INTRODUCTION TO MICROECONOMICS

Dr. Syed Kazim

INTRODUCTION TO MICROECONOMICS

INTRODUCTION TO MICROECONOMICS

Dr. Syed Kazim

ALEXIS PRESS

Published by: Alexis Press, LLC, Jersey City, USA
www.alexispress.us

© RESERVED

This book contains information obtained from highly regarded resources.
Copyright for individual contents remains with the authors.
A wide variety of references are listed. Reasonable efforts have been made
to publish reliable data and information, but the author and the publisher
cannot assume responsibility for the validity of
all materials or for the consequences of their use.

No part of this book may be reprinted, reproduced, transmitted,
or utilized in any form by any electronic, mechanical, or other means,
now known or hereinafter invented, including photocopying,
microfilming and recording, or any information storage or retrieval system,
without permission from the publishers.

For permission to photocopy or use material electronically
from this work please access alexispress.us

First Published 2023

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication Data

Includes bibliographical references and index.

Introduction to Microeconomics by *Dr. Syed Kazim*

ISBN 979-8-89161-799-5

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1

INVESTIGATION AND OVERVIEW ON MICROECONOMICS

Dr. Syed Kazim, Associate Professor
Department of General Management, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School
Jain (Deemed to be University), Bangalore, Karnataka, India
Email Id- dr.syed_kazim@cms.ac.in

ABSTRACT:
The concepts, ideas, and applications that control individual economic decision-making and the actions of organizations in markets are the main topics of this study, which offers a thorough analysis and complete review of microeconomics. A fundamental area of economics called microeconomics studies how individuals, organizations, and sectors distribute resources and make decisions when faced with shortages. To clarify the basic ideas and practical uses of microeconomics, this research combines important ideas from microeconomic theory, empirical analysis, and policy implications. Consumer choice theory, production and cost analysis, market structures including oligopoly, monopoly, perfect, and monopolistic competition and the function of government interference in markets are some of the important areas that have been studied. Along with examining these issues, the research also sheds insight on the economics of information, income distribution, pricing methods, externalities, and welfare.

KEYWORDS:

Consumer Choice Theory, Economic Decision-Making, Market Structures, Microeconomics, Production Cost Analysis.

INTRODUCTION

Economics is the study of economizing, or the selection of various uses for finite resources. Millions of people, companies, and government agencies make decisions. Economics looks at how these decisions come together to form an economic system and how it functions. Economic theory is centered on scarcity. The core idea of economic analysis is the maximizing of something, subject to limitations free time, income, health, and pleasure are all typically reduced to the notion of utility [1], [2]. These limitations, or scarcity, always characterize a trade-off. For instance, working harder may result in having more money, but working harder might also result in having less time (because a day can only contain so many hours). It is only possible to have more apples at the price of, instance, less grapes since there is a limited amount of land available for food production. For instance, Adam Smith thought about the trade-off between money and time, or convenience. He spoke on how someone may choose to live closer to town and pay a higher rent, or farther out and pay a lower rent, "paying the difference out of his convenience."

With the release of Prof. Adam Smith's very successful book An Enquiry into the Nature and Causes of Wealth of Nations" in 1776, the field of economics officially began. Political economics was the term used at the time, and it was in use at least until the middle of the 1800s. Since then, economists have used both deductive and inductive reasoning to construct tools and concepts.

The "Wealth of Nations" really marked a turning point in the development of economic theory by dividing economics from other social sciences. The definition of economics as the study of wealth was given by early economists such as J.E. Cairnes, J.B. Say, and F.A. Walker. The man known as the founder of economics, Adam Smith, said that is a branch of study that studies

the origins and characteristics of national wealth. In other words, economics addresses the issue of how a country might accumulate ever-greater riches. Per J.S. Mill's opinion [3], [4].

It is the applied science that studies how wealth is created and distributed. According to American economist F.A. Walker, economics is the field of knowledge that deals with wealth. Thus, wealth is a factor in each of these definitions. Marshall therefore argues that economics analyzes both the process of accumulating wealth and the application of that money to the acquisition of tangible benefits in human existence. As a matter of fact, money is meaningless unless it is put to use buying all the necessities for both our daily comforts and our continued existence. Marshall thus believed that having riches is a means to a goal. Stated differently, economics is essentially a study of man and not a science of riches. It might be referred to as the science of human wellbeing. Economics is the study of those actions related to wealth that are done for the benefit of human wellbeing rather than riches for its own sake. Cannan states that "the explanation of the general causes on which human welfare depends materially" is the goal of political economics. Economics is "the study of mankind in the ordinary business of life; it examines that part of the individual and social action which is most closely connected with the attainment and with the use of the material requisites of well-being," according to Marshall in his 1890 book "Principles of Economics." The ideal approach to respond would be to take you on an economic tour of the globe and explain the key economic developments as well as the problems that keep macroeconomists and macroeconomic policy makers up at night. This is preferable than providing you with a formal description [5], [6].

In actuality, policy makers are not getting enough sleep as of this writing (fall 2011), and they haven't in a long time. The global economy faced its worst financial crisis since the Great Depression in 2008. The usual annual growth rate of global production is between 4 and 5%, however in 2009 it was actually negative. Since then, the global economy has started to gradually recover and growth has become positive. However, the crisis has left a lot of wounds, and there are still a lot of concerns. We want to provide you with an overview of these developments as well as some of the macroeconomic problems that many nations are now facing. Since we are unable to give you a comprehensive tour, we will first give you a brief summary of the problem before concentrating on the three major global economic powers. His concept of the United States has also drawn criticism for limiting its scope to the examination of material wellbeing. The non-material aspects of human existence are ignored. Furthermore, economics as a discipline examines a variety of activities that scarcely advance wellbeing, as Robbins noted.

For example, the production of intoxicants is an economic activity but does not advance wellbeing. The conventional understanding of the nature of economic science was challenged by Lionel Robbins. His 1932 work "Nature and Significance of Economic Science" introduced a novel definition of economics. He referred to all previous definitions as being unscientific and classificatory. The science that studies human behavior as a relationship between ends and scarce means which have alternative uses" is what he defines as economics. This definition concentrated on a specific facet of human behavior, namely behavior related to the use of finite resources to accomplish limitless goals (wants) [7], [8].

Consequently, Robbins' definition focused on the following points: Ends are the aspirations that each and every person aspires to fulfill. Want is an effective desire for something that may be fulfilled by exerting effort to get it. Our desires are limitless, and when one is met, a new one always appears. For example, someone could want to purchase a vehicle or a home. After buying a car or apartment, the individual wants to buy a roomier and more stylish vehicle, and his list of desires doesn't end here it keeps going. Human desires are limitless; thus, we must choose between our most pressing needs and our less pressing needs. The issue of choice

therefore emerges. For this reason, another name for economics is the science of choice. There wouldn't be an economic issue if desires had been restricted. Instead, they would have been met. Resources or means are constrained. It is necessary to employ means in order to satisfy different desires. For example, money plays a significant role in granting many of our desires. Because means are limited (that is, there is a shortage relative to demand), they must be used as efficiently as possible. To put it another way, there is a need to make savings with limited or few resources. Instead of wasting the little resources we have, we should use them carefully to get the greatest amount of enjoyment. Robbins said that there is other use for the limited resources. It indicates that a resource or product may have several applications. As a result, there is almost endless demand for that resource or product overall. If we have a hundred rupee note, for example, we may use it to buy book or designer clothes. It is up to us to utilize it anyway we see fit [9], [10].

DISCUSSION

Now let's focus on the definitions proposed by contemporary economists. Economics is the study of how to manage limited resources and how to determine how much money and work are generated in the economy, according to J.M. Keynes. In order to determine how to create economic stability, his research therefore focused on the reasons behind economic volatility. Economics is "a study of the factors affecting the size, distribution, and stability of a country's national income," according to F. Benham. Economic development and growth have gained prominence in recent years in the field of economics research. Professor Samuelson defined economics in terms of growth. Economics, in his view, is the study and distribution of limited productive resources for both current and future consumption throughout time.

To put it simply, economics is a social science that studies how best to utilize limited resources in order to achieve targeted levels of production, employment, income, and economic growth. Microeconomics and macroeconomics are the two subfields of study within economics. Macroeconomics examines the economy as a whole, taking into account the total supply and demand for money, capital, and commodities. Microeconomics deals with individual actors, such as individuals and enterprises. Economics is focusing especially on the following aspects: trade, competition, distribution, production, and resource allocation.

In theory, and in practice, economics may be applied to any issue involving making a decision in a situation of scarcity or figuring out an asset's worth.

The terms "Micro" and "Macro" economics were first used in the 1920s by Oslo University professor Ragnar Frisch. Micro refers to a millionth of a portion. Microkros means tiny in Greek. As a result, just a tiny portion of the economy is covered by microeconomics. For instance, we are really researching microeconomics if we examine the price of a specific item rather than the overall level of prices in the economy. Microeconomics specifically examines how different economic actors—consumers, businesses, industries, etc.—behave. As a result, it is the study of a single unit as opposed to all units taken together. Price theory, which is a branch of microeconomics, describes how the whole output is allocated or composed. Microeconomics, to put it briefly, is the study of how different industries, businesses, and individual customers behave economically and how output and money are distributed among them. Individuals are taken into account as both the ultimate consumers of the finished product and as providers of labor and money. Conversely, it examines businesses as capital and labor consumers as well as product providers. We consider whether economics is a positive or normative science while talking about the field's scope. Normative science explains "what ought to be," whereas positive science explains "what is." Therefore, normative science analyzes the situation and makes recommendations or remarks on the rightness or wrongness

of an item or state, while positive science describes a situation as it is. For instance, "India's population is growing" is a positive statement, while "growing population is impeding development" is a normative one.

Economic theory is seen as a positive science by classical economists. They refused to remark on whether an economic condition was right or wrong. Additionally endorsing the classical viewpoint, Robbins said that the question of whether or not "ends" are desirable is unrelated to economics. Consequently, an economist's job is to investigate and explain rather than to criticize or support. Economics should hardly be seen as a purely positive science, however. It ought to be permitted to make moral assessments on a given financial circumstance. As a result, it is seen as both normative and positive science. Economics is the social science that so examines how limited resources are distributed to meet infinite desires. Analyzing the creation, transfer, exchange, and consumption of products and services is part of this. Positive economics is defined as attempting to explain the outcomes of various decisions given a set of observations or assumptions, and normative economics as dictating the proper course of action. It seemed as if the expansion was coming to a stop.

After more than doubling since 2000, U.S. house prices began to decline. When we were writing the last version of this book in the middle of 2007, we explained how different economists thought this would cause an output decline or a recession. While decreased property prices would result in less new homes being built and less consumer spending, optimists thought that the Fed, the abbreviation for the Federal Reserve Board, the U.S. central bank, might cut interest rates to boost demand and prevent a recession. Pessimists thought that the US would experience a brief recession and that the drop-in interest rates might not be sufficient to maintain demand. It turned out that not even the pessimists were all that gloomy. It became evident that many of the mortgage loans that had been issued during the previous growth were of low quality as property values were falling. Due to their excessive debt, many of the borrowers were finding it harder and harder to make their mortgage payments. In addition, they had an incentive to default since their mortgage value often surpassed the cost of the home due to falling housing prices. This was not the worst of it: the mortgages had been granted by banks, who often packaged and bundled them into new securities that were then sold to investors and other banks. Frequently, these securities were repackaged into even more securities, and so on. This led to a situation where several banks held these securities whose value was practically hard to determine—instead of the mortgages itself.

Few economists had predicted the formation of a significant financial crisis from a decrease in home prices, which was caused by this complexity and opaqueness. Banks started to be very hesitant to lend to each other because they didn't know the quality of the assets that other banks had listed on their balance sheets and were afraid the bank, they were lending to would not be able to repay them. Numerous banks had difficulties due to their inability to get loans and their assets' unclear worth. One of the biggest banks, Lehman Brothers, filed for bankruptcy on September 15, 2008. The results were striking. Many other banks looked at the growth of three stock price indexes, for the US, the Euro area, and developing countries, starting in 2007 since the connections between Lehman and other banks were so hazy. January 2007 saw the indices set to 1. Take note of how stock values had dropped from their high by 50% or more by the end of 2008. Also take note of the fact that, although though the crisis started in the US, stock values in Europe and developing markets fell just as much as those in the US; we will talk more about this later.

People drastically reduced their spending after being hit by the decline in house and stock values and fearing that we could be entering another Great Depression. Businesses drastically reduced investment because they were worried about sales and unsure about the future. Very

few new houses were developed as a result of falling property prices and a large number of unoccupied residences on the market. Demand declined along with production despite aggressive measures taken by the Fed, which slashed lending rates to zero, and the US government, which slashed taxes and expanded expenditure. The United States' production growth slowed down in the third quarter of 2008 and stayed that way throughout 2009.

It was possible to believe that the crisis would mostly stay within the US. Both demonstrate that this was untrue. The American problem swiftly spread around the globe. Two different avenues caused effects on other nations. Trade was the first channel. Imports of items from overseas accounted for a portion of the expenditure decline made by American businesses and individuals. When considering it from the perspective of nations that export to the US, their exports decreased, which led to a decrease in their production. Financial channels made up the second. Due to a severe lack of finances, American banks repatriated money from other nations, which caused issues for banks in other nations as well.

The outcome was a global recession in addition to the US one. The average yearly growth rate in advanced countries by 2009 was -3.7%, which was by far the lowest since the Great Depression. While still healthy, growth in emerging and developing countries was about 4 percentage points less than the average from 2000 to 2007. 2010 saw a significant turn in growth for both developed and emerging economies, with predictions for 2011 and 2012 indicating modest but positive growth.

The economies of emerging and developing nations have mostly recovered. Both their exports and foreign currency inflows have surged. In fact, rising inflation is beginning to be seen in several of these nations, which may be a sign that they are overheating. In developed nations, there are still several issues. As shown in Figure 1-2, unemployment rose significantly during the crisis and is now quite high in both the US and the Euro area. The unemployment rate in the United States has risen dramatically, from 4.6% in 2007 to 9.6% in 2010, and predictions indicate that it will only gradually decline in 2011 and 2012. Poor production growth is the cause of this ongoing high unemployment rate, and there are several reasons that contribute to this poor growth: Both home prices and housing investment are still quite low. Bank financing is still scarce and banks are still not in excellent condition. Customers are reducing their spending because they have seen a decline in the worth of their homes and financial resources. Also, the crisis has resulted in significant financial issues. During the crisis, government income fell along with production, which resulted in a sharp rise in budget deficits.

Over time, deficits have contributed significantly to the rise of the national debt. Now, countries have to cut their deficits, which is not an easy task. There are grave concerns that the governments of some European nations would not be able to adapt and could even default on their debt. This in turn raises concerns among economists and decision-makers that we could soon see another financial and economic collapse. Even if the worst of the crisis is most likely behind us, there are still a lot of issues that need to be resolved, which will occupy macroeconomists and decision-makers for many years to come. Throughout the book, we will go over these topics in more depth several times.

The first column provides the average value of the production growth rate, unemployment rate, and inflation rate in the United States from 1980 to 1999 in order to put the present figures into perspective. The following columns address the more recent years, providing average figures for the years 2000 to 2007 and thereafter figures for every year from 2008 to 2012. As of autumn 2011, the figures for 2011 and 2012 are estimates. The U.S. economy in 2007, shortly before the crisis, by examining the first two columns. Although somewhat less than the preceding 20-year average, the GDP has grown at a pace of 2.6% since 2000, which is still

rather good for a developed nation. Much, since 2000, the average unemployment rate has been 5.0%, which is much lower than it was for the preceding 20 years. Furthermore, since 2000, the average rate of inflation has been 2.8%, which is much less than it was before.

The crisis struck, as seen by the data starting in 2008. 2008 had no growth in output, while 2009 saw a 3.5% decrease. The percentage of unemployed people rose sharply to about 10%. After declining to a small negative in 2009, inflation has subsequently stabilized at a low level. With a 3% growth rate in 2010, the economy recovered. But since then, growth has slowed down once again, becoming so bad that it is predicted that unemployment will stay high for a very long time. Low inflation is expected to continue. Aside from the high rate of unemployment, the United States' massive budget imbalance is perhaps the most significant macroeconomic issue. We will now discuss it and some of its ramifications. Resulted in a significant increase in government income for the most of the decade. Second, regulations were created and put into place to control government expenditure. These included restrictions on some categories of spending and the requirement that each new program involving government spending be accompanied by an equivalent gain in income. But when budget surpluses started to show up, Congress was more and more ready to flout its own regulations and approve more expenditure. Simultaneously, the Bush administration persuaded Congress to reduce taxes in an effort to promote economic expansion. Budget deficits returned as a consequence.

Even though it wasn't particularly big, the deficit in 2007 the eve of the crisis was 1.7% of GDP. The deficit rose sharply as a result of the crisis, reaching 9% of GDP in 2010 and perhaps much higher in 2011. The causes of the rise are simple to understand. Government income has decreased as a result of diminished production. In 2007, federal revenues accounted for 18.9% of GDP; by 2010, that percentage had dropped to 16.2% of GDP. In 2007, federal expenditure amounted to 20.6%; by 2010, it was at 25.3%. This indicates not just a rise in transfers, such increased unemployment benefits, but also a more widespread increase in expenditure as the government attempted to offset the decline in private demand by raising public spending.

the conclusion that revenues will rise and some expenditure will be phased out as production recovers more and unemployment declines. This is in fact probably the case, with projections indicating that by the middle of the decade, the deficit will have dropped to around 5%. But even a 5% deficit is still too much and leads to a debt that keeps going up. Even more dire are the budget projections for the farther future. Because more people are becoming older in the United States, Social Security payouts will rise significantly in the coming years. More significantly, spending on government programs like Medicare and Medicaid is rising along with the rapidly rising cost of healthcare. Therefore, there is broad consensus that further reductions in the budget deficit are necessary. Some economists contend that cutting the deficit should begin right now and pick in speed.

They contend that only a significant decrease can persuade the public that the government would take the necessary steps to stabilize the debt and that the credibility of the US government is in jeopardy. On the other hand, other economists contend that reducing the deficit too quickly might be hazardous. It is possible to reduce the deficit by combining tax increases with expenditure cuts. They contend that in a situation when unemployment is still very high, either one will reduce demand and slow down growth. It is thus advised that the deficit be reduced, although gradually. There is far less consensus on how deficit reduction should be accomplished, even if it is acknowledged that it is necessary. The argument is based on political differences.

Republicans think cutting spending should be the main way to do this. They propose capping the benefits of certain government programs, including Medicare, and eliminating a number of

other programs. Democrats are more likely to want to make the adjustment via a tax increase since they think that the majority of current programs are appropriate. Right now, the concern is that these opposing viewpoints are difficult to reconcile, which might lead to significant deficits lasting a very long period. The European Union made the decision to take things a step further in 1999 and began the process of substituting the national currencies with a single common currency known as the Euro.

Initially, just eleven nations took part; six more have since joined. Some nations have chosen not to join, at least not just now, including the United Kingdom. The Euro region is the formal designation given to the collection of member nations. The change happened gradually. Every one of the eleven nations fixed the value of their national currencies to the Euro on January 1, 1999. One Euro, for instance, was designated to be worth 6.56 French francs, 166 Spanish pesetas, and so on. Prices were quoted in both national currency units and euros between 1999 and 2002, however at that time the euro was not yet accepted as payment. When national currencies gave way to Euro notes and coins in 2002, this occurred. Currently, this region with a single currency comprises seventeen nations. Comparing the Euro area to the US, even in the pre-crisis years of 2000 to 2007 was not particularly successful. Compared to the US during the same time period, output growth was less. The rate of unemployment was far greater than it was in the US. It is true that over the ten years after 2000, inflation decreased and was lower than in the US.

The overall impression was one of a high unemployment rate and a slowly expanding economy. Not surprise, the crisis exacerbated the situation. Although growth has turned positive after being negative in 2009, relatively modest growth is predicted for 2011 and 2012. Due to weak growth, unemployment has risen to 10% and is only expected to decline gradually. Today, the Euro region is dealing with two major problems. The first issue is how to lower unemployment, which is a challenge that it and the rest of Europe share. The second is how to operate as a shared currency region effectively. We tackle each of these two problems in turn.

CONCLUSION

This study offers a thorough analysis and exploration of microeconomics, emphasizing its significance in comprehending the actions of individuals and firms in markets as well as its wider ramifications for social welfare and economic policy. The results highlight the usefulness of microeconomic theories in describing a variety of economic events, such as government regulation, market dynamics, and pricing choices. The focus of the study is on how microeconomic theory may be used in practice to analyze problems in the real world and guide policy choices. Policymakers, companies, and people may make better decisions and create efficient interventions to alleviate market failures, encourage competition, and improve economic efficiency and equality by having a basic knowledge of the fundamental concepts of microeconomics. Our grasp of market dynamics and economic behavior will continue to develop with the growth of microeconomics research, especially when considering the interplay of globalization, technology, and environmental sustainability. We can better handle difficult economic issues and advance public well-being by combining experimental economics, empirical analysis, and multidisciplinary viewpoints. All things considered, the information produced by this study advances our understanding of microeconomics and how it influences policy choices and economic results in both local and global settings.

REFERENCES:

[1] P. Verwimp, P. Justino, and T. Brück, “The microeconomics of violent conflict,” *Journal of Development Economics*. 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.jdeveco.2018.10.005.

[2] A. Prinz, "The microeconomics of mobile payments," *NETNOMICS Econ. Res. Electron. Netw.*, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s11066-019-09137-0.

[3] A. M. Parker, "The Microeconomics of Love.," *Virginia Quarterly Review*. 2019.

[4] C. F. Ng, "A video game to supplement a hybrid principles of microeconomics course," *J. Econ. Educ.*, 2019, doi: 10.1080/00220485.2018.1551101.

[5] S. Zahara, Sugianto, and M. Bahril Ilmiddafiq, "Prediksi Indeks Harga Konsumen Menggunakan Metode Long Short Term Memory (LSTM) Berbasis Cloud Computing," *J. RESTI (Rekayasa Sist. dan Teknol. Informasi)*, 2019, doi: 10.29207/resti.v3i3.1086.

[6] T. Bartlomowicz and A. Bak, "Conjoint analysis method and its implementation in conjoint R package," *Educ. Excell. Manag. Innov. through Sustain. Econ. Compet. Advant.*, 2019.

[7] S. Yu, K. van Gool, J. Hall, and D. G. Fiebig, "Physician pricing behavior: Evidence from an Australian experiment," *J. Econ. Behav. Organ.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jebo.2019.03.008.

[8] M. E. Oliver, J. Moreno-Cruz, and R. C. Beppler, "Microeconomics of the Rebound Effect for Residential Solar Photovoltaic Systems," *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2019, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3383055.

[9] L. K. Kendall and F. Arellano, "Incorporating price elasticity in financial forecasting models: From theory to practice and implementation," *J. Educ. Bus.*, 2019, doi: 10.1080/08832323.2018.1507989.

[10] E. Delavega and K. R. Cordero, "The Ethics of Financial Social Work.," *J. Soc. Work Values Ethics*, 2019.

CHAPTER 2

DETERMINATION OF THE PROBLEMS OF AN ECONOMY

Dr. Salma Begum, Assistant Professor
Department of General Management, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School
Jain (Deemed to be University), Bangalore, Karnataka, India
Email Id- salma.begum@cms.ac.in

ABSTRACT:
This study looks at the elements that obstruct economic growth, stability, and development in order to identify the issues that an economy is experiencing. To create strategies and policies that will promote sustainable economic growth, policymakers, economists, and stakeholders must recognize and solve these issues. This research examines the underlying causes and effects of economic issues at both the micro and macro levels by integrating ideas from sociology, political science, and economics. Investigated factors include trade imbalances, structural inefficiencies, inflation, income inequality, unemployment, and poverty. The research also looks at the interactions between political, social, and economic elements that support these issues' ongoing existence. The extent and severity of economic problems and their effects on society are evaluated via the use of advanced empirical studies, econometric modeling, and qualitative research techniques.

KEYWORDS:

Economic Growth, Income Inequality, Inflation, Macroeconomics, Microeconomics.

INTRODUCTION

The fundamental source of all economic issues is scarcity. We are aware that although there is an infinite supply of goals or needs, there is a limited quantity of resources in proportion to demand. We therefore have the challenge of having to choose between so many of our desires. This is so because there are other applications for limited resources. As a result, we must choose between our most and least important desires.

The choice dilemma is really the fundamental issue facing an economy [1], [2]. More specifically, the issue at hand is making the appropriate choices about the objectives to be fulfilled and the method, the limited resources to be used in order to achieve them. Every economy has a few core issues that are referred to as its key issues. What kinds of products and services should be produced is an economy's first big issue. Due to the scarcity of resources, we are forced to choose from among many potential collections of commodities and services. It could also suggest producing consumer products or capital/producer goods. In addition, we must choose how much needs to be generated in the economy. The issue of how to manufacture the required items in the economy is the next one we must address [3], [4].

As a result, the subject of manufacturing procedures becomes thought. Which technique labor-intensive or capital-intensive should we employ? A labor-intensive mode of production uses more labor than capital per unit, whereas a capital-intensive approach uses more capital than labor per unit.

The decision is based on the resources that are available. Labor-intensive technologies are best suited for an economy with a surplus of labor. Following our decision on the products to be produced and the methods to be used in their manufacture, we are faced with still another issue: the distribution of commodities throughout the economy [5], [6]. This is the issue with the distribution of national revenue. An economy must make sure it is growing at a suitable pace in order to continue expanding and developing more quickly. It should be able to raise the

nation's per capita and overall income in addition to bringing about a structural shift from the agricultural to the industrial sectors. An economy cannot be inert. Its ability to produce must constantly grow.

It is evident that resource conservation is the fundamental issue facing an economy. Because resources are limited in comparison to many needs and purposes, the issue of economy occurs in all types of economic societies. The potential for production A curve is a graph that shows how any two generated goods are traded off. Alternatively referred to as the Production Frontier or Transformation Curve, it illustrates the highest possible amounts of two or more items that may be produced given the available resources. Stated differently, it represents the lost opportunity cost of producing an additional unit of one thing relative to the units of the other that are not produced. Professor Samuelson used the production possibility curve to investigate the challenge of economizing.

A PPC, thus, displays the highest quantity of a commodity that can be obtained for a given quantity of another commodity, taking into account the availability of production resources as well as the technological and managerial prowess of society. The idea is used in microeconomics to illustrate the alternatives accessible to a single business and in macroeconomics to illustrate the output possibilities available to a country or economy. A production possibilities curve has points of greatest and least productive inefficiency for each location. Resources are allotted in a way that makes it hard to enhance one commodity's production without also decreasing another's. That is, expanding the supply of any good requires a sacrifice, or an opportunity cost. Every resource is utilized suitably and as fully as feasible (without making the situation unsustainable [7], [8].

There is motion in the production possibility curve. With the expansion of resources and advancements in technology, it spreads outward throughout time. This is so that we can produce more with the same amounts of resources. The output potential of a basic economy manufacturing two commodities cars and computers is shown in the table below. There are two manufacturing options displayed: E and F. The economy must give up some resources from the manufacture of vehicles when it chooses to allocate more funds to the computer industry. Therefore, if it is determined to make 10,000 computers, 5,000 vehicles cannot be built since the resources must now be allocated to the manufacturing of computers. However, the economy would manufacture OB units of computers if all resources were directed toward their creation. We get the production possibility curve AB by joining points A and B. If the economy chooses to use its resources to manufacture both goods, it may continue on curve AB and generate other mixes of computers and vehicles, for example, at E or F.

It can create OT units for computers and OS units for autos at point E. In a similar vein, computer OM units and ON units of automobiles may be generated at F. As a result, curve AB's points E, F, and any other point represent the greatest number of automobile and computer combinations that are technically possible given the available resources. Since point C in the picture is beyond the production potential curve AB, or above the economy's capacity, it is neither achievable nor practicable for the economy. Once again, it will not produce at point D, which is feasible but undesirable since in that scenario the economy's resources would not be employed most efficiently. As a result, it is clear that some vehicles must be sacrificed in order to manufacture more computers that is, some automobiles can be converted into computers. Marginal rate of transformation (MRT) is the rate at which one product is changed into another. The units of vehicles that must be sacrificed in order to produce computers are the MRT between cars and computers. As more of one product is produced and less of another, MRT rises. As a result, the production feasibility curve concavely approaches the origin [9], [10]. One may utilize the production possibility curve for several purposes. It aids in resolving the

fundamental production-related issues, such as what, how, and for whom to manufacture things in the economy. Moreover, the government may use the idea of the production potential curve anytime it chooses to shift resources, say, from necessities to luxury. Additionally, it may aid in directing resources away from current consumer products and toward capital goods, as well as boost productive capacity to reach greater output levels. Opportunity cost is a word used to describe the cost of anything expressed in terms of a lost opportunity (as well as potential rewards) or the most valued alternative that was given up. Stated differently, the opportunity cost of a certain good is its transfer costs or the best alternative cost. Since there are limited resources available for manufacturing, producing one good implies not creating another. The actual cost of the product produced is the one that is sacrificed.

The opportunity cost is represented by this. Let's use an example to further illustrate this. Assume that a manufacturer has enough money to make a computer or an automobile. In the event that the producer chooses to create cars rather than computers, the true cost of the cars is the same as the cost of the computers—that is, the alternative that is lost. To further illustrate the idea, let's look at another example. The opportunity cost is the expense of doing something else with the property and building money, say, if a business chooses to build hotels on unoccupied land it owns. The corporation lost out on the chance to construct a parking lot, a housing complex, a sports facility, and other projects on that property by opting to build the hotels instead. Stated differently, the money you might have made if you had used that day to work extra may be the opportunity cost of going on a picnic with your friends.

DISCUSSION

Opportunity cost may be measured in terms of anything that is valuable to the person or people making the assessment, rather than having to be measured in monetary terms. One of the main distinctions between accounting cost and economic cost is the accounting for opportunity costs. The first step in determining the real cost of any course of action is to evaluate opportunity costs.

The easiest method for calculating the opportunity cost of any particular economic action is to ask yourself, "What is the best alternative that could be made?" The chance to purchase some clothing might be the potential cost of covering the educational expenses. The money needed to purchase a motorcycle may equal the opportunity cost of a trip to Goa.

It should be remembered that opportunity cost is the benefit of the best option among them, not the total of the available choices. An illustration that shows the potential cost between any two specific goods produced by a certain economy may be used to teach the idea of opportunity cost. As shown in Fig. 2.1 above, it is referred to as the production possibility curve in economics. In the previously stated hypothetical economy, which solely produces computers and vehicles, the PPC system will be in effect if all resources (inputs) are completely and most effectively employed. The precise mix of automobiles and computers produced is determined by the systems (i.e., some combination of markets, government, tradition, and community democracy) that determine how resources are allocated.

In recent years, the idea of opportunity cost has gained a lot of popularity. The principle of opportunity cost alone serves as the foundation for contemporary cost-benefit analysis. In the contemporary economy, the cost-benefit analysis is a useful tool for decision-making when starting a business. Opportunity cost is ubiquitous and very substantial at the individual level, despite the fact that it might be difficult to measure.

The economic idea of opportunity cost is applicable to all actions, not only those that are made for financial gain. However, the majority of economists think that labor market institutions, not

macroeconomic policy, are the real cause of the issue. They acknowledge that excessively restrictive monetary policy may result in high unemployment for a while, but not for 20 years. The length of time that unemployment has been this high indicates issues with the job market. The next step is to pinpoint the precise nature of these issues.

According to some economists, the primary issue is that workers in European governments are too protected. They make it costly for businesses to fire employees in order to keep people from losing their employment. This policy's inadvertent effect of discouraging businesses from recruiting employees in the first place raises unemployment. The countries of Europe provide significant unemployment insurance to support people who lose their jobs. However, they also lessen the incentives for jobless people to hunt for work, which raises unemployment. They contend that reducing protection, getting rid of these labor market rigidities, and implementing labor-market institutions like to those in the United States are the answers. The UK has essentially followed this path, and before to the crisis, its unemployment rate was low.

Some people are less certain. They make reference to the reality that unemployment rates were not high across Europe before to the crisis. A handful of smaller nations had low unemployment rates, such as Denmark and the Netherlands, where it was around 4%. However, these nations vary greatly from the US in that they provide considerable social insurance benefits to their workforce. This shows that the method protection is put into practice may be more problematic than the level of protection itself. These economists contend that the difficulty is in figuring out what Denmark and the Netherlands have done well.

One of the main challenges confronting European macroeconomists and policy makers today is answering these problems. First, proponents of the euro emphasize the significance of the currency symbolically. Given the many previous battles among European nations, what more concrete evidence of a lasting cessation of hostilities exists than the adoption of a single currency? They also highlight the financial benefits of a single currency, such as the elimination of the need for European businesses to worry about fluctuations in exchange rates or the need to convert money while traveling across borders. They contend that the euro helps to create a significant economic force in the globe, together with the reduction of other trade barriers among European nations. Without a doubt, one of the most significant economic developments of the early 21st century was the shift to the euro. On the other hand, others are concerned that the euro's symbolism may have significant financial consequences. They draw attention to the fact that having a single currency entail having a single monetary policy, or the same interest rate across the eurozone.

Lower interest rates are necessary for the first nation to boost production and expenditure, whereas higher interest rates are needed for the second country to slow down its economy. What will happen if interest rates are required to be the same in both nations? Is there not a chance that one nation could have a protracted recession or that the other would be unable to contain its rapidly expanding economy? Up until recently, there was considerable abstraction in the dispute. It isn't anymore. Numerous euro nations are experiencing severe recessions, including Greece, Portugal, and Ireland. In order to boost demand for their exports, they probably would have lowered their interest rate or devalued their currency in comparison to other euro members if they had their own currency. This is impossible since they exchange money with their neighbors. As a result, some economists contend that they need to leave the euro. Others contend that such a withdrawal would be very disruptive, resulting in even more issues for the nation that has left, and foolish as it would mean giving up on the other benefits of being in the euro.

This is going to be a contentious topic for a while. China is a daily topic of news. It is being recognized as one of the world's main economic powers. Is the focus warranted? A cursory examination statistic indicates that it may not be. It is true that China has a far larger population than the US—more than four times as many people. However, its production is just 5.8 trillion dollars, or less than half of what the United States produces. This is calculated by multiplying the quantity in yuans (the Chinese currency) by the dollar-yuan conversion rate. Only $4,300 is produced per person, or about one-tenth of what is produced per person in the US. So why is China receiving so much attention? There are two causes. In order to comprehend the first, we must return to the production per person figure. One must use caution when comparing the production per person of wealthy nations like the United States with relatively impoverished nations like China. The cause is that many products are more affordable in developing nations. For instance, a typical restaurant lunch in New York City costs over $20, but in Beijing, the same meal costs roughly 25 yuans, or roughly $4 depending on the current exchange rate. In other words, compared to New York City, you can purchase a lot more in Beijing with the same amount of money. PPP (purchasing power parity) metrics are those that account for these discrepancies so that we may compare levels of living. Based on this metric, the production per person in China is projected to be around $7,500, which is about one-sixth of the output per person in the US. This provides a more realistic view of China's level of life. Clearly, it remains far lower than that of the US or other wealthy nations. More significantly, China has been expanding quickly for more than thirty years. this by providing data on inflation, unemployment, and production growth for the years 1980–1999, 2000–2007, and each of the years 2008–2012.

As of autumn 2011, the figures for 2011 and 2012 are estimates. The figures pertaining to production increase are the most striking. China's production has increased at a rate of around 10% annually since 1980. This indicates that production doubles every seven years. When you contrast this figure with the ones we previously saw for the US and Europe, you can see why the significance of the developing economies China leading the pack in the global economy is growing so quickly. Consider unemployment. Take those unemployment figures with a grain of salt, since they are often less trustworthy in developing nations: Rather than being jobless in the metropolis, many workers choose to remain in the countryside. Still, the data points to a persistently low jobless rate. Furthermore, inflation, which was significant before to 2000, is now comparatively low, making it difficult to discern the crisis' consequences from the statistics. Since 2007, there has been very little growth and very little rise in unemployment. China's isolation from the outside world is not the cause. The crisis caused a slowdown in Chinese exports. The Chinese government, however, significantly expanded its fiscal base, particularly via a significant rise in public investment, almost offsetting the negative impact on demand. As a consequence, both production and demand continued to rise.

This record of consistent growth begs some obvious issues. The first is the veracity of the statistics. Is it possible that growth has been exaggerated? Since China is still formally a communist nation, government representatives can have a motive to exaggerate the prosperity of their region or industry. After thoroughly examining this, economists come to the conclusion that this is most likely not the case. Although there is no overt prejudice, the numbers are not as trustworthy as they are in wealthier nations. When expressed in this manner, China's production growth is in fact quite high; this suggests that obtaining high productivity and high output growth is simple, a formula that any developing nation might and ought to adopt. It's really less clear what's going on.

A number of nations, including China, have successfully switched from central planning to a market economy. During the period of transition, the majority of other nations from Russia and

the other former Soviet republics to Central Europe saw a significant decline in their productivity. The majority continue to expand at far slower rates than China. Many nations have pervasive corruption and weak property rights, which discourage businesses from making investments. Why, then, has China done so much better? According to some economists, this is the outcome of a delayed transition: In 1980, China implemented its first agricultural reforms, and many businesses are still state-owned today. Some contend that the communist party's continued hold on power has, in fact, aided in the economic transition since it has improved property rights protection, at least for start-up businesses, encouraging them to make investments. It is obvious that finding the solutions to these issues and what other developing nations may learn from China's experience will have a significant impact on both China and the rest of the globe. There was no way for economists researching economic activity in the 19th century or during the Great Depression to depend on a measure of aggregate activity macroeconomists use this phrase to refer to totality. To attempt to deduce the overall state of the economy, they had to piece together disparate pieces of information, such sales at certain department shops or shipments of iron ore.

The national income and product accounts, often known as national income accounts, were not assembled until the conclusion of World War II. In the United States, total production measurements have been regularly released since October 1947. The national income accounts describe ideas first, much like any other accounting system, and then create measurements that correlate to these notions. All it takes to see how important accuracy and consistency are in these kinds of records is to look at data from nations that haven't yet created them. Numbers that ought to add up don't when there is a lack of consistency and accuracy; attempting to comprehend what's happening is like trying to balance someone else's checkbook. We won't annoy you with the specifics of national income accounting in this post. However, the fundamental accounting framework used in the United States (and, with minor differences, in most other countries) today is provided in Appendix 1 at the conclusion of the book since you may sometimes need to know what a variable is and how it relates to other variables. Contrary to popular belief, the unemployment rate is not easily constructed.

Putting the preceding cartoon aside, finding out whether someone is employed is simple. It is more difficult to determine whether someone is jobless. Recall from the definition that an individual must satisfy two requirements in order to be considered unemployed: they must not be working and they must be actively seeking employment, albeit the latter requirement is more difficult to determine. The number of workers registered at unemployment offices was the sole source of statistics on unemployment until the 1940s in the United States and until more recently in the majority of other nations. As a result, only those workers who were registered at unemployment offices were considered as jobless. This method produced a subpar unemployment rate. The percentage of job seekers who actually registered at the unemployment office changed over time and across nations. People who had no need to register—those who had used up all of their unemployment benefits, for instance—were not recorded since they were not likely to make the time to visit the unemployment office. Less generous benefit programs in a country led to lower recorded unemployment rates since fewer jobless people registered.

Large household surveys are still used in the majority of wealthy nations today to calculate the unemployment rate. This study is known as the Current Population study (CPS) in the United States. Every month, 50,000 homes are interviewed for it. According to the poll, an individual is classified as employed if they are working at the time of the interview; if they are jobless and have not been working for the last four weeks, they are classified as unemployed. The majority of other nations define unemployment in a similar way. Based on CPS estimates, the

unemployment rate in the United States in 2010 was zero, with an average of 139.0 million employed individuals and 14.8 million jobless persons. As a result, the unemployment rate would be a very poor gauge of the state of the job market. This scenario is rather dramatic; in reality, as the economy slows down, we usually see a rise in both the rate of unemployment and the number of individuals leaving the labor market. Conversely, a lower participation rate—which is the ratio of the labor force to the whole population of working age is often linked to a greater unemployment rate. There are two reasons why unemployment concerns economists. First, they are concerned about unemployment since it directly affects jobless people's wellbeing. Even if assistance for jobless individuals are now more abundant than they were during the Great Depression, unemployment is nonetheless often linked to both emotional and financial hardship. The severity of the pain is determined by the kind of unemployment. One perception of unemployment is that of a pool of jobless persons who stay unemployed for extended periods of time. This is not accurate in the United States during regular times: a large number of individuals lose their employment each month, and a large number of those who do find work. But when unemployment rises, as it is at the moment, the picture becomes more realistic. Not only are there more jobless individuals, but many of them have been jobless for an extended period of time. For instance, the average length of unemployment from 2000 to 2007 was 9 weeks, but by 2010, it had risen to 33 weeks. To put it simply, with rising unemployment comes more agony associated with the jobless experience in addition to its increased prevalence.

CONCLUSION

This study clarifies the identification of issues confronting an economy by emphasizing the complexity of economic problems and their intricate relationships with social and political factors. The results highlight how critical it is to identify and comprehend these issues in order to establish focused policies and actions that support equitable and sustainable economic growth. The study highlights the need of taking a comprehensive approach to solving economic issues by taking into account the connections between political, social, and economic variables. Policymakers can create an atmosphere that is favorable to economic growth, social cohesion, and human development by addressing problems like unemployment, inflation, and income inequality. further investigation is required to examine the fundamental causes and effects of economic issues as well as to assess the efficacy of policy solutions. The integration of diverse academic viewpoints, such as those from political science, sociology, and economics, would enable thorough understanding of the intricate problems that confront economies globally.

REFERENCES:

[1] V. V. Moiseev, S. I. Kramskoy, E. A. Karelina, and M. Y. Karelina, “Actual Problems of the Russian Economy,” *SHS Web Conf.*, 2019, doi: 10.1051/shsconf/20196202005.

[2] J. Porta, G. Saco-Ledo, and M. D. Cabañas, “The ergonomics of airplane seats: The problem with economy class,” *Int. J. Ind. Ergon.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.ergon.2018.10.003.

[3] J. A. Castañeda, M. Brennan, and J. Goentzel, “A behavioral investigation of supply chain contracts for a newsvendor problem in a developing economy,” *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2018.12.024.

[4] Z. Mingaleva and I. Mirskikh, “The problems of digital economy development in Russia,” in *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, 2019. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-02351-5_7.

[5] V. Uskov, “Trends in Formation and Problems of Digital Economy Development in Russia,” *Probl. Territ. Dev. / Проблемы развития территории*, 2019, doi: 10.15838/ptd.2019.2.100.3.

[6] J. F. García-Barragán, J. Eyckmans, and S. Rousseau, “Defining and Measuring the Circular Economy: A Mathematical Approach,” *Ecol. Econ.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.ecolecon.2018.12.003.

[7] H. Ç. Bal and Ç. Erkan, “Industry 4.0 and Competitiveness,” in *Procedia Computer Science*, 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.procs.2019.09.096.

[8] A. M. Correa-Díaz, M. Benjumea-Arias, and A. Valencia-Arias, “La gestión del conocimiento: Una alternativa para la solución de problemas educacionales,” *Rev. Electrónica Educ.*, 2019, doi: 10.15359/ree.23-2.1.

[9] D. Choroś-Mrozowska, “Global and regional consequences of the Chinese Belt and Road Initiative,” *Pr. Nauk. Uniw. Ekon. we Wrocławiu*, 2019, doi: 10.15611/pn.2019.6.01.

[10] F. Ayhan, “Türkiye Ekonomisinde İşsizliğin Belirleyicisi Olan Temel Makroekonomik Değişkenlerin Tespitine İlişkin Bir Uygulama,” *Uluslararası İktisadi ve İdari İncelemeler Derg.*, 2019, doi: 10.18092/ulikidince.567458.

CHAPTER 3

INVESTIGATION OF CONSUMER BEHAVIOR IN MICROECONOMICS

Dr. Sarangapani Nivarthi, Associate Professor
Department of General Management, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School
Jain (Deemed to be University), Bangalore, Karnataka, India
Email Id- dr.sarangapani.nivarthi@cms.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

This study explores consumer behavior in microeconomics, concentrating on how people choose how to distribute limited resources to meet their requirements and preferences. A key component of microeconomic analysis is consumer behavior, which is vital in determining pricing policies, market demand, and overall economic results. This research combines concepts from sociology, psychology, and economics to clarify what influences consumer decisions and how those decisions affect market dynamics. The theory of demand, budgetary restrictions, utility maximization, and customer preferences are some of the important areas that were looked at. To shed light on departures from conventional economic models, the research also examines ideas from behavioral economics, such as limited rationality, heuristics, and social factors. Utilizing sophisticated econometric methodologies, experimental approaches, and survey data analysis, consumer behavior patterns and their effects on welfare and market equilibrium are investigated.

KEYWORDS:

Behavioral Economics, Consumer Behavior, Microeconomics, Market Demand, Preferences.

INTRODUCTION

The analysis of customer behavior is the first step in applying the theory of demand. We all act differently while purchasing and using an item or service in our daily lives. The straightforward calculations and human thinking we do throughout each transaction have been converted into principles that direct us to reach economic balance or contentment. Prior to going shopping, we make a decision on what to purchase and how much. Given that we want to get the majority of what we spend, it makes logical [1], [2]. Put another way, we bargain and reach a price that we are happy to pay because we are always wanting more of whatever. As a result, it is essential to first understand customer behavior, which serves as the foundation for demand theory.

The rationality of customers is presumed. A customer constantly seeks to maximize his pleasure given his income and the pricing of goods. That is, to use the allocated funds to purchase a variety of goods in order to maximize welfare, or a state of well-being. Although satisfaction is a psychological concept that cannot be quantified in real life, it is thought that it can be assessed (measured in terms of money) when a customer consumes an item. We just convey the same thing in various ways while feeling the same amount of happiness. We express our happiness by our actions, such as laughing, bouncing with joy, or any other manner [3], [4]. As a result, just as we can measure time in seconds, weight in kilos, or length in meters, we are unable to quantify happiness. Additionally, it is presumed that every customer knows what he wants. In addition, he is well informed about the market, including the products that are offered, their pricing at any given moment, and other details. Each customer makes the most use of this information to provide complete pleasure.

It is helpful to be aware with several key terminology used in the explanation of different ideas and theories of demand in order to understand consumer's equilibrium, or how a consumer attains maximum pleasure by spending his money income on specified units of goods. These

are described as follows: uility is the ability of a product or service to meet a need in a human being. Utility is how economists have defined satisfaction. Since it's a subjective idea, everyone's interpretation will differ. Since it exists in the mind, as has previously been said, it cannot be quantified [5], [6]. Despite the fact that the terms utility and satisfaction are interchangeable, it is important to understand that utility refers to predicted fulfillment, while satisfaction denotes "realized satisfaction." The rule of declining marginal utility is regarded as one of the most significant laws pertaining to the satisfying of human desires. Every consumer's common sentiment is explained by the law. Let's say someone begins eating apples one after the other. He is most satisfied with the first apple since he may be feeling peckish at that moment and needs to eat to satisfy his hunger.

He feels less satisfied after eating the second apple since, by now, his hunger has already been somewhat satiated. The third and subsequent apples provide him with less use or delight. This indicates that when a consumer's spending rises, his level of contentment decreases. Additionally, when a customer is too sick to eat any more apples, their happiness level usually drops to zero. If he consumes more, his happiness decreases or the utility changes to disutility. All societies, no matter how big or little, have to make decisions on how to spend their resources. Families have to choose between using their money for a luxurious holiday or a new automobile. Towns have to decide how much of their resources to allocate to the education system versus law enforcement and fire safety.

Governments must choose between increasing funding for environmental preservation and national security. Most of the time, the budget simply doesn't have enough money to cover everything. How can we make the greatest use of the little resources we have in order to get as many products and services as we can? There are many choices. Initially, each of us could generate everything that we take in. As an alternative, we may all create a portion of the food we want and "trade" for the remaining portion. Let's investigate these choices. Why don't we all simply manufacture everything that we eat? Consider the days of the pioneers, when people were capable of much more than we are now: they could construct houses, cultivate crops, go food seeking, and fix equipment. The majority of us are not proficient in all or all of those areas, but it isn't for lack of ability. Instead, we are not required to. The division and specialization of labor, a manufacturing innovation initially proposed by Adam Smith, is the cause of this. When Adam Smith (1723–1790) released his well-known book The Wealth of Nations in 1776, the academic study of economics got underway [7], [8]. Although there had been several writers on the topic of economics for centuries before to Smith, he was the first to take a thorough approach to it. Smith presents the idea of division of labor in the first chapter. This refers to the division of labor, which is the practice of producing an item or service into many jobs carried out by several people rather than by one person.

Smith numbered the steps involved in creating a pin in order to demonstrate division of labor. These steps included drawing out a piece of wire, cutting it to the proper length, straightening it, attaching a head to one end and a point to the other, and packing pins for sale. Unbelievably, Smith identified eighteen separate activities that were completed by various people—all for a pin. Tasks are divided in modern enterprises as well. Even a fairly basic business, such as a restaurant, assigns a variety of workers to serve meals, including sous chefs, head chefs, less experienced kitchen staff, waiters for tables, door greeters, janitors for cleanup, and business managers for handling payroll and other financial matters. Businesses and laborers may generate more output when the tasks involved in manufacturing an item or service are divided and subdivided. A small company of ten workers some of whom would need to finish two or three of the eighteen jobs needed in pin-making could produce 48,000 pins in a day, according to Smith's observations of pin factories. A single worker may produce 20 pins in a day. How is

it possible for a group of individuals who specialize in different jobs to create so much more than the same number of people who attempt to produce the item or service entirely on their own? Smith provided three justifications [9], [10].

First, workers may concentrate on the areas of the manufacturing process where they have an advantage when they specialize in a single tiny task. We will expand on this concept by talking about comparative advantage in subsequent chapters. Individuals will be better at certain vocations than others because they have various interests, abilities, and skills. The specific benefits might depend on the educational decisions made, which are influenced by abilities and interests. For example, physicians can only be qualified by medical degrees. Geography influences specialization for some items. For instance, running a tourist hotel in Florida is simpler than in North Dakota, yet farming wheat is easier in North Dakota. Living in a densely populated rural location is more difficult to draw in enough clients for a profitable dry cleaning or movie theater than living in or close to a large metropolis. For whatever reason, individuals will be more productive if they focus on doing what they do well rather than producing a mix of items that they are not very good at and some that they are.

Second, people who specialize in a certain activity often acquire the ability to deliver higher-quality work faster. Many occupations, such as automobile assembly line workers, hair stylists, and physicians who conduct heart surgery, follow this pattern. In actuality, specialist workers often possess the knowledge necessary to propose creative solutions for completing tasks more quickly and effectively.

DISCUSSION

In enterprises, a similar tendency is often seen. Businesses that concentrate on one or a small number of items (often referred to as their "core competency") typically have more success than those who attempt to produce a large variety of goods. Third, specialization enables companies to benefit from economies of scale, which implies that, for many products, the average cost of creating a single unit decreases as production levels rise. For instance, if a firm only produces 100 automobiles year, the average cost of making each car would be high. On the other hand, the average cost of manufacturing per vehicle will be cheaper if a plant produces 50,000 cars year because it can build up an assembly line with massive machinery and staff doing specialized duties. In the end, society as a whole is able to create and consume considerably more than if each individual attempted to generate all of his or her own products and services. This is because workers who can concentrate on their preferences and abilities, learn to perform their specialized professions better, and work in bigger organizations. One tool against the issue of scarcity has been the division and specialization of work.

However, specialization only makes sense if employees can afford to buy the additional products and services, they need with the money they were paid for doing their professions. Put simply, commerce is necessary for specialization. You only need to purchase an iPod or MP3 device, download the music, and listen to it without any prior knowledge of electronics or sound systems. If you need a jacket, you simply purchase one and wear it; you don't need to understand anything about synthetic fibers or how sewing machines work. To drive an automobile, all you have to do is get in and drive; no prior knowledge of internal combustion engines is required.

The market enables you to master a specific set of talents and then utilize the income you get to purchase the products and services you need or desire, rather than attempting to acquire all the knowledge and skills required in creating all of the goods and services that you intend to consume. This is the way that a robust economy has developed in our contemporary culture. The welfare of all individuals, including those who are employed and those who are not, as

well as those who earn large salaries and those who earn low salaries, is the focus of economics. Economics recognizes that the production of products and services may lead to environmental pollution issues. It investigates the idea of how education spending advances the skill set of laborers. It explores issues such as how to distinguish between large corporations and labor unions that operate for the good of society overall and those that serve the interests of their owners or members at the detriment of others. It examines the ways in which choices regarding production and consumption are influenced by government expenditures, taxes, and regulations.

By now, it ought to be evident that economics includes a wide range of topics. That area may be split into two sections: The study of individual actors in the economy, such as families, companies, and laborers, is the emphasis of microeconomics. Macroeconomics examines the overall state of the economy. It focuses on wide-ranging topics such government deficits, the rate of inflation, the number of jobless people, output growth, and import and export levels. Rather than being distinct fields of study, macroeconomics and microeconomics are complimentary viewpoints on the topic of the economy as a whole. Take into consideration the challenge of researching a biological environment, such as a lake, to see why both macroeconomic and microeconomic viewpoints are important. A researcher may choose to concentrate on certain aspects of the lake, such as the surrounding trees, fish or snail species, or types of algae or plant life.

An alternative perspective would be to look at the ecology of the lake from top to bottom, taking into account what feeds what, how the system maintains a rough equilibrium, and how external pressures impact this balance. Though they look at the same lake, both methods are helpful and have distinct points of view. Similar to this, although from distinct perspectives, macroeconomics and microeconomics both research the same economy. The micro and macro ideas should complement each other, whether you are studying lakes or economics. When researching a lake, the macro insights about the whole food chain serve to explain the habitat in which individual plants and animals survive, while the micro insights about specific plants and animals aid in understanding the overall food chain in economics, the health of the macroeconomy affects the micro choices made by individual enterprises. For instance, if the economy is expanding generally, businesses will be more inclined to recruit people.

The three most significant indicators of an economy's macroeconomic health are low unemployment, low inflation, and rise in the quality of living. How may government macroeconomic policy be used to achieve these objectives? Monetary policy, which is carried out by a country's central bank, includes decisions that have an impact on interest rates, bank lending, and financial capital markets. This is the Federal Reserve for the United States of America. The legislative branch of a country sets fiscal policy, which includes taxing and spending. This refers to the Congress and the executive arm of the US government, which drafts the national budget. These are the principal weapons of the state.

Compared to anthropologists, biologists, classicists, and practitioners of any other subject, economists have a distinct perspective on the world. They use economic theories, which are predicated on specific hypotheses on human behavior, to examine challenges and problems. These presumptions often diverge from those that a psychologist or anthropological could make. A theory is an abridged explanation of the relationship between two or more variables. A theory's goal is to reduce a complicated, real-world problem to its most basic components. When done correctly, this helps the analyst comprehend the problem and any issues around it. A good hypothesis is both easily understood and sophisticated enough to reflect the essential characteristics of the thing or circumstance you are researching.

Firms manufacture and sell items and services to households in the market for goods and services (or product market). This is indicated by arrow "A." Families pay for products and services, which generates income for businesses. This is indicated by arrow "B." The two sides of the product market are represented by arrows A and B. Where do families get the money, they need to purchase products and services? They provide businesses the labor and other resources (land, money, raw materials, etc.) they need to create products and services for the market in exchange for inputs, often known as factors of production. This is indicated by arrow "C." In exchange, businesses pay salaries and other factor payments to cover the cost of the inputs (or resources) they utilize. This is indicated by arrow "D". The two sides of the factor market are represented by the arrow's "C" and "D."

Of course, there are a wide variety of markets for commodities and services as well as a wide variety of labor markets in the actual world. This is simplified in the circular flow diagram to make the overall image simpler to understand. In the diagram, businesses create commodities and services that they then offer to homes for profit. This is shown by the outer circle, which stands for the supply and demand sides of a product market (such as the market for products and services) where businesses provide and households demand. Families sell their labor to businesses in exchange for pay, perks, and salary. This is shown by the inner circle, which stands for the supply and demand sides of the labor market households and businesses. Although this version of the circular flow model is reduced to its most basic components, it nevertheless has sufficient characteristics to describe how the labor and product markets function within the economy. If we wanted to include additional real-world components, such as financial markets, governments, and relationships with the rest of the world (imports and exports), we could simply add features to this basic model.

Similar to how a carpenter carries about a toolbox, economists have a collection of hypotheses in their brains. They go through their knowledge of theories to see if they can fit one in when they see an economic issue or difficulty. After that, students use the theory to gain understanding of the matter at hand. The ideas of economists are represented by graphs, diagrams, or even mathematical equations. (Never fear. The majority of the course will be using graphs.) Economists don't start by solving the issue and then create the graph to show it. Instead, they use the theory's graph to assist them in determining the solution. Even while at the beginning level you may sometimes find an answer without using a model, if you continue studying economics you will eventually encounter problems and challenges that you will need to graph in order to solve.

We use theories and models to explain macroeconomics as well as microeconomics. Though there are many more ideas you will study, supply and demand is perhaps the most well-known. Societies may arrange their economies in at least three different ways. The earliest economic system is the traditional economy, which is the oldest and is practiced in several regions of South America, Africa, and Asia. Conventional economies do business in the same manner that they have always conducted business. Jobs remain within the family. Most families are farmers who use conventional farming practices to cultivate their crops. The government sets the pricing and determines what products and services will be produced in a command economy. The government sets worker salaries and determines which industrial techniques to use. Many basics, including healthcare and education, are provided at no cost by the government. North Korea and Cuba both have command economies at the moment. Market economies have a highly decentralized system for making economic choices, despite the fact that command economies have a highly centralized organization. A market is a place where people or companies that are interested in buying or selling products or services may come together. One excellent example of a market that unites buyers and sellers is the New York Stock Exchange.

Decentralized decision-making characterizes a market economy. of enterprise is the foundation of market economies; the means of production (resources and enterprises) are owned and controlled by individual or group of persons. Companies respond to demand by supplying products and services. (under contrast, the government owns companies and resources under a command economy.) The availability of products and services is contingent upon demand. The capacity to transform resources, particularly labor, into something that society values determine an individual's income. The more a person's production is valued by society, the higher their income (just look at Lady Gaga or LeBron James). In this case, economic choices are made by market forces rather than by governments.

In the actual world, most economies are a mixed bag. They include aspects of both market and command systems, as well as conventional systems. The market-oriented end of the economic spectrum is where the United States economy is situated. Though mostly market-oriented, many European and Latin American nations engage the government more in economic decision-making than does the US economy. Although they have made greater progress toward a market-oriented system over the last several decades, China and Russia still lean more toward the command economy end of the spectrum. The Heritage Foundation offers data about the degree of freedom and therefore market orientation of many nations, as the Clear It Up feature that follows explores. Globalization, or the growing cultural, political, and economic ties between people worldwide, has been a trend in recent decades. Increased cross-border purchases and sales of assets, services, and goods that is, global commerce and financial capital flows serve as one indicator of this.

Even while globalization has accelerated over the last several decades, there has been a noticeable backlash against it recently from individuals all over the globe who are worried about rising economic disparity, losing their employment, and losing their political sovereignty. Representative instances of this retreat include the Brexit vote in Great Britain in 2016 and the US election of Donald J. Trump as President. Today's environment offers almost instantaneous access to a multitude of information. Think about how, until the late 1970s, American farmers relied mostly on the Farmer's Almanac and the Weather Bureau of the U.S. Department of Agriculture to decide when to sow and harvest their crops. These days, farmers are more likely to watch The Weather Channel or get weather predictions from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration online. After all, when to harvest crops may depend on the impending prediction. Consequently, the quantity of produce harvested may vary depending on the approaching weather.

The way information is disseminated is changing quickly because to some relatively new information venues like Facebook, which is impacting decision-making. According to a 2014 Pew Research Center study, 71% of those who use the internet use Facebook. This social media platform has postings on anything from farmers to the National Basketball Association to famous artists and entertainers. Decisions about anything from what to wear today to how many reporters to send to cover a collision are made easier with the aid of information. These choices are all based on economic considerations. Ultimately, resources are limited. Ten reporters sent by the media to cover an accident means that they can't cover other stories or get other things done. Information gives people the information they need to allocate limited resources as efficiently as possible.

CONCLUSION

Avital insights into market dynamics and economic decision-making processes, this study emphasizes the significance of studying consumer behavior in microeconomics. The results highlight how complicated consumer decisions may be, given the wide range of influences they

face, from personal preferences and limitations to psychological biases and societal conventions. The study highlights how behavioral economics concepts are relevant to microeconomic analysis because they provide a more accurate knowledge of customer behavior and market consequences. Through the integration of psychological and sociological knowledge, economists may create more comprehensive consumer decision-making models that more accurately reflect the intricacies of human behavior. In the future, further investigation is required to examine the dynamics of consumer behavior in reaction to changing market circumstances, innovations in technology, and sociocultural patterns. Our knowledge of consumer preferences and behaviors will improve with the integration of multidisciplinary methods and the use of big data analytics. This will help firms and governments create more efficient strategies that better suit the requirements of consumers and increase market efficiency. All things considered, the information produced by this study advances our understanding of consumer behavior in microeconomics and offers insightful guidance for forming company plans and economic policies in a market that is becoming more complicated and dynamic.

REFERENCES:

[1] J. F. Yamagishi and T. S. Hatakeyama, "Microeconomics of metabolism: Overflow metabolism as Giffen behavior," *bioRxiv*, 2019.

[2] M. D. Muflihin, "Permintaan, Penawaran Dan Keseimbangan Harga Dalam Prespektif Ekonomi Mikro Islam," *JES (Jurnal Ekon. Syariah)*, 2019, doi: 10.30736/jesa.v4i2.68.

[3] C. Ghosh and A. N. Ghosh, "Neoclassical Economics and Capitalism," in *An Introduction to Economics*, 2019. doi: 10.1007/978-981-15-1056-4_2.

[4] D. M. Broom, "Assessment of Welfare and Needs," in *Encyclopedia of Animal Behavior, Second Edition: Volume 1-5*, 2019. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-809633-8.90164-X.

[5] L. Santucci, "Moving into the Mainstream: Who Graduates from Secured Credit Card Programs?," *FRB Philadelphia Paym. Cards Cent. Discuss. Pap.*, 2019.

[6] G. Schneider, *Microeconomic Principles and Problems: A Pluralist Introduction*. 2019. doi: 10.4324/9780429399329.

[7] P. Corr and A. Plagnol, *Behavioral Economics: The Basics*. 2018. doi: 10.4324/9781315391229.

[8] Dodiy Fahmeyzan, Siti Soraya, and Desventri Etmy, "Uji Normalitas Data Omzet Bulanan Pelaku Ekonomi Mikro Desa Senggigi dengan Menggunakan Skewness dan Kurtosis," *J. Varian*, 2018.

[9] M. Szałański and T. Zalega, "Mmikro 1.0 Software Of The Faculty Of Management, University Of Warsaw, In Teaching Microeconomics," in *ICERI2018 Proceedings*, 2018. doi: 10.21125/iceri.2018.0335.

[10] F. Heesen and R. Madlener, "Consumer Behavior in Energy-Efficient Homes: The Limited Merits of Energy Performance Ratings as Benchmarks," *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2018, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.2874929.

CHAPTER 4

A BRIEF DISCUSSION ON DIVISION AND SPECIALIZATION OF LABOR

Dr. Shakeela Banu C, Associate Professor
Department of General Management, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School
Jain (Deemed to be University), Bangalore, Karnataka, India
Email Id- dr.shakeelabanu@cms.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The division of labor and specialization are the subjects of this study, which also looks at the economic theories behind them and how they affect economic efficiency, general welfare, and production processes. While specialization includes people or organizations concentrating on certain jobs or industries, the division of labor refers to the specialization of tasks and functions within a manufacturing process. Understanding how economies distribute resources and create prosperity requires a grasp of these two ideas. This research combines concepts from organizational theory, sociology, and economics to clarify the reasons for, advantages of, and difficulties with labor specialization and division. The history of the division of labor, theories of comparative advantage, economies of scale, and the influence of globalization and technology on patterns of specialization are some of the important areas of study. The influence of labor division and specialization on employment trends, income distribution, and economic growth are also examined in this research. In order to investigate the dynamics of specialization and its implications for welfare and economic development, sophisticated empirical investigations, case studies, and theoretical models are used.

KEYWORDS:

Comparative Advantage, Division Labor, Economic Efficiency, Specialization, Technology.

INTRODUCTION

The Wealth of Nations in 1776, the academic study of economics got underway. Although there had been several writers on the topic of economics for centuries before to Smith, he was the first to take a thorough approach to it. The division of labor, as defined by Smith in the first chapter, is the process of producing an item or service by breaking it up into many jobs completed by various people rather than having one person handle every activity. Smith numbered the steps involved in creating a pin in order to demonstrate the division of labor [1], [2]. These steps included drawing out a piece of wire, cutting it to the proper length, straightening it, attaching a head to one end and a point to the other, and packing pins for sale. Unbelievably, Smith tallied eighteen separate actions, most of which were performed by different persons, all for a pin!

Tasks are divided in modern enterprises as well. An array of jobs, including top chef, sous chefs, less-skilled kitchen help, servers to wait on tables, door greeters, janitors to clean up, and business managers to handle payroll and bills, are involved in even a relatively simple business like a restaurant [3], [4]. This is not to mention the financial relationships a restaurant has with suppliers of food, furniture, kitchen equipment, and the building where it is located. A sophisticated enterprise akin to a large production plant, like the shoe factory Workers and companies may create more when the tasks involved in manufacturing an item or service are split and subdivided. In his inspections of pin factories, Smith found that whereas a single worker may produce 20 pins in a day, a small company employing ten people (some of whom would have to do two or three of the eighteen jobs required in the pin-making process) could produce 48,000 pins in a single day. How is it possible for a group of individuals who specialize

in different jobs to create so much more than the same number of people who attempt to produce the item or service entirely on their own? Smith provided three justifications [5], [6].

First, workers may concentrate on the areas of the manufacturing process where they have an advantage when they specialize in a single tiny task. (We will expand on this concept by talking about comparative advantage in subsequent chapters.) Individuals will be better at certain vocations than others because they have various interests, abilities, and skills. The specific benefits might depend on the educational decisions made, which are influenced by abilities and interests. For example, physicians can only be qualified by medical degrees. Geographical factors may influence specialization for some items. For example, operating a tourist hotel in Florida is less difficult than in North Dakota, yet farming wheat is easier in North Dakota. Living in a densely populated rural location is more difficult to draw in enough clients for a profitable dry cleaning or movie theater than living in or close to a large metropolis. For whatever reason, individuals will be more productive if they focus on doing what they do well rather than producing a mix of items that they are not very good at and some that they are [7], [8].

Second, people who specialize in a certain activity often acquire the ability to deliver higher-quality work faster. Many occupations, such as automobile assembly line workers, hair stylists, and physicians who conduct heart surgery, follow this pattern. In actuality, specialist workers often possess the knowledge necessary to propose creative solutions for completing tasks more quickly and effectively. In enterprises, a similar tendency is often seen. Businesses that concentrate on one or a small number of items often referred to as their "core competency" typically have more success than those who attempt to produce a large variety of goods. Third, specialization enables companies to benefit from economies of scale, which implies that, for many products, the average cost of creating a single unit decreases as production levels rise.

For instance, if a firm only produces 100 automobiles year, the average cost of making each car would be high. On the other hand, the average cost of manufacturing per vehicle will be cheaper if a plant produces 50,000 cars year because it can build up an assembly line with massive machinery and staff doing specialized duties. Society can create and consume significantly more when people may concentrate on their interests and skills, become more skilled at their specialized tasks, and operate in bigger organizations than when each individual tries to generate all of their own products and services. One tool against the issue of scarcity has been the division and specialization of work. However, specialization only makes sense if employees can afford to buy the additional products and services, they need with the money they were paid for doing their professions. Put simply, commerce is necessary for specialization [9], [10].

You can play music on an iPod or MP3 player by simply purchasing it, downloading the music, and turning it on. No prior knowledge of electronics or sound systems is necessary. If you need a jacket, you simply purchase one and wear it; you don't need to understand anything about synthetic fibers or how sewing machines work. To drive an automobile, all you have to do is get in and drive; no prior knowledge of internal combustion engines is required. The market enables you to master a specific set of talents and then utilize the income you get to purchase the products and services you need or desire, rather than attempting to acquire all the knowledge and skills required in creating all of the goods and services that you intend to consume. This is the way that a robust economy has developed in our contemporary culture. The welfare of all individuals, including those who are employed and those who are not, as well as those who earn large salaries and those who earn low salaries, is the focus of economics. Economics recognizes that the production of products and services may lead to environmental pollution issues. It investigates the idea of how education spending advances the skill set of

laborers. It explores issues such as how to distinguish between large corporations and labor unions that operate for the good of society overall and those that serve the interests of their owners or members at the detriment of others. It examines the ways in which choices regarding production and consumption are influenced by government expenditures, taxes, and regulations.

By now, it ought to be evident that economics is a broad field. There are two sections to that ground: Macroeconomics examines the activities of the economy as a whole, while microeconomics concentrates on the decisions made by individual economic factors such as families, companies, and laborers. It focuses on wide-ranging topics such government deficits, the rate of inflation, the number of jobless people, output growth, and import and export levels. Instead of being distinct fields of study, macroeconomics and microeconomics provide complimentary viewpoints on the topic of the economy as a whole.

DISCUSSION

Take into consideration the challenge of researching a biological environment, such as a lake, to see why both macroeconomic and microeconomic viewpoints are important. A researcher may choose to concentrate on certain aspects of the lake, such as the surrounding trees, fish or snail species, or types of algae or plant life. An alternative perspective may look at the lake's ecology as a whole, from top to bottom, taking into account what consumes what, how the system maintains an approximate equilibrium, and how external pressures impact this balance. Though they look at the same lake, both methods are helpful and have distinct points of view. Similar to this, albeit from distinct perspectives, macroeconomics and microeconomics both examine the same economy. The micro and macro ideas should coexist, whether you are studying lakes or economics. When examining a lake, the macro insights about the larger food chain aid in explaining the ecosystem that each individual plant and animal lives in, while the micro insights about specific plants and animals aid in understanding the whole food chain.

In economics, the health of the macroeconomy affects the micro choices made by specific enterprises; for instance, businesses are more inclined to recruit people if the economy as a whole is expanding. Consequently, the macroeconomic success is contingent upon the microeconomic choices made by individual individuals and enterprises. The study of economics aims to address the issue of scarcity, which arises when demand for goods and services exceeds supply. The division of labor is evident in a contemporary economy, where individuals specialize to make money, which they then use to buy the goods they need or want. Because of the division of labor, people and businesses can specialize and produce more for a number of reasons: (a) agents can concentrate on areas where they are advantaged due to inherent qualities and skill levels; (b) agents are encouraged to learn and innovate; and (c) agents can benefit from economies of scale. Labor division and specialization are only effective when people can buy goods that they cannot create on the market. Studying economics makes you more aware of the main issues that the modern world is experiencing, more equipped to act as a citizen, and a more well-rounded thinker.

The three most crucial objectives for defining an economy's macroeconomic health are low unemployment, low inflation, and rising living standards. How may these objectives be attained via macroeconomic policy? The central bank of a country sets monetary policy, which includes measures that influence bank lending, interest rates, and financial capital markets. This is the Federal Reserve on behalf of the United States. A country's legislative body sets fiscal policy, which includes taxing and spending by the government.

The Congress and the executive branch are responsible for creating the federal budget for the United States. These are the principal instruments available to the government. Compared to

anthropologists, biologists, classicists, and practitioners of any other subject, economists have a distinct perspective on the world. They examine concerns and difficulties with economic theories that are predicated on specific, as opposed to the presumptions made by anthropologists or psychologists, hypotheses about human behavior. A simplified depiction of the interactions between two or more variables is called a theory. A theory's goal is to reduce a complicated, real-world problem to its most basic components. When executed correctly, this helps the analyst comprehend the problem and any issues around it. A good theory is both easily comprehended and comprehensive enough to include the salient characteristics of the thing or circumstance under study.

Model is a phrase that economists sometimes use in place of theory. In technical terms, a model is a more practical or empirical representation, while a theory is a more abstract one. Though for the sake of this course, we shall use the words interchangeably, models are used to test hypotheses. When designing a large office building, for instance, an architect may often create a physical model that can be placed on a tabletop to depict how the whole city block would seem after the new structure is built. Businesses often construct prototypes of their new goods, which are typically more rudimentary and incomplete than the finalized item but yet allow for working demonstrations. In economics, the circular flow diagram s a useful place to start. According to this view, the economy is made up of two groups: households and businesses. These groups engage in two markets: the labor market, where households sell labor to other workers or businesses, and the commodities and services market, where businesses sell and households purchase.

Families sell their labor to businesses in exchange for pay, perks, and salary. The inner circle illustrates this and shows the supply and demand sides of the labor market, which are represented by families and businesses, respectively. Although this version of the circular flow model is reduced to its most basic components, it nevertheless has sufficient characteristics to describe how the labor and product markets function within the economy. If we wanted to include additional real-world components, such as financial markets, governments, and relationships with the rest of the world, we could simply add features to this basic model.

Similar to how a carpenter carries about a toolbox, economists have a collection of hypotheses in their brains. They go through their knowledge of theories to see if they can fit one in when they see an economic issue or difficulty. After that, students use the theory to gain understanding of the matter at hand. Theories in economics are represented by equations, graphs, or diagrams. (Never fear. The majority of the course will be using graphs.) Economists don't start by solving the issue and then create the graph to show it. Instead, they use the theory's graph to assist them in determining the solution. Even while at the beginning level you may sometimes find an answer without using a model, if you continue studying economics you will eventually encounter problems and challenges that you will need to graph in order to solve. Theories and models are used to explain both macroeconomics and microeconomics. Though there are many more ideas you will study, supply and demand is perhaps the most well-known.

The graphic displays purchases of hamburgers on the vertical axis and bus tickets on the horizontal axis. Alphonso could buy five burgers a week if he spent all of his money on them. $5 burgers a week ($10 a week / $2 a burger). But he won't be able to pay any bus tickets if he does this. The option (five hamburgers and zero bus tickets) is shown at Point A in the illustration. Alternatively, Alphonso could buy 20 bus tickets per week if he used all of his money on them. ($10 weekly / $0.50 each bus ticket = $20 weekly bus tickets). But by then, he won't be able to buy any hamburgers. This other option (20 bus tickets and 0 hamburgers) is shown in Point F.

Alphonso's financial restriction becomes apparent if we join every point on the graph between A and F. Given the cost of the two items and his budget, this shows the total quantity of hamburgers and bus tickets that Alphonso can buy. If Alphonso is anything like most people, he'll choose a combo that has both hamburgers and bus tickets. In other words, he will choose a combination from points A to F that falls within the budgetary constraints. Alphonso is able to purchase a mix of bus tickets and hamburgers at any place on (or within) the restriction. Any point beyond the restriction is unaffordable as it would need more funds than Alphonso has available. The financial restriction demonstrates the trade-off Alphonso must make when deciding between bus tickets and hamburgers.

Assume he is now at point D, where he has enough money to buy two hamburgers and twelve bus tickets. How much would Alphonso have to pay for one more hamburger? Although it might seem reasonable to respond with $2, economists do not think that way. Rather, they inquire as to how many bus tickets, while remaining within his means, Alphonso would have to forfeit in order to purchase one more hamburger. Alphonso would have to forgo four bus tickets, each costing 50 cents, in order to purchase one more hamburger. That is Alphonso's actual cost. Opportunity cost is a word used by economists to describe what a person must give up in order to get their desired outcome. The concept of opportunity cost states that the price of a thing is equal to the potential cost of not doing or consuming something else.

Opportunity cost may be defined as the cost of the next best option. Alphonso would have to forfeit four bus tickets as the opportunity cost of a hamburger. Whether the value of the burger outweighs the worth of the given alternative in this example, bus tickets would determine whether or not he chose to accept the burger. People always have to make trade-offs because they have to make decisions. Sometimes this means giving up something they really want in order to get something else they really want. There is an opportunity cost associated with every decision in economics. The learning you would have missed from not attending class is the opportunity cost if you nod off during your economics lesson. You cannot spend your money on movies if it is used on video games. You forfeit the chance to marry anybody else if you decide to only marry one individual.

To put it simply, opportunity cost is a component of life and is present everywhere. It makes sense to refer to the opportunity cost as the price in many situations. If your cousin spends $300 on a brand-new bicycle, then that number represents the quantity of "other consumption" that he has given up. Practically speaking, it may not be necessary to pinpoint the precise alternative product or things that he might have purchased with that $300, but sometimes the price in dollars might not fully reflect the opportunity cost. When there are time expenses involved, this issue might become much more significant. Take a supervisor who chooses to organize a two-day retreat for all staff members in order to "build team spirit." The event's out-of-pocket expenses might include paying for everyone's lodging and board as well as employing an outside consulting company to oversee the retreat. There is also an opportunity cost, however, since none of the staff members are working during the two days of the retreat.

Another instance where the potential cost outweighs the financial cost is going to college. The tuition, books, housing and board, and other expenses associated with attending college must be paid for out of pocket. Furthermore, you are unable to work in a paid employment during the hours when you are in class and studying. As a result, attending education comes with a financial burden in addition to an opportunity cost of missed wages. The concept of budget constraints highlights the fact that most decisions made in real life do not involve choosing between obtaining all of one item or all of another; that is, they do not involve selecting a point at one end of the budget limitation or a point at the other end. Rather, the majority of decisions include marginal analysis, which is weighing the advantages and disadvantages of selecting

slightly more or slightly less of an item. People instinctively evaluate prices and benefits, but when making the best decision requires analyzing how costs and benefits vary from one option to another, we often look at total costs and benefits. Marginal analysis is also referred to as "change analysis." The utility a person obtains from eating an item in its first unit is usually greater than the utility acquired from consuming it in its fifth or tenth unit. This is why marginal analysis is employed across the economy. For example, when Alphonso has to pick between hamburgers and bus tickets, the first few trips he takes might be very useful to him—they could get him to a doctor's appointment or a job interview. Later bus trips, however, could just be useful for passing the time on a wet day and provide considerably less value. Similar to this, Alphonso could decide to get his first burger on a day when he skipped breakfast and is really hungry. But if Alphonso consumes a burger each day, the final few can taste a little monotonous.

It is a frequent trend that consumption of the initial few units of any product tends to provide a person with a greater amount of utility than consumption of subsequent units. This trend is known to economists as the law of decreasing marginal utility, which states that the extra (or marginal) benefit from each additional unit of a thing decreases as a person obtains more of it. Put another way, you're more satisfied after the first slice of pizza than after the sixth.

The reason communities and individuals seldom make all-or-nothing decisions is due to the law of declining marginal value. You wouldn't declare, "I will only eat ice cream from now on because it's my favorite food." Rather, even if your favorite cuisine provides a very high degree of utility, the extra or marginal benefit from those last few portions would not be particularly great if you just ate it. In a similar vein, very few employees declare, "I love to relax, so I'll never work." Rather, employees understand that although some leisure is great, having no money and all leisure is not as appealing. According to the budget constraint paradigm, individuals would do marginal analysis and consider whether they would prefer a little bit more or a little bit less while making decisions in a world of scarcity.

If a product's marginal utility is greater than its opportunity cost, a logical buyer would only buy more of it. Let's say Alphonso reduces his spending limit from Point A to Point B to Point C and beyond.

The marginal value of bus tickets will decrease as he uses them more, but the opportunity cost—that is, the marginal utility of burgers foregone will rise. Eventually, the marginal value of an extra bus ticket will be less than the opportunity cost. If Alphonso is a reasonable person, he won't keep buying bus tickets once the opportunity cost and marginal benefit are equal. Although the precise number of bus tickets Alphonso will purchase is unknown at this time, it is unlikely to exceed 20. you eat, how many hours you work, or how much money you save. These selections don't take the past into consideration. As a result, the framework for budget constraints makes the assumption that sunk costs—expenses paid in the past that are irrecoverable should not influence the choice made at this time.

Take Selena, for example, who buys $8 to attend a movie, but realizes after 30 minutes that it is very awful. Since she purchased a ticket, should she stay and see the remainder of the film or should she leave, Selena will not get a refund since the money she paid is a sunk cost and the theater management is unlikely to be understanding. But continuing to watch the film still entails giving up a time-consuming opportunity. She has to decide whether to do something—anything—else or endure a 90-minute movie set catastrophe. The lesson of sunk costs is to concentrate on the marginal costs and advantages of both present and future possibilities rather than worrying about the money and time that are irrevocably lost. Working with buried expenses may be annoying for both individuals and businesses. It often entails owning up to a

prior judgment call gone wrong. For example, a lot of companies find it difficult to abandon a new product that isn't doing well since they invested a lot of money in its development and introduction. Nonetheless, the lesson associated with sunk costs is to disregard them and base judgments on future events.

CONCLUSION

The importance of labor division and specialization in promoting economic development, innovation, and prosperity is highlighted by this study. The results emphasize how crucial specialization is for increasing production, promoting innovation, and taking advantage of comparative advantages. The division of labor also makes it possible for economies to realize economies of size and scope, which raises productivity and efficiency. The study highlights the need for companies and politicians to support specialization while minimizing possible negative effects including skill mismatches and income inequality. Economies may maximize the benefits of the division of labor to boost wealth and competitiveness by creating an environment that encourages specialization via infrastructure development, education, and training. In the future, further investigation is required to examine the dynamics of specialization in light of developing consumer preferences, shifting technological landscapes, and patterns of international commerce. We will get a deeper knowledge of the division of labor and specialization of skills by integrating multidisciplinary methods and using data analytics. This will empower companies and governments to make well-informed choices that support equitable growth and sustainable development. Overall, the information gathered from this study advances our understanding of labor division and specialization and offers suggestions for how economies may use these ideas to boost productivity, creativity, and wellbeing.

REFERENCES:

[1] R. Karam *Et Al.*, "A Preliminary Exploratory Study On The Implications Of Specialization Of Labor At The Workplace: The Case Of Production Managers In Lebanon, Their Attitude Towards Specialization Of Labor And The Consequences Of The Implementation Of Specialization At The Workplace," *Leban. Sci. J.*, 2018, Doi: 10.22453/Lsj-019.2.297304.

[2] G. A. Cooper And S. A. West, "Division Of Labour And The Evolution Of Extreme Specialization," *Nat. Ecol. Evol.*, 2018, Doi: 10.1038/S41559-018-0564-9.

[3] D. Potts, "Urban Data And Definitions In Sub-Saharan Africa: Mismatches Between The Pace Of Urbanisation And Employment And Livelihood Change," *Urban Stud.*, 2018, Doi: 10.1177/0042098017712689.

[4] C. M. Kamp Dush, J. E. Yavorsky, And S. J. Schoppe-Sullivan, "What Are Men Doing While Women Perform Extra Unpaid Labor? Leisure And Specialization At The Transitions To Parenthood," *Sex Roles*, 2018, Doi: 10.1007/S11199-017-0841-0.

[5] K. H. Moon, S. H. Lee, And Y. H. Kim, "Validation Of Quantitative Real-Time Pcr Reference Genes For The Determination Of Seasonal And Labor-Specific Gene Expression Profiles In The Head Of Western Honey Bee, Apis Mellifera," *Plos One*, 2018, Doi: 10.1371/Journal.Pone.0200369.

[6] M. De S. C. Velloso, V. L. G. De Brito, A. P. S. Caetano, And R. Romero, "Anther Specializations Related To The Division Of Labor In Microlicia Cordata (Spreng.) Cham. (Melastomataceae)," *Acta Bot. Brasilica*, 2018, Doi: 10.1590/0102-33062017abb0358.

[7] X. Li, X. Ye, X. Zhou, C. Zheng, M. Leipnik, And F. Lou, "Specialized Villages In Inland China: Spatial And Developmental Issues," *Sustain.*, 2018, Doi: 10.3390/Su10092994.

[8] Z. Vásárhelyi And I. Scheuring, "Behavioral Specialization During The Neolithic—An Evolutionary Model," *Front. Sociol.*, 2018, Doi: 10.3389/Fsoc.2018.00035.

[9] J. Pereira, "Modelling And Solving A Cost-Oriented Resource-Constrained Multi-Model Assembly Line Balancing Problem," *Int. J. Prod. Res.*, 2018, Doi: 10.1080/00207543.2018.1427899.

[10] A. Syomin And E. Skvortsov, "Transformation Of Work In The Conditions Of Application Of Robotics In Agriculture," *AIC Econ. Manag.*, 2018, doi: 10.33305/1811-76.

CHAPTER 5

INVESTIGATION OF THE SHAPE OF THE PPF AND THE LAW OF DIMINISHING RETURN

Dr Shalini, Assistant Professor
Department of General Management, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School
Jain (Deemed to be University), Bangalore, Karnataka, India
Email Id- shalini_rao@cms.ac.in

ABSTRACT:
The geometry of the Production Possibility Frontier (PPF) and the Law of Diminishing Returns two key economics concepts that control resource allocation and production efficiency are investigated in depth in this study. The Law of Diminishing Returns states that the marginal product of a variable input will eventually decrease as more units of one input are added to fixed quantities of other inputs. In contrast, the PPF shows the maximum output combinations of two goods that an economy can produce given its resources and technology. This paper examines the connection between the PPF's form and the use of the Law of Diminishing Returns in production processes by fusing ideas from mathematical modeling, production theory, and microeconomics The concavity of the PPF curve, the trade-offs between producing various items, and the effect of raising input costs on production efficiency are some of the important topics that were looked at. The impact of the Law of Diminishing Returns on the PPF's form and production opportunities is also examined in this paper. To explain the ideas and show how they apply to actual economic situations, sophisticated econometric tools and graphical analysis are used.

KEYWORDS:

Diminishing Returns, Economics, Microeconomics, Production Possibility Frontier (PPF), Production Efficiency.

INTRODUCTION

The budgetary restrictions that were previously discussed in this chapter, which demonstrated the decisions made by each person on the amounts of items to consume, were all straight lines. These straight lines were caused by the fact that the slope of the consumption budget restriction was defined by the relative costs of the two items. On the other hand, we depicted the frontier of manufacturing possibilities for healthcare and education as a curving line. Start by examining point A, which is located in the upper left corner of the PPF, to comprehend why it is curved. At point A, all resource available is allocated to healthcare, leaving no resources for education. This would be a crazy and severe circumstance. Children, for instance, do not attend school; instead, they visit a doctor every day, whether or not they are ill [1], [2]. Every portion of the body is being cosmetically operated upon, yet there is no high school or college education. Now consider a scenario in which part of these funds are transferred from healthcare to education, resulting in the economy reaching point B rather than point A. Because the final few pennies that go into healthcare services aren't generating much more health benefit, shifting some resources from A to B results in a comparatively little drop in health. Even yet, investing those marginal dollars in education which at point A has no resources whatsoever can result in comparatively significant returns.

Because of this, the PPF's curve from A to B is comparatively flat, showing a comparatively slight decline in health and a very high increase in education [3], [4]. Now examine the other end of the manufacturing possibilities frontier, which is located at the bottom right. Assume that society begins at option D, where almost all resources are allocated to healthcare and very

little to education, and proceeds to option F, when all funds are allocated to healthcare and none to education. To put it more concretely, suppose that in the shift from D to F, the remaining physicians would have to become science instructors in high schools, the remaining nurses would have to become school librarians instead of immunization providers, and the remaining emergency departments would have to become kindergartens [5], [6]. The benefits of incorporating these last few resources into schooling are negligible. But since there will be a significant opportunity cost associated with health problems, the PPF between D and F has a high slope that illustrates a significant decline in health for a very little increase in schooling.

The message is not that society will always adopt a drastic decision, such as stopping funding for health care at point F or education at point A. Rather, the takeaway is that the benefits of allocating more marginal resources to education rely on the amount that is already being spent. If, on the one hand, education now receives very little funding, then increasing funding may result in comparatively significant advantages. On the other hand, adding resources will result in comparatively lesser increases if a significant amount of resources are already allocated to education. The rule of diminishing returns, which states that the marginal gain from adding more resources to a certain goal will decrease, is a term economist have given to this pattern since it is so widespread. A more particular instance of the law of declining returns is the law of diminishing marginal utility, which we presented in the previous section.

For example, the first benefits in lowering crime may be rather considerable when the government spends a specific amount more on this cause. Nevertheless, further increases usually result in comparatively modest decreases in crime, and it would be very costly to pay for enough police and security to completely eliminate crime.

The production possibilities frontier's curvature demonstrates that as funding for education increases, the initial benefits are rather substantial but eventually decline as one moves from left to right along the horizontal axis. As a result, the PPF has a rather level slope. In contrast, the initial advantages are rather considerable but again steadily reduce as we increase healthcare spending, going from bottom to top on the vertical axis. As a result, the PPF has a quite steep slope. The production possibilities frontier bends outward as a result of the law of diminishing returns [7], [8].

Economics does not claim to be able to advise a society on which decisions to make along its frontier of output possibilities. A combination of choices made by people, businesses, and the government will be made in a market-oriented economy with a democratic system of governance. Economics, however, may demonstrate that some decisions are definitely superior than others. The idea of efficiency is the foundation of this remark. In common parlance, efficiency is the absence of waste. An inefficient machine uses a lot of energy and resources during operation, while an efficient machine uses less of both. While an effective company meets schedules, is focused, and runs within budget, an inefficient organization has lengthy delays and exorbitant expenditures.

Productive efficiency is the state in which more of one product cannot be produced without reducing the amount of another good produced, given the inputs and technologies at hand. When a company switches from one of these options to another, healthcare costs go up while education costs go down, or vice versa. However, because more of one good, the other good, or some mix of both commodities may be produced, any decision made within the production possibilities frontier is wasteful and inefficient in terms of productivity. For instance, point R is productively inefficient because choice C allows for the possibility of having more of both goods [9], [10]. Education is more abundant at point C than point R on the horizontal axis (E2 is greater than E1). The precise combination of chosen healthcare and education along the

production possibilities frontier may be represented as a ray (line) from the origin to a particular point on the PPF, which represents the particular mix of commodities and services created. A steeper ray would be seen in output mixes with more healthcare (and less education), while a flatter ray would be seen in output mixes with more education (and less healthcare).

According to the theory of allocatable efficiency, the specific mix of products and services that a society produces along the production potential curve is the combination that society wants most. A contentious topic that is often discussed in political science, sociology, philosophy, and economics schools is how to ascertain what a society wants. Allocative efficiency, in its simplest form, refers to producers providing each product in the amount that customers need. The allocatively efficient option for society as a whole will only be one of the productively efficient options. There are two scenarios in which the economy might increase the amount of commodities it consumes. In the first scenario, a society may find that it has been using its resources inefficiently. In this situation, it may have more of all products (or at least more of some and less of none) by increasing efficiency and producing on the production possibilities frontier. In the second scenario, the economy expands as resources (such as labor and capital) accumulate over time.

DISCUSSION

As it happens, a civilization's frontier of production potential tends to move outward, allowing society to afford more of all products. But economic progress occurs gradually, and it takes time to identify and apply advances in production efficiency. A society is thus forced to make trade-offs in the here and now. This procedure for the government often include attempting to determine where expenditure cuts would have the least negative impact and where increases in spending may have the greatest positive effects. The market economy organizes a process wherein businesses try to provide products and services in the amount, quality, and price that consumers want, both at the individual and corporate levels. But in the near run, increases in one good's production usually translate into cuts elsewhere in the economy, benefiting both the government and the market economy.

Every community does not have to generate every product that it consumes; instead, it must decide how much of each item or service it should produce. A nation's decision to create an item in large quantities is often influenced by the cost of producing it as opposed to importing it from another nation. As previously observed, the PPF's curvature provides insight into the trade-offs associated with allocating resources to the production of one item over another. Specifically, its slope provides the opportunity cost, expressed in terms of the other good (in the y-axis), of creating one more unit of the good in the x-axis.

The potential costs of manufacturing a given commodity vary widely throughout countries due to factors such as climate, location, technology, and skill levels. A nation is said to have a comparative advantage in an item when it can manufacture it at a lower opportunity cost than another nation. Absolute advantage, or the ability of a nation to create more of a product, differs from comparative advantage. Absolute advantage, or the ability of a nation to create more of a product, differs from comparative advantage. In our case, the United States has an absolute edge in wheat, whereas Brazil has an absolute advantage in sugar cane. This is readily apparent by looking at the extreme production points in the PPFs of the two nations. Brazil would be producing at point A if it focused all of its energies on growing wheat.

On the other hand, it would be generating a much higher amount if it had focused all of its resources on growing sugar cane. The opportunity cost of producing an extra unit of wheat is shown by the PPF's slope. Although the PPFs do not all have the same slope, it is clear that Brazil has a steeper PPF than the United States, which means that wheat has a larger potential

cost there overall. You will discover in the chapter on international commerce that the items that different nations choose to manufacture and trade are determined by their disparities in comparative advantage.

In commerce, nations specialize in producing commodities in which they have a comparative advantage and exchange a portion of those items for those in which they lack such an advantage. Manufacturers create items where opportunity costs are lowest via trade, increasing overall output and benefitting both trading partners. The application of economics to decision-making seems to need more knowledge than most people have and more deliberate decision-making than most people really exhibit. Ultimately, when you go to the mall, do you or any of your pals make a budgetary restriction and murmur to yourself about optimizing utility? When voting on the yearly budget, do members of the U.S. Congress consider production potential frontiers? The disorganized methods that individuals and societies function in some senses don't resemble well-defined financial restrictions or smoothly curved production potential boundaries.

The economics method, however, may be a helpful tool for examining and comprehending the trade-offs involved in economic choices. For the sake of understanding this argument, put yourself in the position of a basketball player who is dribbling to the right and passing a bounce pass to a teammate who is sprinting for the hoop on the left. A physicist or engineer might determine the ideal pass speed and trajectory based on the many motions involved as well as the ball's weight and bounce. On the other hand, none of these computations are made while you play hoops. Simply pass the ball, and if you're a skilled player, you'll be able to do so with great precision.

"The scientist's bounce-pass formula must be an unrealistic description of how basketball passes actually occur," one could argue, citing the need for far more detailed information about weights and movement speeds than the basketball player actually possesses. This would be a foolish response. A skilled player's ability to throw the ball correctly without the need for a physics calculation does not imply that the physics calculation is incorrect. Similarly, even if the shopper does not frame choices in terms of a financial limitation, someone who buys for groceries once a week has a great lot of experience figuring out what mix of commodities would supply that individual with utility. Even if government processes are often sluggish and inefficient, voters and social institutions generally put pressure on democratic governments to adopt the decisions that are most universally supported by the populace. It is thus appropriate to use economic analysis methods as a first approximation when considering the economic behaviors of organizations, individuals, and society. Read up on behavioral economics for further information.

Economics is not a subject to be taught morality. Instead, it aims to depict economic activity as it really is. Philosophers distinguish between normative assertions which outline how the world ought to be and positive statements, which depict the world as it is. Positive claims are supported by facts. We can, in theory, test them to see whether they are true or not. Normative assertions are opinion-based and subjective inquiries. Since we are unable to establish the veracity of views, we are unable to test them. These are only views based on personal principles. An economist may examine a city's planned subway system, for instance. He concludes that the project is worthwhile an example of positive analysis if the anticipated benefits outweigh the expenses. An example of normative analysis is when an economist argues that a wealthy nation like the United States should take care of its less fortunate residents, which leads to an extension of unemployment benefits during the Great Depression.

Even if it's not always easy to distinguish between normative and positive assertions, economic analysis makes an effort to stay grounded in the study of the real people who live in the real economy. Thankfully, the notion that people just have their own interests in mind is an oversimplification of human nature. In reality, the proof of this may be found in Adam Smith, the founding father of modern economics. "How selfish soever man may be supposed, there are evidently some principles in his nature, which interest him in the fortune of others, and render their happiness necessary to him, though he derives nothing from it except the pleasure of seeing it," states the author in the first sentence of his book, The Theory of Moral Sentiments. People are obviously self-interested and altruistic. Secondly, we may call profit-seeking and self-interested conduct by other terms, including freedom and personal choice. One crucial aspect of personal freedom is the capacity to choose how one works, saves money, and makes purchases.

Some individuals could choose high-pressure, high-paying positions in order to make a substantial income that they can use for personal expenses. Some people choose to donate a significant amount of their income to charities or use it to support their friends and family. Others could commit to a profession like social work or primary school teaching, which might demand a lot of time, effort, and knowledge but does not pay much. Others could decide to work at a profession that demands a lot of their time or pays well, but yet allows time for friends, family, and introspection. While some individuals may wish to launch their own business, others would choose to work for a big corporation. Respecting people's right to make their own economic decisions is a moral obligation.

Even those who prioritize their narrow self-interest in one area of their lives the economy frequently neglects other aspects of their lives. When negotiating a vehicle purchase or asking your boss for a raise, for instance, you can put your personal interests first. When you volunteer to read aloud at the neighborhood library, assist a friend in moving into a new apartment, or give money to a charitable organization, you may then turn around and start thinking about other people. Self-interest does not have to entail that individuals always act in their own immediate self-interest in order to be a valid starting point for assessing many economic issues. According to economists, there is scarcity in the actual world, meaning that people's wants are greater than what is really achievable. Economic conduct thus entails trade-offs wherein people, businesses, and society must give up something in order to get something more desirable. The decision of how much to consume in terms of goods and services is up to each individual. The range of options is represented by the budget restriction, which is the boundary of the opportunity set.

The budget constraint's slope is determined by the prices of the options compared to one another. Options over the financial limit are not within reach. Opportunity cost calculates our costs based on what we give up in return. Although opportunity cost may sometimes be expressed in monetary terms, it is often more helpful to take time into account or to express it in terms of the real resources that we must forgo. The majority of trade-offs and economic choices are not binary. Rather, they deal with marginal analysis, which is about making judgments that are on the edge, involving slightly more or slightly less. According to the law of declining marginal utility, an individual's incremental marginal benefits tend to decrease when they get more of anything, whether it a particular product or another resource. Sunk expenses should not be taken into consideration when making choices now as they happened in the past and cannot be recovered. The range of options society has for the combinations of products and services it can create with the resources at its disposal is known as the production possibilities frontier. Instead of being straight, the PPF is usually bent outward.

Decisions inside the PPF are inefficient, while decisions made outside of it are unachievable. An expanding economy will eventually cause the PPF to move outward. According to the law of diminishing returns, the marginal gain in output will decrease as progressively more resources are allocated to its production. Every decision made along a production possibilities frontier demonstrates productive efficiency; in other words, society's resources cannot be used to increase the production of one good without lowering the production of another.

The allocatively efficient option is the particular decision made along a production options frontier that represents the combination of items that society favors. Different nations will likely have varying PPF curvatures, giving them a competitive advantage in a variety of commodities. Production as a whole may rise if nations focus on the economic school of thought offers a practical method for comprehending conduct in people. Economists use caution when separating normative claims which explain how the world ought to be from positive assertions, which depict the world as it is. Economics is based on a positive examination of how individuals, businesses, and governments really behave, not how they ought to behave, even when it examines the benefits and losses from certain events or policies and therefore comes to normative judgments about how the world ought to be.

Consider what a sophisticated system the contemporary economy is. It encompasses all employment, all purchasing and selling, and all manufacturing of commodities and services. Every person's financial life is connected to the financial lives of hundreds or perhaps millions of other people, at least somewhat. This system's coordinator and organizer is who? Who makes sure, for instance, that a society has as many TVs as it needs and desires? Who makes sure that the electronics sector employs the proper amount of people? Who guarantees the highest quality of production goes into making televisions? How is everything completed?

Societies have devised at least three methods for structuring their economies. The earliest economic system is the traditional economy, which is the oldest and is present in certain regions of South America, Africa, and Asia. Tradition is the means by which traditional economies manage their financial concerns. Jobs remain within the family. The majority of households are farmers who use conventional farming practices to cultivate the crops they have always farmed. You are able to eat what you generate. Tradition drives most things, therefore economic growth and progress are little. People forget, even though elephants never do. We acknowledge that most students, maybe relieved, quickly forget much of what they have learned in a course following the last test. However, we do hope that you will retain some of the most fundamental economic concepts and, more importantly, the methods of thinking about economic problems that will enable you to assess the problems that our economy faces.

We have chosen seven of the numerous ideas in this book to assist you in identifying some of the more important ones. Some provide crucial insights into how the economy functions, and a few touch on significant policy matters that are covered by newspapers. Others highlight frequent misconceptions that even the most perceptive ordinary observers have. The majority of them show that doing an efficient analysis of economic problems requires more than simply common sense. As this chapter's opening quotation implies, many intelligent politicians, judges, and university administrators might have made better choices if they had grasped fundamental economic concepts. This section will provide a quick overview of each of the seven concepts for Beyond the Final Exam, many of which are paradoxical. More importantly, each will be covered in detail as it arises throughout the book and will be indicated with a unique symbol in the margin. Although you won't be able to fully understand these concepts at the end of the course, you should be able to spot common fallacies that are all too frequently promoted by public figures, the media, and television pundits.

CONCLUSION

This study emphasizes how crucial it is to comprehend the Law of Diminishing Returns and the PPF's structure when examining production options and resource allocation choices. The results highlight the trade-offs that are a part of making economic decisions as well as the constraints placed on production efficiency by declining input returns. The study focuses on how these ideas might be used in practice to inform resource management, production scheduling, and policy choices. Through comprehension of the PPF's design and the concepts of diminishing returns, decision-makers in government and industry may make well-informed decisions to optimize productivity and distribute resources effectively. In the future, further investigation is required to examine the effects of shifting customer preferences, resource availability, and technological advancements on the PPF's structure and production options. Our comprehension of these ideas and their applicability in contemporary economies will grow as a result of the integration of empirical research and case studies. Overall, the information gathered from this study advances our understanding of the PPF's structure and the Law of Diminishing Returns, offering insightful information on resource allocation and economic decision-making in theoretical and real-world settings.

REFERENCES:

[1] A. Mahmoudi and M. R. Feylizadeh, "A grey mathematical model for crashing of projects by considering time, cost, quality, risk and law of diminishing returns," *Grey Syst.*, 2018, doi: 10.1108/GS-12-2017-0042.

[2] J. Hartung, P. Doebler, U. Schroeders, and O. Wilhelm, "Dedifferentiation and differentiation of intelligence in adults across age and years of education," *Intelligence*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.intell.2018.04.003.

[3] K. V. Sugonyaev and Y. I. Radchenko, "Spearman's Law of Diminishing Returns: Investigation on Large-Scales Russian Samples," *Bull. South Ural State Univ. Ser. "Psychology,"* 2018, doi: 10.14529/psy180101.

[4] M. F. Balcan and N. J. A. Harvey, "Submodular functions: Learnability, structure, and optimization," *SIAM J. Comput.*, 2018, doi: 10.1137/120888909.

[5] T. F. Yu, X. J. Liu, and F. Hao, "Effect of phosphate fertilizer application on alfalfa yield, nutritive value and N and P use efficiency," *Acta Prataculturae Sin.*, 2018, doi: 10.11686/cyxb2017168.

[6] M. C. Mungan, "Statistical (and racial) discrimination, 'ban the box', and crime rates," *Am. Law Econ. Rev.*, 2018, doi: 10.1093/aler/ahy008.

[7] J. Codling, "Probability of wellbore intercept made easy," in *Society of Petroleum Engineers - IADC/SPE Drilling Conference and Exhibition, DC 2018*, 2018. doi: 10.2118/189654-ms.

[8] D. A. Hyman, M. H. Rahmati, B. S. Black, and C. Silver, "Medical Malpractice Litigation and the Market for Plaintiff-Side Representation: Evidence from Illinois," *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2018, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.2465098.

[9] A. Blanch, H. García, A. Llaveria, and A. Aluja, "The Spearman's law of diminishing returns in chess," *Pers. Individ. Dif.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2016.09.003.

[10] I. E. P. Ferreira, S. S. Zocchi, and D. Baron, "Reconciling the Mitscherlich's law of diminishing returns with Liebig's law of the minimum. Some results on crop modeling," *Math. Biosci.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.mbs.2017.08.008.

CHAPTER 6

EXPLORATION OF THE RISE OF GLOBALIZATION

Dr. Sumbul Samreen, Assistant Professor
Department of General Management, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School
Jain (Deemed to be University), Bangalore, Karnataka, India
Email Id- dr.sumbul_samreen@cms.ac.in

ABSTRACT:
The complex phenomena of globalization, including its historical roots, forces behind it, and effects on economies, communities, and cultures all around the globe. The rising interdependence and connectivity of countries, enabled by developments in technology, communication, commerce, and finance, is what defines globalization. This research combines viewpoints from political science, economics, sociology, and cultural studies to provide a thorough examination of the emergence of globalization and its effects on several facets of human existence. The historical development of globalization, the function of multinational companies, the consequences of trade liberalization and technical breakthroughs, and the implications for economic growth, income inequality, and cross-cultural interactions are some of the important topics that have been examined. Along with examining these issues, the research also looks at the potential and problems brought forth by globalization, such as geopolitical conflicts, cultural homogeneity, economic disparity, and environmental damage. Sophisticated analytical methods, empirical investigations, and case studies are used to explore the intricate dynamics of globalization and its impacts on many areas and communities.

KEYWORDS:

Cultural Exchange, Economic Growth, Globalization, Income Inequality, Multinational Corporations.

INTRODUCTION

Globalization, or the growing cultural, political, and economic ties between people worldwide, has been a trend in recent decades. Increased cross-border purchases and sales of assets, services, and goods that is, global commerce and financial capital flows serve as one indicator of this. In today's market, knowledge may be found in many useful goods and services, such as computer software, financial guidance, trip planning, music, books, and movies, as well as architectural designs. Over phone lines and computer networks, these goods among many others can be delivered at ever-lower prices [1], [2]. Ultimately, increased commerce has been facilitated by international accords and treaties among nations. One indicator of the globalization process. According to The World Bank, it displays the proportion of domestic economic output that was exported for a range of nations between 2010 and 2013. Products and services produced locally and sold outside are known as exports. Products and services created elsewhere and marketed locally are known as imports. The gross domestic product (GDP) is a measure of an economy's overall output size [3], [4].

As a result, the GDP to export ratio calculates the percentage of a nation's total economic output that is exported. The export to GDP ratio has usually increased in the last several decades, both globally and for the US economy. It's interesting to note that, compared to the worldwide average, the percentage of US exports to the country's GDP is much lower, partly because big economies like the US can retain more of the division of labor inside their borders. But in order for smaller economies like those in Korea, Canada, and Belgium to fully benefit from specialization, economies of scale, and division of labor, they must trade with other nations. In this regard, compared to most other nations, the massive American economy is less impacted

by globalization. China and Mexico are only two examples of the numerous medium- and low-income nations that have seen a rise in globalization in recent years. An astronaut in space may view the Earth covered with connections if they were to put on special glasses that would show all economic transactions as vividly colored lines [5], [6].

Hopefully, you now have a basic understanding of what economics is. Read the very significant appendix to this chapter, The Use of Mathematics in Principles of Economics, before moving on to any other study chapter. You must become more proficient in the reading and application of economics models. Today's environment offers almost instantaneous access to a multitude of information. Think about how, until the late 1970s, American farmers relied mostly on the Farmer's Almanac and the Weather Bureau of the U.S. Department of Agriculture to decide when to sow and harvest their crops [7], [8]. These days, farmers are more likely to watch The Weather Channel or get weather predictions from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration online. After all, when to harvest crops may depend on the impending prediction. Consequently, the quantity of produce harvested may vary depending on the approaching weather.

The way information is disseminated is changing quickly because to some relatively new information venues like Facebook, which is impacting decision-making. According to a 2014 Pew Research Center study, 71% of internet adults use Facebook. Facebook posts may be about anything from farmers to the National Basketball Association to famous artists and entertainers.

Decision-making is aided by information. Choosing what to wear today or how many reporters to send to cover a collision are examples of easy decisions. These choices are all based on economic considerations. Resources are after all scarce. Ten reporters covering an accident means that none of them can cover other stories or get other things done. Information gives people the information they need to allocate limited resources as efficiently as possible. The functional link between a good's price and quantity desired is expressed by the law of demand. It is among the most significant economic theory laws. This rule states that, other things being equal (ceteris paribus), quantity desired for an item will increase if its price decreases and will decrease if its price increases. Price and quantity requested are thus inversely related. As a result, we purchase more Apple products when their price drops from Rs. 4 to Rs. 2. Only when the following criteria are satisfied does the law of demand take effect. Opportunity cost is a word used by economists to describe what has to be sacrificed in order to achieve a desired goal [9], [10].

chance cost is defined as the value of the next best option; in other words, it is the concept that the expense of one thing is equal to the missed chance to do or consume something else. Alphonso would have to forfeit four bus tickets as the opportunity cost of a hamburger. Whether the value of the burger outweighs the worth of the given alternative in this example, bus tickets would determine whether or not he chose to accept the burger. People always have to make trade-offs because they have to make decisions. Sometimes, this means giving up things they really want in order to get something they want even more. There is an opportunity cost associated with every decision in economics.

The learning you would have missed from not attending class is the potential cost of sleeping through your economics lesson which is not advised. You cannot spend your money on movies if it is used on video games. You forfeit the chance to marry anybody else if you decide to only marry one individual. In summary, opportunity cost permeates everything we do and is a necessary aspect of life. It makes sense to refer to the opportunity cost as the price in many situations. The $300 that your cousin spends on a new bicycle represents the amount of "other consumption" that he has eschewed. Practically speaking, it may not be necessary to pinpoint

the precise alternative product or items that might have been purchased with that $300, but sometimes the price in dollars could not fully reflect the opportunity cost. When there are time expenses involved, this issue might become much more significant.

Take a supervisor who chooses to organize a two-day retreat for all staff members in order to "build team spirit." The event's out-of-pocket expenses might include paying for everyone's lodging and board as well as employing an outside consulting company to oversee the retreat. However, there is also an opportunity cost since no one else works during the two days of the retreat. Another instance where the potential cost outweighs the financial cost is going to college. The tuition, books, housing and board, and other expenses associated with attending college must be paid for out of pocket. Furthermore, you are unable to perform a paid job during the hours when you are in class and studying. Being aware of the opportunity cost may change behavior, thus attending college has both an out-of-pocket expense and, in some situations, an opportunity cost of missed earnings. Consider, for instance, that you had to pay $8 for lunch each day at work. You may be fully aware that packing a lunch from home would only cost $3 each day, so that the opportunity cost of purchasing lunch at the restaurant is $5 per day (i.e., the $8 cost of purchasing lunch less the $3 cost of packing a lunch from home). It doesn't seem like much, $5 a day. But if you multiply it by 250 days a year times $5 a day, you get $1,250, which might be the price of a respectable vacation. You could choose differently if the opportunity cost is presented as "a nice vacation" as opposed to "$5 a day.

DISCUSSION

More usefulness is gained. Nevertheless, a person usually gains more value from ingesting a good's initial unit than from consuming its fifth or tenth unit combined. For example, when Alphonso has to pick between hamburgers and bus tickets, the first few trips he takes might be quite helpful to him they could get him to a doctor's appointment or a job interview. However, subsequent bus journeys could be far less useful; they might just be used as a way to pass the time on a wet day. Similarly, Alphonso could decide to purchase his first burger on a really hungry day after skipping breakfast. However, Alphonso's final few burgers would taste a little monotonous if he eats one every day. It is a frequent trend that consumption of the initial few units of any product tends to provide a person with a greater amount of utility than consumption of subsequent units. The law of declining marginal utility, as it is known in economics, states that when an individual obtains more of a good, the extra (or marginal) value from each additional unit of the item decreases. Put another way, you're more satisfied after the first slice of pizza than after the sixth.

The reason communities and individuals seldom make all-or-nothing decisions is due to the law of declining marginal value. You wouldn't declare, "I will only eat ice cream from now on because it's my favorite food." Rather, even if your favorite cuisine provides a very high degree of utility, the extra or marginal benefit from those last few portions would not be particularly great if you just ate it. In a similar vein, very few employees declare, "I love to relax, so I'll never work." Rather, employees understand that although some leisure is great, having no money and all leisure is not as appealing. According to the budget constraint paradigm, individuals would do marginal analysis and consider whether they would prefer a little bit more or a little bit less while making decisions in a world of scarcity.

Within the context of financial constraints, every choice you make affects the subsequent course of events: how much money you will save, how much you will consume in terms of commodities, or how many hours you will work. These selections don't take the past into consideration. As a result, the framework for budget constraints makes the assumption that sunk costs—expenses paid in the past that are irrecoverable—should not influence the choice

made at this time. Take Selena, for example, who buys $8 to attend a movie, but realizes after 30 minutes that it is very awful. Since she purchased a ticket, should she stay and see the remainder of the film or should she leave, Selena will not get a refund since the money she paid is a sunk cost and the theater management is not being nice. However, there is still an opportunity cost associated with remaining in the film. She has to decide whether to do something anything else or endure a cinematic catastrophe for the next ninety minutes. The lesson of sunk costs is to concentrate on the marginal costs and advantages of both present and future possibilities rather than worrying about the money and time that are irrevocably lost.

Working with buried expenses may be annoying for both individuals and businesses. It often entails owning up to a prior judgment call gone wrong. For instance, since they invested a significant amount of money in developing and introducing a new product, many businesses find it difficult to give up on one that is not doing well. However, the lesson of sunk costs is to overlook them and base judgments on future events. Like most of the models discussed in this book, the budget constraint diagram with only two items is not practical. In the end, consumers in the contemporary economy have access to thousands of products. On the other hand, considering a model with several products is a simple continuation of our discussion here. You may illustrate the potential trade-offs between several distinct pairs of commodities by sketching various budget limitations as opposed to just one, which would only illustrate the trade-off between two items. Alternatively, in more advanced economics courses, you might demonstrate how the total amount spent on all products and services is constrained to the entire budget available by using mathematical equations that contain a wide range of potential goods and services, along with their quantities and prices.

The argument that does apply to the actual world is the graph that was provided above, which shows that there is an opportunity cost associated with every decision. Society as a whole cannot have all it may desire, just as individuals cannot have everything they want and must instead make decisions. The production possibilities frontier (PPF) model will be used in this portion of the chapter to describe the limitations that society faces. The parallels between societal and individual decision are greater than the contrasts. Keep your attention on the parallels as you read this section.

There is a limit to the amount of products and services society can create because of its finite resources (labor, land, capital, raw materials, etc.). Assume that a civilization has two needs: education and healthcare. The manufacturing possibilities serve as an illustration of this predicament. The financial restrictions discussed before in this chapter, which displayed the choices people made on the amounts of items they would want to consume, were all straight lines. These straight lines were caused by the fact that the relative pricing of the two items in the consumption budget restriction dictated the slope of the budget constraint. The frontier of manufacturing possibilities for healthcare and education, however, was shown as a curving line.

Start by examining point A, which is located in the upper left corner of the PPF, to comprehend why it is curved. At point A, all resource available is allocated to healthcare, leaving no resources for education. This would be a crazy and severe circumstance. Children, for instance, do not attend school; instead, they visit a doctor every day, whether or not they are ill. Every portion of the body is being cosmetically operated upon, yet there is no high school or college education. Now consider a scenario in which part of these funds are transferred from healthcare to education, resulting in the economy reaching point B rather than point A. Because the final few pennies that go into healthcare services aren't generating much more health benefit, shifting some resources from A to B results in a comparatively little drop in health. Even yet, investing those marginal dollars in education which at point A has no resources whatsoever can result in

comparatively significant returns. Because of this, the PPF's curve from A to B is comparatively flat, showing a comparatively slight decline in health and a very high increase in education.

Now examine the other end of the manufacturing possibilities frontier, which is located at the bottom right. Assume that society begins at option D, where almost all resources are allocated to healthcare and very little to education, and proceeds to option F, when all funds are allocated to healthcare and none to education. To put it more concretely, suppose that in the shift from D to F, the remaining physicians would have to become science instructors in high schools, the remaining nurses would have to become school librarians instead of immunization providers, and the remaining emergency departments would have to become kindergartens. The benefits of incorporating these last few resources into schooling are negligible. But since there will be a significant opportunity cost associated with health problems, the PPF between D and F has a high slope that illustrates a significant decline in health for a very little increase in schooling.

The message is not that society will always adopt a drastic decision, such as stopping funding for health care at point F or education at point A. Rather, the takeaway is that the benefits of allocating more marginal resources to education rely on the amount that is already being spent. If, on the one hand, education now receives very little funding, then increasing funding may result in comparatively significant advantages. On the other hand, adding resources will result in comparatively lesser increases if a significant amount of resources are already allocated to education. Because of how often this pattern occurs, it has been named the law of diminishing returns, which states that the marginal advantage of adding more resources to a certain goal will eventually decrease. For example, the first benefits in lowering crime may be rather considerable when the government spends a specific amount more on this cause. However, further increases usually result in comparatively modest reductions in crime, and it would be very costly to pay for enough police and security to completely eliminate crime.

The production possibilities frontier's curvature demonstrates how initial gains in schooling are very high but eventually reduce as more resources are added, traveling down the horizontal axis from left to right. Similarly, the initial benefits are rather high but again eventually reduce as more resources are put to healthcare, going from bottom to top on the vertical axis. The production possibilities frontier takes on an outward-bending form as a result of the law of diminishing returns. Nearly everything you can think of is the only really acceptable response. A total of 264,030 tax preparers, 6,900 geological engineers, 298,900 fire fighters, 110,990 architects, 394,230 computer programmers, more than 899,920 carpenters, more than 2.6 million truck drivers, 553,690 attorneys, and about 1.5 million secretaries worked in America in May 2008.2.N This division by sector. Most folks will find some surprises in it. Like workers in other industrialized nations, the majority of Americans create services rather than commodities. In 2009, the private service sector employed over 68% of all non-farm workers in the US, while the production of products accounted for only 14%. Over 16.5 million of these hordes of service workers provided health and educational services, over 17.7 million provided business and professional services, and over 15 million worked in retail. (Wal-Mart is the largest private employer in the nation.) In comparison, just 12 million people were employed by manufacturing enterprises in the US, and about a third of those individuals were office workers rather than factory workers.

The stereotype of the average American worker as a blue-collar worker, as portrayed by Homer Simpson, is really extremely false. Economics does not claim to be able to advise a society on which decisions to make along its frontier of output possibilities. A combination of choices made by people, businesses, and the government will be made in a market-oriented economy with a democratic system of governance. Economics, however, may demonstrate that some

decisions are definitely superior than others. The idea of efficiency is the foundation of this remark. In common parlance, efficiency is the absence of waste. An inefficient machine uses a lot of energy and resources during operation, while an efficient machine uses less of both. While an effective company meets schedules, is focused, and runs within budget, an inefficient organization has lengthy delays and exorbitant expenditures.

In recent decades, all developed nations have transformed into "service economies." This move toward services is mostly a reflection of the advent of the "Information Age." A large number of new occupations are being created by activities linked to computers, research, education, publishing, and other information-related activities. This indicates that people who switched from manufacturing to service sectors in wealthy nations did not mostly choose low-skill professions like housecleaning or dishwashing. Many were able to get work in service-related fields where their training and skills were very helpful. Simultaneously, advancements in technology have enabled the production of an increasing number of produced goods with a decreasing number of laborers. Due to labor-saving innovations in manufacturing, a significant portion of the work force has been able to transition from employment producing things to occupations providing services. The salaries paid to these workers together make up more than 70% of the total revenue generated by the manufacturing process.

This adds out to an average hourly compensation of more than $18, not including fringe benefits like health insurance and pensions, which some workers may be required to contribute an extra 30 to 40 percent of. In the United States, a normal weekly wage is around $630 before taxes (not including benefits worth) due to the average workweek of approximately 34 hours. That is by no means a magnificent amount, and the majority of college grads should anticipate making much more.3. However, in a wealthy nation like the United States, it is typical of average salary rates. In northern Europe, wages are comparable. Indeed, compared to a few decades ago, workers in a number of other industrialized nations are now paid more than American workers are. The owners of capital, or the buildings and machinery that make up the country's industrial plant, receive the majority of national income (after deducting the small amount that goes to the owners of land and natural resources), according to data from the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. In 2007, workers in the manufacturing sector in the United States earned less than those in many European countries.

It's difficult to measure, but the entire market worth of these corporate assets is thought to be in the $30 trillion range. The overall earnings of capital, including corporate profits, interest, and all other expenses, amount to around $3 trillion because that capital generates an average rate of return of almost ten percent before taxes. Polls of the general public often reveal that Americans' perceptions of the extent of corporate profits in our society are skewed. The average person on the street believes that corporate profits after taxes make up about 30% of the cost of a typical product, without affecting the amount of another thing that is produced. Every option on the PPF in Figure 2.4 exhibits productive efficiency: A, B, C, D, and F. When a company switches from one of these options to another, healthcare costs go up while education costs go down, or vice versa. However, because more of one good, the other good, or some mix of both commodities may be produced, any decision made within the production possibilities frontier is wasteful and inefficient in terms of productivity.

For instance, point R is productively inefficient because choice C allows for the possibility of having more of both goods: healthcare is also more abundant at point C than point R (H2 is greater than H1) and education is more abundant at point C than point R on the horizontal axis (E2 is greater than E1). The exact combination of healthcare and education selected along the production possibilities frontier, or the particular mix of commodities and services being produced, may be shown as a ray (line) from the origin to a particular point on the PPF. A

steeper ray would be seen in output mixes with more healthcare (and less education), while a flatter ray would be seen in output mixes with more education (and less healthcare).

According to the theory of allocatable efficiency, the specific combination of products that a society creates is what society wants most. A contentious topic that is often covered in political science, sociology, philosophy, and economics lectures is how to ascertain what a society wants. Allocative efficiency, reduced to its most basic form, is when producers provide each product in the amount that customers need. The allocatively efficient option for society as a whole will only be one of the productively efficient options. These companies' owners and management make hiring decisions, buy or rent capital assets, and set up production of commodities that customers desire to purchase. Sounds easy enough? It's not. Every year, more than 80,000 enterprises fail. A select few achieve remarkable success. Some people combine the two. Fortunately for the American economy, however, hundreds of thousands of individuals are driven to start new firms every year, against all odds, by the promise of wealth. Many of the largest companies operate globally, just as international enterprises with headquarters elsewhere conduct business in this country.

CONCLUSION

This study offers insightful information on the emergence of globalization and its significant effects on economies, society, and cultures globally. The results highlight how globalization is a revolutionary process that has enabled hitherto unheard-of degrees of technology innovation, cultural interaction, and economic integration. The study emphasizes how important it is for corporations, governments, and civil society to solve the problems caused by globalization while still taking use of its advantages. The negative consequences of globalization may be lessened and chances for shared prosperity can be created by nations via encouraging international collaboration, building social safety nets, and supporting inclusive development. Going ahead, further investigation is required to examine how the dynamics of globalization are changing in response to new developments like digitization, climate change, and geopolitical upheavals. Deepening our knowledge of globalization and developing solutions for creating more resilient and sustainable communities in an increasingly linked world will require integrating multidisciplinary methods and engaging various viewpoints. In general, the information gathered from this study advances our understanding of the causes and effects of globalization on human civilizations, economies, and cultures. It also sheds light on the possibilities and problems presented by our more linked globe.

REFERENCES:

[1] M. Cox, “The rise of populism and the crisis of globalisation: Brexit, Trump and beyond,” *Irish Stud. Int. Aff.*, 2017, doi: 10.3318/isia.2017.28.12.

[2] S. J. Kobrin, “Bricks and Mortar in a Borderless World: Globalization, the Backlash, and the Multinational Enterprise,” *Glob. Strateg. J.*, 2017, doi: 10.1002/gsj.1158.

[3] A. J. Scott, “Globalization and the rise of city-regions,” in *Regions: Critical Essays in Human Geography*, 2017. doi: 10.4324/9781315684871-31.

[4] A. L. West, R. Zhang, M. Yampolsky, and J. Y. Sasaki, “More Than the Sum of Its Parts: A Transformative Theory of Biculturalism,” *J. Cross. Cult. Psychol.*, 2017, doi: 10.1177/0022022117709533.

[5] G. Gutiérrez and T. Philippon, “Investmentless growth: An empirical investigation,” *Brookings Pap. Econ. Act.*, 2017, doi: 10.1353/eca.2017.0013.

[6] Nikil Saval, "Globalisation: the rise and fall of an idea that swept the world," *the Guardian*. 2017.

[7] K. De Backer, T. Destefano, and L. Moussiegt, "The links between global value chains and global innovation networks: An exploration," *OECD Sci. Technol. Innov. Policy Pap.*, 2017.

[8] D. S. G. Boriçi, "Globalization challenges in a globalized world," *Ilir. Int. Rev.*, 2017, doi: 10.21113/iir.v6i2.260.

[9] J. C. Le Coze, "Globalization and high-risk systems," *Policy Pract. Heal. Saf.*, 2017, doi: 10.1080/14773996.2017.1316090.

[10] K. Petrick, "Occupy and the temporal politics of prefigurative democracy," *TripleC*, 2017, doi: 10.31269/triplec.v15i2.766.

CHAPTER 7

INVESTIGATION OF ELASTICITY OF DEMAND ELASTICITY OF DEMAND

Dr. Syed Kazim, Associate Professor
Department of General Management, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School
Jain (Deemed to be University), Bangalore, Karnataka, India
Email Id- dr.syed_kazim@cms.ac.in

ABSTRACT:
This study explores the idea of demand elasticity, a key idea in economics that quantifies how responsively amount desired is to variations in price, income, or other factors. Understanding consumer behavior, market dynamics, and how pricing tactics affect revenue and market outcomes all depend heavily on the elasticity of demand. This paper provides a thorough explanation of demand elasticity and its consequences for decision-making in diverse economic circumstances by integrating concepts from behavioral economics, econometrics, and microeconomics. The many forms of demand elasticity price, income, and cross-price elasticity, among others as well as the variables affecting their magnitudes are among the important topics examined. The research also looks at how elasticity of demand is used in real-world settings to estimate market reactions to changes in economic factors, manage revenue, set prices, and implement government programs. In order to quantify and comprehend elasticity measurements and their importance for economic decision-making, sophisticated statistical methods, mathematical modeling, and empirical assessments are used.

KEYWORDS:

Consumer Behavior, Econometrics, Elasticity Demand, Market Dynamics, Microeconomics.

INTRODUCTION

In general, elasticity refers to responsiveness. Elasticity is used to describe what happens to a commodity's reaction demand when its price increases or decreases. Managers that are aware of the flexibility of the items they are marketing stand to gain greatly. More elasticity is indicated by a larger reaction, while less elasticity is shown by a smaller response. A manager is really curious to discover whether an 8% price reduction would result in a 4%, 10%, or higher rise in sales. Therefore, the degree to which demand is sensitive to a change in the commodity's price is measured by elasticity of demand [1], [2]. The idea of demand elasticity was first presented by Professor Alfred Marshall in the context of economic theory. He said that "the amount demanded increases much or little for a given fall in price and diminishes much or little for a given rise in price," indicating that the elasticity (or responsiveness) of demand in a market is big or small. Thus, the ratio of the percentage change in quantity requested to the percentage change in price may be used to determine elasticity of demand [3], [4].

Elastic or inelastic demand is possible. Demand is considered elastic when a little change in price results in a large change in demand. Demand is considered to be very elastic if a 5% reduction in automobile costs leads to a 30% rise in sales. Put otherwise, demand has increased significantly. Conversely, inelastic demand occurs when a significant rise in price is accompanied by a negligible change in demand. For instance, the same amount of salt will be bought regardless of price changes, making its demand considered inelastic. On the other hand, demand for cars is elastic, meaning that even a little change in price may have a significant impact on demand. When a given change in price has no effect at all on the amount desired of a good, that it is said to have completely elastic demand. It is precisely inelastic demand when a 10% rise in price causes a 0% change in demand. In this instance, the demand curve is a

vertical straight line that is perpendicular to the Y-axis. The proportional or percentage methods may also be used to calculate price elasticity of demand. This approach compares the percentage change in price to the percentage change in demand. The ratio of the percentage change in quantity requested to the percentage change in price is known as elasticity. If a good alternative exists, the demand for a commodity will be elastic. This is due to the fact that as an item's price increases, consumers would buy its equivalent instead of the original thing [5], [6]. The demand for all necessities such as rice, salt, and other items that have little or no alternatives will be inelastic. These are goods that people must buy in order to survive. Because of this, demand will persist despite price fluctuations. The demand for high-end products, however, will fluctuate. Customers avoid purchasing these items if their costs even slightly increase. Simultaneously, a little reduction in the cost of these goods draws a significant number of buyers. A commodity's elasticity increases with the amount of applications it may be put to. Elastic demand will thus exist for these commodities. Milk, for instance, may be used to make a variety of dishes, including cakes, desserts, and curd. Demand rises as its price decreases, but it falls sharply when its price increases.

Potential for postponing consumption Demand for a good will be elastic if delaying consumption is an option; otherwise, it will be inelastic. Certain commodities, including computers, printers, scanners, etc., have longer waiting lists. People could hold off till they drop in price. Their demand is hence elastic. On the other hand, one cannot put off the need for food or power. Their demand is thus not elastic. According to Okun's rule, one may reduce the jobless rate to very low levels with robust growth. However, common sense indicates that when unemployment falls to very low levels, the economy would probably overheat, pushing inflation higher. And this is true, for the most part [7], [8].

The Phillips curve was first studied in 1958 by A. W. Phillips, a New Zealand economist, and has since gained notoriety. Phillips charted the unemployment rate vs the pace of inflation. Since then, the relationship between the change in the inflation rate and the unemployment rate has been reinterpreted as the Phillips curve. F At the point when the unemployment rate is about equal to 6%, the line crosses the horizontal axis. In terms of the economy, inflation has usually grown when unemployment has dropped below 6%, indicating that the economy was overheating and functioning over its capacity. Inflation usually declines when unemployment is over 6%, indicating that the economy was not performing to its full capacity. However, the relationship is again too loose to allow for a precise determination of the unemployment rate at which the economy overheats. This explains why some economists think it would be wise to keep the unemployment rate at a lower level, say 4 or 5%, while others think it may be risky since it could cause an overheat and raise inflation.

A company buying a machine, a customer choosing to eat at a restaurant, and the federal government buying combat aircraft are all obviously making quite distinct choices that are based on very different circumstances. Therefore, it makes sense to break down aggregate production (GDP) from the perspectives of the many items being produced and the various customers of these goods if we want to understand what influences the demand for goods. Contrary to popular belief, there are greater similarities between residential and nonresidential investments and the choices that underpin them [9], [10].

Businesses purchase equipment or plants in order to generate production later on. In order to eventually get housing assistance, people purchase homes or flats. It makes logical to treat them jointly since the choice to purchase in both situations is based on the services that these commodities will provide in the future. In 2010, the combined share of nonresidential and residential investment in the GDP was a mere 12.0%. Government expenditure (1G2) comes in third. This is an accounting of the products and services that the federal, state, and municipal

governments have purchased. Office supplies and aircraft are among the products. Among the services offered are those from government personnel. The national income accounts essentially portray the government as purchasing the services rendered by public servants and then offering these services at no cost to the general population. Keep in mind that G does not include interest payments on the national debt or government transfers like Medicare or Social Security benefits. This is obviously government spending, but it's not a purchase of products and services. For this reason, the amount that the government spends on goods and services B.

DISCUSSION

There are two scenarios in which an economy might increase its consumption of all items. In the first scenario, a society may find that it has been using its resources inefficiently. In this situation, it may have more of all products (or at least more of some and less of none) by increasing efficiency and producing on the production possibilities frontier. In the second scenario, the economy expands as resources (such as labor and capital) accumulate over time. As it happens, a civilization's frontier of production potential tends to move outward, allowing society to afford more of all products. However, economic progress occurs gradually and it takes time to identify and execute improvements in production efficiency. A society is thus forced to make trade-offs in the here and now. In order to determine where more expenditure would be most beneficial and where it would be least harmful, the government often goes through this procedure.

The market economy organizes a process wherein businesses try to provide products and services in the amount, quality, and price that consumers want, both at the individual and corporate levels. However, short-term gains in one good usually translate into losses in another area of the economy, which affects both the government and the market economy. Every society does not have to produce every product that it consumes; rather, it must decide how much of each good it should produce. Usually, a nation's decision to create an item in large quantities is influenced by the cost of producing it as opposed to importing it. As previously observed, the PPF's curvature provides insight into the trade-offs associated with allocating resources to the production of one item over another. Its slope, in particular, provides the opportunity cost, expressed in terms of the other good (in the y-axis), of creating one more unit of the good in the x-axis.

The potential costs of manufacturing a given commodity vary widely throughout countries due to factors such as climate, location, technology, and skill levels. Assume that the US and Brazil must choose how much each of the two crops wheat and sugar cane to produce. Brazil's climate allows it to produce large amounts of sugar cane per acre yet little wheat. On the other hand, the United States can grow a lot of wheat per acre but not a lot of sugar cane. It is obvious that Brazil has a lower potential cost than the United States for growing sugar cane in terms of wheat. A nation is said to have a comparative advantage in an item when it can manufacture it at a lower opportunity cost than another nation.

In our case, the United States has a comparative advantage in wheat, whereas Brazil has an edge in sugar cane. This is readily apparent by looking at the extreme production points in the PPFs of the two nations. Brazil would be producing at point A if it focused all of its energies on growing wheat. At point B, however, it would be generating a much greater quantity if it had focused all of its efforts on growing sugar cane. Brazil would give up a significant amount of wheat output by traveling from point A to point B in exchange for a sizable production of sugar cane. For the US, this is not the case. If the United States relocated As of right now, the following succinct description of the functioning of the American economy.

Driven by the desire for profit, over 25 million private companies employ around 140 million people and have access to $30 trillion in cash. These companies aim to reach over 300 million customers by bringing their very varied products to a dizzying array of markets. these millions of families and companies come together in markets places where products and services are purchased and sold—to do business. Few of these marketplaces, like stock exchanges and fish markets, are actual physical places. The majority are more ethereal "locations," where transactions may be made over the phone or online, even when the product being exchanged is a tangible item. For instance, there are very competitive markets for computers and vehicles even if there aren't any centralized physical marketplaces for these products. People are portrayed by the economics perspective as self-interested. Even if self-interest is a fair description of human behavior, some opponents of this strategy contend that such actions are immoral. Critics contend that individuals need to be trained to care more profoundly about other people. Economists address these concerns in a number of ways.

Firstly, economics is not a discipline that teaches morality. Instead, it aims to depict economic activity as it really is. Philosophers distinguish between normative assertions which outline how the world ought to be and positive statements, which depict the world as it is. An economist may examine a city's planned subway system, for instance. He determines that the project is worthwhile if the anticipated benefits outweigh the expenses, which is an example of positive analysis. Using normative analysis as an example, another economist argues that longer unemployment benefits should have been provided during the Great Depression because wealthy nations like the United States ought to provide for their less fortunate inhabitants.

Even if it's not always easy to distinguish between normative and positive assertions, economic analysis makes an effort to stay grounded in the study of the real people who live in the real economy. Thankfully, the notion that people just have their own interests in mind is an oversimplification of human nature. In reality, the proof of this may be found in Adam Smith, the founding father of modern economics. "How selfish soever man may be supposed, there are evidently some principles in his nature, which interest him in the fortune of others, and render their happiness necessary to him, though he derives nothing from it except the pleasure of seeing it," states the author in the first sentence of his book, The Theory of Moral Sentiments. People are obviously self-interested and altruistic. Second, other terms, like freedom and personal choice, may be used to refer to profit-seeking and self-interested conduct. One crucial aspect of personal freedom is the capacity to choose how one works, saves money, and makes purchases.

Some individuals may choose high-pressure, high-paying positions in order to amass large sums of money that they can then use for personal expenses. Some people may be quite wealthy and choose to donate their money to charities or spend it on their loved ones. Some people choose to focus on careers like social work or primary school teaching that don't pay much financially but might demand a lot of time, effort, and knowledge. Others could choose a career path that doesn't need a lot of time or money but still allows them to spend time with friends, family, and themselves. While some individuals may wish to launch their own business, others would choose to work for a big corporation. The moral importance of allowing people to make their own economic decisions should be respected.

Economists are more concerned in developing a useful grasp of the factors that influence pricing and the reasons behind price fluctuations than they are in passing judgment on them. Think about a weekly expense that most of us deal with: a gallon of petrol. Why did the average price of gasoline in the US in June 2014 stand at $3.71 a gallon? How come gas prices dropped so precipitously to $2.07 per gallon by January 2015? Economists concentrate on the factors that determine how much gasoline customers are prepared to pay and how much gasoline

suppliers are willing to take in order to explain these price fluctuations. The price of gasoline turns out to be almost always higher in June than it is in January of the same year; in recent decades, summertime gasoline prices have averaged around 10 cents per gallon higher than midwinter lows. Although summertime driving and higher petrol costs are largely to blame, this does not account for the sharp decline in gas prices.

Throughout those six months, a number of other variables were at play, including shifts in the supply and demand for crude oil. The quantity of an item or service that customers are willing and able to buy at each price is referred to as demand by economists. Needs and desires are the foundation of demand; although consumers may be able to distinguish between needs and wants, economists see them as being the same thing. Demand is contingent on one's capacity to pay.

Price is the amount a customer must pay for a single unit of a certain commodity or service. The quantity desired is the total number of units bought at that price. The amount requested of an item or service almost always declines as its price increases. On the other hand, if the price drops, more will be sought. For example, as petrol prices rise, consumers search for methods to cut down on their use, such as consolidating many errands, using public transportation or carpooling, or booking weekend or vacation excursions closer to home.

The inverse connection between quantity required and price is known as the law of demand among economists. All other factors that influence demand which will be covered in more detail in the next module are assumed to remain constant under the law of demand. In our market, authority is mostly distributed, and individuals essentially "play by the rules." But conflicts are inevitable in the race for a competitive edge. Did Company A fulfill its end of the bargain? That contested piece of land who is the owner? Furthermore, some dishonest companies may sometimes cross the boundary, as shown by the many fraud instances that contributed to the sub-prime mortgage crisis of 2007–2009.

Let the government act as the arbitrator, referee, and setter of rules. Legislators in Congress, state and municipal governments also enact laws that establish the parameters of the economic game. It is the joint duty of the executive departments of the three parts of government to enforce them. In addition, the courts decide cases and interpret the law. In this world, there is nothing that is pure. Governments tamper with free market operations in a variety of ways and for a variety of reasons, even in so-called "free-market" economies. The goal of several government initiatives is to improve market efficiency.

For instance, the United States' antitrust laws serve to safeguard competition against potential monopolistic incursions. Environmental restrictions are a prime example of how certain laws aim to advance societal goals that free markets do not. However, as detractors often point out, certain economic rules are completely without a logical justification. As we've just discussed, Americans have a strong conviction in free enterprise. Because of this, compared to most other nations, the government's regulatory role is more controversial here.

As Thomas Jefferson once said, the finest kind of government is one that governs least. Presidents Reagan, Bush (both of them), and Clinton all promised to repeal unwarranted rules, and sometimes they did, two centuries later. However, the 2007–2009 financial crisis prompted several requests for stricter additional rules, particularly in the banking sector.

Since taxes and expenditure are the two most important functions performed by the government, they are often the most divisive political topics. There have been many heated exchanges between Republicans and Democrats in Congress and the White House on the federal budget. Such disagreements even caused a few brief shutdowns of the federal

government in 1995 and 1996. The government was able to attain a significant budget surplus under President Bill Clinton, which indicates that tax revenues were higher than expenses. However, it didn't last long. The government budget is now significantly overspent, and there are few chances of it ever being balanced.

The federal government spent about $3.1 trillion in fiscal year 2008 a amount that is almost unfathomable. Where the money went is seen in Figure 12. Pensions and income security programs, which include both social insurance programs (like Social Security and unemployment compensation) and initiatives aimed at helping the underprivileged, accounted for more than 31% of the total. Approximately 21% was allocated on national defense. Health care costs took up an additional 25%, mostly for Medicare and Medicaid. When interest on the national debt was taken into consideration, these four departments alone were responsible for more than 86% of all government expenditures. The remainder was used for a variety of different things, such as housing, foreign assistance, transportation, education, and agriculture.

State and local government expenditures amounted to around $2.0 trillion. State and municipal government resources were mostly allocated to education (35 percent), with public welfare and health initiatives coming in second (26 percent). There has been a massive influx of public funding, yet many observers feel that significant social demands are still unfulfilled. Opponents assert that our public infrastructure, which includes roads and bridges, is sufficient and that our educational system this wide range of products and services are paid for by taxes, and at times it seems like there are tax collectors everywhere. We pay sales taxes on purchases, property taxes on our houses, income and payroll taxes deducted from our paychecks, and levies on fuel, alcohol, and telephone use.

Americans have always believed that there are too many and too high taxes. The political landscape in the United States was dominated by antitax sentiment throughout the 1980s and 1990s. The phrase "no new taxes" replaced the previous one, "no taxation without representation." Nonetheless, Americans pay some of the lowest taxes in the world when compared to other countries. Economists define supply as the quantity of an item or service that a producer is willing to provide at a given price. The amount paid to the manufacturer for each unit of an item or service sold is known as the price. A price increase nearly always results in more of that item or service being delivered, while a price drop will result in less of that good or service being supplied. For instance, when gas prices rise, profit-driven businesses are motivated to do a number of things, such as increase oil exploration; drill more wells; buy more pipelines and oil tankers to transport the oil to facilities where it can be processed into gasoline; construct new oil refineries; buy more trucks and pipelines to transport the gasoline to gas stations; and open more or extend the hours of existing gas stations.

The positive correlation between quantity provided and price, which indicates that a higher price corresponds to a larger amount supplied and a lower price corresponds to a lower quantity supplied, is known to economists as the law of supply. All other factors that impact supply (which will be covered in more detail in the next module) are assumed to remain constant under the law of supply. For instance, suppose if the price of a gallon of gasoline was higher than the equilibrium price, meaning that it would be $1.80 a gallon as opposed to $1.40. In Figure 3.4, the dashed horizontal line at $1.80 represents this above-equilibrium pricing. The amount required falls from 600 to 500 at this increased price. This drop in quantity illustrates how customers cut down on their gasoline use in response to the price increase.

Additionally, the amount of gasoline delivered increases from 600 to 680 at this increased price of $1.80 since it is now more lucrative for gasoline manufacturers to increase their production. Now investigate the relationship between amount provided and quantity required at this price

above equilibrium. The amount delivered has increased to 680 gallons, while the quantity required has decreased to 500 gallons. In actuality, more is provided than is required at any price over equilibrium. This is referred to as a surplus or excess supply.

Gasoline surpluses build up in pipelines, tanker trucks, petrol stations, and oil refineries. Gasoline vendors are under pressure from this buildup. Businesses that produce and sell gasoline risk not having enough revenue to pay their employees and maintain their overhead if an excess is left unsold. Given that it is preferable to sell at a reduced price than not to sell at all, some producers and sellers may choose to drop their prices in this circumstance. In an effort to keep customers, other merchants will follow suit if some begin lowering their pricing. A greater amount requested will result from these price reductions. Incentives included into the supply and demand structure will thus exert pressure on the price to decrease toward the equilibrium if it is above it. Let us now assume that the price is below its equilibrium level, which is shown by the dashed horizontal $1.20 a gallon. When drivers take longer journeys, spend more time warming up their vehicles in the driveway during the winter, quit taking rides to work, and purchase bigger cars that get less miles per gallon, the amount requested rises from 600 to 700 at this reduced price.

The price below equilibrium, however, lessens the motivation for gasoline manufacturers to manufacture and market their product, resulting in a decrease in supply from 600 to 550 barrels.

CONCLUSION

This study offers insightful information on the idea of demand elasticity and its significance in economics and corporate decision-making. The results highlight how demand responsiveness is dynamic and how this affects pricing schemes, governmental interventions, and market outcomes. The study emphasizes how important it is for companies and decision-makers to comprehend and take advantage of demand elasticity when setting prices, allocating resources, and creating laws that will accomplish their intended economic goals. Businesses may optimize pricing choices to maximize revenue and profitability by understanding the factors that determine demand elasticity and how they affect market behavior. Governments can accomplish social welfare goals by creating tax policies and subsidies. Going ahead, further investigation is required to examine the nuances of demand elasticity in various sectors, market configurations, and socioeconomic environments. Our comprehension of elasticity of demand and its useful applications in real-world situations will be improved by incorporating behavioral economics insights and taking consumer preferences and decision-making biases into account. All things considered, the information produced by this study advances our understanding of demand elasticity and offers insightful advice to academics, entrepreneurs, and legislators who are trying to successfully negotiate competitive and dynamic marketplaces.

REFERENCES:

[1] R. P. Ellis, B. Martins, and W. Zhu, “Health care demand elasticities by type of service,” *J. Health Econ.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jhealeco.2017.07.007.

[2] X. Labandeira, J. M. Labeaga, and X. López-Otero, “A meta-analysis on the price elasticity of energy demand,” *Energy Policy*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.enpol.2017.01.002.

[3] T. G. Böcker and R. Finger, “A Meta-Analysis on the Elasticity of Demand for Pesticides,” *J. Agric. Econ.*, 2017, doi: 10.1111/1477-9552.12198.

[4] S. Kumar, “Price elasticity of alcohol demand in India,” *Alcohol Alcohol.*, 2017, doi: 10.1093/alcalc/agx001.

[5] P. Mukherjee, V. Mukherjee, and D. Das, "Estimating elasticity of import demand for gold in India," *Resour. Policy*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.resourpol.2016.12.007.

[6] R. P. Burger, L. C. Coetzee, C. F. Kreuser, and N. A. Rankin, "Income and Price Elasticities of Demand in South Africa: An Application of the Linear Expenditure System," *South African J. Econ.*, 2017, doi: 10.1111/saje.12167.

[7] H. W. Kinnucan, N. Duc Minh, and D. Zhang, "Trade diversion and antidumping effectiveness: insights from a residual demand model," *Aust. J. Agric. Resour. Econ.*, 2017, doi: 10.1111/1467-8489.12203.

[8] Z. A. Sofyan, "Analisis Konsumsi Daging Sapi pada Tingkat Rumah Tangga di Provinsi Aceh," *J. Agrisep*, 2017.

[9] P. Agnolucci, V. De Lipsis, and T. Arvanitopoulos, "Modelling UK sub-sector industrial energy demand," *Energy Econ.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.eneco.2017.08.027.

[10] S. Copiello and L. Gabrielli, "Analysis of building energy consumption through panel data: The role played by the economic drivers," *Energy Build.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.enbuild.2017.03.053.

CHAPTER 8

INVESTIGATION OF THE SHIFTS IN DEMAND AND SUPPLY FOR GOODS AND SERVICES

Dr. Uma C Swadimath, Professor
Department of General Management, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School
Jain (Deemed to be University), Bangalore, Karnataka, India
Email Id- dr.uma_swadimath@cms.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

This study investigates the idea of changes in supply and demand for products and services, which are crucial elements of microeconomic analysis that influence price determination and market equilibrium. Changes in government policy, manufacturing costs, customer tastes, and technology may all impact supply and demand, resulting in modifications to the amounts requested and provided at different price points. The concepts of microeconomics, econometrics, and policy analysis are combined in this research to provide a thorough understanding of the variables affecting changes in supply and demand and how those changes affect market outcomes. The factors that determine supply and demand, such as shifts in consumer preferences, income levels, the cost of related commodities, manufacturing techniques, input costs, and governmental laws, are among the important areas of study. The research also looks at how changes in supply and demand impact prices, trade amounts, market equilibrium, and resource allocation. The study utilizes sophisticated statistical methods, mathematical modeling, and empirical analysis to measure changes in supply and demand, their direction, and their effects on market dynamics.

KEYWORDS:

Demand, Econometrics, Market Equilibrium, Microeconomics, Shifts.

INTRODUCTION

The quantity of a product that a buyer is ready and able to pay for at any given price. That implies that demand is influenced by at least two variables besides price. Willingness to buy implies a desire, according to what economists refer to as preferences and tastes. purchase anything if you don't need it or desire it. The ability to buy implies that having money is crucial. Due to their higher incomes, professors often have access to nicer housing and transportation than students. Prices of similar items may also have an impact on demand. Should you need a new vehicle, the cost of a Honda might influence your preference for a Ford. Lastly, demand may be impacted by population size or composition [1], [2]. A family will always need more clothes the more kids they have. A family's need for automobile insurance increases with the number of children of driving age, whereas their need for diapers and infant formula decreases.

Both individual and market-wide demand are influenced by these variables. What precise affects do these different elements have on demand, and how can we visually represent those effects? The ceteris paribus assumption is necessary in order to respond to such queries. The most popular response is that the market finds a solution to what is often referred to as the basic economic issue, which is how to allocate society's few resources as efficiently as possible. The limited amount of resources available limits every choice. A utopian may imagine a future devoid of poverty where everyone, even those in Central America and Africa, eats caviar and drives a BMW, but the planet does not have the resources to fulfill such a goal [3], [4]. There are trade-offs in every economic action since resources are limited. Would you rather purchase a new writing pad for economics class or some pizza with that $5 bill? Is it better for General Motors to spend more money on research or on improving production lines? Such choices are

facilitated and guided by a well-functioning market system, which allocates each work hour and each kilowatt-hour of power to the job where it is believed that the input would best serve the public interest. There is currently less quantity requested at any given price point. In this case, a $20,000 price would have resulted in the sale of 18 million automobiles according to the initial demand curve, but only 14.4 million once the demand dropped. The quantity that each individual customer demands does not vary by the same amount as a demand curve varies. Not everyone in this scenario would earn more or less money, and not everyone would purchase a second automobile or not. Rather, a change in the demand curve identifies a general market trend.

We made the case in the last section that increased income leads to increased demand at all prices. For the majority of products and services, this is true. The effects of an increase in wealth may be very noticeable for certain people luxury vehicles, trips to Europe, and exquisite jewelry, for example. A typical good is a commodity whose demand increases in tandem with income increases and vice versa. There are, however, a few outliers to this trend. Many consumers will purchase more name brand foods and less generic brands when their income rises. They are more inclined to purchase new automobiles and less likely to purchase secondhand ones. They'll be more likely to buy a house and less likely to rent an apartment, and so on. An inferior good is a product whose demand decreases as income increases and vice versa. Put another way, the demand curve moves to the left as income rises [5], [6]. The percentage of senior persons in the US population is increasing. It increased from 9.8% in 1970 to 12.6% in 2000, and by 2030, the U.S. Census Bureau predicts it will account for 20% of the population. A society that has a higher-than-average number of children, such as the United States during the 1960s, would have a higher need for products and services like daycare centers and tricycles. The need for nursing homes and hearing aids is greater in a country where there are forecast to be comparatively more old people, as the United States is expected to have by 2030. In a similar vein, changes in the population may have an impact on housing and a variety of other product demand. A shift in the demand curve will be used to illustrate each of these variations in demand.

Price adjustments for similar commodities, such as complements or replacements, may also have an impact on a product's demand. A product or service that may be used in lieu of another item or service is called a replacement. You would anticipate a decline in the market for conventional printed books as electronic publications like this one become more widely accessible. Demand for the other product declines when an alternative is offered at a lower cost. For instance, the law of demand has led to a rise in demand for tablet computers as their price has decreased in recent years.

The demand for laptops has decreased as a result of individuals buying tablets; this can be seen visually as a shift to the left in the laptop demand curve. The opposite happens when a replacement product is more expensive [7], [8].

Other commodities are complementary to one another, which means that they are often used in tandem since consuming one item leads to increase consuming the other. A few examples include milk and cereal for breakfast, notebooks, pens, pencils, golf balls, and clubs, gas and sport utility vehicles, and the five-ingredient sandwich of bread, bacon, lettuce, tomato, and mayonnaise. Due to the law of demand, as the price of golf clubs increases, fewer golf clubs are desired, which lowers demand for complementary goods like golf balls. Similar to this, a lower price for a complement has the opposite impact from a higher price for skis, which would cause the demand curve for a complement product like vacations to ski resorts to move to the left. Assuming ceteris paribus, or that no other economically significant variables are changing, a supply curve illustrates how the amount provided will vary as the price increases and declines.

The whole supply curve will alter if other supply-related elements do change. A shift in supply is equivalent to a change in the amount provided at every price, just as a shift in demand is reflected by a change in the quantity desired at every price [9], [10].

When considering the variables that impact supply, keep in mind that profits the gap between revenues and costs are what drive businesses. Combinations of labor, materials, and equipment also referred to as inputs or elements of production are used to manufacture goods and services. A company's earnings increase if its production costs decrease but the prices it charges for the goods or services it provides stay the same. A company is more driven to create when its earnings rise since it will benefit more from producing more. Therefore, as production costs decrease, changes in the cost of inputs used in the process will have an impact on both the supply and the cost of production. The cost of manufacturing is also influenced by a number of other factors, including changes to the weather or other natural circumstances, new industrial technology, and certain government regulations.

DISCUSSION

Natural condition variations will have an impact on the cost of production for many agricultural goods. For instance, the Manchurian Plain in northeastern China, which yields the majority of the nation's soybeans, maize, and wheat, had its worst drought in fifty years in 2014. A drought reduces the supply of agricultural goods, meaning that less would be delivered at any given price; on the other hand, very favorable weather would cause the supply curve to move to the right. The supply curve also moves to the right when a company finds a new technology that enables it to manufacture at a reduced cost. For example, a significant scientific endeavor known as the Green Revolution in the 1960s concentrated on developing better seeds for staple crops like wheat and rice. These Green Revolution seeds were used to produce more than two-thirds of the wheat and rice in low-income nations by the early 1990s, and the yield was twice as high per acre. A technical advancement that lowers manufacturing costs will cause the supply to move to the right, producing more at any given price.

Taxes, restrictions, and subsidies are examples of how government policies may impact the supply curve and the cost of manufacturing. For instance, the United States government levies a tax on alcoholic drinks that brings in around $8 billion from manufacturers annually. Businesses consider taxes to be expenses. Increased prices reduce supply for the above-mentioned reasons. The many government rules that force businesses to invest money to produce a safer or cleaner workplace are other examples of policies that might impact costs; following the rules raises expenses. In contrast, a government subsidy is the reverse of a tax. A company receives a subsidy when the government pays it directly or lowers its taxes in exchange for the corporation doing certain tasks. Regulations and taxes are seen by the company as extra costs of production that cause the supply to shift to the left and force the company to produce less at each price point. Government subsidies push supply to the right by lowering manufacturing costs and increasing supply at all prices.

The proportion of income used to buy a product has an impact on the elasticity of demand as well. Demand will be inelastic if the proportion is relatively low. For example, we spend relatively little of our overall revenue on items like matches, pens, pencils, and agarbatties (incense sticks). Even if the cost of these goods increases, our need remains unaffected. Therefore, there is inelastic demand for these commodities. In economics, "production" often refers to the process of converting inputs into outputs. The raw materials or other productive resources utilized to create output, or finished goods, are known as inputs. Technically speaking, production is the process of creating something useful or something that satisfies a need. Any product that is worth consuming becomes beneficial to us or fulfills our desires.

Thus, enhancing utility is a way to make a product more helpful. For example, when we are hungry (desire), we cannot eat wheat flour uncooked; instead, we must use it to make bread (output). The process of generating usefulness is this conversion of wheat flour into bread. There are three methods to construct utilities. T In economics, two main kinds of production functions are often used. The production function comes first, where certain input amounts are maintained constant while the quantity of one or more inputs is altered. The law of changing proportions is used to study this kind of production function. Another name for them is the short-run production function. A period of time where the quantity of one or more production components is fixed is known as the short run. A business's plants and equipment cannot be changed at any moment.

Second, the manufacturing processes that include changing every input. This is what the law of returns to scale is all about. They go by the name long-run production function as well. The long run is the time frame in which every variable changes. It is possible to build a new plant to replace an existing one. A significant role for the law of variable proportions is played by economic theory. While maintaining constant amounts of the other factors, it analyzes the production function using a single factor variable. This law explains how a change in the percentage of the elements utilized affects the total production or marginal output. The marginal and average product gradually decrease, according to the law, when one element is raised while keeping the others unchanged. As equal increments of one input are added, Stigler states that "beyond a certain point the resulting increments of product will decrease, i.e., the marginal products will diminish" while holding constant the inputs of other productive services. As a consequence, when the quantities of a variable component are increased relative to a constant factor, the output increases up to a certain point before declining. Plant size and production scale are related. Each and every entrepreneur must choose the size of his company or facility. The query is: What is the ideal size for a business? Because what are known as "economies of scale" occur up to a particular size of plant. Economies are advantages that result from a business's growth. Generally speaking, there are two types of economies of scale: internal and external.

Certain internal elements that originate inside the company and are unique to it are what lead to internal economies. Some examples of internal economies or advantages that a corporation enjoys include the use of superior technology, the capacity to easily get financing from financial institutions, the ability to sell the finished items at a higher price and buy raw materials at a lower cost, etc. The benefits that all local businesses may take advantage of are known as external economies. Examples of external economies include the advancement of transportation, prompt and efficient communication, quality banking and insurance services, etc. An enterprise or factory that is too large or little is not sustainable financially. An ideal plant should be of a size that compensates for the cost per unit of production, as opposed to being excessively small or enormous. In the real world, a variety of variables that influence supply and demand might shift simultaneously. For instance, growing incomes and population may lead to a rise in the demand for vehicles, but increasing gas costs (a complementary item) may induce a decline in that demand. Similarly, the supply of automobiles may rise in response to novel technologies that lower the cost of vehicle manufacture, and it may fall in response to new laws mandating the installation of expensive pollution-control equipment.

Furthermore, not only the auto industry will be impacted by changes in gas costs or growing wages and population. How can an economist make sense of all these related things? The ceteris paribus premise holds the key to the solution. Keeping everything else equal, examine the effects of each economic event on each market one at a time. Next, aggregate the studies to determine the overall impact. Impact on the Quantity: Because it increases the cost of

production, increasing worker pay has the effect of lowering the equilibrium quantity for Postal Services. A shift in preferences away from snail mail results in a reduction of the equilibrium quantity. The total effect is a reduction in the equilibrium amount of postal services since both changes are to the left (Q3). Graphically, this is clear since Q3 is to the left of Q0.

Impact on Cost: The whole impact on cost is more intricate. Higher worker pay has the effect of raising the equilibrium price for Postal Services since it increases the cost of production. A shift in preferences away from snail mail results in a drop in the equilibrium price. The total impact is unclear until we know the magnitudes of the two effects, since they are in different directions. This is not out of the ordinary. Usually, when both curves move, we can figure out the total impact on either quantity or pricing, but not both. We ascertained the total impact on the equilibrium quantity in this instance, but not the equilibrium price. It might be the contrary in other circumstances. Price controls are laws that the government enacts to control prices. There are two types of price restrictions. Price floor prevents a price from going below a specific level (the "floor"), while price ceiling prevents a price from rising over a given level (the "ceiling"). This section examines price ceilings using the framework of supply and demand. Price floors are covered in the following section. There are more demanders than providers in many marketplaces for products and services. Sometimes, prospective voters who are also consumers come together in support of a political initiative to keep prices low. Renters have pushed political leaders in certain areas, including Albany, to enact rent control laws, which set a price limitation that typically works by limiting the annual percentage increase in rent to a set amount.

When rent increases happen quickly, rent regulation becomes a contentious political issue. Everyone needs a reasonably priced place to reside. Maybe people's preferences have changed, making a certain town or suburb a more desirable location to reside. Maybe as a result of local companies growing, the neighborhood attracts more individuals with greater earnings. The demand for rental accommodation may fluctuate in response to changes of this kind. The $500 horizontal line represents the legally permitted maximum price established by the rent control statute. The fundamental factors that caused the demand curve to move to the right are still in place, however. The number required is 19,000 rental units, however the quantity given stays at 15,000 at that price ($500). Put otherwise, there is a scarcity of rental housing since the amount required is more than the quantity available. One of the ironies of price ceilings is that, while its purpose was to assist tenants, fewer flats are actually leased out under the price restriction.

Renters gain more from price caps than only landlords do. Rather, when landlords turn flats into co-ops and condominiums, some tenants—or prospective tenants—lose their place to live. Landlords often spend less on upkeep and on necessities like heating, cooling, hot water, and lights, even while the property is still for rent. There have been suggestions for price caps on other goods. Price limits, for instance, have been suggested recently to restrict what manufacturers may charge for certain prescription medications, medical and hospital expenses, some automated teller bank machines, and vehicle insurance premiums. Price limits are implemented in an effort to maintain low costs for consumers who make demand for the product. However, if the market price is prevented from rising to the equilibrium level, there would be a shortage since there will be more demand than supply. The product's suppliers as well as those who are unable to buy the product at all will lose out to those who are able to buy it at the reduced-price set by the price ceiling. It's also possible for quality to decline. The world's high-income nations, such as the US, Europe, and Japan, are said to assist their farmers with almost $1 billion per day. Farmers will profit from the price floor if the government is prepared to buy the surplus supply (or to pay others to buy it), but taxpayers and food

consumers will bear the expense. There have been a lot of suggestions made for cutting agricultural subsidies. Nonetheless, political support for farmer subsidies is still strong in many nations. Either because of the agro-business industry's lobbying strength or because the general public believes that this supports the traditional rural way of life. A standard supply and demand diagram contains the idea of economic efficiency. Efficiency is often defined by economics as the state in which improving one party's circumstances cannot be done at the expense of another. On the other hand, when a situation is inefficient, at least one party may profit without putting other parties through financial hardship.

The fundamental idea of efficiency in the demand and supply model is the same: the economy is making the most of its limited resources and has realized all potential trade benefits. Stated differently, the ideal quantity of every item and service is generated and used. Examine the tablet computer market, as seen in Figure 3.23. There are 28 million units at the equilibrium quantity and price of $80. Examine the portion of the demand curve to the left and above the equilibrium point to discover the advantages for customers. This part of the demand curve indicates that a tablet would have cost more than $80 for at least some demanders.

Point J, for instance, indicates that 20 million tablets would be sold if the price was $90. Customers who were able to pay the equilibrium price of $80 for a tablet, but who would have been ready to spend $90 for one given the utility they anticipated from it, undoubtedly benefited more than they had to pay. Recall that the demand curve illustrates how much varying quantities of a product will cost customers. Consumer surplus is the difference between what people actually paid and what they would have been prepared to pay. The region above the market price and below the demand curve is known as the consumer surplus, and it is denoted by the letter F. The demand and supply model highlights how the relationship between supply and demand determines pricing rather than any factor acting alone. According to renowned economist Alfred Marshall, debating whether supply or demand drives price determination is akin to debating "which is the upper or the under blade of a pair of scissors that cuts a piece of paper." Marshall stated this in 1890. The response is that there is always interaction between the supply and demand blades.

In a market-oriented economy, changes in equilibrium price and quantity often take place with little guidance or supervision from the government. The supply curve for coffee moves to the left and the price of coffee increases if Brazil's coffee crop is severely damaged by frost. Some consumers continue to pay a greater price for coffee dubbed coffee junkies. Some go to tea or soft drinks instead. There is no need for a government committee to decide how much coffee should cost, which businesses may handle the leftover supply, which supermarkets in which cities will get how much coffee to sell, or which customers can finally enjoy the brew. In a market economy, these kinds of price-related adjustments are routine and often occur so quickly and seamlessly that we hardly notice them.

Just take a minute to consider all the seasonal items that are affordable and readily accessible at certain seasons of the year, such as fresh corn in the middle of summer, but more costly at other times. Without much fanfare or ceremony, people change their diets in reaction to price swings, and businesses change their menus. Markets that is, supply and demand are the main social mechanism for addressing the fundamental problems of what is produced, how it is produced, and for whom it is produced for the US economy as well as the global economy. Chemical fertilizers, synthetic insecticides, and genetically engineered seeds are not used in the cultivation of organic food. The demand for organic goods has skyrocketed in the last several decades. Sales climbed from $1 billion in 1990 to $35.1 billion in 2013, with food goods accounting for over 90% of the total, according to the Organic Trade Association.

In light of this, why do organic foods cost more than conventional ones? The solution is a straightforward application of supply and demand theory. People's tastes and preferences for safer, organic foods have grown as they have become more aware of the detrimental effects of chemical fertilizers, growth hormones, pesticides, and similar products used in large-scale industrial farming. Growing incomes have encouraged this shift in preferences by enabling individuals to buy more expensive goods, which has led to a rise in the popularity of organic foods. The market for organic foods has grown as a result of this. As a result of manufacturers responding to the increased pricing by providing a bigger amount, the supply curve has risen up and the demand curve has changed to the right graphically.

Over time, we have seen a rise in the number of farmers switching to organic farming in addition to the shift along the supply curve. The supply curve has shifted to the right to reflect this. The equilibrium quantity of organic foods is undoubtedly greater since both supply and demand have moved to the right, but the price won't drop until supply growth outpaces demand growth. It can take some time until the cost of organic food drops. Organic food prices may never completely catch up with those of non-organic food due to the greater production expenses associated with organic farming and the higher cost of organic fertilizers and pest control methods. As a last, concrete example, in April 2013, the Environmental Working Group published its "Dirty Dozen" list of fruits and vegetables that test positive for pesticide residue even after being washed. Due to the fact that organic strawberries are now more in demand as a consequence of their placement on the list, the equilibrium price and volume of sales have increased.

CONCLUSION

This study emphasizes how crucial it is to comprehend changes in the supply and demand for products and services in order to analyze market behavior, forecast price changes, and measure amounts exchanged. The results highlight how dynamic market dynamics are and how different variables influence supply and demand. In order to make wise judgments and adjust to shifting market circumstances, the study highlights how important it is for companies, governments, and analysts to keep an eye on and predict changes in supply and demand. Businesses may maximize results and boost competitiveness by adjusting production levels, pricing tactics, and resource allocation by understanding the factors that influence supply and demand fluctuations and how they affect market equilibrium. In order to understand how changes in supply and demand interact and affect distributional outcomes, welfare, and market efficiency, further study is required. Our knowledge of market dynamics will be strengthened, and policies for fostering economic development and stability will be informed by the integration of multidisciplinary perspectives and the consideration of a wider socio-economic context. All things considered, the information produced by this study advances our understanding of changes in the supply and demand for products and services and offers insightful advice to those involved in successfully navigating unpredictable and cutthroat marketplaces.

REFERENCES:

[1] C. M. Roberts *et al.*, “Marine reserves canmitigate and promote adaptation to climate change,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2017. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1701262114.

[2] P. Florina, “Elements on the Efficiency and Effectiveness of the Public Sector,” *Ovidius Univ. Ann. Econ. Sci. Ser.*, 2017.

[3] S. G, “Overview of the igst act,” *J. Manag. Sci.*, 2017, doi: 10.26524/jms.2017.75.

[4] D. A. K. Mishra, "The GST and Economic Growth," *Int. J. Trend Sci. Res. Dev.*, 2017, doi: 10.31142/ijtsrd4596.

[5] N. R and V. K. B, "The Impact of Gst (Goods and Services Tax) in India," *Shanlax Int. J. Econ.*, 2017.

[6] G. Henry, E. Hodson, R. Aramendis, E. Trigo, and S. Rankin, "Bioeconomy: An engine for integral development of Colombia," *Agric. Res. Dev.*, 2017.

[7] K. Turner and A. Katris, "A 'Carbon Saving Multiplier' as an alternative to rebound in considering reduced energy supply chain requirements from energy efficiency?," *Energy Policy*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.enpol.2016.12.057.

[8] M. Shahriarpour and A. Alam Tabriz, "The Importance of Green Supply Chain Management and Its Role in Marketing Management," *Int. J. Econ. Financ. Issues*, 2017.

[9] F. E. Foldvary and L. A. Minola, "The taxation of land value as the means towards optimal urban development and the extirpation of excessive economic inequality," *Land use policy*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.landusepol.2017.09.022.

[10] L. Budd and S. Ison, "The role of dedicated freighter aircraft in the provision of global airfreight services," *J. Air Transp. Manag.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jairtraman.2016.06.003.

CHAPTER 9

EXPLORATION OF LABOR AND FINANCIAL MARKETS

Prof Minerva Das, Assistant Professor
Department of General Management, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School
Jain (Deemed to be University), Bangalore, Karnataka, India
Email Id- minerva_das@cms.ac.in

ABSTRACT:
This study explores the complex interaction between the labor and financial markets, examining the reciprocal impact and interdependence of these two important economic actors. While financial markets enable the exchange of money and financial assets, labor markets deal with the labor services that employers and employee's trade. Policymakers, investors, and economists need to understand the interactions across various markets in order to evaluate risks, understand economic trends, and create successful policies. A thorough understanding of the relationship between labor and financial markets is provided by this research, which combines concepts from economics, finance, and labor studies. The effect of labor market factors, such as employment levels, salaries, and labor productivity, on financial market performance is one of the main topics examined. On the other hand, the research explores the relationship between labor market results like unemployment rates, job creation, and income distribution and financial market variations like interest rates, stock market volatility, and credit availability. Through the use of time-series analysis, sophisticated econometric approaches, and empirical case studies, the study clarifies the intricate relationships and feedback mechanisms between the labor and finance markets.

KEYWORDS:

Employment, Financial Markets, Labor Markets, Productivity, Stock Market.

INTRODUCTION

The application of supply and demand theories extends beyond the domain of goods markets. They are applicable to all markets, including those in labor and finance. Markets for workers or employment are known as labor markets. The marketplaces for financial services are places to borrow or save money. It is simple to visualize who the suppliers and demanders are in marketplaces for goods and services: households purchase the items, and enterprises manufacture them [1], [2]. This is known as the supply and demand curve. In the marketplaces for financial and labor services, who are the providers and demanders? Individuals looking for work are the labor suppliers in labor markets, whereas companies and other employers that employ people are the labor demanders. Any person or company that saves money adds to the supply of money in the financial markets, and any person, company, or government that borrows money adds to the demand for money.

You probably engage in the labor and financial markets as a college student. A job is something that most college students have to deal with: According to the BLS, 20% of students had full-time jobs and 52% had part-time jobs in 2011. The majority of college students participate actively in the financial markets, mostly as borrowers. About half of full-time students borrow money each year to assist with the cost of their education; the average amount borrowed is $6,000 annually. In addition, a lot of students take out loans for other purposes, including buying a vehicle. This chapter will show how the same instruments we use to examine supply and demand in the products markets can also be used to examine the labor and financial markets. Similar to markets for products, labor markets also include supply and demand curves. The following is how the law of demand works in labor markets: Employers require less labor

when salaries and wages are greater, indicating a higher price in the labor market, while salaries and wages that are lower result in an increase in labor demand. Labor marketplaces are subject to the law of supply, too: More labor is provided when the price of labor is greater; less work is supplied when the price is lower [3], [4].

The number of nurses employed is shown along the horizontal axis. The amount of labor is determined by the number of workers in this example, although the number of hours worked is also a frequent method. The price of nurses' work, or their pay, is shown on the vertical axis. In the actual world, wage plus benefits would be the whole labor remuneration, or the "price." Unbeknownst to many, perks account for a significant portion of worker compensation up to 30%. In this instance, the cost of work is expressed as an annual salary; but, in other circumstances, the cost of labor may be expressed as a weekly, monthly, or even hourly rate. The number requested will decrease as nurse salaries increase [5], [6]. Rather of giving their nurses more money, some hospitals and nursing homes may choose to reduce the number of nurses they recruit or fire part of their current staff. In addition to trying to replace certain nursing activities with lower-paid health care aids, employers facing rising nurse salary may also attempt to do so by investing in physical equipment such as computer monitoring and diagnostic systems to monitor patients.

The number of nurses provided will increase in tandem with their compensation. If salaries for nurses in Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington are greater than in other cities, more nurses will relocate there in search of employment, more people will be willing to pursue nursing education, and those who have already received nursing training will be more likely to work as full-time nurses. Put otherwise, a greater number of nurses will be seeking employment in the region. Based on the ceteris paribus assumption, the labor demand curve displays the amount of workers that companies would want to recruit at a certain income or wage rate. The amount of labor requested will alter in response to changes in pay or compensation. Employers will want to recruit fewer workers if the pay rate rises. There will be a shift higher along the demand curve and a reduction in the amount of labor requested. Employers are more inclined to recruit more people if wages and salaries drop. The amount of labor that is requested will rise, which will cause the demand curve to go downward [7], [8].

There are many reasons why the labor demand curve could shift. The fact that the demand for the commodity or service being produced determines the need for labor is one important factor. For instance, manufacturers will need to recruit more people as customer demand for new cars increases. Advancements in technology have the potential to replace or enhance human work. When technology fills the gap, it eliminates the need for employers to recruit as many employees as possible. Word processing, for instance, reduced the demand for typists in the workplace. This caused a leftward shift in the typing demand curve. The need for labor may rise if certain technologies become more widely available.

When technology supports labor, there will be a greater need for certain labor types, which will cause the demand curve to move to the right. For instance, there is a greater need for information technology specialists who can fix hardware and software problems pertaining to a company's network due to the growing use of word processing and other applications. The need for competent professionals who understand how to utilize technology to improve workplace efficiency will grow as more and better technology becomes available.

The need for personnel who can't keep up with technological advancements will decline. There are other inputs used in the manufacturing process besides labor. For instance, in order to input data and record sales, a salesman at a contact center requires a computer terminal and a phone. If there are more phones and computer terminals accessible, there will be a greater need for

salespeople at the contact center. The demand curve will move to the right as a result. The need for labor will rise in tandem with the number of inputs. The need for such work force will decline if the phones or the terminal breakdown. The need for labor will decrease as the amount of other inputs declines. In a similar vein, suppliers will want additional labor to boost output if the prices of other inputs decline and manufacturing becomes more lucrative. Moreover, the reverse is true. A rise in input costs reduces the need for labor [9], [10].

The idea that resources are finite is one of the fundamental concepts of economics. Even one of the greatest empires in history, Philip II, of the Spanish Armada renown, had to deal with repeated uprisings among his army when he was unable to pay their salaries or even provide for their basic needs. During his rule, he is said to have declared bankruptcy an astounding eight times. Despite spending over $2 trillion a year, the U.S. government has been agonizing over challenging financial issues in recent years.

DISCUSSION

However, the shortage of money is not as basic as the scarcity of physical resources. For example, fuel resources are finite, and some environmentalists argue that we should now be forced to make some difficult decisions, like keeping our houses warmer in the summer and colder in the winter, or moving closer to our places of employment in order to save gas. The overall idea is true for all of the earth's resources, including uranium, iron, copper, and energy, even if energy may be the scarcest resource that is discussed the most. Due to the fact that they need labor, fuel, and other finite resources as inputs, even products made by human labor are in limited availability. We can produce more automobiles, but doing so will require us to make other cuts, like maybe in the manufacturing of refrigerators, due to the increased need for labor, steel, and gasoline in the auto industry. Has a price, and students often ponder the relationship or discrepancy between an item's market price and opportunity cost.

The two ideas seem to be separated by this statement: The worth to their prospective buyers of the various goods (such refrigerators) that might have been manufactured or acquired instead is what determines an automobile's actual opportunity cost, not its market price. However, a car's opportunity cost and financial cost are connected, don't they? Yes, is the typical response. The manner that prices are decided in a market economy means that the two costs are often closely related to one another. For instance, steel is used in the production of refrigerators and cars.

If customers place a high value on steel-made products (like refrigerators), then economists would argue that producing an automobile has a large opportunity cost. However, given these conditions, there will be a huge market demand for this very valuable resource, driving up its price. Under this sense, the price of steel will be high under a well-functioning pricing system, which will also raise the cost of building an automobile. How do individuals and businesses come to decisions? There are several approaches, some of them are based just on intuition or the advice of a fortune teller; some are even based on superstition. When information is sparse and study and computations are expensive and time-consuming, the decision-maker will often choose the first option that he can "live with"—that is, the one that seems somewhat safe and promises to provide consequences that are not too awful. Even if the decision-maker is aware that there may be better possibilities out there that he is unaware of, he may still be prepared to go in this direction.

This decision-making process is known as satisficing. We will presume in this book that decision makers aim for more than just meeting minimum requirements. Instead, we'll suppose that they want to make the best selections possible—choices that accomplish the decision makers' objectives more successfully than any other. Assuming that the decision makers have

access to the necessary data, we will examine the processes that allow them to make the best decisions. As a result, they produced soybeans with a poor relative productivity. The yield is great when wheat is produced using these resources. Of course, this tendency cannot last forever. The farmer must choose equipment and land that have a higher productivity advantage for growing soybeans and a lower productivity advantage for growing wheat in order to produce more wheat. For this reason, the farmer "buys" 38,000 bushels of wheat with the first 10,000 bushels of soybeans given up, but only 14,000 bushels with the second 10,000 bushels of soybeans. As wheat output increases, demonstrate that these returns decrease. For example, a 10,000-bushel drop in soybean production results in only 8,000 bushels of increased wheat, and so on.

The slope of the production possibilities frontier, as we can see, visually reflects the idea of opportunity cost if the farmer's goal is to maximize the quantity of wheat or soybean output, he extracts from his land and labor. The opportunity cost of purchasing 10,000 extra bushels of soybeans, for instance, is shown on the graph as 14,000 bushels of wheat that are lost between points C and B; similarly, between points B and A, the opportunity cost of purchasing 10,000 bushels of soybeans is represented as 38,000 bushels of wheat that are lost. The opportunity cost of soybeans in terms of wheat generally rises as we travel uphill and to the left along the production possibilities frontier that is, toward more soybeans and less wheat. Conversely, as we travel downhill and to the right, the opportunity cost of obtaining wheat via the loss of soybeans rises for every bushel of wheat that is added, more and more soybeans must be lost, leading to a progressive increase in wheat production.

Demand, which in turn relies on revenue, which is equivalent to output, determines production. A rise in government expenditure or other forms of increased demand causes an increase in output, which raises income accordingly. This rise in income causes demand to rise even more, which in turn causes output to rise even more, and so on. The net effect is a rise in production that is greater than the original change in demand by a multiplier. The value of the tendency to spend is closely correlated with the multiplier's size. Econometrics, the collection of statistical techniques used in economics, is the tool utilized by economists to address this issue and, more broadly, to estimate behavioral equations and their parameters.

You will find a brief introduction and an application for calculating the tendency to consume in this appendix. The minimum wage in the United States is a price floor that is either set marginally below or extremely near to the equilibrium wage. In actuality, hardly 1% of American workers get the minimum wage. Stated differently, the salaries of the great majority of American workers are set by the labor market rather than by the government price floor. However, the minimum wage is crucial for individuals with low skill levels and little experience, such as youths and those without a high school education. The federal minimum wage seems to be lower in many places than the going rate for unskilled labor because firms freely pay checkout clerks and other low-skill workers more than the minimum wage.

The amount that the minimum wage lowers the quantity of low-skill labor that is sought has been estimated by economists. These studies usually show that a 10% rise in the minimum wage would result in a 1–2% drop in the employment of unskilled workers, which may not seem like much. Although these studies are debatable, some have even shown no impact of a higher minimum wage on employment at certain times and locations. Assume for the moment that the minimum salary is just marginally less than the level of equilibrium pays. Above this price floor, wages may change in accordance with market forces, but they couldn't go below it. The price floor minimum wage in this case is referred to as nonbinding, meaning that it has no influence on the result of the market. As long as the minimum wage stays below the equilibrium wage, it won't matter how much higher it rises. In fact, it won't even slightly affect the amount

of employment in the economy. There will remain a minimal surplus supply gap between the amount provided and required even if the minimum pay is raised to the point where it marginally exceeds the equilibrium wage and becomes legally enforceable.

These realizations contribute to the explanation of why minimum wage regulations in the US have typically had little effect on employment. The minimum wage has not significantly contributed to an oversupply of labor since it is usually set near to, if not below, the equilibrium rate for low-skill workers. However, the minimum wage's influence on lowering the amount required of work would be much higher if it were raised significantly say, doubling to meet the living wages that several American towns have proposed. More in-depth information about some of the reasons for and against minimum wage adjustments may be found in the Clear It Up section that follows. In 2013, the domestic enterprises, organizations, and people in the United States saved over $1.9 trillion. What was done with the funds, and where did they go? A portion of the funds made their way into banks, which lent the money to people or companies in need of loans. A portion was lent to government organizations seeking to borrow money in order to support projects like public transportation or road construction, or it was invested in private businesses.

A few companies put their money back into their companies. This section will explore the relationship between individuals who seek to contribute financial capital (savings) and those who demand it (borrowing) using the demand and supply model. People and enterprises on the supply side of the financial market are those that save money (or invest it, which is also the same thing). On the demand side of the financial system are those who take out loans. In exchange for receiving cash, one should anticipate to pay a rate of return. This is especially true for bank accounts, equities, and bonds, among other financial assets. The nature of this rate of return varies based on the kind of investment. The interest rate is the most basic illustration of a rate of return. For instance, you get interest on your deposit when you put money into a bank's savings account. The interest rate is the amount of interest that you get as a percentage of your deposits. In a similar vein, you will have to pay interest on whatever money you borrow if you request a loan to purchase a computer or a vehicle.

Now let's examine the credit card lending sector. Almost 200 million Americans had cards in 2014. With credit cards, you may borrow money from the issuer and repay it with interest. However, most credit cards provide you a grace period during which you can return the loan balance interest-free. The usual annual credit card interest rate is between 12% and 18%. In 2014, credit card debt outstanding for Americans was around $793 billion. One-quarter of American families with credit cards say they "hardly ever" pay off the card in full, while around half of those households claim they nearly always pay the whole sum on time. In actuality, 56% of customers in 2014 had an outstanding debt from the previous year. the point of equilibrium (E). When the quantity of money given and required equals the equilibrium amount of $600 billion, the equilibrium occurs at an interest rate of 15%.

In this market, there will be an excess supply, or surplus, of financial capital if the interest rate—remember, this is how the "price" in the financial market is measured—is higher than the equilibrium level. For instance, the amount of money given rises to $750 billion at a 21% interest rate, but the amount required falls to $480 billion. Businesses are willing to lend money to credit card holders at this above-equilibrium interest rate, but not many individuals or companies are looking to borrow. In order to draw in more customers, some credit card companies may therefore cut their interest rates or other costs. By using this tactic, the interest rate will decline and eventually reach equilibrium. In this market, there is either excess demand or a lack of funds if the interest rate is below the equilibrium. The amount of money credit card users want—roughly $700 billion—increases at a 13% interest rate, but the amount credit card

companies are ready to provide—roughly $510 billion. Credit card companies will see this as an opportunity to increase interest rates or fees since they believe they have an excess of willing borrowers. There will be economic pressure on the interest rate to gradually rise toward the equilibrium level. Financial capital providers must make two major choices: how much to save and how to allocate their resources across various financial instruments. We'll talk about each of them individually.

Investors in financial markets have to choose between consuming things now and later. Because it includes judgments made across time, economists refer to this kind of decision-making as intertemporal. Unlike choosing what to purchase at the grocery store, choices on investments or savings are made over time sometimes over an extended length of time. Because their income now exceeds their requirements, most workers save for retirement because, when they retire, their needs will no longer be met. They thereby supply the financial markets and save today. They save more when their income rises. They adjust the amount they save in response to changes in how they see their future. For instance, there is evidence that workers' contributions to Social Security, which they must make in order to be eligible for government benefits after retirement, have a tendency to lower the amount of money they save. If this is accurate, Social Security has caused a leftward shift in the availability of financial capital at all interest rates.

On the other hand, a lot of today's college students need money since their salary is insufficient or nonexistent to cover their educational costs. They thus borrow money now and make demands on the financial markets. They will repay the debts when they graduate and get a job. People take out loans to buy automobiles or houses. A company looks for capital in order to have the money to construct a factory or to engage in an R&D project that may not provide results for five, 10, or even more years.

1. At any given interest rate, the amount of financial capital requested will move to the right based on their ability to repay in the future.
2. For instance, during the late 1990s technological boom, many corporations moved their demand for financial capital to the right, believing that their investments in new technology would provide high rates of return.
3. On the other hand, their demand for financial capital at any given interest rate moved to the left during the Great Recession of 2008 and 2009.

We had been focusing on saving overall up to this time. Let's now examine the factors that impact saving in several financial investment categories. Providers of financial capital will need to weigh the risks and return rates of various financial assets before choosing one. Investments have a good rate of return, but they also have a negative risk factor. Savings will move their money to Investment B if Investment A becomes riskier or offers a lower return. As a result, the supply curve of financial capital for Investment A will shift back to the left, while the supply curve for Investment B will shift to the right. The economy has seen a massive influx of foreign investment. By the third quarter of 2014, U.S. investors had amassed $24.6 trillion in international assets, while overseas investors had a total of $30.8 trillion in U.S. assets, according to the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis. There may be much less financial investment in the United States, but at a higher interest rate, if international investors were to withdraw their funds from the American economy and invest elsewhere in the globe. Foreign financial investors may find it more difficult to lend to US consumers and businesses as a result of this decline. Financial capital often flows discreetly between bank accounts via computerized transfers in a contemporary, industrialized economy. However, these financial flows may be examined using the same methods of supply and demand as markets for products or labor. There are marketplaces for labor, financial capital, and products and services. Prices

function as an amazing social mechanism in each of these marketplaces, gathering, aggregating, and disseminating essential market information (namely, the connection between supply and demand), and then acting as intermediaries between buyers and sellers. No governing body or guiding intellect controls the web of reactions and linkages that arise from a shift in pricing in a market-oriented economy. Rather, every customer responds based on their own budget and tastes, and every manufacturer looking to maximize profits responds based on how it will affect its projected revenues. The demand and supply models are looked at in the upcoming Clear It Up segment.

The current ranges of salaries, prices, and rates of return may all be explained by the supply and demand paradigm. Consider the amount that will be provided at each price and the quantity that will be sought at each price, or the form of the supply and demand curves, and how these forces will interact to create equilibrium in order to do such an analysis.

CONCLUSION

This study emphasizes the fundamental connections and mutual effects between the labor and financial markets, highlighting the need for an integrated approach in economic analysis and policy formulation. The results demonstrate how changes in one market may have a substantial impact on the dynamics of another, which in turn can alter overall economic performance. In order to create policies that effectively support stable employment, sustainable development, and financial stability, policymakers and investors are encouraged to acquire a thorough grasp of both the labor and financial markets. Understanding how these markets are interdependent allows policymakers to undertake targeted actions to solve problems and promote economic resilience.

To better understand the processes behind the linkages between labor and financial markets and to make more informed investment and policy choices, it is vital that multidisciplinary research be conducted in the future. Stakeholders may more skillfully traverse the intricacies of the contemporary economy by adopting a multifaceted viewpoint, promoting stability and prosperity for everyone.

All things considered, this study advances our knowledge of the interaction between labor and financial markets and provides insightful information that will be helpful to investors, politicians, and scholars who are attempting to make sense of the complicated economic environment.

REFERENCES:

[1] Y. Prabowo, “Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Pemilihan Karir Lulusan Sarjana Menjadi Akuntan Publik,” *Media Ris. Akuntansi, Audit. Inf.*, 2017, doi: 10.25105/mraai.v15i2.2066.

[2] M. Lissowska, “The financial crisis and changing labour markets in post-transition countries,” *Eur. J. Ind. Relations*, 2017, doi: 10.1177/0959680116685490.

[3] M. O. Ravn and V. Sterk, “Job uncertainty and deep recessions,” *J. Monet. Econ.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jmoneco.2017.07.003.

[4] A. Sorgner and C. Krieger-Boden, “Empowering women in the digital age,” *G20 Insights*, 2017.

[5] J. Manyijka, M. Chui, J. Bughin, K. George, P. Willmott, and M. Dewhurst, “A Future That Woks: Automation, Emoployement, And Productivity,” *McKinsey Glob. Inst.*, 2017.

[6] P. Patmawati, "Pengaruhcorporate Social Responsibility Disclosure Dan Good Corporate Governance Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan Dengan Kinerja Keuangan Sebagai Variabel Intervening," *J. Ilm. Ekon. Glob. Masa Kini*, 2017, doi: 10.36982/jiegmk.v8i1.245.

[7] H. Foad and C. Lundberg, "The determinants of portfolio investment in offshore financial centers," *Int. Rev. Financ. Anal.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.irfa.2017.08.003.

[8] A. Hijzen, P. Martins, and J. Parlevliet, "Collective Bargaining Through the Magnifying Glass: A Comparison Between the Netherlands and Portugal," *IMF Work. Pap.*, 2017, doi: 10.5089/9781484332986.001.

[9] K. E. Cahill, M. D. Giandrea, and J. F. Quinn, "To what extent is gradual retirement a product of financial necessity?," *Work. Aging Retire.*, 2017, doi: 10.1093/workar/waw027.

[10] R. S. Gürkaynak and C. Tille, "DSGE Models in the Conduct of Policy: Use as intended," *DSGE Model. Conduct Policy Use as Intend.*, 2017.

CHAPTER 10

EXPLORATION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF INCREASING COSTS

Dr. Pradeep Kumar R, Assistant Professor
Department of General Management, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School
Jain (Deemed to be University), Bangalore, Karnataka, India
Email Id- dr.pradeepkumar_r@cms.ac.in

ABSTRACT:
This study explores the idea of rising costs, a key idea in economics that clarifies the connection between the efficiency of production and the distribution of resources. This theory states that the opportunity cost of creating more units grows when the production of one item increases because more limited resources must be allocated, which are not as suitable for producing that good. The effects of the cost-growth principle on production choices, market availability, and economic efficiency are examined in this research. This study offers a thorough examination of the growing costs principle and its applicability in several economic scenarios by fusing ideas from microeconomics, production theory, and resource economics. Important topics covered are the causes of rising costs, which include resource scarcity, technical limitations, and declining returns on factor inputs. The research also looks at how rising prices impact the production potential frontier's form and how businesses behave over the long term in marketplaces where there is competition. Through the use of graphical analysis, mathematical modeling, and empirical case studies, the study sheds light on how production choices and resource limitations interact dynamically in the face of rising prices.

KEYWORDS:

Economic Efficiency, Increasing Costs, Microeconomics, Production Decisions, Production Possibility Frontier.

INTRODUCTION

The relationship between supply and demand may also be used to illustrate how changes in the economy will affect salaries, prices, and rates of return. There are just four possible outcomes: either the supply curve shifts left or right, or the change in a single event causes the demand curve to move either way. Analyzing the impact of an economic event on equilibrium quantities and prices requires knowing which of these four scenarios really happened. To perform this properly, review the list of variables that affect the supply and demand curves. It should be noted that the total effect of numerous variables changing simultaneously will depend on how much each variable varies; in such cases, economists separate and examine each change separately. A product's price rise alerts customers about a scarcity and suggests that they may want to make some savings on the item [1], [2]. For instance, if you're considering flying to Hawaii but the cost of the ticket is high for the week you want to travel, you may want to look into alternative weeks when the cost could be lower. Because you intended to go during a holiday, when demand for travel is strong, the price can be high.

Alternatively, it might be because the price of an input, such jet fuel, has gone up, or the airline has temporarily raised the price to test the market's willingness to pay. It's possible that these elements are all present simultaneously. It is not necessary for you to dissect the market and determine the fundamental causes of the price movement. All you need to do is consider the cost of a ticket while determining whether or not to go. Similarly, price fluctuations provide manufacturers important information [3], [4]. Consider the circumstances of an oat farmer who discovers that oat prices have increased. The price hike may be the result of a surge in demand brought on by recent research that found eating oats to be particularly beneficial. Alternatively,

it's possible that individuals are purchasing more oats as a result of an increase in the price of a replacement grain, such maize. However, the oat farmer doesn't have to be aware of the specifics.

All that the farmer has to know is that the price of oats has increased and that increasing output will be profitable as a consequence. In markets for products, labor, and financial capital, the responses of individual producers and consumers to prices overlap and interact. Through these many links, changes in one market are sent to other markets. Understanding how flexible prices connect disparate markets and aid in market equilibrium helps to understand why price regulations may have such unfavorable effects. Government legislation known as price controls are designed to manage prices as opposed to letting different markets set them. An ancient saying goes, "Don't kill the messenger." Messages were used in the past to transmit information between far-off towns and kingdoms [5], [6]. There was a strong emotional desire to murder the messenger when they delivered terrible news. However, the terrible news persisted after murdering the messenger. Additionally, murdering the messenger had a negative side consequence in that other messengers would stop coming to that city or kingdom with news, depriving its people of important information.

Price control proponents want to silence the messenger, or at the very least, muffle the undesirable message that prices are driving the price and quantity to equilibrium. However, price limits have little effect on the fundamental dynamics of supply and demand, which might have detrimental effects. Food prices were intentionally maintained low during China's "Great Leap Forward" in the late 1950s, which led to the starving of 30 to 40 million people since cheap prices decreased agricultural productivity. The actions of producers and consumers will continue to indicate shifts in supply and demand. Price regulations that immobilize the price messenger will rob the economy's participants of vital information. Without this knowledge, it becomes more difficult for everyone to respond to changes in the economy in a flexible and appropriate way, including buyers and sellers.

According to the concept of growing costs, the potential cost of creating an additional unit of an item normally rises as its output grows. Although there are occasional exceptions to this rule, it seems to be a technical regularity that affects a variety of economic endeavors. The idea behind rising prices stems from the reality that resources are often at least somewhat specialized, as our agricultural example illustrates. Therefore, when those resources are diverted from what they are reasonably competent at to what they are relatively happiest at, we lose part of their productivity. The easiest approach to comprehend this concept is to compare it to a scenario in which there are no specialized resources, so that expenses remain constant despite changes in output percentage [7], [8].

The border is a straight line because the work and equipment needed to make black shoes are as effective when utilized to make brown shoes. No matter how much the outputs of these two outputs differ, the company can still create 10,000 more pairs of brown shoes if it reduces its production of black shoes by 10,000 pairs. The transition results in no productivity loss since the resources are not specialized. Since there has been much discussion over the years about increasing or decreasing military expenditure, let's use the option between missiles to symbolize military strength and cars to symbolize civilian consumption to illustrate the nature of social decisions. Similar to a single company, the economy as a whole confronts a production frontier for cars and missiles, which is based on available resources such as land, labor, money, and raw materials, as well as technology.

The output of missiles will be little but vehicle manufacturing will be high if the majority of people are working in auto factories. When consumer demand drops and the economy shifts

resources away from car manufacture, Congress may act to change the output mix to include more missiles (the shift from D to E). However, due to the specialized nature of physical resources, something is probably going to be lost in the process. The fabric used to produce automobile seats won't have much of an impact on the manufacture of missiles. The production possibilities frontier seems to bend downward toward the axis, as the concept of rising costs clearly recommends [9], [10].

It's possible that we'll eventually run out of resources that aren't really helpful for anything other than making cars. In such scenario, the economy will get a few more missiles even if a significant number of cars are sacrificed. That's what the steep section on the border, or FC, means. Despite the complete cessation of automotive manufacture at point C, there is little increase in missile output relative to point F. Opportunity cost's nature is perhaps most apparent to a household that has to choose how to allocate its money among the many products and services that vie for the family's attention.

DISCUSSION

The Simpson family may have to make some drastic cuts to other expenses if they decide to buy an expensive new automobile. This information does not make the purchase of the automobile imprudent, but it does make the purchase premature until the family has fully evaluated the ramifications for its total spending plan. The Simpsons must understand the potential costs of the car—the things they would miss as a result—perhaps a trip and a pricey new TV set—if they are to make the best use of their limited resources. If the family's benefit from the vehicle (however assessed) outweighs their advantage from purchasing an equally priced TV set or vacation instead, then the choice to purchase the car will be logical. Consider for a minute that society has chosen to build 300 missiles. The economy's production possibilities border in Figure 3 (where consumers may choose between cars and missiles or any mix of the two) indicates an efficient option. As per point D in Figure 3, the production possibilities frontier indicates that if 300 missiles are created, the most quantity of autos that may be produced is 500,000. Therefore, the economy is only considered to be functioning effectively when it generates 500,000 vehicles (when it creates 300 missiles) as opposed to a lower amount, such 300,000 cars (as per point G).

Point D is more efficient than Point G because the economy can shift from Point G to Point D and produce 200,000 additional cars without sacrificing any missiles or other resources. It is obvious that passing up the chance to choose point D over point G is inefficient and a waste of time. Keep in mind that efficiency as a notion does not indicate the optimal location on the production possibilities frontier. Instead, it only indicates that no location below the frontier can be optimal as it would imply the waste of resources. For instance, the need to make difficult decisions might (temporarily) vanish should civilization ever reach a position like G. Relocating to a site like E would enable the manufacturing of cars and missiles to be increased simultaneously.

So why would a civilization ever reach a position below the border of its productive possibilities? In actual life, why are resources wasted? The primary cause of the current economic situation is unemployment. When there is a high unemployment rate, the economy has to be below the border at a point like G. This is because employing the jobless in every industry might lead to an increase in the production of cars and missiles. The economy would then shift from point G on the production possibilities frontier to the right (more missiles) and upward (more cars), arriving at a position like E. The economy is only at the frontier when no resources are squandered.

Inefficiency might also arise from other sources. Assigning inputs to the incorrect job, such as growing wheat on land that would be better used for growing soybeans, is a classic example. Another significant kind of inefficiency arises when huge companies manufacture things that smaller companies might create more effectively due to their ability to pay greater attention to detail, or when tiny companies generate products that are best suited for large-scale manufacturing. Other instances include the blatant waste that results from restrictive labor practices like forcing a railroad to maintain a fireman on a diesel-electric locomotive when there is no longer a fire to tend to or favoritism like promoting an incompetent brother-in-law to a job he cannot do very well.

Discrimination against women or workers of color is a particularly heinous type of waste. For example, when a white guy gets hired over a better competent African-American woman, society loses out on potential productivity and the community as a whole is likely to suffer. Due to each of these inefficiencies, the community's production is lower than it might have been given the resources at its disposal. Production specialization promotes efficiency on a deeper level. Adam Smith observed that the kind of commodities produced may have a significant impact on productivity in addition to the method of production. The explanation is that various individuals, organizations, and countries have varying capacities. Some people are magicians with numbers, while others are skilled at fixing cars. Some people have computer skills, while others are good cooks.

The most effective economies are those in which individuals focus on their areas of expertise and then exchange goods and services with one another, allowing the computer programmer to eat wholesome meals and the accountant to have her automobile fixed. This much is clear. One of the most important concepts in economics, while it is less evident, is that two individuals, firms, or nations may usually benefit from trade even if one is more productive than the other in every way. Here's a little example to help clarify why. There are attorneys who type more quickly than their administrative assistants. Should a lawyer like that dismiss her paralegal and start typing herself? Unlikely. It makes sense for the lawyer to focus on practicing law and delegate the typing to a lower-paid assistant, even if she could be a better typer than the assistant. Why? Since the amount she might make from an hour spent less on client visits a significantly more profitable activity is the potential cost of an hour spent typing.

A system of exchange functions best when all parties agree to use a common object (such pieces of paper with distinctive marks written on them) for buying and selling products, even if individuals may and do trade goods for other items. Put some cash in. Workers at pin factories, for instance, might be paid with cash instead of pins, and they could use that cash to buy potatoes and clothing. Farmers and textile workers are able to do the same.

The market mechanism also determines the second of our three important choices in a market where trade is conducted via the exchange of money for products or services: the quantity of each item that should be produced given the resources at the economy's disposal. What occurs is that people who create widgets will be left with unsold widgets on their hands if more are generated than buyers desire to purchase at present pricing. The cost of widgets will decrease, forcing producers to reduce output and maybe forcing some out of business. If manufacturers provide fewer widgets than buyers want at the going rate, the converse will occur. Scarcity will therefore cause prices to rise, which will encourage producers to boost their production. Every commodity's production and price will be pushed in this direction, toward levels where supply and demand are equal or almost equal. In this way, the market automatically resolves the second crucial choice: the amount of each item that the economy will create given its productive capacity (as indicated by the production).

Together, the two processes of specialization and trade (facilitated by money) greatly increased the amount of plenty that the world's wealthier countries could provide. That brings us to our third fundamental question: What factors enable those products to be dispersed throughout the populace in ways that make sense? What compels the establishment of an efficient trade system so that individuals may take use of their comparative advantages before obtaining the goods and services they want to consume? Having a centralized authority that gives instructions to everyone is one option. Markets and prices have the power to organize and coordinate economic activity in yet another manner, which Adam Smith expounded upon. Smith observed that individuals are skilled at following their own interests, and that a market system does a remarkable job of taming this self-interest. In doing what is best for themselves, individuals are "led by an invisible hand," as he described it—with overtly religious overtones—to advance the financial well-being of society as a whole.

Living in a country with a robust market economy, such as the United States, makes it easy for those of us to take the successes of the market for granted, much as how the sun rises and sets every day. Few people stop to consider, for example, why Hawaiian pineapples always appear in Vermont stores in the proportions that Vermont shoppers want to see. The market resolves this problem by matching production volumes to customer desires via the profit incentive, which directs businesses' output choices. For example, if bread demand rises and wheat prices climb along with it, farmers will be compelled to grow more wheat and plant less soybeans on their property. A pricing system like this likewise uses voluntary exchange to decide who receives what, distributing commodities among customers based on their choices and likes. Spending occurs when people purchase the items they enjoy most—that is, those they can afford.

Teetotalers do not spend money on gin, and vegetarians do not squander their money on meat. Therefore, shoppers may make sure that the products they purchase at the grocery store are in line with their tastes by managing their spending habits. This is how the market mechanism makes sure that the economy's goods are distributed among customers in a reasonable way—that is, in a way that generally satisfies the varied tastes of the buyers. However, there is at least one issue here: there is very little equality in the distribution of purchasing power. Owners with limited resources and workers with valuable talents might demand premium rates for their goods. Their earnings allow them to buy a significant quantity of products and services. Less successful sellers of their possessions make less money and may thus purchase fewer goods. In severe circumstances, people can experience intense deprivation.

The last few pages provide a general explanation of how a market economy addresses the three main issues that confront every society: how to create any given combination of commodities effectively, how to choose which combination of things to produce, and how to divide these goods among people in a reasonable manner. All of society's objectives, however, will not be fulfilled by free markets. For instance, they often struggle to maintain low unemployment. Indeed, certain objectives, like environmental preservation, may even be at odds with the free and open functioning of markets. Additionally, a lot of observers think that money is not always distributed by markets in a way that is morally or ethically right. As you will see in subsequent chapters, there may be ways to use the market mechanism's potential to correct its own shortcomings, even in situations when markets do not function properly.

Political and ideological connotations are common in discussions about economics. We will thus emphasize as we complete this chapter that the main point, we have just presented is neither an endorsement nor an indictment of the capitalist system. It's hardly even a "conservative" stance.

It is not necessary to be a conservative to understand that the market mechanism may be a very useful tool for achieving economic objectives. Even the communist People's Republic of China has made significant progress in "marketizing" its economy, as have the majority of the previously socialist nations of Europe. The idea is to determine how much to depend on market forces without confusing means with aims. Liberals and conservatives undoubtedly have distinct objectives, but the methods used to achieve these objectives should, for the most part, be determined by how successful the methods are, not by any ideological biases. The market is incredibly effective at providing an abundance of commodities and services that had never been seen in precapitalist history, as even Karl Marx noted. Such money may be utilized to advance conservative objectives, like lowering tax rates, or to support liberal objectives, such increasing public assistance for the impoverished.

CONCLUSION

This study emphasizes how crucial it is to comprehend the growing costs concept when examining resource allocation and production choices. The results demonstrate that as businesses grow their output over time, they have to use fewer productive resources, which raises opportunity costs and diminishes profits. It is recommended that enterprises and policymakers take into account the potential effects of rising prices when devising strategies for production and allocating resources. Firms may enhance their efficiency and competitiveness in the market by optimizing their operations and understanding the trade-offs associated with resource growth and output.In order to investigate the causes of rising prices in various sectors and economic contexts, more study is required in the future. Our grasp of the concept of growing costs and its consequences for economic growth and development will be improved by integrating multidisciplinary perspectives and using empirical data. All things considered, this study advances our knowledge of the concept of rising costs by providing new perspectives on how it affects market dynamics, resource allocation, and production efficiency in the contemporary economy.

REFERENCES:

[1] A. H. de Boer, P. Hagedoorn, M. Hoppentocht, F. Buttini, F. Grasmeijer, and H. W. Frijlink, “Dry powder inhalation: past, present and future,” *Expert Opinion on Drug Delivery*. 2017. doi: 10.1080/17425247.2016.1224846.

[2] H. Erol, I. Dikmen, and M. T. Birgonul, “Measuring the impact of lean construction practices on project duration and variability: A simulation-based study on residential buildings,” *J. Civ. Eng. Manag.*, 2017, doi: 10.3846/13923730.2015.1068846.

[3] M. L. K. Tang and R. J. Mullins, “Food allergy: is prevalence increasing?,” *Intern. Med. J.*, 2017, doi: 10.1111/imj.13362.

[4] T. Kalaiselvi, P. Sriramakrishnan, and K. Somasundaram, “Survey of using GPU CUDA programming model in medical image analysis,” *Informatics in Medicine Unlocked*. 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.imu.2017.08.001.

[5] F. B. A. Zaidi, S. Ahmed, and M. Makkad, “Role of effective project management in reducing drug development cost,” *Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research*. 2017. doi: 10.22159/ajpcr.2017.v10i3.16186.

[6] P. M. Sinclair, T. Levett-Jones, A. Morris, B. Carter, P. N. Bennett, and A. Kable, “High engagement, high quality: A guiding framework for developing empirically informed asynchronous e-learning programs for health professional educators,” *Nurs. Heal. Sci.*, 2017, doi: 10.1111/nhs.12322.

[7] N. H. Martin *et al.*, "Does intensive management improve remission rates in patients with intermediate rheumatoid arthritis? (the TITRATE trial): Study protocol for a randomised controlled trial," *Trials*, 2017, doi: 10.1186/s13063-017-2330-8.

[8] E. J. Gustafson, B. R. Miranda, A. M. G. De Bruijn, B. R. Sturtevant, and M. E. Kubiske, "Do rising temperatures always increase forest productivity? Interacting effects of temperature, precipitation, cloudiness and soil texture on tree species growth and competition," *Environ. Model. Softw.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.envsoft.2017.08.001.

[9] F. Gasdia, A. Barjatya, and S. Bilardi, "Multi-site simultaneous time-resolved photometry with a low cost electro-optics system," *Sensors (Switzerland)*, 2017, doi: 10.3390/s17061239.

[10] B. Pamphilon and K. Mikhailovich, "Bringing together learning from two worlds: Lessons from a gender-inclusive community education approach with smallholder farmers in Papua New Guinea," *Aust. J. Adult Learn.*, 2017.

CHAPTER 11

EXPLORATION OF CONCEPT OF MACROECONOMIC PERSPECTIVE

Dr Syed Kazim, Associate Professor
Department of General Management, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School
Jain (Deemed to be University), Bangalore, Karnataka, India
Email Id- dr.syed_kazim@cms.ac.in

ABSTRACT:
The macroeconomic viewpoint, which offers a comprehensive picture of the economy as a whole and focuses on aggregate metrics like national income, employment levels, inflation, and economic growth, is examined in this study. Macroeconomics studies the relationships that exist between the many economic sectors and aims to identify the factors that influence the state of the economy as a whole. The main ideas and elements of the macroeconomic perspective are examined in this paper, emphasizing the importance of this viewpoint for guiding policy choices, predicting market trends, and fostering stability and expansion. This study provides a thorough understanding of the macroeconomic approach and its use in modern economic analysis by fusing theories, empirical analysis, and policy consequences. The primary macroeconomic variables such as the GDP, unemployment rate, inflation rate, and balance of payments as well as the theoretical frameworks used to examine their behavior are among the important topics covered. The research also looks at how external influences, monetary and fiscal policies, and government policies shape macroeconomic results. By using statistical methods, macroeconomic models, and historical data, the study clarifies the dynamics of business cycles, long-term economic development, and aggregate demand and supply.

KEYWORDS:

Aggregate Measures, Economic Growth, Macroeconomic Perspective, Macroeconomics, Policy Decisions.

INTRODUCTION

Household consumption expenditures, which make up over two thirds of GDP annually, are the biggest contributor to GDP. This indicates that one of the main forces influencing the economy is consumer spending choices. On the other hand, when looking at consumer spending over time, it does not fluctuate too much like a gentle elephant. The term "investment expenditure" describes the acquisition of tangible plant and equipment, usually by commercial entities. Expenses like as building a new Starbucks location or purchasing robots from Amazon are classified as company investments [1], [2]. Demand for investments is usually much lower than demand for consumption, making up about 15% to 18% of GDP, yet it is crucial to the economy since here is where employment is generated. It does, however, vary more dramatically than consumption. Business investment may be strongly influenced by new products or technologies, but it can also be dramatically reversed if confidence in the market declines [3], [4].

If you have seen any of the infrastructure projects (new roads, airports, bridges) started during the 2009 recession, you have witnessed the significance of government expenditure for the economy. The combined spending of the federal, state, and municipal governments in the United States accounts for around 20% of GDP. Only purchases made by the government of products or services generated inside the economy are included in the calculation of demand when it comes to expenditure. A new school (local government expenditure), a new roadway

(state government spending), or a new fighter aircraft for the Air Force (federal government spending) are a few examples. Transfer payments, such as unemployment insurance, veteran's benefits, and Social Security payouts to retirees, account for a significant amount of government budgets. Since the government does not get any new goods or services in exchange for these payments, they are not included in GDP. Rather, they are income transfers from taxpayers to third parties. Check out the following if you're interested in learning more about the incredible task of calculating GDP. When considering the products created in the economy, many non-economists instantly think of durable commodities like computers and automobiles. Services, however, make up the vast majority of the GDP [5], [6].

In addition, the GDP's proportion of services has increased over time. Healthcare, education, legal, and financial services would be included in a thorough analysis of the top service sectors. Making tangible goods constituted the majority of the U.S. economy decades ago. Rather, in a contemporary economy, the most popular employment is those that require an employee to look at documents or a computer screen; interact with suppliers, clients, or coworkers; or make phone calls.

Long-lasting durable products, such as vehicles and refrigerators, account for about the same portion of the economy as transient nondurable commodities, such as food and clothes, even within the larger category of goods. The category of structures includes all types of buildings, including residences, factories, retail centers, and office buildings. The term "Inventories" designates a narrow category that includes products manufactured by a single company but not yet distributed to customers, remaining unsold and stacked on shelves and warehouses. If business is better than anticipated, the quantity of inventory on hand usually decreases, and if business is worse than anticipated, the amount of inventory tends to increase. The most crucial idea in economic theory is the notion of opportunity cost. The cost of forgoing the next best option in order to make this choice may be the simplest definition of opportunity cost [7], [8]. The value of the best option or opportunity lost may be summed up as the opportunity cost of spending resources to generate a product. Implicit and explicit expenses are included in opportunity costs. An example of this would be if a manufacturer had Rs. 2000 and chose to make a radio set instead of a bicycle. In this instance, the radio set's opportunity cost is equivalent to the price of the bicycle he gave up. An external cost is one that is paid by society as a whole rather than the company.

All expenses, regardless of who pays them, must be included in the total cost to society. Private costs are the expenses incurred by a company during the production of a good. It is, in actuality, the company's financial expenses. For instance, the cost of purchasing an automobile represents the manufacturer's own expenses. However, the air pollution produced during the car's manufacturing is an external expense. These expenses are considered to be external to the market pricing mechanism as the manufacturer bears no financial responsibility for them and does not include them into the car's price. Another externality associated with driving a vehicle is air pollution. The cost of using the automobile to harm the environment is not covered by the driver.

The overall cost of all the expenses related to an economic activity is known as the social cost. It encompasses all expenses incurred by society as a whole in addition to those incurred by the economic actor. It comprises the expenses that are represented in the production function of the company (referred to as private costs) as well as the costs that are not related to the firm's private costs (referred to as external costs). As a result, it represents the price of providing a good to the whole community. As a result, the total of the external and private costs is the social cost. Money market funds, also known as money market mutual funds, are financial vehicles that aggregate the assets of several investors and utilize them to purchase bonds, usually

government bonds. Money market funds pay interest rates that are comparable to, but somewhat lower than, the interest rates on the bonds they own. Their profit margins and operating expenses account for the discrepancy [9], [10].

Many people who had previously kept all of their wealth in their checking accounts which paid little to no interest—realized how much interest they could earn by moving some of it into money market accounts when the interest rate on these funds reached 14% per year in the early 1980s a very high interest rate by today's standards. Consequently, these kinds of accounts gained a lot of popularity. But interest rates have dropped since then. Prior to the financial crisis, in the mid-2000s, money market funds were paying interest at an average of just around 5%. Although this is much less appealing than the rate from the early 1980s, it was still better than zero, which is the interest paid on many checking accounts. The average interest rate on money market funds was less than 1% in 2010, a further decline in interest rates since the financial crisis. People are now less cautious about investing as much as they can in money market funds as a consequence. Stated differently, compared to the early 1980s, consumers today retain a larger portion of their wealth in cash for a given amount of transactions.

DISCUSSION

In addition to GDP, there are many, closely similar methods for estimating the size of the economy. As we have said before, GDP may be seen as both total purchasing and total output. Since revenue is generated by everything that is produced and sold, it may also be thought of as total income. The gross national product (GNP) is one of GDP's closest relatives. Only domestic production is included in a nation's GDP. The gross domestic product (GNP) is the sum of the output of domestic companies and labor overseas, less any remittances that foreign companies and laborers operating in the US send home. Put another way, GDP is based on events that occur within a country's borders, while GDP is based more on the output of a nation's residents and businesses, wherever they may be located. The difference between GDP and GNP for the US is rather small roughly 0.2% in recent years. The discrepancy may be enormous for tiny countries, where a significant portion of the population may work overseas and send money home.

The amount of physical capital that ages and wears down over the course of a year is deducted from the gross national product (GNP) to arrive at the net national product (NNP). Depreciation is the process by which capital ages and loses value. The NNP may be further separated into personal income, which only covers income to persons, and national income, which includes all revenue to enterprises and individuals. It is not necessary to commit these concepts to memory for practical applications. However, in order to avoid inadvertently comparing, for example, GDP in one year or for one nation with GNP or NNP in another year or another country, it is crucial to be aware that these distinctions exist and to know which number you are looking at. To understand how these computations operate, proceed with the steps in the Work It Out feature that follows. When analyzing economic data, it is important to note this difference. There is a difference between nominal and real measures, which speak to the degree to which a statistic has been skewed by inflation. Without taking inflation into account, examining economic data is like attempting to estimate a distance via a pair of binoculars without knowing how powerful the lenses are. You cannot estimate a distance very correctly without knowing how strong the lenses are.

Similarly, it is difficult to determine whether an increase in GDP is mostly attributable to an increase in the general level of prices or an increase in the quantity of products produced if you do not know the rate of inflation. Any economic statistic that has a nominal value is one that is expressed in terms of the real prices in effect at the moment. After accounting for inflation, the

same figure is referred to as the actual value. In most cases, the actual value is more significant. GDP is often used to gauge a country's economic wellbeing or level of life. Upon comparing the GDP of other countries for this objective, two concerns surface right away. First, each nation's GDP is expressed in its own currency. For example, the US uses the US dollar, whereas Canada uses the Canadian dollar, most of Western Europe uses the euro, Japan uses the yen, Mexico uses the peso, and so on.

As a result, translating to a common currency is necessary when comparing the GDP of two nations. The fact that populations in various nations vary greatly is a second problem. For example, the US has a much bigger economy than either Mexico or Canada, but it also has around three times the population of Mexico and nine times the population of Canada. Therefore, we must divide GDP by population in order to compare living standards across nations. and an economy that is equally huge as a result of individuals using their time more wisely and working fewer hours. The GDP covers output that is traded in the market but excludes production that is not, so the GDP per capita of the US economy is higher than the GDP per capita of Germany. For instance, paying someone to clean your home or mow your lawn is included in GDP, but doing these chores yourself is not. The fact that only 42% of women were employed for pay in 1970 is one notable shift in the American economy over the last few years. According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, around 60% of women were employed by a pay in the second decade of the 2000s. Since more women are working, many of the services they produced in the non-market economy—such as child care and food preparation—have partially moved into the market economy, giving the impression that the GDP is higher even while fewer services are being utilized.

Regarding the degree of inequality in society, GDP has little bearing. GDP per person is only a mean figure. A 5% increase in GDP per capita might indicate a 5% increase in GDP for the whole population, a 5% increase in GDP for certain groups while it decreased or even decreased for others. Furthermore, GDP has nothing specific to say on the range of options. GDP doesn't care whether a family purchases 100 loaves of bread year or if they are all white or if they have the option of pumpernickel, wheat, or rye bread, among many other types; it just looks at whether the family spends the same amount overall on bread.

Similarly, GDP has little borne on the kinds of items and technologies that are accessible. People's level of life in, say, 1900 or 1950, was influenced by more than just their financial situation; it also depended on what they could afford. In 1950, you could not purchase a personal computer or an iPhone, regardless of your financial situation. Sometimes, it's unclear whether an increase in GDP is beneficial at all. It would be odd to argue that a storm was economically advantageous if it destroys a city and then causes a spike in construction activity for reconstruction. It is difficult to think that a rise in GDP has improved people's lot if they are driven by a growing dread of crime to place bars and burglar alarms on every window. In a similar spirit, some might argue that the selling of certain products—such as porn or graphic films—does not raise society's level of life. There is worry that GDP growth over time is deceptive as GDP per capita does not adequately represent the concept of standard of life. Theoretically, if environmental quality, human health, and other non-GDP-related characteristics deteriorate, the standard of living might be declining even if GDP is increasing. Thankfully, it seems that this concern is exaggerated.

The growth in GDP somewhat overstates the real increase in the standard of life. For instance, during the last century, the average workweek for an American worker has decreased from around 60 hours to less than 40 hours. The average level of schooling has increased along with life expectancy, health, and education. In general, the United States' water and air have been cleaner since 1970. There have been advancements in information, entertainment, transport,

and health technology. Comparing things like food and apparel from many decades ago, a significantly greater range is now accessible. The real gain in the quality of life for Americans in recent decades has outpaced the growth in GDP since GDP does not account for leisure, health, a cleaner environment, the opportunities brought about by new technology, or a rise in diversity. Conversely, the United States presently has greater rates of wealth disparity, traffic congestion, and crime than it had in the 1960s. Furthermore, a significant portion of services that were formerly rendered in the non-market economy mainly by women—now make up the market economy that is measured by GDP. GDP tends to exaggerate the real increase in the standard of living by disregarding these elements.

Every nation is concerned with economic expansion. The issue is whether economic development in high-income nations like the United States and others can raise our level of life to the astounding extent that it achieved in the 20th century. Can middle-income nations like Brazil, Egypt, South Korea, or Poland catch up to higher-income nations in the interim? Or do they have to stay in the second income bracket per capita? Of the approximately 6.7 billion people on the planet, nearly 2.6 billion make less than $2 a day on average, which is not all that different from the state of life 2,000 years ago. Could the world's impoverished be rescued from their terrifying poverty? "The consequences for human welfare involved in questions like these are simply staggering: Once one starts to think about them, it is hard to think about anything else," observed Robert E. Lucas Jr., the 1995 winner of the Nobel Prize in Economics.

It is feasible to significantly raise a country's level of life. The Republic of Korea, sometimes known as South Korea, had one of the world's weakest economies after the Korean War in the late 1950s. The majority of South Koreans were employed in rural farming. The British economist Angus Maddison, whose life's work was to calculate GDP and population in the global economy, estimated that GDP per person in 1990 was $854 annually in international currencies. The South Korean economy expanded quickly between the 1960s and the beginning of the twenty-first century a period of time that is still very much in the lives and memories of many individuals. The GDP per capita grew by more than 6% annually throughout these forty years. The World Bank estimates that South Korea's GDP now surpasses $30,000 in nominal terms, putting it squarely in the high-income category with Israel, New Zealand, and Italy. Based on the global GDP in 2012, South Korea's economy ranks thirteenth in the world. In a country with 49 million citizens, this change is remarkable.

Though there are other examples of fast and steady economic development, South Korea is a notable example. East Asian countries like Thailand and Indonesia have also had very fast development. Since market-oriented economic reforms were implemented, roughly in 1980, China has seen tremendous growth. Over a longer period of time, high-income nations such as the United States have also seen significant growth in GDP per capita. The American economy has changed since the Civil War, moving from being mostly rural and agrarian to one that is now focused on services, industry, and technology. In the late 1700s and early 1800s, progressive, strong economic and institutional developments began to have a major impact. The Industrial Revolution was made possible, according to Dutch economic historian Jan Luiten van Zanden, by cultures built on slavery, advantageous demography, international trade routes, and standardized commercial institutions that expanded with various empires. The widespread usage of power-driven equipment and the ensuing social and economic transformations in the first half of the 1800s are together referred to as the "Industrial Revolution." The steam engine, the power loom, and the steam locomotive were three examples of clever devices that completed jobs that would have required a large workforce otherwise. Great Britain was the starting point of the Industrial Revolution, which quickly extended to the US, Germany, and other nations.

Even while the occupations that common people did with these machines were often risky and unclean by today's standards, they were also often dangerous and dirty in the small-town industries and peasant agriculture of the day. Higher compensation and opportunities for social mobility were common features of the new employment created by the Industrial Revolution. A vicious cycle started: profits were made from new investments and innovations, and those gains were used to finance other investments and inventions, which in turn created prospects for even more profits. A collection of North American and European national economies gradually transitioned from centuries of stagnation into a time of very fast contemporary development. Over the last 200 years, the GDP has grown at an average rate of international inequality increased as a result of the Industrial Revolution. While some economies flourished, many others, such as those in Asia and Africa, continued to operate at or near subsistence levels. According to general computations, in 1870, the GDP per capita of the 17 most developed nations worldwide was 2.4 times that of the world's poorest economies. The richest economies had 4.2 times the GDP per capita of the least developed ones by 1960.

Nonetheless, several nations had shown that catching up was feasible by the mid-1900s. Japan's economy grew rapidly throughout the 1960s and 1970s, with real GDP per capita growing at an average annual rate of 11% during that time. In the 1960s, there was also a surge in economic development in certain Latin American nations. For instance, from 1968 to 1973, Brazil's GDP per capita increased at an average annual rate of 11.1%. Economies of many East Asian nations, such as Taiwan, Thailand, and South Korea, grew quickly in the 1970s. GDP per capita growth rates of 11% to 12% annually were typical in these nations. More recently, from 1984 to the 2000s, China's 1.3 billion people increased at a per capita annual rate of 9%. India, a country of 1.1 billion people, has seen encouraging economic development in recent years, with GDP per capita increasing by around 4% annually in the 1990s and by 7% to 8% annually in the 2000s.

Not every region has seen this surge of catch-up economic development. At the beginning of the 2000s, GDP per capita in several African nations, such as Niger, Tanzania, and Sudan, was still less than $300, not much more than it had been in the eighteenth century or for centuries before. The positive economic news from China (population: 1.3 billion) and India (population: 1.1 billion) is nonetheless remarkable and encouraging when considering the general state of low-income people worldwide. Numerous things influence economic development. Adherence to the rule of law and the government's defense of property rights and contractual rights are crucial components that enable markets to function successfully and efficiently.

Laws need to be transparent, enforceable, fair, and equally applied to every member of the community. As you may remember from Environmental Protection and Negative Externalities, property rights refer to people's and businesses' freedom to own and use their property as they see appropriate. You have the freedom to utilize $100, whether you want to spend it, lend it to someone, or save it in a jar. You own it. Physical property and the right to your education and expertise are both included in the concept of property, particularly since your Long-term economic development that is sustained is derived from advances in labor productivity, or simply how effectively we do things. Put otherwise, how productive is your country in terms of labor and time? The value that each employed person produces per unit of their input is known as labor productivity. The simplest method to understand labor productivity is to compare the productivity of U.S. and Canadian workers in the same hour: the former can produce 10 loaves of bread while the latter can only produce two. In this made-up scenario, Canadian productivity is higher. You may do more in the same amount of time if you are more productive. Thus, resources are freed up for use in other ways. What factors influence an employee's productivity? The solution is very obvious. Human capital is the primary factor of

labor productivity. Human capital is the total knowledge, skills, and competence that the typical worker in an economy has, gained via education and experience. In general, an economy's labor productivity and cumulative human capital increase with an economy's average degree of education.

Technological change is the second element that affects worker productivity. Technological change is the result of both inventions, or the application of new knowledge, and innovation, or the creation of new goods or services. The transistor, for instance, was created in 1947. Compared to tube technology, it made it possible to reduce the size and power consumption of electrical gadgets. Since then, advancements have led to the creation of better and smaller transistors, which are now widely used in a wide range of devices, including escalators, computers, and smartphones. With the invention of the transistor, workers may now use tiny gadgets anywhere. By enabling faster completion of tasks, measuring product quality, and facilitating communication among coworkers, these gadgets increase worker productivity.

CONCLUSION

This study emphasizes how crucial it is to use a macroeconomic viewpoint in order to comprehend the general health and behavior of the economy. The results demonstrate how macroeconomic analysis helps policymakers create strategies that effectively address macroeconomic goals like price stability, full employment, and sustainable economic growth by offering insightful information about the relationships between various economic variables and sectors. It is recommended that economists and policymakers take the macroeconomic viewpoint into account when formulating policies and making choices since it offers a thorough framework for evaluating the general robustness and health of the economy. Policymakers may solve economic difficulties and advance stability and prosperity by implementing targeted interventions based on their knowledge of the relationships between various sectors and factors. More study is required in the future to improve macroeconomic models, add fresh data sources, and examine new developments in the economy. In an increasingly linked global economy, policymakers and analysts may better navigate and react to economic swings and uncertainties by continually enhancing our grasp of the macroeconomic viewpoint. All things considered, this study advances our knowledge of the macroeconomic viewpoint and provides insightful information on how it is used in economic analysis, policy creation, and decision-making.

REFERENCES:

[1] G. Gopinath, “A macroeconomic perspective on border Taxes,” *Brookings Pap. Econ. Act.*, 2017, doi: 10.1353/eca.2017.0018.

[2] C. Papageorgiou, M. Saam, and P. Schulte, “Substitution between clean and dirty energy inputs: A macroeconomic perspective,” *Rev. Econ. Stat.*, 2017, doi: 10.1162/REST_a_00592.

[3] S. Meng, “Optimal Patent Protection: A Macroeconomic Perspective,” *Asian Journal of Law and Economics*. 2017. doi: 10.1515/ajle-2016-0021.

[4] M. P. Thomas, “Empirics of service taxation in India: a macroeconomic perspective,” *J. Soc. Econ. Dev.*, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s40847-017-0036-0.

[5] O. Ipinnaiye, D. Dineen, and H. Lenihan, “Drivers of SME performance: a holistic and multivariate approach,” *Small Bus. Econ.*, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s11187-016-9819-5.

[6] J. Mikołajczyk, “Investments In Polish Agriculture In The Years 2004-2014 From The Macroeconomic Perspective,” *Ann. Polish Assoc. Agric. Agribus. Econ.*, 2017, doi: 10.5604/01.3001.0009.8350.

[7] A. Eisenkopf, "PPP – kein relevanter Beitrag zur Lösung der Infrastrukturprobleme in Deutschland," *Zeitschrift für Wirtschaftspolitik*, 2017, doi: 10.1515/zfwp-2017-0018.

[8] S. V. Mitrofanova, N. V. Demjanchenko, S. V. Novikov, O. V. Rudakova, and S. V. Shmanev, "The role and characteristics of the enterprises' working conditions before and after the transition to market relations: A view from macroeconomic perspective," *Int. J. Appl. Bus. Econ. Res.*, 2017.

[9] J. Onrubia-Fernández and A. J. Sánchez Fuentes, "How costly are public sector inefficiencies? A theoretical framework for rationalising fiscal consolidations," *Economics*, 2017, doi: 10.5018/economics-ejournal.ja.2017-35.

[10] I. Susic, M. Stojanovic-Trivanovic, and M. Susic, "Foreign direct investments and their impact on the economic development of Bosnia and Herzegovina," in *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 2017. doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/200/1/012019.

CHAPTER 12

INVESTIGATION OF THE COMPONENTS OF ECONOMIC GROWTH

Dr. Salma Begum, Assistant Professor
Department of General Management, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School
Jain (Deemed to be University), Bangalore, Karnataka, India
Email Id- salma.begum@cms.ac.in

ABSTRACT:
This study looks at the elements of economic development with the goal of identifying and evaluating the elements that support long-term, steady increases in a country's production of goods and services. Macroeconomics places a great deal of emphasis on economic growth since it indicates increases in income, living standards, and general prosperity. The main forces behind economic growth are examined in this paper, including institutional issues, capital accumulation, technical advancement, and the development of human capital. This study provides insights into the factors influencing long-term economic growth and development by fusing theoretical frameworks, empirical investigations, and policy implications. The need of investing in human and physical capital, innovation and technology breakthroughs, education and skill development, and the caliber of institutions and governance are some of the important topics covered. The research also looks at how these elements interact and how that affects competitiveness, productivity, and long-term growth. By using econometric methods, macroeconomic models, and historical data, the study clarifies the dynamics of economic development and the relative significance of various elements in promoting long-term prosperity.

KEYWORDS:

Capital Accumulation, Economic Growth, Human Capital, Institutional Factors, Investment.

INTRODUCTION

The yearly pace of economic growth might vary by a few percentage points, which may not seem like much, but over decades and generations, these fluctuations can have a significant impact on GDP per capita. We cover a few of the elements of economic development in this subject, such as technology, human capital, and physical capital. The term "physical capital" refers to both the infrastructure, or roads, and the plant and equipment that businesses employ. Once again, more physical capital corresponds to higher production. The accumulation of physical and human capital is comparable: In both situations, making an investment today will pay dividends in future productivity over the long run [1], [2].

Technology is known as the "joker in the deck." We previously defined it as the fusion of innovation and invention. Most people associate new technology with the development of novel items like lasers, smartphones, or some kind of revolutionary medication. Another example of technology in food production is the creation of more drought-resistant seeds. But technology as economists define it encompasses much more. It comprises creative institutions that speed up the process of turning inputs into output, new techniques for guaranteeing higher-quality output in factories, and novel ways of arranging labor, such as the development of the assembly line. In summary, technology is the culmination of all the developments that enable the production of entirely new goods in addition to greater, higher-quality output from the machines and other inputs already in place [3], [4].

Comparing the GDPs of China to, for example, Benin may not be sensible due to the stark differences in population sizes. It is often helpful to concentrate on GDP per capita in order to comprehend economic growth, which is essentially concerned with the rise in the ordinary

person's quality of living. It is also simpler to compare nations with smaller populations, such as Belgium, Uruguay, Economists have studied growth accounting since the late 1950s in an effort to ascertain the contribution of technology and the development of physical and human capital to growth. The conventional method estimates the portion of per capita economic growth that can be ascribed to increases in human and physical capital using an aggregate production function [5], [6]. At least approximately, these two inputs can be monitored. The residual—the portion of increase not explained by measured inputs is subsequently ascribed to technological advancement. The precise numerical estimates vary based on how these three primary parameters were examined by researchers across what time frames and vary from study to study and nation to country. Growth accounting studies often provide three insights for studies of the US economy.

First, the biggest factor in U.S. economic development is usually technology. The expansion of physical and human capital often accounts for just half or less of the observed economic growth. Innovative methods of doing things are crucial. Second, developing human capital is just as vital as investing in physical capital for increases in labor productivity and GDP per capita. It takes more than simply more buildings and machinery to boost the economy. In Europe in the years after World War II, there was one striking illustration of the strength of human capital and technical expertise (1939–1945). A significant portion of Europe's physical capital, including its industries, highways, and automobile fleet, was destroyed during the conflict. Millions of men, women, and children lost their lives in the conflict, causing Europe to lose an enormous quantity of human capital. But in less than 20 years, the potent combination of technical know-how and skilled labor, operating within a framework of market-oriented economics, restored Europe's productive potential to an even greater degree [7], [8].

The interaction between these three elements human capital, physical capital, and technology is the subject of a third lecture. Higher educated and skilled workers are often better at inventing new technical advancements. These technical advances are often concepts that, unless they are included into fresh investments in physical capital, cannot boost productivity. Additional training is often needed for new equipment that include technical improvements, which further develops worker abilities. To achieve economic growth, an economy must have every component of the aggregate production function. Check out this Clear it up segment to see how technology, physical capital, and human capital can all have a big influence on people's lives. Better technology, more human and physical capital, and other factors are vital to a country's success, but so is the environment or system in which these resources are raised.

A sound economic environment is influenced by both the kind of market economy and the legal framework that protects and upholds property rights and contractual rights [9], [10]. In microeconomic, individual, or business decision-making, a market orientation is often present in a healthy economic environment. Macroeconomic development as a whole is supported by markets that provide incentives and rewards for both individuals and businesses to increase their human and physical resources. For instance, workers have an incentive to acquire more human capital when they engage in a competitive and well-functioning labor market since doing so would result in greater compensation. Businesses are motivated to invest in human capital and physical assets because they hope to increase shareholder earnings. People and businesses search for new technology because even little discoveries may simplify tasks or enhance existing products. Macroeconomic development is the result of these kinds of private and corporate actions made within a market system. Using the strength of competitive markets to distribute resources has been a major factor in the tremendous expansion that has occurred since the late nineteenth century. Usually, this market orientation extends beyond national boundaries and include trade openness. A broad market orientation does not exclude significant

government responsibilities. Occasionally, markets are unable to distribute resources or technologies in a way that best serves society overall. The government's job is to make these mistakes right. Furthermore, the government has the power to direct or sway markets in one direction or another. The next instances highlight some significant domains in which governments globally have opted to allocate resources to promote technological advancement and capital deepening: Diminishing marginal returns is the foundation of one argument.

The law of diminishing returns implies that as an economy continues to build its human and physical capital, the marginal benefits to economic growth will reduce, even while increasing human and physical capital will tend to raise GDP per capita. Assuming all other inputs remain constant, increasing the typical population's education level from the tenth grade to a high school diploma by two years would result in a specific rise in output. Although the marginal benefit would be less, production would grow even more with a two-year increase, making the typical individual a two-year college graduate. The typical individual would need a four-year college bachelor's degree; thus an extra two years of schooling would raise production even further, but the marginal increase would once again be less. The same applies to tangible capital. The amount of production will rise if the typical worker has access to an additional $5,000 to $10,000 in physical capital (again, assuming no change in other inputs). Although the marginal gain will be less, production will climb even more with a subsequent increase from $10,000 to $15,000.

DISCUSSION

Since human and physical capital are typically lower in low-income nations like China and India than in high-income nations, where levels of both capitals are already relatively high, an investment in capital deepening should have a larger marginal effect in these nations. The concept of diminishing returns suggests that low-income economies have the potential to reach the same levels as high-income nations. A second point of contention is that developing nations may find it simpler than developed ones to advance their technological capabilities. While low-income nations may often find uses for technology that has previously been developed and is widely known, high-income nations are required to continuously innovate new technologies. "The advantages of backwardness" is the distinctive moniker given to this phenomenon by economist Alexander Gerschenkron (1904–1978). Naturally, he did not intend to imply that having a lower quality of life is advantageous. He was making the argument that a nation that is lagging behind has some more room to catch up. Ultimately, proponents of optimism contend that several nations have seen the experiences of others that have expanded faster and have drawn lessons from them. Furthermore, if a nation's citizens start to experience the advantages of a better quality of living, they could be more inclined to create and support the market-friendly institutions that will contribute to the maintenance of that level of living.

The figure's horizontal axis represents the quantity of capital deepening, which is an aggregate metric that takes into account the development of both human and physical capital. From C1 to C2 to C3, the quantity of human and physical capital per worker rises from left to right. The diagram's vertical axis represents per capita production. Commence by examining the diagram's lowest line, designated Technology 1. Since technology is being maintained constant throughout this aggregate production function, the line merely depicts the link between output and capital deepening. Per capita output does rise as capital deepens from C1 to C2 to C3 and the economy moves from R to U to W. However, the way the line flattens as it moves to the right, indicating diminishing marginal returns as additional marginal amounts of capital deepening increase output by ever-smaller amounts, is indicative of this phenomenon. The aggregate production line's structure (Technology 1) indicates that capital deepening cannot sustainably spur economic development on its own since ultimately declining returns will

occur. The majority of strong, expanding economies are simultaneously developing their physical and human resources and advancing technologies. Consequently, the economy may shift from, say, point R on the Technology 1 aggregate production line to, say, point S on Technology 2 and, finally, point T on the much higher Technology 3 aggregate production line. Because of deepening capital and technology, high-income nations' GDP per capita growth does not have to stop due to decreasing returns. Technology's benefits may outweigh the declining returns associated with capital deepening.

Will the benefits of technological advancements itself eventually diminish? That is, will finding new technology advancements becoming harder and more expensive over time? Maybe in the future, but throughout the previous 200 years or so since the Industrial Revolution, technological advancements have not encountered declining marginal returns. In contrast to past technologies like the steam engine and the railroad, modern inventions like the Internet and advancements in genetics and materials science do not seem to have reduced productivity increases.

The fact that new technologies may often be broadly implemented at extremely low or even zero marginal costs is one reason why technical innovations do not seem to experience diminishing returns. One or more workers must utilize a certain extra machine or complete an additional year of schooling. Many workers across the economy may utilize a new innovation or technology at relatively low marginal cost.

It's not always accurate to say that a low-income nation can duplicate and adapt current technology more easily than a high-income nation can create new technology. A society's ability to adopt and use new technology is dependent on how supportive the political, educational, and economic institutions are in the nation. This performance is not always assured. Although low-income nations may theoretically have more opportunity to imitate and adapt technologies, the idea that being backwards can have some benefits is unlikely to materialize in practice if they lack the necessary institutions and economic infrastructure.

Even if it seems probable, economic convergence between the high-income nations and the rest of the globe will happen gradually. Take into consideration, for instance, two countries: one with an initial GDP per capita of $40,000, roughly equivalent to a modern high-income nation, and the other with an initial GDP per capita of $4,000, roughly equivalent to the level of low-income but not impoverished nations like Indonesia, Guatemala, or Egypt. Assume that GDP per capita in the wealthy nation increases at a steady pace of 2% annually, whereas GDP per capita in the impoverished nation rises at a faster and more aggressive 7% yearly rate. In 30 years, the GDP per capita of the wealthy nation will be $72,450 (or $40,000 (1 + 0.02)30), whereas the GDP per capita of the impoverished nation will be $30,450 (or $4,000 (1 + 0.07)30). There has been convergence; the affluent nation is now just around 2.4 times as wealthy as the poor one, compared to 10 times as wealthy in the past. In contrast to those in the affluent nation, residents in the low-income country are still likely to feel very destitute despite 30 years of consistently strong development.

Furthermore, the poor country's growth rate may considerably slow down when it catches up since there are less possibilities for it to catch up. Analyzing the evolution of calorie consumption throughout time may help tell the tale of contemporary economic progress. The ordinary individual was able to eat healthier and consume more calories due to the significant increase in income. In what ways did their earnings rise? The aggregate production function is used by the neoclassical growth consensus to argue that improvements in inputs like technology and human and physical capital are what led to the current era of economic development. The manner that the advancement of technology and the development of human and physical capital

led to expansion and convergence was also significant. Notwithstanding the debate over income inequality, it is evident that the ordinary worker has more access to calories in 2014 compared to 1875.

The ordinary individual can afford more food for reasons more than just an increase in income. Many nations are now able to produce more food than they need because to modern agriculture. Even with an abundance of food, several nations and international organizations are still unable to resolve the issue of food distribution. The Nobel Prize-winning economist Amartya Sen claims that the failure of government macroeconomic policy is really more frequently the source of food shortages, famine, or overall food insecurity. Sen has written a great deal on inequality, poverty, and how the government might raise people's standards of life. Macroeconomic policies that aim to end hunger and promote a more equitable distribution of food are more likely to succeed in achieving full employment, stable inflation, women's education, and property rights protection.

Since 1875, the world's food costs have declined since we now have more food per person. However, certain goods have seen a greater price fall than others. For instance, studies conducted by academics at the University of Washington have shown that calories from lettuce and zucchini cost 100 times as much in the US as calories from butter, oil, and sugar. Studies conducted in the United States, China, and India indicate that people prefer more calories from fats and proteins and less from carbs as their income levels grow. This has intriguing ramifications for obesity, the ecology, and global food production. Similar to many American regions, obesity is a concern in affluent metropolitan India. There are convergence-related factors at play.

GDP per worker or GDP per hour is a measure of productivity, which is the amount generated per worker or per hour worked. There was a decline in American production from 1973 to 1989. Since then, despite the ongoing global slump, American productivity has increased. It's unclear whether productivity growth will continue at its present rate. The key factor that determines an economy's long-term economic development and pay increases is its rate of productivity growth. The seeming little variations in the yearly pace of economic growth across many decades and generations have a significant impact on GDP per capita. An aggregate production function outlines the relationship between certain economic inputs, such as technology, physical capital, and human capital, and the output expressed as GDP per capita.
Convergence is the process by which nations with lower GDP per capita levels overtake those with higher GDP per capita levels. Even when both high-income and low-income nations boost their investments in human and physical capital with the aim of raising GDP, convergence may still take place. This is due to the possibility of enormous profits from new investments in human and physical capital when paired with labor force and modern equipment in low-income countries. However, since the more developed nation most likely has greater levels of capital investment, a level of investment similar to that of the low-income country is not likely to make as much of an effect on the higher income country.

As a result, the marginal profit from this extra investment usually decreases with time. Lower-income economies have a possibility for convergent development since higher-income nations are more likely to experience decreasing returns on their investments and must constantly innovate new technologies. Nonetheless, a lot of high-income nations have established political and economic structures that support a stable economic environment and a constant flow of technical advancements. The constant advancement of technology may offset the declining returns on expenditures made in human and material resources. Similar to a tumultuous divorce or a catastrophic car accident, unemployment may be a dreadful and agonizing life experience, the full effects of which can only be completely comprehended by those who have experienced

it. There is the ongoing financial strain of not knowing where one's next salary is coming from for jobless people and their families. Painful changes include seeing your funds go, selling your automobile and purchasing a more affordable one, or relocating to a less costly area of town. Even if the jobless individual finds another one, the salary might be lower than it was before. A large portion of a person's self-worth is derived from their work. In addition to having an impact on mental and physical health, unemployment may strain family connections when it keeps individuals out of the labor.

A low unemployment rate should be a top goal for public policy, based just on the human costs of unemployment. However, the wider community also bears financial consequences from unemployment. A valuable economic resource is being wasted when millions of eager but jobless persons are unable to find employment. A high unemployment rate economy is analogous to a business running an idle but working plant. The production that the jobless workers might have created is known as the opportunity cost of unemployment. The definition and calculation of the unemployment rate will be covered in this chapter. It will look at the trends in unemployment over time for the US economy overall, for various US demographic groupings, and for foreign nations. Next, an economic explanation of unemployment will be discussed, along with how it explains trends in unemployment and recommends governmental measures aimed at lowering it. In newspaper or television coverage, unemployment is usually expressed as a rate or a percentage. For instance, according to a recent estimate, the U.S. unemployment rate increased from 9.7% to 10.0% between August 2009 and November 2009, but by June 2010, it had dropped to 9.5%. The variations in the percentages may not appear like much at first look.

However, keep in mind that 155 million persons in the US have jobs or are actively seeking one. A mere 0.1% fluctuation in the jobless rate among 155 million prospective laborers corresponds to 155,000 individuals, about equivalent to the whole population of cities such as Syracuse, New York, Brownsville, Texas, or Pasadena, California. There are a lot more job losses when the unemployment rate climbs significantly. November 2009, when the recession was at its worst Is it appropriate to classify all jobless people as unemployed? Naturally, no. For example, children shouldn't be included in the unemployment rate. Certainly, retired people shouldn't be included in the unemployment rate. It doesn't seem fair to classify full-time college students as experiencing the hardships of unemployment when many of them have just part-time jobs or don't have any jobs at all. Some are not at work because they are taking care of their families, are unwell, on vacation, or are on parental leave.

The idea is that there are more categories among the adult population than simply employment and unemployment. There is a third category of people: those without employment who, for whatever reason retirement, raising a family, taking a leave of absence prior to starting a new job do not want to work either. It also covers those who have given up seeking for work because they are demoralized by their failure to obtain acceptable employment. This third category of people who are neither employed nor seeking employment is referred to by economists as being either out of the labor force or not in the labor force.

Based on a monthly survey conducted by the U.S. Bureau of the Census, the unemployment rate in the United States categorizes adults as either employed, unemployed, or not in the labor force based on a set of questions. A person must be jobless, readily available for work, and actively seeking employment for the last four weeks in order to be classed as unemployed. Therefore, someone who is unemployed but not actively seeking employment during the previous four weeks, or who is not now available for employment, is considered to be out of the labor force. Some persons are still incorrectly classified as employed, jobless, or out of the labor force even with the addition of the "out of the labor force" category. Even if they are not

working in the capacity that they would want or need to be, some persons who only have part-time or temporary jobs and are seeking for full-time and permanent employment are nevertheless considered employed. There are also others who have inadequate employment.

This includes those who are employed in lower-paying jobs or jobs that do not make use of their abilities but are trained or skilled for a certain kind or degree of employment. For instance, a person working as a sales clerk yet holding a finance degree from college would be deemed underemployed. But they are also included in the category of employed people. These people are all included in the category of "hidden unemployment." This category also includes discouraged workers, people who have given up seeking for work and are thus no longer included in the unemployment statistics. To determine the rate, divide the entire adult population by the number of persons in the labor force, or the sum of the employed and unemployed, then multiply the result by 100 to get the percentage.

The labor force participation rate for February 2015 data is 62.8%. In the past, as more women joined the workforce in the 1960s, the civilian labor force participation rate in the US increased. It peaked in late 1999 or early 2000 at almost 68%. The labor force participation rate has been continuously falling since then. The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) includes information on the number of new jobs created in the monthly unemployment report, which is derived from the establishment payroll survey. The payroll study is based on a nationwide survey of over 140,000 companies and governmental organizations. The following parameters are used to create payroll employment estimates: all workers; average weekly hours worked; and average hourly, weekly, and overtime wages. This poll has been criticized for leaving out self-employed people. Additionally, it does not distinguish between full-time positions with "decent" compensation and new, minimum-wage, part-time, or temporary ones.

CONCLUSION

This study emphasizes how several factors interact to determine long-term prosperity and how complex economic development is. The results highlight the significance of investing in human and physical capital, technological innovation, and high-quality institutions in promoting long-term economic development. It is recommended that policymakers use all-encompassing approaches that tackle the many factors that contribute to economic expansion. These approaches may include measures to enhance education and skill acquisition, stimulate creativity and enterprise, and enhance the effectiveness and openness of organizations. Governments can boost productivity and competitiveness, which will raise living standards and improve the well-being of their inhabitants, by fostering an environment that encourages investment and innovation. Economic development and how they affect social welfare, productivity, and income distribution, more study is required in the future. Policymakers may create more effective policies to support equitable and sustainable economic growth by improving our understanding of these processes. All things considered, this study advances our knowledge of the elements that make up economic growth and provides insightful information to economists, politicians, and academics who work to promote prosperity and well-being in the world economy.

REFERENCES:

[1] E. W. F. Peterson, “The role of population in economic growth,” *SAGE Open*, 2017, doi: 10.1177/2158244017736094.

[2] V. Bove and L. Elia, “Migration, Diversity, and Economic Growth,” *World Dev.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.worlddev.2016.08.012.

[3] R. Islam, A. B. A. Ghani, I. Z. Abidin, and J. M. Rayaiappan, "Impact on poverty and income inequality in Malaysia's economic growth," *Probl. Perspect. Manag.*, 2017, doi: 10.21511/ppm.15(1).2017.05.

[4] E. Kim, Y. Ha, and S. Kim, "Public debt, corruption and sustainable economic growth," *Sustain.*, 2017, doi: 10.3390/su9030433.

[5] C. J. Huang and Y. H. Ho, "Governance and economic growth in Asia," *North Am. J. Econ. Financ.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.najef.2016.10.010.

[6] W. C. Lu, "Electricity consumption and economic growth: Evidence from 17 Taiwanese industries," *Sustain.*, 2017, doi: 10.3390/su9010050.

[7] R. Alvarado, M. Iñiguez, and P. Ponce, "Foreign direct investment and economic growth in Latin America," *Econ. Anal. Policy*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.eap.2017.09.006.

[8] Z. Shao, "On electricity consumption and economic growth in China," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2017.03.071.

[9] S. Saidi and S. Hammami, "Modeling the causal linkages between transport, economic growth and environmental degradation for 75 countries," *Transp. Res. Part D Transp. Environ.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.trd.2017.04.031.

[10] D. Ş. Armeanu, G. Vintilă, and Ş. C. Gherghina, "Does renewable energy drive sustainable economic growth? Multivariate panel data evidence for EU-28 countries," *Energies*, 2017, doi: 10.3390/en10030381.

CHAPTER 13

INVESTIGATION OF CRITICISMS OF MEASURING UNEMPLOYMENT

Dr. Sarangapani Nivarthi, Associate Professor
Department of General Management, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School
Jain (Deemed to be University), Bangalore, Karnataka, India
Email Id- dr.sarangapani.nivarthi@cms.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

This study looks at the complaints made about unemployment statistics, highlighting the drawbacks and difficulties with using traditional techniques to evaluate labor market circumstances. Although unemployment statistics are important tools for assessing the state of the economy and guiding policy choices, criticism is leveled at them since they do not fully account for the range of joblessness and underemployment. The main objections of the way unemployment is measured are examined in this paper, along with concerns about measuring techniques, the changing nature of labor, and the omission of certain demographic groups from official statistics. Examining these critiques, this study provides light on how difficult it is to determine labor market dynamics with any degree of accuracy and how other strategies are required to provide a more thorough grasp of employment difficulties. The limits of conventional unemployment measures, such as the emphasis on headline unemployment rates, which may miss discouraged workers, involuntary part-timers, and those in insecure employment situations, are among the important features of the investigation. The research also looks at how demographic changes, globalization, and technology improvements have affected employment trends and if current metrics are enough to reflect these changes. By using survey data, comparative studies, and empirical analyses, the study sheds light on the limitations of existing unemployment measurements and their consequences for stakeholders and policymakers.

KEYWORDS:

Criticisms, Labor Market, Measurement, Unemployment, Underemployment.

INTRODUCTION

The process of calculating the unemployment rate is never without difficulty. What about those, for instance, who are unemployed and want to work but have given up seeking for employment because of the dearth of opportunities in their area? These folks, along with their families, could be feeling the effects of unemployment. However, since they are not actively seeking employment, the poll regards them as being out of the labor force. While some individuals may inform the Census Bureau that they are prepared to work and are searching for employment, in reality, they are not that motivated to work and are not really trying very hard [1], [2]. They may be better described as no longer being employed, yet they are nevertheless recorded as jobless. There are yet others who work, maybe in child care, cleaning homes, or the yard, but they fail to record their earnings to the government. Even when they are employed, they could claim to be jobless.

The Bureau of Labor Statistics' economic researchers produce a broad range of surveys and studies that attempt to quantify these types of concerns and to construct a more comprehensive and nuanced perspective of the labor market, even though the unemployment rate receives the majority of public and media attention. The inaccuracy of economic data is seldom breaking news. When properly and intelligently evaluated, even flawed metrics like the unemployment rate may nonetheless provide a wealth of information [3], [4]. Middle-aged workers often

experience lesser unemployment than younger workers, perhaps due to the middle-aged workers' heavier sense of obligation to maintain employment. Younger workers are more fluid in coming and going from employment as well as the labor force. Due to the fact that elderly workers often retire, they are not included in unemployment statistics, which is why they have such low unemployment rates. Lastly, the unemployment rate is usually greater for individuals with less education. For instance, in February 2015, the unemployment rate for people with college degrees was 2.7%; for people with some college but not a four-year degree, it was 5.1%; for people who had graduated from high school but did not pursue further education, it was 5.4%; and for people who did not have a high school degree, it was 8.4%. This trend might emerge because greater education provides stronger links to the job market and increased demand, or it could happen because possibilities for low-skilled people in the labor market are less appealing than those for highly trained individuals [5], [6].

Low-skilled people could be less motivated to obtain employment because of their lower income. It is very difficult to compare the unemployment rates in Latin America, Africa, Eastern Europe, and Asia with those in the United States and other high-income regions. One explanation for this is that many less developed nations' statistics offices lack the financial and technological capacity of the United States Bureau of the Census. However, a more challenging issue with cross-national comparisons is that the majority of workers in many low-income nations do not enter the labor market via an employer that provides them with regular salary. Instead, laborers in these nations do temporary jobs, participate in subsistence work, and exchange goods and services [7], [8]. Furthermore, the impact of unemployment varies significantly across high- and low-income nations. Workers without jobs in affluent nations have access to a variety of government benefits, such as food stamps, welfare, and unemployment insurance; in less developed nations, these benefits could hardly exist. While unemployment is a significant issue in many low-income nations, it presents itself differently there than it does in high-income nations. Assuming that the labor supply curve does not significantly change in the near term that is, between a few months to a few years let us assume that the typical person's willingness to work a certain number of hours for a particular salary does not vary much. Furthermore, use the conventional ceteris paribus presumption that there won't be any significant short-term changes to the institutions and regulations influencing the labor market, the age distribution of the work force, or any other potentially relevant elements.

Firms' perceptions of the macroeconomic climate are a major factor in determining their demand for labor. The labor demand curve moves to the right when businesses feel that their company is growing and want to recruit more workers at any given salary. In contrast, businesses will want to recruit fewer workers at any given salary if they believe the economy is slowing down or about to enter a recession. As a result, the labor demand curve will move to the left. Cyclical unemployment is the term used to describe the fluctuation in unemployment brought on by the economic cycle, which is the economy's movement from expansion to recession or from recession to growth. Economic rules and institutions are one set of factors that economists believe might contribute to wages becoming "sticky downward." Reducing the pay of low-skilled workers who get the minimum wage is prohibited. Wage reductions may be a contract violation for union employees who are engaged in a multiyear agreement with the employer, leading to a labor dispute or strike. But for the U.S. economy as a whole, minimum wages and union contracts do not provide a compelling enough argument for wages to be sticky downward. Ultimately, of the approximately 150 million laborers in the American economy, 1.4 million—or less than 2% of the total are compensated with the minimum wage. Similarly, in America, labor unions barely represent roughly 12% of pay and salary earners. In other high-income nations, unions may control the pay of a greater proportion of workers or establish the minimum wage at a level that is applicable to a greater proportion of the workforce. However,

the total impact of these two variables on the US work force is only around one-fifth or less. In their search for explanations for why wages can be sticky downward, economists have concentrated on variables that might apply to the majority of labor interactions in the economy, not just a select few. Many explanations have been put out, yet they all have a similar tenor [9], [10].

One argument is that, even in cases where they are not union members, workers frequently operate under an implicit contract that, in times of economic downturn or business difficulties, requires the employer to keep wages from falling and, in times of economic boom, does not allow employees to demand large wage increases. Employees who participate in this wage-setting practice pay a premium in good times by receiving higher compensation during downturns, but they also get some protection against wage decreases during boom times. It goes without saying that when there is an implicit contract of this kind, employers will be reluctant to reduce salaries for fear that their employees may feel deceived, work less, or perhaps quit.

DISCUSSION

According to the efficiency wage hypothesis, companies will often find it profitable to pay their employees a little bit more than the market may need since workers' productivity is directly correlated with their compensation. One explanation for this is that workers who earn more money than their peers would be more productive as they understand that their pay would decrease if they lost their positions. They are thus inspired to put in more effort and stick with their existing employment. Employers would also rather pay workers a little bit more now than to lose them and have to recruit and train new staff members since they understand how expensive and time-consuming it is to hire and train new hires. Therefore, by avoiding salary reductions, the company saves money on employee training and recruitment expenses and gains access to highly motivated staff.

The adverse selection of pay cuts argument makes the point that the best employees, those with the greatest job options at other companies, are the most likely to depart if a company responds to unfavorable economic circumstances by cutting salaries for all employees. With fewer job options, the least desirable employees are more likely to stick around. As a result, companies are more likely to decide which employees should be let go via layoffs and firings than to reduce pay uniformly. In difficult circumstances, businesses may be able to convince employees to temporarily accept a salary decrease while keeping the majority of their workforce. But the fact that these tales are so unusual makes them noteworthy. Instead than lowering salaries for all employees, it is significantly more common for businesses to lay off portion of their staff.

In a nutshell, the insider-outsider paradigm of the labor force contends that although new hires are, at least temporarily, "outsiders," current workers are "insiders." An company relies on its insiders to teach new hires, be knowledgeable about standard operating procedures, and oil the wheels of the business. Pay reductions, however, will harm the company's productivity and future prospects and drive away insiders. the relative wage coordination argument highlights the fact that there is no obvious way for a decentralized economy to carry out such a plan, even if the majority of workers were hypothetically willing to see a decline in their own wages during hard economic times as long as everyone else also experiences such a decline. As a result, a pay reduction implies being worse off both in absolute terms and in comparison, to other workers. Instead, workers faced with the prospect of a salary cut will fear that other workers will not experience such a wage decrease.

Furthermore, the amount of gasoline delivered increases from 600 to 680 at this higher price of $1.80 since it is now more lucrative for gasoline manufacturers to increase their production due to the higher price. This leads us to the question of how supply and demand are connected at this price above equilibrium. There are now 680 gallons delivered as opposed to the previous 500 gallons requested. In actuality, there is a greater supply than demand at any price over equilibrium. We refer to this as a surplus or excess supply.

Gasoline surpluses build up in tanker trucks, pipelines, oil refineries, and gas stations. Fuel retailers are under pressure as a result of this buildup. The companies that manufacture and sell gasoline will not make enough money to pay their employees and pay their overhead if a surplus is left unsold. Some producers and sellers may feel pressured to drop their prices in this scenario because it's preferable to sell for less than not to sell at all. Other retailers will follow suit in order to keep their customers if some start lowering their rates. This will lead to a greater amount requested as a result of these price reductions. As a result, if the price is higher than the equilibrium, the incentives inherent in the supply and demand structure will push the price down toward the equilibrium.

As the dashed horizontal line at this pricing in Figure 3.4 illustrates, let's now assume that the price is below its equilibrium level of $1.20 a gallon. The amount requested rises from 600 to 700 units at this reduced price if drivers take longer journeys, spend more time warming their cars in the garage during the winter, quit taking rides to work, and purchase bigger, less fuel-efficient vehicles. The amount provided decreases from 600 to 550, however, since the below-equilibrium price lessens the incentives for gasoline producers to manufacture and sell gasoline.

Excess demand, also known as a shortage, occurs when the price falls below equilibrium. This means that, for a given price, the amount supplied—which had been reduced by the lower price—exceeds the quantity sought, which has been spurred by the lower price. Eager motorists swarm the gas stations in this scenario, only to discover that many of them are out of fuel. Gas stations and oil firms understand that selling their existing fuel at a premium gives them the chance to increase revenues. The price thus increases in the direction of balance. For a deeper look at the significance of the supply and demand concept, see Demand, Supply, and Efficiency. How much of a product a buyer is willing and able to pay for at each price point. That implies that there are at least two other variables influencing demand than price. A desire based on what economists refer to as tastes and preferences is suggested by a willingness to buy. Should anything be beyond your needs or desires, you won't purchase it. The ability to buy implies that money matters. Because they make more money than students, professors can often afford nicer housing and transportation. Demand may also be impacted by comparable items' prices. Your desire for a Ford may change if you need a new vehicle due to the price of a Honda. Last but not least, demand may be impacted by population size or makeup. A family's need for clothes increases with the number of children they have. Families with more driving-age children had higher demands for auto insurance and lower demands for baby formula and diapers.

A supply curve or demand curve represents the connection between only two variables: price on the vertical axis and quantity on the horizontal axis. A demand or supply curve is predicated on the idea that all other pertinent economic variables are static, with the exception of the product's price. Ceteris paribus is the Latin word for "other things being equal," and economists use it to refer to this premise. Ceteris paribus, or holding everything else equal, is the foundation for any given demand or supply curve. When all other factors are held constant, a demand or supply curve represents the connection between only two variables. The Clear It Up feature that follows demonstrates how the rules of supply and demand may not always apply if

everything else is not considered equal. Imagine now that the economy slows down and many individuals lose their jobs or cut down on their hours worked, which lowers their salaries. The demand for vehicles would decline in this scenario due to the decreased income, which would cause a change in the initial demand curve from D0 to D2. The change in demand from D0 to D2 is indicative of this: the amount required is now less at any given price point. For instance, if a vehicle costs $20,000, 18 million would be sold according to the initial demand curve, but only 14.4 million would be sold as demand dropped.

A shifting demand curve does not always indicate a corresponding change in the quantity sought by each individual consumer. Not everyone in this case would have a larger or lower salary, and not everyone would purchase a second automobile or not. Rather, an alteration in the demand curve encapsulates a trend for the whole market. We made the case that increased income leads to increased demand at all prices in the preceding section. For most products and services, this is accurate. A gain in wealth may have a very noticeable impact on certain people—luxury automobiles, trips to Europe, and exquisite jewelry, for example. A typical good is one whose demand increases in tandem with income increases. This pattern does include a few exceptions. More name brand foods will be purchased by many individuals as their salaries grow in place of generic brands. Their inclination is to purchase new automobiles rather than secondhand ones. They are going to be more inclined to buy a house than to rent an apartment. A good is considered inferior if its demand decreases as income increases and vice versa. Put otherwise, a rise in income causes a leftward shift in the demand curve.

The reason why unemployment increases in recessions and decreases in economic expansions is known as cyclical unemployment. However, why does unemployment persist even during prosperous economic times? Why does the jobless rate never reach zero? Seldom does the jobless rate fall below 4%, even in periods of robust economic growth in the United States of America. Furthermore, as was mentioned in the previous section of this chapter, throughout the last several decades, unemployment rates have often been very high in a number of European nations, including Germany, France, and Italy. Why does unemployment remain at certain level during periods of robust economic growth? Why do certain economies have consistently greater unemployment rates throughout both prosperous and poor economic times? The amount of unemployment that persists even in a robust economy is referred to by economists as the "natural rate of unemployment." In the same way that water freezes at 32 degrees Fahrenheit or boils at 212 degrees Fahrenheit, the natural rate of unemployment is not "natural." It is not a fixed, physical rule of the universe. Rather, it is merely the "natural" rate because, under certain assumptions about the state of the economy, it is the unemployment rate that would arise from the interaction of political, social, and economic forces at a given period—that is, when the economy is neither in a recession nor a boom. These factors include the typical pattern of firms growing and shrinking their workforces in a dynamic economy, social and economic dynamics influencing the labor market, or governmental policies influencing people's desire to work or companies' willingness to employ. Let's go over these elements in further depth.

In a market economy, some businesses are always failing for a variety of reasons: outdated technology; bad management; good management that made poor decisions; changes in consumer preferences that result in less demand for the business's product; a big client that went bankrupt; or formidable local or international rivals. On the other hand, other businesses will be flourishing for precisely the opposite reasons and will be seeking to expand their workforce. In an ideal world, everyone who lost their job would find another one right away. However, in the real world, it takes time to learn about new employment, to interview and determine if the new position is a good fit, or even to sell one home and purchase another close

to a new work even if the number of job searchers and openings is equal. Frictional unemployment is the term used to describe the unemployment that results from workers switching jobs in the interim. Unemployment due to friction is not always a negative thing. Finding the right candidates for available positions requires time on the parts of the company and the job seeker. People should choose the profession for which they are most qualified, not merely the first one provided, in order for both individuals and businesses to succeed and produce high levels of output.

It was true in the mid-2000s, prior to the 2008–2009 crisis, that around 7% of American workers had a three-month job loss. However, during times of economic expansion, the number of new jobs that are generated more than offsets the number of jobs that are lost, benefiting the economy overall. For instance, the number of jobless persons in the US economy at any one moment was around 7.5 million in 2005. Despite the fact that around two-thirds of those without work secured employment within 14 weeks or less, the unemployment rate remained relatively stable throughout the year due to the fact that job losses were substantially offsetting the gains made by those who found new positions.

While it is ideal, in practice it is not feasible for those who were losing their employment to simply and quickly transition into the new positions that are being created. If a textile plant in South Carolina fires someone, they cannot immediately go work for a textile mill in California. Rather, the process of adjustment occurs in waves. Some find new employment close to their previous ones, while others are forced to relocate. While some individuals need to go on new career paths, others may do very comparable work for a different firm. While some individuals want a job that provides a long-term career path, others may be approaching retirement and choose to hunt just for part-time work. In a dynamic economy, the frictional unemployment caused by workers switching occupations may make up one to two percentage points of the overall unemployment rate.

The degree of frictional unemployment will be determined by how simple it is for people to find other employment options, which may be a reflection of how easily information about job opportunities is communicated throughout the market. The fact that unemployment rates are often lower for individuals between the ages of 25 and 54 than for those who are younger or older will also have some impact on the degree of frictional unemployment. People in the 25–54 age range are commonly referred to as "prime-age workers," and they usually desire a job and money to be available at all times. However, some people under 30 could still be exploring other careers and lifestyle choices, while some people over 55 might be considering retirement. The comparatively young or elderly in both situations have a tendency to worry less about unemployment than those in between, which might lead to extended durations of frictional unemployment. Therefore, a society that has a greater percentage of workers who are relatively young or elderly would often have a higher unemployment rate than a society that has a higher percentage of middle-aged workers.

The degree of structural unemployment is another element that affects the natural rate of unemployment. The term "structurally unemployed" refers to people who are unemployed either because they have never obtained any skills or because the labor market does not value the talents they do possess. The unemployment rate among aerospace engineers after the reductions made to the U.S. space program in the 1970s is an illustration of the former. High school dropouts would be one instance of the latter. Some fear that structural unemployment stems from technology. While new technologies have historically eliminated jobs for less trained individuals, they have also increased demand for better skilled professionals to utilize them. It seems that reducing the level of structural unemployment requires education. Degree holders may be able to retrain themselves in the event that they experience structural

unemployment. That choice is more constrained for those with little education or skills. Two further key ideas are connected to the natural unemployment rate: full employment and potential real GDP.

When the real unemployment rate equals the natural unemployment rate, the economy is said to be at full employment. Real GDP is equal to potential real GDP when the economy is experiencing full employment. In contrast, real GDP falls short of potential and the unemployment rate exceeds the natural unemployment rate when the economy is not at full employment. Ultimately, when the economy is experiencing more than full employment, real GDP exceeds potential and the unemployment rate is lower than the natural unemployment rate. Since operating over potential is equivalent to all employees working overtime, it is only feasible for a limited period of time. Unexpected changes in productivity may impact the natural rate of unemployment significantly. The productivity of workers will eventually decide the pay level in an economy. After all, a company will eventually lose money and declare bankruptcy if it pays its employees more than their output would justify. In contrast, in a competitive labor market, other firms will find it desirable to employ away those people and pay them more if a company attempts to pay workers less than their productivity. Wage changes to productivity levels won't, however, occur fast or easily. Usually, wage reviews occur only once or twice a year. It is challenging to gauge productivity at the individual level in many contemporary occupations. How exactly, for instance, would one estimate the amount generated by an accountant one of many employees in a huge corporation's tax department.

Since it may be difficult to monitor productivity, salary increases are often predicated on past productivity trends; if productivity has been increasing at, say, 2% annually, then wages will likely climb at that rate as well. On the other hand, abrupt increases in productivity may have a temporary impact on the natural rate of unemployment. The economies of the United States in the 1970s and 1990s provide two striking instances of this phenomenon. Productivity growth unexpectedly slowed down in the 1970s (see Economic Growth). For instance, from 1960 to 1973, the business sector production per hour of American workers expanded at an annual rate of 3.3%, but from 1973 to 1982, it only increased at a pace of 0.8%.

Equilibrium wages have thus increased annually from W0 to W1 to W2. However, when productivity suddenly declines, the pattern of pay rises does not immediately change. Every year, wages increase from W2 to W3 to W4. However, the need for labor is no longer increasing. Where labor is provided at wage level W4 in excess of labor requested, a gap opens up. In fact, after this unforeseen poor productivity in the 1970s, the national unemployment rate did not drop below 7% from May 1980 until 1986. This indicates that the natural rate of unemployment grows. The unemployment rate will gradually decline as wages rise to keep up with the slower increases in productivity. However, this procedure can take years.

CONCLUSION

This study emphasizes how crucial it is to resolve concerns with unemployment measurement in order to provide a more realistic picture of the state of the labor market. The results emphasize the need for substitute metrics that extend beyond conventional measurements to capture the variety of experiences related to underemployment and unemployment. It is recommended that academics and policymakers investigate other metrics, such as those measuring income insecurity, work quality, and underemployment that represent a wider range of employment difficulties. Policymakers may better grasp the subtleties of labor market dynamics and create more successful policies to support inclusive economic development by using a more comprehensive approach to assessing unemployment. In order to provide reliable and comprehensive measures of unemployment that take into consideration the complexity of

contemporary work arrangements and demographic patterns, further study is necessary in the future. A more robust and equitable labor market that serves the interests of all workers may be created by stakeholders by addressing critiques and improving assessment techniques. All things considered, this study advances our knowledge of the objections raised against unemployment statistics and provides insightful information to academics, activists, and legislators who work to develop more responsive and inclusive labor market regulations.

REFERENCES:

[1] V. Smil, "Unemployment: Pick a number [Numbers Don't Lie]," *IEEE Spectr.*, 2017, doi: 10.1109/MSPEC.2017.7906894.

[2] R. E. Nugroho, "Analisis Faktor-Faktor yang Mempengaruhi Pertumbuhan Ekonomi di Propinsi Banten," *JIEMS (Journal Ind. Eng. Manag. Syst.*, 2017, doi: 10.30813/jiems.v10i1.37.

[3] M. Triventi, L. Vergolini, and N. Zanini, "Do individuals with high social background graduate from more rewarding fields of study? Changing patterns before and after the 'Bologna process,'" *Res. Soc. Stratif. Mobil.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.rssm.2017.07.001.

[4] C. Esin and R. Gupta, "Does the US. macroeconomic news make the south african stock market riskier?," *J. Dev. Areas*, 2017, doi: 10.1353/jda.2017.0085.

[5] T. Kyyrr, H. Pesola, and J. Verho, "The Spike at Benefit Exhaustion in the Finnish Labor Market," *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2017, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.2977813.

[6] P. Rodenburg, "How Full is Full Employment?How Tools and Not Theory Explained Full Employment," *Hist. Econ. Thought Policy*, 2017, doi: 10.3280/spe2016-002001.

[7] J. Frost, "Uber and The Gig Economy: Can the Legal World Keep Up?," *Scitech Lawyer ; Chicago*, 2017.

[8] J. M. Casado Ruiz, E. Bernal Jurado, A. Mozas Moral, D. Fernandez Ucles, and M. J. Medina Viruel, "Measuring the social and economic impact of public policies on entrepreneurship in Andalusia," *CIRIEC-ESPANA Rev. Econ. PUBLICA Soc. Y Coop.*, 2017.

[9] N. Mikiashvli, "Macroeconomic business environment and economic-mathematical models to measure the productivity," in *Strategic Performance Management: New Concepts and Contemporary Trends*, 2017.

[10] V. Krasko, "The influence of socio-economic factors on state and dynamics of consumer behaviour: Measuring and evaluation," in *Global Observations of the Influence of Culture on Consumer Buying Behavior*, 2017. doi: 10.4018/978-1-5225-2727-5.ch017.