with their buddies. From an intervention perspective, these dependent users many of whom do not make it to the specialized de-addiction centers and other tertiary care centers need to be given long-term care and rehabilitation treatments. For the approximately 55 million problematic and hazardous alcohol users who are more likely to have long-term consequences from their use of alcohol, early diagnosis and rapid treatment procedures across several types of health facilities must also be implemented. In cooperation with state health departments, the Ministries of Health (via government organizations) and Social Justice (through non-governmental organizations) have created centers across the nation in medical schools, district hospitals, or some community health centers. Nevertheless, a large number of the centers lack supporting facilities, medications, and personnel with the necessary training.

In an effort to mobilize community resources and involvement, the Ministry of Social Justice has funded media coverage, community-based therapy, and public awareness initiatives. Raising public awareness of the issues with alcohol in society is one of the ministries' main goals. However, these programs are unlikely to be successful or have a significant impact if appropriate adjustments to availability, scheduling, distribution, and sales are not made in conjunction with these instructional efforts. The National Master Plan was developed in 1994 and included precise recommendations for prevention, treatment, and rehabilitation; however, the plan has not yet been fully implemented. Under the National Drug De-addiction Programme (DDAP), the Government of India funds 401 detoxification and 41 counseling facilities nationwide to treat individuals with drug abuse problems Approximately 45% of patients at these facilities are there to address alcoholism.

"Drug is any chemical substance which affects bodily function, mood, perception or consciousness and which has potential for misuse and which may be harmful to the individual or the society," said prominent sociologist Joseph Jullian. Drug addiction is defined as "a condition characterized by an overwhelming desire to continue taking a drug because it produces a particular effect, usually an alteration of mental status, to which one has become habituated through repeated consumption." As traditional moorings, effective social taboos, focus on self-restraint, and widespread control and discipline of the joint family and society are dissolving, drug addiction is becoming a worry over time.

A person is now more susceptible to the pressures and strains of contemporary life due to the processes of industrialization, urbanization, and migration, which have resulted in a relaxation of the old social control mechanisms. The rapid changes in the social environment are one of

the key causes of the rise in drug usage, including the abuse of new and conventional psychoactive drugs. These medications boost the body's neurological system and give off an energizing effect. They are also known as "uppers" due to their capacity to arouse intense arousal. The impact of stimulants is the reverse of that of depressants. Usually, a stimulant user has symptoms of nausea and lethargy when the effects wear off. Such medicines might have highly detrimental consequences on the user if they are used often. Drug treatment facilities are frequently advised in order to stop the very detrimental consequences of these substances and the influence they have on life. An individual's propensity to become an addict may also be influenced by the environment in which they live and grow up, including their family, peers, and social standing. Peer pressure, physical and sexual abuse, anxiety, and parental involvement are a few more elements that may have a significant influence on a person's journey towards drug misuse and addiction. In addition to genetic and environmental influences, an individual's developmental stage also plays a role in determining the likelihood of drug addiction in the future. In actuality, those who start out early on the road to drug addiction are more likely to become drug abusers and will have more obstacles to overcome during their rehabilitation. Adolescent drug use has also been seen to be increasingly common. The Government of India has a two-pronged approach to address drug-related issues: supply reduction and demand reduction. The Department of Revenue is the nodal agency for enforcement authorities when it comes to supply reduction, while the social sector is in charge of the demand reduction plan.

The Government of India's Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment is in charge of carrying out the demand reduction plan across the nation. Over time, it became clear that the availability of such euphoric beverages and medicines is not the only factor contributing to drug misuse; societal factors also play a significant role in creating the need or desire for the use of these substances. The susceptibility of contemporary society serves as a catalyst for the misuse and overuse of narcotic and psychotropic substances. This makes it necessary to put policies in place for stopping drug misuse, informing the public about its negative consequences, and helping addicts get well. The results of research studies and publications show how drug misuse is related to the social dynamics or socioeconomic circumstances of the people. Since 1985–86, the Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment has served as the nation's central hub for initiatives aimed at reducing drug demand. As such, it has been executing the Scheme for Prohibition and Drug Abuse Prevention. Given that the execution of drug addiction treatment programs requires a consistent, dedicated, and innovative effort together with a high degree of flexibility, a state-community (volunteer) cooperation seems to be an especially effective vehicle for service delivery.

As a result, under the program, volunteer groups provide real services via counseling and awareness centers, de-addiction camp de-rehabilitation centers, and awareness programs, while the government pays a large amount of the service costs. Through this program, the Ministry supports over 390 nonprofit groups in their efforts to maintain the nation's more than 400 Integrated Rehabilitation Centers for Addicts (IRCAs). For this scheme, an average of ~ 40 crore has been allocated annually. The main goal of building treatment facilities at facilities managed by volunteer groups is to make sure that the community and family are as supportive as possible. For the treatment and rehabilitation of addicts, these facilities use a broad range of techniques, systems, and methodologies that are appropriate and adaptive to the societal conventions, traditions, and culture. This does not, however, in any way call into question the acceptance of cutting-edge, contemporary, and proven therapy methods. A team of professionals from a variety of professions, including as physicians, counselors, community workers, social workers, and so forth, is present at every center. As a result, a multidisciplinary strategy is being used based on the requirements of each unique instance. They collaborate with

the infrastructure and services provided by other associated agencies as well as the community's resources. One hundred de-addiction centers are operating in government hospitals and basic health centers to help treat hard-core addicts who need rigorous, long-term medical care. The counseling and awareness centers conduct a variety of awareness-generation programs in a range of community contexts, such as schools and local panchayats. In addition to these facilities, the Ministry has been aggressively educating the public about the negative consequences of drug addiction and providing information on service delivery via a variety of print and audio-visual media outlets. The general strategy is predicated on the need of addressing the pervasive ignorance and lack of knowledge on the detrimental effects of drug misuse prevention and rehabilitation programs, as well as the need to create a culture of drug abstinence by raising community awareness. According to this viewpoint, the public awareness campaign regarding the harmful effects of drug misuse considers the features of the issue that are unique to each culture. A distinct strategy has been implemented to educate the general public about vulnerable populations.

The rising unemployment rate is one of the most serious issues the Indian economy has been dealing with. It diminishes social norms, encourages poverty and inequality, and costs the country a significant amount of human capital. In India, unemployment is a chronic illness that prevents physically fit individuals from working at the going rate. individuals's level of life is lowered because jobless individuals have less money to spend. They experience social deprivation and inferiority complex. As a result, some economists refer to the issue of unemployment as a socioeconomic crisis facing society.

In India, unemployment is becoming a significant issue, notwithstanding the difficulty in obtaining precise data. Every year, almost 7 million more individuals join the labor force, and this number is rising more quickly. Conversely, however, there aren't enough jobs being created by economic expansion. When there are many more workers than are really needed in a position, it is referred to be disguised unemployment. It is invisible in nature since the overall output doesn't alter even if certain employees are removed from the workforce. In theory, invisible work or disguised unemployment occurs when a worker's marginal production is zero. Assume there are five employees working on a project; if two of them leave and the project as a whole is not harmed, the two employees are secretly jobless. Renowned economist Professor Ragnar Nurkse established the idea of disguised unemployment, arguing that it is very detrimental to the country and has a direct impact on total output. India is an impoverished nation that is trapped in a cycle of poverty due to hidden unemployment. The majority of disguised unemployment occurs in rural India, which contributes to the poor productivity of this industry. It maintains Indian farmers' subsistence lifestyles. Seasonal unemployment refers to joblessness that results from shifts in the seasons. It is present in the seasonal industry and the agricultural sector. In India, farming is a seasonal profession. Workers find employment throughout the planting and harvesting seasons, which is five to seven months out of the year. They are recognized as the sufferers of seasonal unemployment as they are jobless for the remainder of the time. This kind of joblessness is also seen in companies that produce crackers, rice, sugar, and ice, where employment is seasonal.

CONCLUSION

The study and examination of social issues highlight the complexity of societal issues and the pressing need for all-encompassing solutions. A complex web of social, economic, and political institutions is at the basis of many social issues, including poverty, inequality, discrimination, crime, and environmental degradation. A holistic strategy that takes into account the overlapping elements influencing these challenges' persistence is needed to address them. This involves initiatives to combat discriminatory attitudes and actions as well as structural changes

to alleviate systemic disparities and advance economic opportunity and social inclusion. In addition, tackling social issues requires cooperation and group efforts from a variety of societal sectors, such as the government, grassroots groups, academics, and civil society. Stakeholders may find creative solutions, gather resources, and push for legislative reforms that advance equality and social justice by cooperating. Even while solving social issues might seem like a difficult endeavor, history has demonstrated that significant progress can be made with persistent work and dedication. Scholars pave the path for a more fair, equitable, and inclusive society by carrying out more research and analysis on social issues. This leads to a greater knowledge of the problems' underlying causes and possible remedies.

REFERENCES:

[1]   C. Hervieux and A. Voltan, "Framing Social Problems in Social Entrepreneurship," *J. Bus. Ethics*, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s10551-016-3252-1.

[2]   A. Hasegawa, Y. Kunisato, H. Morimoto, H. Nishimura, and Y. Matsuda, "How do Rumination and Social Problem Solving Intensify Depression? A Longitudinal Study," *J. Ration. - Emotive Cogn. - Behav. Ther.*, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s10942-017-0272-4.

[3]   E. V. Parfenova, F. K. Rider, A. G. Gersamia, A. A. Yakovlev, and A. B. Guekht, "Epilepsy as a social problem," *Zhurnal Nevrol. i Psihiatr. Im. S.S. Korsakova*, 2018, doi: 10.17116/jnevro201811809177.

[4]   A. Y. Sharaf, O. A. Lachine, and E. A. Thompson, "Rumination, Social Problem Solving and Suicide Intent Among Egyptians With a Recent Suicide Attempt," *Arch. Psychiatr. Nurs.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.apnu.2017.10.008.

[5]   D. O. Sánchez and I. M. Gómez Trigueros, "Gamification, social problems, and gender in the teaching of social sciences: Representations and discourse of trainee teachers," *PLoS One*, 2018, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0218869.

[6]   J. R. Doom, B. Richards, G. Caballero, J. Delva, S. Gahagan, and B. Lozoff, "Infant Iron Deficiency and Iron Supplementation Predict Adolescent Internalizing, Externalizing, and Social Problems," *J. Pediatr.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2017.12.008.

[7]   G. M. Walton and T. D. Wilson, "Wise interventions: Psychological remedies for social and personal problems," *Psychol. Rev.*, 2018, doi: 10.1037/rev0000115.

[8]   R. D. Wadhwani, "Poverty's Monument: Social Problems and Organizational Field Emergence in Historical Perspective," *J. Manag. Stud.*, 2018, doi: 10.1111/joms.12329.

[9]   J. D. McQuade, N. L. Breslend, and D. Groff, "Experiences of physical and relational victimization in children with ADHD: The role of social problems and aggression," *Aggress. Behav.*, 2018, doi: 10.1002/ab.21762.

[10]   E. Ntontis and N. Hopkins, "Framing a 'social problem'': Emotion in anti-abortion activists' depiction of the abortion debate,'" *Br. J. Soc. Psychol.*, 2018, doi: 10.1111/bjso.12249.