# ESSENTIALS OF CORPORATE CREDIT ANALYSIS

Dr. Chaya Bagrecha

# ESSENTIALS OF CORPORATE CREDIT ANALYSIS

# ESSENTIALS OF CORPORATE CREDIT ANALYSIS

Dr. Chaya Bagrecha

ALEXIS PRESS

ALEXIS PRESS

*Published by*: Alexis Press, LLC, Jersey City, USA
www.alexispress.us



First Published 2023

*A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library*

*Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication Data*

Includes bibliographical references and index.

Essentials of Corporate Credit Analysis by *Dr. Chaya Bagrecha*

ISBN 979-8-89161-795-7

# CONTENTS

# CHAPTER 1

# AN ANALYSIS OF SOVEREIGN AND COUNTRY RISKS

Dr. Chaya Bagrecha, Professor
Department of Finance, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School
Jain (Deemed to be University), Bangalore, Karnataka, India
Email Id- dr.chayabagrecha@cms.ac.in

**ABSTRACT**:
Sovereign and country risks are crucial considerations for investors, policymakers, and financial institutions operating in the global landscape. This abstract provides an overview of the multifaceted nature of sovereign and country risks, examining their definitions, sources, and implications for various stakeholders. Sovereign risk encompasses the likelihood that a government may default on its financial obligations, leading to adverse consequences for creditors and investors. Factors contributing to sovereign risk include fiscal health, political stability, and the effectiveness of economic policies. Additionally, external factors such as global economic conditions and geopolitical events significantly impact sovereign risk. Country risk, on the other hand, extends beyond the sovereign realm to encompass broader economic, political, and social factors that may affect the overall business environment within a specific country. Investors must navigate through challenges such as regulatory changes, corruption, and social instability, which can have profound effects on investment returns.

**KEYWORDS**:

Country Risks, Credit Rating Agencies, Economic Policies, Fiscal Health, Geopolitical Events, Global Economic Conditions, Government Default

## INTRODUCTION

Governments have a lot of power that can have a big impact on how businesses operate and how they make money. They make the rules for what people can do and the laws for businesses to follow. Certainly, it means that a ruling government can make conditions for businesses to do very well or make conditions that stop businesses from growing and being successful. However, the government's actions are not the only things that affect how businesses operate in a country. Other factors also play a role in shaping the economy and business environment. Each country has its own special qualities that influence the businesses and companies in that country. This means all the things a place needs to run, like roads, ports, phones, water and power, buildings, people to work, schools, laws, money stuff, and things that come from nature. And all the businesses that start because of those things.

The special qualities and the government's business rules affect how well the country's economy and companies do. In the end, whether a company does well or not depends on how they use their resources and follow the rules. Usually, it's about some people having a lot and some people not having much. "Advanced countries usually help businesses succeed because they have lots of natural resources, well-trained workers, good financial policies, a stable currency, reasonable taxes and tariffs, a strong domestic stock market, a good banking system, and established businesses with good infrastructure [1], [2].

Differently, "emerging" countries hinder business success because both the business community and the government have not been able to use the nation's resources effectively. In Africa, many countries have lots of valuable natural resources like oil, gold, and diamonds that other countries really want. One might think that many successful businesses would start in countries with these resources. Unfortunately, in some of these countries, dishonest governments and a bad education system make it difficult for businesses to succeed or even stop them from succeeding. As a result, companies in these countries are seen as risky.

This risk assessment is not just for businesses in developing countries. In 2003, the World Bank did a survey called "Doing Business". It found that places with fewer rules for businesses have the best economies.

The bank, along with experts from universities, consulting firms, and law firms, studied how much it costs for five different ways businesses grow in 130 countries. The survey found out how rules and laws affected a company's ability to register with the government, get loans, hire and fire workers, make agreements, and use bankruptcy courts. The World Bank found that countries with strong common law traditions have the best economies with fewer regulations and higher efficiency. This includes the USA, UK, Australia, Canada, and New Zealand. Also, some of the best-performing economies were countries with a social democratic system which had recently simplified their business rules [3], [4].

Good credit analysis involves looking at how much companies are affected by the government's rules and laws. Future success in business can also depend on things like a country's natural resources, buildings and roads, and the people who are available to work. This splits the study into five important factors about the country and its government that affect the business climate. These things that can cause risk are the power of the government, the laws and politics, the buildings and people, the money markets, and the overall economy. The government has the highest power: they make the rules.

A country's money situation always impacts how it rules and the rules it makes. Not all countries with their own government are equal. There are different types of governments like democracies, dictatorships, and kingdoms. They have different histories and ways of ruling. As credit analysts or lenders, we are concerned about the government's ability to impact the performance of businesses. The main power is the most important right to make and change rules for businesses. Next is the authority to collect taxes and tariffs, and last is the ability to control the exchange of foreign currency. No matter what kind of government it is, or if it's honest or not, a credit analyst is interested in these issues. Why because these tools help the government get money and influence how businesses operate. Kings and queens use these tools for different reasons and at different times.

**Laws and rules**

The government can make different rules for businesses, some of which are very strict and specific, while others are more general. It's important for businesses to understand the different rules and how they will affect them. Rules can be about lots of different things, like controlling the things that come into and go out of a country, making sure companies don't get too big and compete fairly, setting standards for how well services should be, making sure companies don't work together to control prices, giving money to companies to help them, and saying how much of a company can be owned by people who live in the same country or a different one. Rules can change how companies do business. Because politics can have a big impact, experts should look into how much power a company has over politics. This is important because it could change the rules and laws. Additionally, analysts need to keep an eye on how a government has changed its rules in the past. For instance, a government may make changes to tax subsidies, royalty agreements, import and export taxes, and foreign debt. The qualities of a helpful government are different when looking at a local or foreign government. However, a helpful environment would have consistent rules for businesses [5], [6].

**Tariffs are taxes on imports and exports**

Tariffs are taxes that foreign companies have to pay when they sell their goods in a country. This is a way for the government to get money from the companies. Tariffs are usually used to change the price of goods from other countries, so people will buy less of them and choose to buy from local suppliers instead.

The latest example was when the U. S put tariffs on goods. The government made a rule about bringing in steel in the middle of 2002. The result was that the cost of steel from other countries went up when it was sold in the United States. This helped American steel companies that were having a hard time competing. Steel manufacturers are also going to increase their prices. This helped the U. S.

The steel companies tried for a year, but it didn't help their money situation. Additionally, steelmakers from other countries received strong support from their governments. These governments then said they would put taxes on steel from the United States. Steel is a strong metal. This made the United States go. The government will stop charging extra taxes at the end of 2003. Analysts should remember that tariffs can impact how much people want to buy things, so they are important to think about when analyzing things.

## DISCUSSION

Income taxes are the main way a government makes money to pay for its expenses. The taxes that a company has to pay on its income make up a big part of its total costs, usually between 25 and 50 percent, depending on the country. This is usually the money that companies try really hard to spend less of. Similarly, credit analysts should carefully understand and predict this spending. Unfortunately, sometimes analysts don't pay attention to income taxes because they think it's just a fixed percentage that needs to be paid. That would be a bad idea because income taxes are much more complicated than that.

The hardworking analyst should study the tax system in a country and how a company can lower its taxes. Monetary policy means how a government controls and manages the supply of money in a country. During financial problems, governments will make changes to control the flow of money in the country to help it. This is not the same as the risk of changing foreign currency values, like how much money from other countries is worth. Instead, governments that are having trouble paying their debts put limits on how much money can be exchanged or transferred to other countries. A country's government that can't or might not be able to pay its debts to other countries will probably try to keep its foreign money safe [7], [8].

**Control of money from other countries**

Having limits on how much foreign money a company can use is not good. It might make it hard for the company to get enough foreign money to pay its bills, and it might make it hard to get the money from its foreign businesses. However, it's important to remember that the government is making these decisions because it is facing difficult situations. These are actions taken in response to a bad economy. Before these things happen, people who lend money and experts who study the economy should watch other countries and how their economies work. This is because these things usually happen before a government decides to control its money. They can tell when people might not be able to pay their bills when the economy is not doing well. Argentina put in place strict rules for how it handled money from other countries in 2001 and 2002. However, it was the problems specific to the country and not the limits on foreign money that caused most of the businesses to fail in Argentina at that time [9], [10].

**Risks related to government and laws**

The laws and politics in a country or region can change a lot and have a big impact on whether businesses do well or not. A ruler's money situation can influence how they spend money, and a country's financial problems can affect how people and businesses behave. In this case, credit analysts need to know that there might be protests or other problems that could cause business to stop. In many countries, protests have caused businesses to stop working, make less money, spend more money, and even damage their buildings.

This meant they couldn't unload the oil, fill up the storage tanks, or run the refineries. Oil production went down by more than 70% and no refined oil products were made. Venezuela is part of a group that produces oil. When there was a problem in Venezuela, the price of oil went up everywhere in the world. In Colombia, the government has been fighting against drug dealers who use violence and attacks on oil pipelines for many years. Problems with getting Colombian oil to customers happen often. Clearly, in both situations, lenders need to be aware and think about the big risk involved in their investments [11], [12].

One way to feel better about lending money in a country is to check how fair and reliable its laws are. It is really important to know if the rule of law can be trusted and if the judicial system is fair and independent. It is difficult to figure out how much corruption there is in the government or business, but it is good to hear that the judicial system is working to stop it. The United States has a long history of business laws since the late 1700's, so it's a good place to start a new business. On the other end, Iraq doesn't have any laws to protect businesses right now. They are just starting to think about what laws they need to support trade.

When looking at the risk of lending money to a government, it is important for experts to understand the rights of the lenders under the law. Is there a law for bankruptcy, and is it easy to understand. Does the law support the people who lend money or the people who borrow money? Are there past court cases about bankruptcy. The friendliness of the bankruptcy law in a country can impact how credit works there. A law that is good for creditors could make borrowers feel like it's okay to not pay their debts, while a law that is good for lenders would stop this from happening.

**Physical and human infrastructure**

**Natural Resources**

The types of businesses that are successful in a country depend on the natural resources available for domestic use and for export to other countries. For instance, minerals create the mining and manufacturing industries, forests create the pulp and paper industries, and farmland creates the agriculture industries. The good amount and value of these resources may make people from other countries want to invest in developing them and selling them to other places. Some countries, like the United States, have a lot of natural resources. However, the ability to use these resources to make money in business is closely connected to the rules set by the government. A government that is too controlling can stop businesses from growing, and a government that focuses only on business can use up the land's resources too fast. The United States The government is always trying to support new businesses while also taking care of the environment. Credit analysts need to know how these rules impact a company's ability to get, use, and share resources. Physical Infrastructure means the basic physical structures and facilities needed for a city or society to function, such as roads, bridges, buildings, and utilities.

A good transportation system is important for allowing people and goods to move around. Without it, only a small amount of business can happen. Roads, train tracks, airports, and ports help transport materials and goods to where they need to go. Infrastructure is very important for industries that use natural resources. It helps to move the resources from where they are found to where they are needed for making products. For instance, without a railroad system, it would be very difficult and slow to mine metals or precious stones. When studying a business that moves products far away, check if there are any problems with roads or ports, and if they have permission to use those places for business.

Other problems can be how much electricity is available and if there is enough of it. Many times, companies have to either build their own electric power plants, roads, and harbors, or fix up the ones they already have. So, an important question is how much it costs to use the infrastructure that already exists, and how much it costs to build or keep up infrastructure. Business rules are important because they can decide how goods are transported and how much it costs. Usually, high-ranking company leaders work closely with government officials to make rules for businesses and build necessary facilities. Human infrastructure refers to the people who make up a community or society. This includes their skills, knowledge, and abilities that contribute to the functioning of that community or society.

Businesses need people to work and use the tools and buildings in order to make money from natural resources. The educational system, training, and skills of the workers, and the level of expertise within the business community all play a big part in how fast and how well businesses can grow. This is not a problem in wealthy countries like the United States, Japan, Canada, Australia, the United Kingdom, and other parts of Western Europe. It might be a problem in poorer countries, but the lower wages in Southeast Asia and Latin America are really good for many companies.

Experts need to be cautious about creating complicated businesses in areas far away from cities where the workers and business community might not be as skilled or advanced as in other places. This may need to bring in experts from other countries. However, different countries have different opinions on whether it is good to hire foreign workers. Some people think hiring new employees from outside the country is bad because it takes jobs from people already living there. But others think it is a faster and better way to create new businesses and make more money from taxes. However, the important things for businesses are the number of workers, how good they are, and how much they cost.

**Work**

Problems with work are even worse when the economy is not doing well. This is because many companies can fail, and one of the first things they do is to cut jobs and benefits for their employees. It's important to know how much power labor unions and employees have, because they can really affect how well a business does. It's important to know how much money the local government has. If a company is having money problems, it might not be able to give its employees their pay or it might reduce the services it provides to the public. This could lead to arguments with workers or uprising that disrupt the company's operations.

**Financial markets**

A good financial system has many different financial companies that help connect people who want to sell things with people who want to buy things, and make sure the prices for the things are fair. These go-betweens include banks, insurance companies, mutual funds, private investors, and commercial banks. But, some countries don't have everything they need for a good capital markets system. Instead, lots of countries depend on big banks from the United States and Europe to do different jobs in the markets. Credit analysts must know about all the different ways people can borrow and manage their money in a country. Banking system refers to the network of financial institutions and services that allow people to save, borrow, and manage their money. A strong banking system in a country is important because it helps businesses and assets get the money they need to start and grow. Credit analysts must know if they can get a loan from companies, because sometimes it's hard to get money from the global public and private markets.

In reality, businesses in developing countries can be affected by changing investor confidence from other countries, and may not always have connections with companies in Europe and the United States. Places where they can sell their products or services. Often these companies only provide loans to people in the local area. Credit analysts need to understand how successful these companies are in borrowing money in their own country. Is the company able to borrow money easily during a financial crisis? Does it get better loan terms when the economy is doing well. Emerging market companies often have trouble getting loans. Even the biggest companies may struggle to get money from local or global markets when things are tough. Credit analysts need to understand how strong the local banks are because if the government's finances are in trouble, the local banks will also have less money available. Therefore, if a company has good relationships with lenders and access to credit, it will be in a better position than other companies. Relying too much on capital can be risky, especially when the government is in control of its currency. Therefore, being able to get money from other places, like getting credit from trading or investing from foreign countries, could help increase the amount of money available. System for managing money and keeping track of financial information.

After Enron, Arthur Andersen, WorldCom, and Parmalat were caught doing bad things, it became really important to be open and honest about accounting and financial reporting. Government, ensures that rules and laws are followed and enforced. This helps to maintain order and fairness in society. The Securities and Exchange Commission is very important, but it can't stop financial or accounting tricks. However, analysts need to consider the differences in how companies and regions report their finances. Comparing credits in the same accounting format is important to carefully and fairly analyze them. After looking at a country's buildings, government, laws, and financial markets, credit analysts can then look at how much the country's situation changes, the direction it's heading, and how well its economy is doing to decide if a company or business in that country is a good investment. This means looking at a lot of big economic signs.

**Big economic factors**

The strength or weakness of an economy can depend on the different factors of a country's government and economy. Studying whether things are changing a lot or staying the same, and what that means for businesses' ability to pay back debts, involves looking at how much people are buying, how factories and services are growing and being productive, how prices are changing, how much it costs to borrow money, and how much the country's money is worth. Studying how the economy is doing is really important for understanding credit. Usually, it's the job of economists to do this, but it's still necessary for credit analysis. The overall patterns show a clear view of the surroundings. Credit analysts can figure out how the big trends are affecting different industries and companies. Consumer spending means the amount of money that people spend on goods and services.

Some economists say that the ability of people to spend money is the most important economic indicator, even though it's hard to say which one is the most important. The writers of this book generally agree with this idea, but we can't support it because we are credit analysts, not trained economists. However, it is important to pay attention to how consumers spend their money because it affects the demand for products. The amount of things to make and sell depends on how much materials, workers, and factories are available. Studying economics involves a lot of circular thinking. For example, how many people have jobs and how much they get paid, affects how much regular people can spend? Changes in how much people are spending on goods and services, decides if we need to make more or less things in factories and open or close stores. This also means we might need to hire more or fewer workers.

The important thing is that when an analyst looks at a company, they need to look at all the economic signs, whether it's a manufacturing or retail company. This is because these signs are all connected to each other. Economists can tell if the signs show if the economy is doing better or worse after the changes in the gross domestic product. Analysts need to know about this. Inflation means prices going up and interest rates is the cost of borrowing money.

In countries where prices go up a lot, it's really important for companies to be able to adjust their prices to cover their increasing costs. This helps them stay stable and keep running. Sometimes, prices can only be changed when the economy is doing okay.

During stressful times, people will only buy what they really need and will spend only as much money as they can. Sometimes, governments control prices to help consumers but it may slow down the economy. Prices can change a lot for different things.

When interest rates are high, it can be costly to borrow money locally. On the other hand, in countries with high interest rates, it may be hard to get money from other countries. This means that local lenders who charge high interest rates are often the only option for borrowing money. Analysts need to look at local loan papers to see if the interest rates are linked to local things like inflation, bank rates, or foreign money rates. The cost of borrowing money can be both high and unpredictable. The risk of losing or gaining money because of changes in the value of currencies.

Inflation and interest rate risks are usually higher in poorer countries, but exchange rate risk is something to consider in every country. The most dangerous situation happens when the money a company makes and the money it spends are in different currencies. Even small changes in the value of one currency compared to another can affect how much money a company makes. This makes it hard to predict how reliable a company's credit is, so it's important to regularly check their finances.

Let's say there's a big company that makes things. They get their materials from one country, make the product in another country, and then sell it in a different country. In this situation, the cost of materials and workers are in currencies that are different from the money made. To make things more complicated, a company can borrow money in many different types of money. When the value of money goes up and down, it can make it hard to pay debts because the amount of money you have might not match the amount of money you owe.

Changes in the value of money in different countries can make the prices of things go up or down and can make people want to buy more or less of them. For instance, in 2003, the United States. The dollar's value dropped by 25% compared to the Euro. The result was that the United States. Selling goods to Europe became less expensive compared to European goods. USA Exports went up by 8 percent. Put simply, people want U. S the prices of things went up in Europe because the value of money changed.

In summary, there are economic signs that keep analysts informed about big trends in the economy and different industries. Certain big-picture economic factors can have a direct effect on how well individual businesses perform. Inflation, interest rates, and changes in foreign currency values can impact how much money businesses make and spend. These factors can also affect how products are priced and how much people want to buy them. Because these economic reports come out often, analysts should pay close attention to the announcements and follow the trends closely.

The way the government and the country work affects how businesses operate. The government has the power to make laws, build roads and bridges, create business regulations, and decide on taxes and fees. They also have control over the flow of money into and out of the country. Understanding the laws and rules for businesses is the first important step in evaluating them and their credit risk. The stability of the rules and the business environment depends a lot on how stable the political and legal situation is in a country. If the government is unstable and the laws are not strong, it will be difficult for businesses to do well. But even if the laws are strong, it doesn't always mean that businesses will be successful. The way a country is set up and the people living there affects the kinds of businesses that start there. Without this system in place, it will be difficult to start new businesses and to succeed. Analysts need to find out if the infrastructure is there and how much it costs, because it's really important for a business to do well. However, just having this infrastructure does not mean that the business will be successful.

Even though it's the economists' job to gather and study big-picture economic data, credit analysts need to keep an eye on these trends as well. Moreover, inflation, interest rates, and currency values can impact how much money a company makes and spends, as well as the cost and popularity of its products, which can affect its overall financial performance. If you understand these signs correctly, they can show if the economy is getting better or worse, or if a company is doing well financially. This can help people who study the economy, lend money, or invest to change their decisions.

After this step, credit analysts should have enough information to form an opinion on the country's business environment, government support, political and legal stability, infrastructure quality, and financial and business market conditions. This way of thinking about the place where a business operates is not enough to decide if they can borrow money. But companies in risky countries may have lower credit scores. Once you know about sovereign risk, you can then look at the industry or industries the company.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this summary shows that it is very important to have a detailed understanding of the risks associated with countries and their governments in a global economy. It provides a starting point for future research and policymaking. It helps us understand how risks are changing and gives advice for both investors and decision-makers. This article looks at how people assess risks for countries and governments.

It talks about using both numbers and opinions to judge how risky a country is. It also talks about the role of credit rating agencies and how people's perceptions can affect a country's risk. We also talk about ways to reduce risks, like spreading out investments and making sure the potential returns are worth the risks. We also talk about how important it is to understand what's happening in politics and the economy.

The relationship between risks for a country and its leader is studied. It shows how different factors from inside and outside the country come together to make the overall risk picture. Real-life stories and historical events show how these risks can change over time, so it's important to be flexible and adapt how we manage them.

**REFERENCES:**

[1] Meyliana, H. Bunyamin, and L. Agustina, "The relationship between country risk and company performance in Southeast Asia," *J. Bus. Retail Manag. Res.*, 2018.

[2] A. Ben Nasr, J. Cunado, R. Demirer, and R. Gupta, "Country risk ratings and stock market returns in Brazil, Russia, India, and China (Brics) countries: A nonlinear dynamic approach," *Risks*, 2018.

[3] G. Michalopoulos and K. Tsermenidis, "Country risk on the bank borrowing cost dispersion within the euro area during the financial and debt crises," *International Journal of Economics and Business Administration*. 2018.

[4] H. Al-Samman and S. Mouselli, "Does country risk affect FDI to GCC countries?," *Pertanika J. Soc. Sci. Humanit.*, 2018.

[5] T. Xu, Z. Lv, and L. Xie, "Does Country Risk Promote the Informal Economy? A Cross-National Panel Data Estimation," *Glob. Econ. Rev.*, 2018.

[6] A. Zaremba, "Country risk and expected returns across global equity markets," *Financ. a Uver - Czech J. Econ. Financ.*, 2018.

[7] P. J. Buckley, L. Chen, L. J. Clegg, and H. Voss, "Risk propensity in the foreign direct investment location decision of emerging multinationals," *J. Int. Bus. Stud.*, 2018.

[8] S. J. Limas Suárez and J. A. Franco Ávila, "Country risk for Colombia: Interpretation and implications for the economy and foreign investment, 2012-2017," *Revista Finanzas y Politica Economica*. 2018.

[9] Business Monitor International, "Indonesia Country Risk Report," *Indones. Ctry. Risk Rep.*, 2018.

[10] H. P. Belcsak, "Country risk assessment," in *Handbook of International Credit Management: Third Edition*, 2018.

[11] J. M. Pescarini *et al.*, "Socioeconomic risk markers of leprosy in high-burden countries: A systematic review and meta-analysis," *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.*, 2018.

[12] A. Nagano, "Economic growth and automation risks in developing countries due to the transition toward digital modernity," in *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, 2018.

# CHAPTER 2

# ANALYZING THE SALES GROWTH AND PRICING POWER

Dr. Batani Raghavendra Rao, Professor
Department of Finance, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School
Jain (Deemed to be University), Bangalore, Karnataka, India
Email Id- brr@cms.ac.in

**ABSTRACT**:
The intricate relationship between sales growth and pricing power, unraveling the strategic implications for businesses operating in diverse industries. Sales growth, a pivotal metric for corporate success, is closely intertwined with a company's ability to wield pricing power effectively. The abstract investigates the multifaceted factors influencing these dynamics and sheds light on the strategic considerations that guide businesses in optimizing their revenue streams. Sales growth is a cornerstone of corporate performance, reflecting a company's ability to expand its customer base, penetrate new markets, and increase market share. The abstract examines the drivers of sales growth, including innovation, marketing strategies, and customer retention, while acknowledging the industry-specific nuances that shape growth trajectories. Pricing power, defined as a company's ability to set and maintain prices at levels that enhance profitability, is explored as a complementary aspect to sales growth. The abstract elucidates the factors contributing to pricing power, such as brand strength, product differentiation, and market positioning. Additionally, the role of supply and demand dynamics, as well as macroeconomic influences, in shaping pricing strategies is discussed. The interplay between sales growth and pricing power is analyzed, emphasizing how businesses can strategically leverage one to reinforce the other. Case studies and industry examples illustrate successful approaches to balancing sales expansion with effective pricing strategies, highlighting the importance of adaptability in dynamic market conditions.

**KEYWORDS**:

Business Environment, Competitive Edge, Diversification, Economic Conditions, Market Conditions, Market Share.

## INTRODUCTION

Assessing industry risks helps us understand the specific risks a company faces and how important they are. This quote shows how important it is to properly analyze a company's credit. The last conversation talked about how the type of government and country can affect which industries and businesses develop in that place. This will talk about how different industries can make people wonder about specific companies, and how things that happen at one company can affect other companies in the industry. Industry risk means the possibility of losing money or customers because of changes in the industry, market, or technology. Industry risk has been around for a long time, not just recently. One example goes back more than 100 years, to the time when people used horses to pull their small carriages. The business of making horse carriages was doing very well in the 1800s and early 1900s. Buggy-whip makers were just as successful back then. The top companies in both industries were creative and made good products that made most customers happy.

At that time, the people who looked at the credit of these companies probably thought they were good. Studying just one company that makes carriages and whips without looking at the whole industry and other competing industries would have been a big financial mistake. This is because cars eventually made horse-drawn carriages outdated for transportation. Lending institutions that did not understand how the industry works ended up making bad decisions when giving out loans. Those who were paying attention probably knew that the government was not going to intervene to protect the horse-carriage and buggy-whip industries. This may be an old-fashioned and exaggerated example, but it teaches us that credit analysts, lenders, and investors often forget that industry trends, new products, and competition can quickly make an industry less important [1], [2].

In the 1990s, Internet and phone companies faced a new kind of risk. Both areas had a lot of potential for growth. Investors put lots of money into many companies, even ones that didn't have much to do with the Internet. Internet companies thought they could keep growing fast, but some financial experts knew they were at risk because there was too much competition and their business plans weren't good. Stock prices went up, but the interest on loans for these companies was also high because they were risky. This reflects the risk of their Internet businesses. When most of those companies didn't make money or have extra cash, a lot of websites closed and companies couldn't pay their debts. Even though experts knew internet companies were risky, investors still lost money. In addition, investors made their losses worse by putting money into new telecommunications companies. Telecommunications companies are really important for the Internet because they are the ones who have the cables and fiber optics that bring the Internet to people's homes. The main people involved are big, successful and well-funded "regional Bell operating companies. The amount of money they can make from delivering data depends on how much people want the content being delivered [3], [4].

One might assume it's hard to get into this business because it took a lot of money to build these networks and the big companies have advantages because of their size, money, and experience. However, the obstacles were removed, and investors who believed that the internet would increase the need for telecommunications services were very generous with their money. New companies started with new money to create fiber-optic networks in different areas. However, the United States nationwide the phone and internet systems had too many connections because many internet companies went out of business. The RBOCs were able to handle the quick downturn in the business, but their new competitors could not. People who gave money to new companies in the industry lost a lot of money. Various types of businesses have varying levels of risk and potential for success. We need to look at different parts of an industry to understand how risky it is.

**Future earnings from selling products or services**

Industries can be grouped into five different categories based on how much money they are expected to make from their sales. Basically, an industry can be either growing, established, specialized, global, or changes a lot with the economy. Each description can change how an analyst understands how good the industry and its members are with credit.

A growing industry is one that hasn't sold to everyone yet but has lots of potential to sell to new places, to new people, with new stuff, and faster than other industries. On the other hand, a mature industry is already selling to most customers and markets, and its growth potential is average compared to other industries. Niche sectors are small, specific businesses or products in big industries where there's good opportunities for smaller companies to grow, but not much for bigger ones. A global business is a company that makes sales in different countries around the world. So, other companies could make a lot more money selling things worldwide, but it can be really hard to do because of shipping and political problems. Industries that go through big ups and downs in demand are called highly cyclical. It's easy to see the high and low points afterward, but it's hard to know when they are happening in real-time [5], [6].

## DISCUSSION

One way to see if an industry is doing well is to look at how much money the whole industry makes. Later on, we will talk about how much money it takes to run a business and how much money an industry can make. Different types of businesses are very different from each other. How much money a company makes can affect how people see if the industry is doing well or not. Credit analysts need to separate the different parts of an industry to compare them and other industries fairly. Revenue comes from two things: how much we sell and how much we charge for each thing. It's important to keep track of both to see how things are going. A good industry would be one that is not only getting bigger, but also growing steadily. Strong businesses can always make new things that people want to buy. Furthermore, when more people want to buy a product, it shows that the industry is doing well. However, the most successful businesses thrive in an environment where demand is increasing and prices are going up.

Pricing power happens when the seller can set the price because there are more people who want to buy than there are things to buy. This is important because it shows that the industry's products and services are valuable. The healthcare industry will always need more drugs, equipment, and facilities. It is supported by the education system and funded by the government, insurance, and private companies. Some people think the healthcare industry will make a lot of money in the future because the world's population is growing, people are living longer, and new diseases are always appearing. Furthermore, the healthcare industry can control prices in wealthy countries. Medicines and medical tools have prices set by supply and demand, while hospitals and other health facilities can charge higher prices because of insurance and government payments. The healthcare industry keeps raising the cost of healthcare, but this might not work forever. There is a big need for healthcare solutions, so pharmaceutical companies and medical device makers spend a lot of money on research to create new products. Hospitals and clinics will keep buying and giving the best and advanced medical treatments to their patients. Prices will keep going up until companies are not allowed to make consumers pay for their costs and profits through higher prices for products and services [7], [8].

### Older Industries

Not every industry has the same strong business as the health-care sector does. Many types of businesses are old. Credit analysts should not become lazy and think that an established industry always has steady growth. It all comes down to how much people want something and how much of it is available. Older industries always deal with changing amounts of products and what people want, which directly affects how much they can sell and how much they can charge. Big companies change how much stuff there is for sale by opening and closing factories and changing how much their employees work. The plant capacity utilization shows how well a manufacturing industry is doing. It also tells us how much control the industry has over their prices. Industries that are not using their factories and equipment at full capacity usually have prices that don't go up much or go down. This continues until more people want to buy their products, or until they start using more of their factory space. High production usage makes prices higher and makes manufacturers want to build more factories or make workers work more hours. The arrival of new products affects how much people buy in industries that have been around for a long time. It's hard to figure out how new products will affect old products, but it's really important to study this to understand the risks and make decisions about credit. Credit analysts need to keep track of new product trends and innovations and see how they affect other products. Although not all new products are successful, introducing new or improved products can impact the balance between supply and demand.

First, a new product can replace an old product for many reasons, but usually because it is better and costs about the same. Secondly, a new product can replace an old one because it's similar but cheaper. Thirdly, when new products are created, they can make a big impact by creating more demand for other related products. For example, faster semiconductors help sell more computers and also lead to a greater need for better modems, monitors, and software. The technology industry is a good example of all three situations. Products are always changing and what is popular now may not be popular for long. People always want the latest and greatest products [9], [10].

**Niche Sectors**

To know why sales go up and down and how prices change in old industries, we need to look at how much of a product is available and how much people want to buy it. Yes, that's true for all industries, but niche sectors can be affected more. Smaller companies are trying to use the weaknesses of bigger companies to their advantage. They do this by making only a few products very well, so they can sell them for a good price. Many businesses have lots of little companies that help make their products. These small companies depend on the bigger companies doing well and have a lot of competition. This is why small companies are risky to lend money to. In the car industry, the Big Three automakers get their parts from about 40 big suppliers, who are helped by about 100,000 smaller companies that make specific car parts. These small businesses are affected by the changes in the car industry and the specific situations of the companies they work with. This is what a usual life is like in a small industry. So, small companies that specialize in one area may have a high chance of not selling enough and not being able to raise their prices. Credit analysts need to carefully research different products and contracts with big companies, as well as the sales prospects of these big companies. Lenders should not give too many loans to just one type of business.

**Big Companies from all over the World**

Global businesses face all the dangers and chances of a well-established industry, but they are increased and made more complex by their size. A global business is when companies can make and sell their products anywhere in the world. This includes their competitors, suppliers, manufacturers, distributors, and the materials they use. Actually, only big companies can work and do well all over the world. The problem is that both local and global companies compete for new business in all parts of the world, which affects the balance of supply and demand and therefore the ability to control prices. The process of analyzing credit is most helpful in these industries. Credit analysts need to carefully consider the risks related to the government and country in these situations. For example:

The prices of things all over the world are decided by the amount of stuff there is worldwide, but the prices in each place are affected by how much stuff is available there. Taxes on foreign goods in a country can change the prices of those goods and make it more or less attractive for foreign companies to do business there. Local laws for businesses might help local companies and impact which businesses succeed or fail [11], [12].

Even though local companies seem to have some advantages, big companies can save money, offer more products from around the world, and have the resources to deliver more products. Additionally, big companies try to behave like local businesses when they can. Big companies become like local businesses and might be able to make the government create better rules for them if they hire a lot of local people and pay a lot of taxes. Businesses that do well at certain times and not so well at other times.

Industries that go up and down a lot are not only hurt by changes in the overall economy, but also affected by big changes in how much stuff is available and how much people want it, which can cause money problems. So, companies in these industries find it hard to keep selling their products at a steady rate. To reduce the ups and downs in performance, lots of companies try to have different kinds of business. Furthermore, there is a lot of competition in these areas because the products are either common or influenced by what consumers want to buy.

Understanding how sales go up and down is hard. Even if you know a lot about the industry, it's still difficult to predict when sales will be high or low. However, it is best for credit analysts to regularly track industry sales and watch for changes in the industry, competitors, and the company. The next part talks more about how industries go up and down over time.

**Patterns of business cycles and seasonality**

Every type of business goes through ups and downs and is influenced by the economy in some way. Different industries are affected differently by changes in their business and the economy. Credit analysts need to know very well how different industries and their companies respond to changes. Also, credit analysts need to predict what might happen in the future, including changes in supply and demand for different industries and how individual companies might respond. Credit rating agencies think that their credit ratings are valuable because they are based on a long-term perspective of the credit and don't change a lot with the economy or business cycles. This is true. In simple words: This is real. Changes in how well a business is doing, for any reason, should definitely impact the decisions lenders make about how much money to lend and for how long.

Cyclicality is a bad thing that should be considered when assessing business risks. Businesses that have large changes in how much their products are wanted, are riskier than businesses that don't have big changes. So, in order to be ready for these changes, people who analyze credit should look at how big and how often the highs and lows happen in different kinds of businesses. Certainly, it's hard to predict exactly when business cycles will reach their highest and lowest points. So, it's not always going to be completely accurate. But it's still important because it can give us an idea of how well companies might do in the future. Stronger companies can survive tough times in any economic cycle. This doesn't mean their money and business performance won't get worse. It will probably go down. However, this means that these companies usually save money and have extra financial support during good times, so they can use it when times are tough. Credit analysts need to notice when companies are saving money during good times and be cautious about companies that are spending too much.

For instance, tech companies often have a lot of money in the bank because the demand for their products can change a lot and be hard to predict. This money is used to keep making new products and ideas. However, many technology companies do not succeed even if they have good products because they don't have enough money to make, advertise, and sell these products when the technology industry is not doing well. The technology industry is just one example. The chemical industry has a very complicated and ever-changing business cycle. People who analyze credit for this industry know that the need for chemical products changes depending on how much consumers and businesses want. The amount of supplies available depends on how much each production plant can make and how much raw materials they have. Because the amount of chemicals available can be influenced by different things aside from how much chemicals are needed, it can be hard to predict how the chemical market will change. Business cycles refer to the changes in the economy that happen in a regular pattern. This can include periods of growth, recession, and recovery. These cycles affect businesses and the job market.

Don't think that all business cycles are the same. Every industry does not have the same cycle. Actually, business processes are much quicker in the 21st century due to advances in technology and the ability to deliver products in real-time, compared to the 1990s and before. It is not safe to just guess that the future will be like the past. Credit analysts need to expect that the next cycle will be different in some way, not just a repeat of the last one. It might be longer or shorter, or more or less severe. Also, we hope that the people in charge of running the company will learn from mistakes made in the past and not make the same bad choices again. But in our experience, managers who aren't good at their job keep making bad choices and don't stay employed for very long. Also, international businesses have to manage many local and worldwide patterns. So, it's not a good idea to think that the same things will happen again in the world of business.

During economic downturns, struggling companies tend to become even weaker and more unstable. Most people tend to default on their payments when they are going through tough times. This is why it is important to study industry cycles. Changes in market conditions can happen fast and be very big. This can determine if a company stays in business or not. If the market goes down, a company might go out of business. But if the market goes up, the company might get the help it needs to grow, make new things, and stay in business until the market goes down again. There are many kinds of bikes. The business cycle includes all the economic activity and how much businesses are needed, either in one country or in the entire world. Demand-driven cycles are usually specific to different industries. For example, in the computer industry and related industries, there are cycles of when products are replaced. Supply-driven cycles are when companies make more stuff and build new factories, and then sometimes they shut down older factories. This happens a lot in industries like making paper, metal, and chemicals. It's not strange for these cycles to happen at the same time. This doesn't make the analysis more difficult, but it does make things harder for companies in the weaker industry. Seasonality means that certain things happen at specific times of the year. It is about the changes that occur in nature, activities, or events during different seasons.

Seasonality is a cycle that only affects certain industries. Companies that are only busy during certain times of the year will have changes in how well they are doing financially. This is normal and needs to be understood. Credit analysts need to look at these companies' performance every year and during different times of the year to predict how they will do in the future. In simple terms, it's important to review how these companies did over the year, but it's also important to look at how they did during each individual season.

For instance, in the northern areas of the US and Canada, gas companies sell most of their gas to people for heating their homes in the winter months from November to February. Thus, these companies make most of their money in the first and fourth quarters of the year. During the middle of the year, companies usually spend a lot of money to buy gas and fill up storage, so they don't make as much profit. If a person looks at credit risk only in one season, they will either think it's really good or really bad. Instead, analysts should look at the big picture and consider the natural patterns in the industry over time.

For instance, how much gas is available in the summer will impact how well a company does in selling during the winter. On the other hand, how well things go in the winter impacts what happens in the summer. This means that if the weather is really cold and lots of natural gas is sold, the company will make a lot of money. But they will also have less gas in storage. A gas company that is managed well will save money when business is good so that they can buy more supplies in the summer without hurting their finances. A closer look at gas companies shows that they don't do well after mild winters. They won't be able to make up for it later. This is when the way manager’s plan and act is really important in the analysis.

Honestly, it's really important for every company to have good plans and rules for how they do business, and to stick with them, no matter what the economy is like. It is not unusual to see changes in how managers act or how aggressive they are as the market changes. For instance, when the economy is not doing well, companies might stop growing or even cut back. But when the economy is doing better, whole industries might see a lot of companies being bought or expanding. Credit analysts who use building-block methods need to understand all the things that can affect a company or industry. Throughout different stages of a cycle, this understanding helps the analyst ask corporate executives about their business plans and predict how their industry and company will do in the future.

Business cycles show how the economy and businesses in an industry go up and down, which affects how well individual companies do financially and operationally. Credit analysts need to study how economic cycles affect different industries and then look at how the companies manage their money. This helps them figure out how well a company is doing financially. Obstacles to getting into something or starting something.

Obstacles to starting a business can be financial or nonfinancial and come in different forms. Every industry has rules that companies must follow in order to do business. These are like the entry ticket to the game and are very important to meet. Some industries can meet these requirements, but it takes time, money, and effort to do so. It also requires building relationships, a good reputation, and gaining experience. On the other hand, some obstacles are really hard to overcome because they require a lot of money or effort.

One industry that has faced many challenges is the electric power generation industry. In order to sell electricity in any country, a company just needs to build a power plant and connect it to the local power grid. Electric power technology is 100 years old, and it can be easily copied. There are two obstacles. First, we need to find the best places for the plant, substations, and electric power lines to go. It's hard to find affordable housing in big cities. But this is not a very difficult problem, because power plants can be built in new places far from cities that are still near the power grid. Environmental problems could cause a big challenge when choosing where to put a site. So, power companies have to work hard to address the worries of environmentalists and people who live nearby.

The next problem is how much it costs to make a power plant, which depends on how big and what kind of plant it is. Simply put, the cost is quite high. Building medium-sized power plants in the United States can cost as much as $500 million, while large power plants can cost billions of dollars. Because the cost is so high, an analyst might think that it's too expensive to build a power plant. In reality, many big and medium-sized power plants were made from 1993 to 2003. During this time, a lot of people needed electricity, so power companies, even new ones, could find investors and lenders to give them a lot of money to build more power plants. The lesson is not that obstacles can be overcome, but that overcoming obstacles comes with a price. Analysts must understand the challenges and how to overcome them because the cost of fixing them can impact the finances of the business, and also the credit rating.

**Cost for obstacle or barrier**

There are many things to check in a business to see if there are problems. As we just mentioned, it can be really expensive to build facilities for an industry. Any large industry that needs a lot of money to build factories or extract natural resources like oil or metal is called capital intensive. Sometimes, new people are discouraged from joining because they can't access other people's money. But how much people want to buy the industry's products will decide if it's worth it for companies already in the industry to make new buildings or for new companies to start selling the products. If a company makes a lot of money, they will try to build new buildings. If the profits are good, people will give money to build the project. The credit analyst's job is to check if the company is making enough money and has enough money to decide if it's easy or hard for them to cover costs. In the electric power industry, it costs a lot of money to build power plants. But when people need more power, it becomes easier to get the money to build them.

## CONCLUSION

The relationship between making more sales and setting prices is really important for companies in all industries. This study found that sustainable sales growth needs a mix of things like new ideas, good marketing, and keeping customers happy. At the same time, being able to raise prices requires careful thinking about how strong the brand is, how different the product is from others, and how well the product is positioned in the market. The relationship between making more sales and being able to charge higher prices is really important for businesses that want to last and make a profit in the long run. Successful companies find the right balance between growing their market and setting prices that make the most money. The examples we showed illustrate how being able to change and be flexible is really important when dealing with changing market and what customers want. But, like any plan, there are difficulties. Competition from other businesses, changing customer needs, and the economy can threaten the balance between increasing sales and setting prices. The analysis shows that businesses should work on being strong, adaptable, and understanding their market well.

**REFERENCES:**


[1] A. Romi, K. A. Cook, and H. R. Dixon-Fowler, “The influence of social responsibility on employee productivity and sales growth: Evidence from certified B corps,” *Sustain. Accounting, Manag. Policy J.*, 2018.

[2] I. Ghozali, E. Handriani, and Hersugondo, “The role of sales growth to increase firm performance in Indonesia,” *Int. J. Civ. Eng. Technol.*, 2018.

[3] S. Octaviana, K. H. Titisari, and Y. Chomsatu, “The Effect of Profitability, Firm Size, Sales Growth and CSR Against Tax Avoidance on Companies Listed in BEI Year 2013 – 2016,” *2nd Int. Conf. Technol. Educ. Soc. Sci. 2018*, 2018.

[4] T. A. Akanbi and O. A. Jonathan, “Investment in accounting information system and sales growth: An investigation of Nigeria small and medium enterprise,” *J. Account. Tax.*, 2018.

[5] F. M. Asiri, S. T, and W. Andayani, “The Influence of Ownership Structure and Company Characteristics of Dividend Policy with Sales Growth as Moderation Variable,” *South East Asia J. Contemp. Business, Econ. Law*, 2018.

[6] A. T. Ferdinand and M. F. Killa, “The pareto sales network asset: A networked power perspective,” *Bus. Theory Pract.*, 2018.

[7] D. PUSPITA and M. FEBRIANTI, “Faktor-faktor yang memengaruhi penghindaran pajak pada perusahaan manufaktur di bursa efek Indonesia,” *J. Bisnis dan Akunt.*, 2018.

[8] Hartati and Mukhibad, “The Influence Of Profitability, Liquidity, Business Risk, Firm Size, And Sales Growth In The Property And Real Estate Companies Listed In The Idx During 2013 - 2016,” *Account. Anal. J.*, 2018.

[9] B. Cesinger, K. Gundolf, and M. Géraudel, “Growth intention and sales revenue growth in small business: the mediating effect of firm size growth,” *Int. J. Technol. Manag.*, 2018.

[10] Y. N. S. Anita Ardiyanti, "Jurnal Manajemen & Bisnis Indonesia," *Manaj. Bisnis*, 2018.

[11] G. Rely and R. J. Arsjah, "An Effecting of Foregin Ownership Structure in Firm Value Towards Offshore Debt Financing in Manufacturing Firms," *Res. J. Financ. Account.*, 2018.

[12] G. Chandrarin and D. S. Cahyaningsih, "Identifikasi Struktur Modal Melalui Profitabilitas, Pertumbuhan Penjualan dan Ukuran Perusahaan," *AFRE (Accounting Financ. Rev.*, 2018.

# CHAPTER 3

# EXPLORING THE CAPITAL INTENSITY OF INVESTMENT

Dr. Geeti Sharma, Associate Professor
Department of Finance, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School
Jain (Deemed to be University), Bangalore, Karnataka, India
Email Id- dr.geeti_sharma@cms.ac.in

**ABSTRACT**:

The concept of capital intensity in investments, shedding light on its significance and implications for businesses, investors, and economic development. Capital intensity refers to the degree to which capital - both financial and physical - is employed in a particular venture or industry. This analysis explores the factors influencing capital intensity, its measurement, and the strategic considerations that arise in the context of varying capital intensity levels. The abstract begins by delineating the diverse factors contributing to capital intensity, ranging from the nature of the industry and technological requirements to regulatory frameworks and market dynamics. Understanding these factors is crucial in comprehending the capital investment required for a venture and the subsequent impact on its operational efficiency and long-term sustainability. Measurement methodologies for capital intensity are discussed, emphasizing quantitative metrics and ratios that assess the relationship between capital expenditure and other performance indicators. The abstract also explores the implications of high and low capital intensity, considering how industries with different capital intensity profiles navigate challenges such as scalability, risk management, and return on investment.

**KEYWORDS**:

Capital Deployment, Capital Expenditure, Economic Policies, Financial Health, Globalized Economy, Innovation, Investment Strategies.

## INTRODUCTION

The technology used in an industry, like how quickly new things are made or how quickly old things become outdated, affects what customers expect from new products. This needs good workers and a good way to come up with new ideas that actually result in new or improved products that customers want. This is a big problem for many companies. A good instance is in the semiconductor industry. Andy Grove, who used to be the boss at Intel, said that computer chips will get smaller and faster every 18 months. This was a big challenge for the tech industry, but they were able to do it in the 1980s and 1990s. Intel was really good at coming up with new ideas, and other companies had to work hard to keep up with them, or they would fail. Hiring skilled technicians is not a big problem for most semiconductor chip companies. But it's hard to get them to create faster and better products as quickly as the market wants. When it comes to industry technology, credit analysts need to look into how advanced it is and what they need to do to use it. Reaching and talking to customers [1], [2].

Reaching customers can be difficult in a lot of businesses. The way companies distribute their products is very important for their success in the industry. Sometimes, it can take a small business a long time to get as many customers as big companies. For example, let's look at the retail industry. Big stores have lots of stores in places where they think their customers can easily get to them. New or existing businesses can find and build these stores without too much difficulty, but they need to consider the cost and time it will take.

Your reputation plays a role too. Just because you have a product doesn't mean people want it, will use it, or even know about it. The barrier involves selling and making a well-known product name. This is very important in industries where companies compete a lot, like in consumer products. Companies need customers to easily recognize and remember their products. Credit analysts must understand that customers can change their minds easily and that keeping customers coming back is a very important job for a company, especially when there is a lot of competition. Suppliers means the people or companies who provide goods or services to other businesses [3], [4].

Getting to suppliers and getting the raw materials can also be a problem. Most companies need supplies from other industries to make their products. Only a few companies make everything they need themselves. Getting access to raw materials or another company's products can range from being simple to very difficult. It all depends on where the materials or products are located and how they need to be delivered. This is where a country's roads, bridges, and buildings are very important. Access to ports, airports, roads, and electricity is very important for delivering supplies. This is not a big problem in a rich country like the United States, but it is a big problem and costs a lot of money in many other countries. Large companies that work with things like oil, gas, and minerals have to deal with these problems. Some examples are the oil, metals, and paper businesses, but there are others too. This is not always true for every industry or country. Credit analysts need to know about the supply side of a business because not having enough of something can make a business fail.

The car industry is really hard to get into. It's tough for existing companies and even harder for new ones to start up. In the early 1900s, car companies made all the parts for the cars themselves. Today, car companies are big and have lots of buildings and many workers. They get all the parts they need for their cars from many different suppliers. Because these operations are very big, current manufacturers have a hard time working together efficiently and new companies would struggle to copy their resources and business connections. When it comes to technology, things like how well the fuel works, how safe a car is, how strong the engine is, how a product looks, and other features can be really important. But because there's not much money to be made, there's not a lot of room for mistakes. Finally, reaching customers is difficult, especially with so many other companies competing in the car industry. It could be hard and expensive for a new company to make enough people aware of their cars so that they would want to come and see them in person at a showroom. Car companies spend a lot of money every year to advertise their cars in the country. This is just one of the many costs that make it hard for a new company to start making cars. Putting all these challenges together makes it very hard for new businesses to enter this industry. However, since these challenges are a big part of being successful, people already involved need to keep trying to get better. If they don't, they are more likely to fail [5], [6].

### Industry risk put a ceiling on credit quality

In the next few minutes, we will talk about the important parts of a thorough review of a company's credit and financial information. The type of industry a company is in is a big factor in determining how good their credit is. It helps set the highest rating a company in that industry can achieve. This is a very important thing to know. If an industry has a lot of risks and is not stable, it can make it hard for companies in that industry to do well. This can affect their credit rating. Limits set on how much money a company can make.

One example of a risk in the industry that could affect credit quality is how much money the sector makes. Profitability means how much money you make after subtracting the costs of running your business. If money coming in or going out is not flexible, it can affect how good your credit is. The executive team's role is to carry out the plan that helps the company grow and use its money in the best way. Sometimes, things like how many other companies are competing, how much money is coming in, and how much money needs to be spent can be rigid and limit even the best business plans. In some industries, there may be strong competition between companies. This can make it hard for them to grow because they are always trying to get more customers and market share. Certainly, there are also businesses with very little competition. Analysts need to look at how much money a business can make and how much it can grow, and also how other businesses can affect its growth [7], [8].

## DISCUSSION

The money needed to start a business and the ongoing need for investment can impact how much money a company makes or if it can make money at all. Investing money in a business helps it grow, but in some industries, they have to spend a lot of money which can make it harder to make a profit or have enough money on hand. Industries that need a lot of money to run and have a lot of competition and not much growth usually make very little profit. Some examples of this type of industry include steel companies, tire and rubber manufacturers, companies that build homes, and the mining industry. Many industries make a lot of money for every dollar they invest, and they can adjust their spending when needed. Popular products, medicine makers, books, and TV/radio have good money coming in and going out. Businesses that need to use their extra money to keep their company running or expand may have trouble getting loans because of their credit score. The oil and gas production business is a good example. In this business, the people who make oil and gas have to keep using their money to find, make, and get more oil and natural gas. If they don't keep spending their money, they will lose more and more money because they're running out of oil and gas [9], [10].

Businesses that rely on unstable prices for resources like oil and gas can be severely impacted by this unpredictability. Successful companies in these areas manage their expenses carefully to avoid taking on too much debt, especially when prices are high. This means that when prices are high, these companies can choose to spend more money on their business, pay off their debts, or save up more cash. Every choice affects your credit. In times when prices are low, these companies might not lose as much money if they spent their money wisely. If they didn't invest wisely, their credit score will go down. The point is that some industries with risks like commodity prices and spending limits may have a limit to their credit quality. However, how companies handle these risks sets that limit. The oil and gas industry has some of the most powerful companies in the world. Rockefeller empire in 1911, others have entered the game more recently. Rockefeller company in the past did not allow the industry's risky behavior to hurt their money situation.

### Rules for how companies must do business

Certain rules for industries can restrict how good someone's credit is because they can change how companies work and how well they can do. For instance, the rules for utilities limit how much money they can make, which slows down their profit growth. Yet, the monopoly utilities are sure to make a profit and can cover their costs, making it safer for them. This usually leads to having a good credit score. Many regulators in different countries have made rules less strict, allowed more companies to compete, and allowed prices to be set by the market. This has made the sector's credit quality and financial performance worse in many cases. Analysts need to pay close attention to trends in the industry in situations like this. Finally, it is difficult for new businesses to enter a strong industry, which can affects the industry's financial stability. Industries that need a lot of money, advanced technology, or special effort to reach customers or suppliers, or have strict rules to follow, will affect the credit quality of the companies involved. The strange thing is that if a company can meet those requirements while its competitors can't, it will likely have better credit. On the other hand, industries with few obstacles will have a lot of competition and might have lower credit ratings [11], [12].

In these cases, some industries with rules about borrowing money don't stop everyone from having good credit, and some industries with helpful rules don't always mean people will have good credit. The level of risk and ups and downs in an industry's ability to increase its earnings, control its expenses, and make a profit will determine what can happen for the companies in that industry. Some people will have endless possibilities, while others will have restrictions. It's the responsibility of those companies to adapt to the changes in the industry. These are the reasons why companies buy or join with other companies. Credit analysts need to form ideas about how adaptable an industry is, figure out what companies are capable of achieving, and understand what it takes for a company to do well or not do well. Finding the top companies in an industry is a good place to start, because they are the ones who create new trends. Studying the top companies in a certain industry helps us compare and understand the different ways businesses can succeed in that industry. Country and government risks create the rules for doing business. Industry risks are the things that can go wrong in a specific type of business. Each industry has its own unique risks based on the products or services it offers. All companies in the industry have similar risks, but they may affect each company in different ways, especially because each company's management may respond to the risks differently. So, it's important to figure out how much each risk could affect a credit.

Actually, knowing the risks in the industry helps the credit analyst start looking into a specific company and its credit risks. We need to look at the things that can make a company's performance more risky or less risky. This focuses on how much sales might grow, when they might go up and down, and how hard it is for new companies to join the market. Then it asks if all the risks in the industry might make it hard for businesses in the sector to keep their credit rating good. Businesses can be divided into five groups: growing, stable, specialized, international, and changing a lot. Different industries have different chances to sell more products. A good way to measure how big and how much an industry is growing is to look at how much money the whole industry makes. The speed of growth affects how manager's work and can impact the quality of credit. An industry that likes people with good credit is one that is getting bigger at a steady speed, which could mean that the people in charge are making steady decisions. However, whether the industry grows or not, it's how a company goes about growing that affects its credit quality in the end.

Understanding how sales grow can be difficult because some industries have natural cycles or seasonal changes. "Managers react in different ways during these cycles. Credit analysts need to keep an eye on how companies use their money at different times. This will impact if they need to borrow more money and will affect their financial situation in the future. Every industry has rules that companies must follow if they want to do business. The barriers you have to overcome can be hard or easy, but you must still get past them to enter. These money and other challenges can really affect how successful a company is and also affect how much competition there is. The credit analyst needs to pay attention to the barriers as well. For instance, it's important to carefully check how well a company is doing in the market if the industry is very competitive.

Now, in the second part of the building-block method, credit analysts should be able to find the most important risks for an industry. Understanding how supportive a country's laws, regulations, and infrastructure are, along with industry risks, can affect the credit quality of the companies in that industry. Each factor by itself doesn't decide if you get a loan or credit, but it's still important information that helps make the decision. The question is whether the dangers in the industry can make the companies in the industry less creditworthy. Yes, if the risks stop a company from making money, saving money, or just having cash. The answer for a single credit can vary. It all depends on how the people in charge make decisions based on what's happening in the industry and the business world. Now, the person studying the company can concentrate on the risks it has. Study of a specific situation: United States The story of how telecom companies' financial strength has changed over time. The United States has been involved since the early 1990s. The phone and internet industry has changed a lot, completely changing how it works. This case looks at how these changes started and how they affected the quality of credit.

Since the beginning of telephone service until the 1980s, in the United States. Phone companies were controlled using a standard way to calculate their earnings. This means that phone companies were promised to get a certain amount of money back from their investments. State and federal regulators would divide the telephone company's equipment and costs between their areas of control and let the company set the prices needed to make a profit. This made companies behave in a logical way, like building and keeping up phone networks that worked really well so that their customers would be satisfied.

For a long time, the way this rule was set up worked well. But as new technologies made services cheaper, people began to realize that the old way of setting rates might not be the best way to do it. It might even go against being efficient. For instance, a company that made logical decisions to save money or wisely spend less money in a competitive market might be forced to lower its prices even though it is being efficient. In the end, a company that is not running efficiently may have to charge high prices. This could make customers unhappy and they might complain to the people in charge. But regulators, who understand how politics work, decided to make businesses and people who make long-distance calls pay more of the cost. Because long-distance calling rates are now very cheap due to competition, it's hard to remember that in the 1980s, long-distance calls were seen as a luxury for most people. This was done on purpose to make sure everyone could afford basic phone service. The regional Bell operating companies were given a monopoly over local residential service in the past. This means they were the only ones allowed to provide this service and they were guaranteed to make a profit. Because the markets were protected, returns were guaranteed, and regulators wanted to encourage strong balance sheets, the quality of credit in 1990 was very good. Eighty out of every hundred U. Speople were rated. Most of the telecom companies and a lot of their debt had good ratings, with 60 percent being rated A or better.

**Deregulation starts**

AT&T's breakup also made it clear what each telecom company's job was. The seven RBOCs could only offer phone service in certain areas called local access and transport areas. These LATAs usually matched up with state borders in less crowded states or with area codes in more crowded states. If a call went from one area to another, a long-distance company like AT&T or Sprint would take the call and send it to the local phone company to reach the person being called. The long-distance company paid a fee to the local phone companies for using their local network. The fees for using the service were the biggest expense for the long-distance companies. This situation allowed people to find ways to avoid paying high access fees, which helped other companies like Teleport Communications Group Inc to grow. The text might mean: Fiber optic cable companies like US Signal and Metropolitan Fiber Systems. Afterwards, with slightly different rules, it caused many new phone companies to appear. In the 1990s, there were new rules called "flexible regulation". The old way of controlling phone service worked well, but some people wondered if there was a better way. New technology made it cheaper to communicate and people started to question if the current rules for communication were still the best in the late 1980s.

Seeing that telecom costs are going down and people want better ways to use data and make calls, regulators started to try out different ways to regulate it. These were usually a mix between strict rules and free markets. Usually, these rules let telecom companies get more rewards if they take more risks and follow the regulations. A common rule was one that controlled prices instead of profits. For instance, if a phone company reduces its prices, it could make more money. The idea was that lower prices helped customers and made companies work better. Companies had to start letting their competitors sell their products too. The government allowed RBOCs to enter the inter LATA business after they showed they were open to competition. Now, RBOCs have permission to provide long-distance services.

On the other hand, the companies that provide service over long distances are trying really hard to offer service in local areas. The long-distance companies rent the local phone company's network to offer their own local and long-distance services. Because of these changes, the quality of credit ratings has declined overall, especially due to two main trends coming together. At first, many new companies with low credit ratings started joining the market quickly. In reality, most had low ratings, and many were rated as weak, which made the overall telecom credit profile weaker. Telecom companies borrowed over $75 billion by selling risky bonds between 1997 and 2001. Furthermore, the telecom industry's credit quality declined because many well-known companies that were considered financially stable saw their ratings drop, and a lot of risky debt was also issued. Standard & Poor's did more downgrades than upgrades in 2002, with 27 downgrades for every upgrade. The average rating went down from good in 1990 to not so good in 1995, and got even worse in 2003.

**Credit Lessons Learned**

The movement of the credit quality of the United States. Telecommunications has changed a lot since the 1990s. However, the changes in rules and technology in the telecommunications industry can give us a better understanding of how it affects credit quality. Some things we learned from the telecom experience can also be used in other industries. Don't underestimate your competitors or the advantage of being in office. The new telecom companies did not realize how hard it would be to compete with the established ones. Some companies thought the RBOCs would give up some of their customers, but this made them underestimate the RBOCs' power to temporarily block competition. Relying too much on government rules may not work well in the future. The Telecom Act made rules that helped new telecom companies succeed. It gave other companies the chance to use the RBOCs' networks at good prices, and these new businesses got some access fees and reciprocal compensation. However, even though the act was passed after many years of political fights between big industry groups, it shouldn't be seen as the end. Yes, legal battles and government decisions made some parts of the act weaker, and new companies that relied on the act for their business had problems if they didn't have a backup plan. Being overly excited for no reason doesn't stick around forever. A few years ago, people thought it was really important to pay attention to the changes in the market. Looking back, it seems obvious that they were right. Investors really wanted to buy a lot of high-interest telecom debt, and they didn't care about the possibility that the market might not like telecom securities later.

**Prefunding is beneficial**

Many new telecom companies did not have enough money to continue their business plans in the next two to three years. The companies thought the capital markets would be happy to give them more money when they needed it. Sure, it's no secret that the market for high-yield bonds is currently closed. Getting money before you start is really important for new businesses. Paying a little extra in interest is worth it to make sure we have enough money when we need it.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, summarizes important ideas about the complex concept of capital intensity. It helps people understand how much money a business needs to operate, how to measure it, and how to make smart decisions about money and investments in a changing economy. We explain the important things to think about when it comes to how much money a business needs, focusing on how new ideas, using new technology, and planning for money can help businesses use their money better. Case studies from different types of businesses show us how they use their money and resources to be successful. The summary also talks about how different levels of capital intensity can affect economic growth, jobs, and competitiveness. We look at important policies that help money be used well and encourage new ideas.

**REFERENCES:**

[1] S. Sultana, N. Zulkifli, and D. Zainal, "Environmental, social and governance (ESG) and investment decision in Bangladesh," *Sustain.*, 2018.

[2] C. T. Nguyen and L. T. Trinh, "The impacts of public investment on private investment and economic growth: Evidence from Vietnam," *J. Asian Bus. Econ. Stud.*, 2018.

[3] M. Benlemlih and M. Bitar, "Corporate Social Responsibility and Investment Efficiency," *J. Bus. Ethics*, 2018.

[4] M. Leković, "Investment diversification as a strategy for reducing investment risk," *Ekon. horizonti*, 2018.

[5] C. M. Doktoralina, N. A. Ilias, Z. Bahari, Waluyo, and J. Suryadhi, "Investment of Zakat Fund in Malaysia," *J. Soc. Sci. Res.*, 2018.

[6] A. Geddes, T. S. Schmidt, and B. Steffen, "The multiple roles of state investment banks in low-carbon energy finance: An analysis of Australia, the UK and Germany," *Energy Policy*, 2018.

[7] J. Mielke and G. A. Steudle, "Green Investment and Coordination Failure: An Investors' Perspective," *Ecol. Econ.*, 2018.

[8] M. Saunila, J. Ukko, and T. Rantala, "Sustainability as a driver of green innovation investment and exploitation," *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2018.

[9] B. Dudić, J. Smoleň, Z. Dudić, and V. Mirković, "Support for foreign direct investment inflows in Serbia," *Econ. Ann.*, 2018.

[10] J. Hu, R. Harmsen, W. Crijns-Graus, and E. Worrell, "Barriers to investment in utility-scale variable renewable electricity (VRE) generation projects," *Renewable Energy*. 2018.

[11] S. Ding, M. Kim, and X. Zhang, "Do firms care about investment opportunities? Evidence from China," *J. Corp. Financ.*, 2018.

[12] M. Belloumi and A. Alshehry, "The impacts of domestic and foreign direct investments on economic growth in Saudi Arabia," *Economies*, 2018.

# CHAPTER 4

# A REVIEW OF COMPANY SPECIFIC BUSINESS RISKS

Dr. Gopalakrishnan Chinnasamy, Associate Professor
Department of Finance, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School
Jain (Deemed to be University), Bangalore, Karnataka, India
Email Id- dr.gopalakrishnan_c@cms.ac.in

**ABSTRACT**:

The nuanced realm of company-specific business risks, offering a detailed examination of the unique challenges and opportunities that organizations face in their operational landscapes. Company-specific risks encompass a diverse array of internal factors that can significantly impact a company's performance and financial health. This analysis explores the identification, assessment, and strategic management of these risks, providing insights for executives, investors, and policymakers alike. The abstract begins by categorizing company-specific risks, encompassing factors such as management quality, operational efficiency, supply chain vulnerabilities, and corporate governance. Each risk category is dissected, and the potential ramifications on financial stability, market competitiveness, and stakeholder trust are explored. The methodologies employed in the identification and assessment of company-specific risks are discussed, emphasizing the importance of robust risk management frameworks, internal controls, and continuous monitoring. Case studies highlight instances where effective risk management has mitigated the impact of company-specific challenges and positioned organizations for resilience.

**KEYWORDS**:

Brand Strength, Corporate Governance, Customer Retention, Industry-Specific, Innovation, Legal Challenges.

## INTRODUCTION

At this stage of the analysis, we should already understand how important the government and country are to business, and how much uncertainty there is in the industry. Based on what we know, the credit analyst will now look closely at a company's business to understand how it makes money and how it uses that money. A credit analyst also needs to understand how certain a company's income and cash flow will be, and how likely the company is to pay back its debts. The most important part of good business credit analysis is making sure to compare one credit with another accurately. People who loan money and people who invest in stocks and bonds decide how good a company is before they decide how much interest to charge or how much to invest. A credit analyst's main job is to look at the good and bad things about different kinds of credit [1], [2].

In order to figure out how well a company will do, it's important to compare its strengths and weaknesses to those of its competitors. This will help us understand how successful the company will be in its business. So, this is a very important part when looking at someone's credit. In Competitive Strategy, Michael Porter is giving advice to business people on how to win against their competitors. He is saying: A business needs to figure out how to protect itself and do well compared to other companies in the same industry. The forces working together are strong and obvious to everyone. To come up with a good strategy, we need to look closely and understand where these forces come from. Understanding where competition is coming from helps we see where our company is strong or weak. It also helps us know where we stand in our industry and where we can make changes for the most benefit. It shows us where industry trends could be good or bad for us.

Every type of business has many important things that help it be successful and beat its competitors. Cost, how good something is, help for customers, and being able to give things on time and in the right amount are common things that make businesses compete with each other. Consumers buy products because of the price, quality, brand image, how much space on the shelf it has, if they've bought it before, and how much they know about the product. The kind of industry is important. It makes a difference if the industry is big worldwide, old, growing, or specialized. The competition in each type of industry might be different [3], [4].

People who use the building-block method will look at the risks in the industry and figure out what factors are most important for analyzing the risks of a particular company. Many of those dangers will also be important things that make companies compete with each other. To figure out how to win the competition, credit analysts should focus on the important factors that influence and decide the winners and losers, not just the obvious ones. For instance, if a business is in a very competitive industry, they need to carefully look at their place in the market. Simply put, if a company tries to have the lowest prices, their costs will be the most important factor. The supplier that charges the least is able to lower its prices and still make a good profit.

A good example is Southwest Airlines and Jet Blue, which spend at least 30 percent less to run their airlines than the big competitors. If the competition is based on quality, it comes down to the skills of the company's employees or the capabilities of the production facilities. If competition is about being able to deliver goods or services, then having a lot of places to sell them or being able to make them quickly is very important. Technology and health-care companies try to be the best by coming up with new and better ideas. Their success comes from making new and useful products that people want to buy.

There are lots of ways to see how other companies compare. The important thing is to be thorough. When comparing costs, consider everything involved in the process. For instance, many companies have to pay for things like energy, making their products, delivering their products, building things, paying their workers, borrowing money, and taxes. Managers are always trying to find ways to be more efficient and save money because it helps them stay competitive. Savings and efficient ways of doing things can happen in making products, getting them to people, selling them, buying materials, managing the business, or handling money. In simple terms, the company wants to find ways to do things better and faster at all its locations. Analysts need to compare competitors in the same way.

## DISCUSSION

Who cares Don't fall for a big company's advertising tricks. Almost every company claims to be a leader in their industry. The word "leading" is used a lot and has been misused in financial reporting. Most of the time, a company's description of its place in the market is not true and can trick analysts into thinking that the company's products and services are better and more profitable than they actually are. For two examples of misleading market positions in real life, you can see how Burlington Industries Inc. Pillowtex Corporation Clearly, their products did not have a strong enough position in the market to make these companies successful. It's really important to know how well a product is doing in the market when analyzing its credit. Understanding how strong a product is can help credit analysts figure out if a company can make steady sales, grow the product's sales, and keep the product's price high [5], [6].

Not every company can be the top seller in its industry, and being number one in sales doesn't always mean making a profit. Often, the second or third company selling a product is more successful than the top company in the industry. General Motors sells the most cars in the United States, but Toyota is considered a very successful company in the car industry by many other ways. In some industries, being the second best can make it harder to be successful and have good credit. This happens because either the market is not large enough for many products, or because a company's products are not what customers want. Market share refers to the portion of total sales in a market that a company or product holds.

However, looking at how much of the market a company has can help figure out some things. First, analysts need to analyze a company's main markets or products and not mix too many products or try to analyze the company's share of small markets. For instance, when looking at a company that sells surgical supplies, it's best to look at how much of the overall market they have, rather than just how much of one product they sell. This is because they make less money from each product. When we look at companies that make medicine, it's important to see how much of the market each drug product has. Some drugs make billions of dollars in sales.

It can be hard to figure out market share. Most companies want to have the most customers, but having the most customers does not always mean they are the best or most powerful. In industries that are divided into many small parts, there may be several top companies, depending on how they measure their market share. Figuring out if a certain amount of market share is good or bad depends a lot on how much competition there is. Capturing 40 percent of a market with only two products may not be good, while getting 20 percent of a market with many different products could be very successful. Market share analysis is not something that you do once and then it's done. It needs to be done gradually over time. The changes we see show whether something is getting better or worse. In addition, companies or products that are growing in popularity may also be setting the prices for their industry. The opposite is also true. This doesn't happen quickly, so analyzing shares takes a long time. Different types of products and different ways of selling them.

Most businesses sell too many different people. The best credits offer unique products or services that customers want more than other similar products. For these companies, this gives them the ability to negotiate and sell their products regularly and also have some control over the prices. Some companies can keep this power, but others lose it when the economy or customer preferences change. Successful companies know what their products are good at and what their customers want. This helps them improve and give the market what it needs. Often, this means changing the quality or other features of the product to fit what the customer wants. Usually, it shows that the person really knows about the market and what people want. This leads to making changes in how products are marketed, packaged, sold, and priced based on what's popular at the time. Basically, the big companies can reach the right customers at the right time with the right product and sell it for a good price. Companies that are successful at this can increase the amount they sell of their products. Smaller companies often struggle because they don't have strong products, don't understand their customers well, don't sell enough, and end up lowering prices to sell their products. Most companies have lots of products, so it's really hard for credit analysts to understand the strategies for all of them. It's almost impossible. But it is not needed. Instead, experts should look at the patterns in the industry, what competitors are doing, what consumers want, and the strategies used by the company's leaders. Then they should see if the company's sales are steady.

For example, let's talk about Procter & Gamble. For 20 years, this company has been very successful and continues to make a lot of money. Procter & Gamble has been able to sell many different products, even though consumer preferences can be unpredictable and can cause risk for companies. Analysts cannot evaluate all of Procter & Gamble's many products and management strategies. Instead, it's better to look at the trends and predictions for personal care products, the company's plan for making new products, and the company's past performance. Consistent sales no matter what the economy is like [7], [8].

A credit analyst tries to figure out how steady or unstable a company's sales and revenue are. Steady increase in sales and the ability to set good prices are important signs of having good credit. As mentioned before, analysts need to look at how steady an economy and industry are growing, and compare that to how well the company is doing. By putting together this information and a company's view of how their product compares to their competitors, experts can predict how much the company will sell and how they will set their prices.

For instance, when the economy is doing well and more people want to buy their products, a company that has a strong position in the market can sell more and raise their prices faster than other companies. In tough times for the economy or industry, this company would still grow more than its rivals but its sales and revenue would not be as good when compared to the previous year. Companies that are not doing well in the market try to keep doing the same and aim to grow at the same rate as other companies in their industry. In good financial times, companies that are not very strong in the market can still make a lot of sales and keep growing every year. When the economy is not doing well or when the industry slows down, smaller companies are hurt more and faster than bigger companies [9], [10].

**Consistent and stable business operations.**

Analyzing a company's credit relies on how steady and reliable its businesses are. Consistency is seen as a good thing, while volatility is seen as a bad thing. Volatility happens for a lot of reasons, like changes in the economy, competition, new technology, and changes in demand for a company's products. Businesses stay stable by either being really good at what they do and having a strong position in the market, or by being really diverse and having skilled managers who can handle changes in the economy. Business stability doesn't mean that a company's money and how it operates stay the same. On the other hand, business stability means that the management can confidently carry out its plans because of the strong financial and nonfinancial aspects of the company. If a company is stable, it's easier to predict how much money it will make.

The credit analyst's job is to consider how predictable or unpredictable a company's performance is when making a decision on whether to give the credit. Since we already talked about being competitive and being in the market, now we will talk about having different kinds of assets. The size of a company can help make it strong and steady. The amount of money and things owned is not as important as how they are used. Actually, a big thing that is not used well can cause more money problems than a small thing that is not used well. Enron and WorldCom were big companies that went out of business because of a lot of lying and stealing. They were trying to make it look like they were doing better than they really were.

However, being big has its advantages. Big companies, whether they are local or global, old or still growing, usually have different ways of operating. Operational diversity means having different kinds of businesses, products, manufacturing plants, distribution outlets, or customers. True diversity is good because it helps businesses stay strong during changes or tough times. This means that if one part of a business is not doing well, a diverse company can put more effort into other parts of the business that are doing well. Small businesses have less flexibility compared to larger ones. They focus on specific products, customers, and locations, which makes it harder for them to make big changes. Credit analysts need to know about this flexibility and figure out if a company can really use it. If this is true, then it is a good thing [11], [12].

Diversification can also change competition. When thinking about how hard it is to start a business, big companies have more ways to connect with customers and suppliers. This means they can be in a stronger position to compete than small companies. "Sometimes, that's not always true in certain situations. Microsoft is a small company that did something different compared to the big company IBM in the 1980s. However, it became one of the biggest and most successful companies in the world in just 10 years by managing their products well. Today, Microsoft is a really big company that uses its size to its advantage. This company is very big and powerful in the industry. They have the most popular products and a lot of money to handle big changes in their business. They are also a leader in technology.

Big companies have some good things because they are big, but sometimes small companies can also do well if they have something special that makes them better than other companies. So, looking at how much of the market a company has can give us an idea of how well it's doing compared to others, which can help us understand how stable its business is. Financial Diversity means having a variety of different types of financial products and investments in your portfolio. It can help reduce risk and improve overall returns on your money.

Operational diversity helps keep the company's money steady in a few ways. One way is by making sure the money coming in, the money going out, and the total money earned comes from lots of different things like products, plants, customers, and areas. Many companies find that they make more money and have better financial stability when they have different kinds of businesses that bring in money. It's hard to have perfect diversity, but having a variety of income sources can help. In these situations, managers can move money and people around between different parts of the company depending on how the economy or business is doing. They can do this at any time, and the company will still make about the same amount of money. Businesses with strong primary units may have steady financial success for various reasons, but they might not be as adaptable as companies with a variety of different units.

In the 1980s, General Motors tried to make their company more diverse by buying Hughes Aircraft Corp. This is a good example of what it means to create diversity. Electronic Data Systems Corp was bought for $5. 7for 2 thousand 550 million dollars. However, despite spending a lot of money, GM never had enough different things because its car business was still the main thing affecting its financial stability. In the end, GM made a lot of money by selling these investments, which helped them have more cash when their car business wasn't making much money.

**Adaptability of resources**

Big companies can usually sell some things if they need money because they own extra assets. While selling assets can be good for the health of a company's finances, it's not always a good thing. Selling assets can be seen as a bad thing if the money from the asset is something that will be missed, or if the sale shows that the asset wasn't worth enough to keep. On the other hand, being able to get rid of something that doesn't matter for money and using that money to buy something good is definitely a good thing. However, companies should not regularly sell their assets to raise money. If they do, people will be doubtful about their financial health. At some point, a company may not be able to sell any more of its possessions if it runs out of things to sell or the value of its possessions goes down. The credit analyst's job is to figure out if selling an asset and using the money afterwards will be good or bad.

Not being able to change easily is a problem for all companies, especially small ones and those in industries that go through ups and downs. A small problem for some companies could be a big disaster for a company that doesn't have enough money to survive it. Big companies can sometimes handle economic or industry problems better because a lot of people depend on them. They can also sell off things they don't need. During tough times, people in the banking and business world may be more understanding and open to changing agreements because they value their relationship.

For example, a bank's connection to a company, especially a big one, can be a lot. Usually, if a company is having a hard time, banks may overlook any rules the company has broken and they might even give the company more money if they think the company will do well in the future and be able to pay its debts. Small businesses might not always get the same treatment from banks because they are not as established, don't have strong business connections, and don't have the financial backing to provide collateral to the bank. Business partners may be more flexible in a deal if the overall relationship is important or hard to find someone else to replace them. For example, when unions talk with the company, they often argue. But in the end, workers don't want to cause the company to lose money or go out of business, which would make them lose their jobs. In these situations, one side can gain more power in the discussions by having more control. Big companies often have this advantage. Credit analysts need to understand the advantages of a company's size, but they should not just believe the size without looking deeper. Analysts should check how well managers use the company's physical strengths.

**Regulations**

If things go up and down a lot, it's bad, but if the government makes rules to keep things stable, it's good. Is that right. Well, not quite. Regulations can have different effects, from being okay to being bad, and from being helpful to getting in the way. Regulations should be clear and fair, and should be applied in a timely manner to help businesses do well financially. However, that is not always true. But no matter what, it affects all companies in an industry. In the end, if every company in an industry follows the same rules, then what determines a company's credit quality is how well it carries out its business strategies, not how much the regulations support it. Every industry has rules and restrictions that affect how businesses operate and make decisions. For instance, companies that use materials from forests can't cut down too many trees. Companies that dig for oil and natural gas have to follow rules when drilling. Farms get money from the government. Airlines have to follow a lot of rules when they operate. And most companies have to follow rules about the environment.

Rules on utilities are very important because they determine how much money companies can charge for their services and how they can make money. So, for most of the 1900s, utilities were seen as really reliable because their finances had a safety net, which helped keep their performance from dropping too low. Utilities didn't have to compete much, which made their financial health very good. In the 1980s and 1990s, some things in the U. S Local or national officials removed rules for phone, electricity, and gas companies. In many situations, this removed the need to set prices for parts of the business and instead allowed prices to be set by the free market. So, some parts of the utility companies had competition for the first time. This included things like long-distance phone service and selling electricity and natural gas.

**Regulatory choices**

Different countries have different ways of making rules, and it depends on their history, government, and culture. For instance, some governments need to pass laws to change rules, while others have special groups that decide on rules. It's unclear which system is better for helping a company perform well and make credit decisions. It depends on each individual situation. In any industry, there are often conflicting plans that need to be dealt with. The credit analyst's job is to figure out how important those rules are and how they affect a company's money and ability to compete. Do rules help the company make more money or limit how much they can make. Do they keep the company safe, or do they cause problems. And because politicians can change the rules, they may not stay the same. Analysts need to watch government rules closely, because if they change, it could greatly affect the decision about giving someone credit. Similar to many other government choices, the process of getting regulations put into place can take a long time and be very complicated. Analysts need to keep track of all the changes because some credit decisions can't wait for a regulatory body to decide. The analyst's prediction about how the rules might affect the company will be very important in deciding whether to lend money to the company. Potential dangers or uncertainties that businesses may face.

Business risks are the things that can affect how well a company does financially and the plans that its managers make. The dangers come from the normal risks in the industry or country where the company works. Analysts using the building-block method can find the important things to focus on for a company and then narrow down to the most important issues. It's very important to study the competition and where a business stands compared to others. This includes looking at its position in the market, how stable the business is, and any regulations that may affect it.

Competitive analysis means comparing one company to another. The first important step is figuring out what makes a company stand out from others in competition. Competition is usually about competing on the price, quality, customer service, and being able to deliver a lot of products on time. 'Credit analysts need to understand the reasons why some companies succeed and others fail in order to make good decisions. 'For instance, if the competition is based on price, the main factor driving it will be the company's costs compared to its competitors. Analysts should spend their time focusing there.

A company's market position is important when analyzing its credit. It helps figure out how often people buy things and how much control a company has over prices, which are important for having good credit. Studying how much of the market a company has can be useful, but it's also important not to be tricked by just looking at market share. Many companies say they are the best in their field, but that doesn't always mean they are financially stable or doing well. Defining markets and calculating shares can be important. Because most companies have lots of things they sell, it's not helpful to have specific definitions of market share. It is important to keep up with the latest trends in the industry, what customers want, and what our competitors are doing. A company's performance stays strong because it has good products and management plans that make those products even better. Consistency and stability are good things in credit analysis, but volatility is bad. Companies stay strong by being really good at what they do, or by having a lot of customers. This helps them to stay strong when things in the business world or economy change. Having different things and people around can make things steadier. Owning different businesses, making different products, having different manufacturing sites, and selling to different types of customers can help reduce the ups and downs of the economy. Having different ways to reach buyers and suppliers can help a company be more competitive. Good companies are usually able to handle their money well and can adapt to changes easily. On the other hand, weak companies can end up in big trouble if they can't change their financial plans when they need to. Financial flexibility means having different ways to make money or get cash when you need it. Big companies have an edge over small companies in all of these situations. However, analysts cannot assume that a company's size alone is important. Instead, they need to assess how well the company's management makes use of its size and diversity. Rules can make things steady, but they may not always make them work really well. Some rules can really hold back a company from being successful. The analyst's job is to figure out how rules and laws impact a company and how well it does. Evaluating the management team is important for understanding the quality of the business and its assets. The next looks at how management runs things, what they believe and how well they are doing.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, brings together important ideas about the many different risks that businesses face. It helps businesses deal with their internal problems and gives useful advice for managers and investors in a changing and competitive business world. We talk about how to manage risks for a company, and we discuss how important leadership, innovation, and adaptability are for making the company strong. The abstract discusses how being careful and planning for risks can help a business take advantage of opportunities and become more competitive in the market. In addition, the summary looks at how investors think about company risks and how it affects their decisions on where to invest. It shows how being open and honest, communicating well with the public, and doing thorough research can build trust from investors even when the risks are always changing.

## REFERENCES:

[1] H. Kim and Y. Yasuda, "Business risk disclosure and firm risk: Evidence from Japan," *Res. Int. Bus. Financ.*, 2018.

[2] T. Visser and L. van Scheers, "Can family business managers manage family business risks?," *Manag.*, 2018.

[3] M. Hudakova, M. Masar, M. Luskova, and M. R. Patak, "The dependence of perceived business risks on the size of smes," *Journal of Competitiveness*. 2018.

[4] A. Nurfitriana and F. Fachrurrozie, "Profitability in Moderating the Effects of Business Risk, Company Growth and Company Size on Debt Policy," *J. Account. Strateg. Financ.*, 2018.

[5] M. Hudáková and M. Masár, "The assessment of key business risks for SMEs in Slovakia and their comparison with other EU countries," *Entrep. Bus. Econ. Rev.*, 2018.

[6] A. Zolotukhin, "Legal nature of business risk insurance," *SHS Web Conf.*, 2018.

[7] L. Niemi, W. R. Knechel, H. Ojala, and J. Collis, "Responsiveness of Auditors to the Audit Risk Standards: Unique Evidence from Big 4 Audit Firms," *Account. Eur.*, 2018.

[8] F. Hessami, "Business risk evaluation and management of Iranian commercial insurance companies," *Manag. Sci. Lett.*, 2018.

[9] O. Torul, "On the business cycle implications of alternative risk aversion formulations," *Cent. Bank Rev.*, 2018.

[10] T. Brown, "Are miserly budgets putting businesses at risk of cyber-attack?," *Comput. Fraud Secur.*, 2018.

[11] R. Z. Alza and A. A. G. S. Utama, "Studi Empiris Pada Perusahaan yang tergabung dalam Indeks LQ45 2011-2015," *J. Ris. Akunt. dan Bisnis Airlangga*, 2018.

[12] L. Chen and T. Verousis, "A contingent claims approach to the determinants of the stock-bond return relationship," *Int. J. Banking, Account. Financ.*, 2018.

# CHAPTER 5

# EXPLORING THE FINANCIAL RISK ANALYSIS

Dr. Kiran Kumar M, Assistant Professor
Department of Finance, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School
Jain (Deemed to be University), Bangalore, Karnataka, India
Email Id- kiran_kumar.m@cms.ac.in

**ABSTRACT**:
The critical domain of financial risk analysis, offering a comprehensive exploration of methodologies, tools, and strategic insights essential for navigating the complex financial landscapes. Financial risk analysis is a vital process for businesses, investors, and policymakers alike, enabling them to identify, measure, and manage risks that can impact financial performance and stability. This analysis covers the key components of financial risk, risk measurement techniques, and the strategic considerations involved in effective risk management. The abstract begins by defining and categorizing financial risks, including market risk, credit risk, liquidity risk, and operational risk. Each category is examined in detail, shedding light on the factors influencing their occurrence and potential consequences for financial institutions and corporations. Various quantitative and qualitative tools employed in financial risk analysis are discussed, emphasizing the importance of stress testing, scenario analysis, and sophisticated risk models. The abstract explores how technology, data analytics, and artificial intelligence are revolutionizing risk analysis, providing more accurate and timely insights into potential vulnerabilities.

**KEYWORDS**:

Credit Risk, Data Analytics, Economic Shifts, Financial Performance, Financial Risk, Fraud Detection, Liquidity Risk.

## INTRODUCTION

Saying that management is important for a company's performance and its credit quality would be a big understatement. Through most of this book, it keeps emphasizing the importance of management, its strategies, how it's carried out, and the choices it makes. Additionally, the way a company handles its money is very important when deciding if they can be trusted to pay back a loan. This is because it shows how much risk the company is willing to take with its finances. You need to know that this is purposely placed between the two types of risk: business risk and financial risk. The country a company is in, the business environment, and the quality of its assets all play a big role in whether a company succeeds or fails. But it's the management team's job to make sure the company stays on the right track and uses its assets well to do its best in the given environment. This is a big challenge for a team in charge, especially because they are competing against other teams facing the same challenges. This is why it's important to assess how well the management is doing before making a decision about giving them credit. We need to see if management is doing well in running the business and if they are making money. We also need to check how much risk they are willing to take to make their plans work. A careful look at how well management has done in the past is important. In simple words, this means finding out how much risk was taken, how much was gained from the investment, and if the risk was worth the reward. It is difficult to argue against proof that shows whether decisions made were good or bad based on how well a company is doing financially. However, some might say it's hard to tell if the performance was because of good management, happened without any management influence, or happened despite management. That's a good idea, but we can't evaluate management based on just one financial review. It happens gradually and is influenced by someone's personal opinion [1], [2].

Analysts can meet the senior management team if they want to. Every few months, there are meetings for financial analysts to talk about the company's money. There is also a big meeting for the company's owners once a year. Sometimes the company also has presentations before selling stocks or bonds. Credit analysts have to go to these meetings.

At these meetings, top managers tell analysts about their business plans without giving away secrets to competitors. Apart from attending public meetings, analysts should also call or visit key executives to ask them more about their plans and ideas. This will help them to understand how well the management is doing. This mix of looking at facts and feelings helps experts figure out how much the management affects how well the company does, how good it is at carrying out its plans, and how much risk it is okay with.

For instance, plans for how the company will operate should be based on what is really possible. If the goals are not realistic given the industry or the business environment, then the analyst should doubt the company's chances of success. Experts need to look at how well a company is doing compared to its past plans to see if the company's leaders are trustworthy. Not sticking to the plan in the past means it's likely to happen again in the future. In difficult times, it's important for managers to be honest and trustworthy. Creditors will either believe in the manager's plans for staying financially strong, or they won't trust them at all. It's important to remember who owns the company and what the senior managers are supposed to do. Businesses are not owned by the people they owe money to; they are owned by individuals or groups who have invested in them. Business plans and money rules are often based on what shareholders want - more profits and higher stock prices. Lenders who give credit want to be paid back and like it when a company does well all the time. Shareholders and creditors both want the company to make more money and do better financially [3], [4].

However, people have different levels of comfort with taking risks. Investors like to pay more for stocks if they think the company will grow in the future. But if the company is risky, lenders will want more money back, which can be expensive for the company. It’s very important to understand the way senior managers think, because it affects how much risk they are willing to take. A company's management that always tries to grow very quickly should be looked at carefully, compared to a management that grows its company steadily. Fast-moving companies quickly start new businesses or enter new markets, sometimes without a complete plan. They often leave or change their failed projects, and may lose money when they sell off assets or cancel projects. This might be balanced out by big money wins, but credit analysts should think about the ups and downs carefully, especially when comparing to other companies. Corporate governance means the system of rules, practices, and processes that a company follows to ensure it is being managed and run in a responsible and ethical way [5], [6].

Character is an important factor when analyzing credit. Corporate governance, or how a company is run, is also very important for a credit analyst to check. Leadership that is honest and trustworthy runs the company in a way that follows the rules and is fair to everyone involved, including employees, customers, and business partners. This includes how the company is run, its culture, and its plans for the future, and the benefits it provides to shareholders and creditors.

Integrity means that the company will pay back its debts to lenders and investors on time and in full. This may be surprising, but there have been many business leaders in the past who have had bad intentions. Management with these qualities become trusted because they are open and honest, and they give useful information for making decisions about giving credit. However, it is hard to say if these qualities will make people think better about a company's credit compared to what they would have thought without them. On the other hand, if the people in charge don't have these qualities, the analyst may have lots of questions that don't get answered. When things are not clear, it's best to be careful when deciding to give out a loan. Analyzing corporate governance involves more than just checking if top managers are honest. This also includes how brave and willing to take risks people are in a company, and how well the rules make sure decisions are made for the benefit of the company and not for something else. Many companies are run well.

However, corporate executives are under pressure to consistently make more money, with the expectation that this will cause the company's stock price to go up [7], [8].

Regrettably, in the history of companies, some tend to move away from good corporate governance, which can lead to and disconnect from their best business strategy. Usually, in these situations, the attitude is decided by the top bosses, who set very high sales and profit goals along with generous rewards for meeting the goals and no tolerance for failure. This culture encourages people to make things seem better than they really are. It causes companies to use tricky accounting methods and not have good controls inside the company. Usually, it causes a sudden drop in how well things are done and a big loss of assets. In the worst situations, it leads to cheating and businesses going broke, like Enron, WorldCom, and Parmalat.

**Money rules**

Management's financial policies can include how money is tracked, how much is spent, how much debt is accepted, when companies join together, and how often assets are sold. Every one of them affects how risky a company's finances are and has some influence on how well it will do financially in the future. Corporate management should make decisions based on what is best for the company's finances. However, each company has its own financial policies and level of risk tolerance, so decisions will vary from one company to another. These differences might be caused by different things like personal beliefs, how the business works, and what the shareholders want.

Regrettably, lenders don't often make decisions that are good for the people they lend money to. Instead, business decisions are thought to be "for the benefit of the business. However, because corporations are owned by shareholders and not creditors, it's not surprising if management's decisions, including its financial policies, are mostly influenced by what shareholders want. In theory, this should also be "helping the business," but it might only be focused on making more money. For instance, if a company wants to make more money, they might try to buy other companies even if it's risky, instead of growing their own business carefully. Because corporate management is under a lot of pressure to do well, the analyst's job is to figure out how much risk management is willing to take when it comes to their business and finances [9], [10].

A company's management that only focuses on making profits every three months is too narrow-minded. Even worse, a company's culture can become similar as employees start to act like their managers. The small signs of a company that is very focused on making money can be seen in how it handles its finances. Slowly allowing for more flexibility in how we recognize revenue and defer expenses may result in higher profits in the short term, but it could also create significant challenges in the future in order to improve actual financial performance. More pressures might make accounting rules loosen more, leading to unrealistic expectations.

Watching what the bosses say they will do and comparing it to what they actually do can be very revealing. For instance, a company's goals for using borrowed money should be considered in relation to its previous performance and the financial factors affecting the business. If a company is spending more money than it makes and doesn't have a plan to sell assets or stocks, its debt shouldn't be expected to go down. A doubtful analyst might ask the management how they plan to accomplish both goals. The answers and how well the company does afterwards show how much risk they can handle and might even show if the management is trustworthy.

No company is completely safe from risks. Actually, playing it safe with money in a business is not the best idea because using some debt can help make more money in the end as long as it is used wisely. Financial rules should match what the business needs and the things around it that affect it. Industries that are growing usually need to borrow money to spend on expenses because they might not have made enough money yet. Older, well-known companies might not be making enough money from their usual business activities. They might need to buy other companies in order to make more money. In these situations, the way the business invests its money might be a good idea, but we need to consider how risky the way the business gets its money is in comparison to the business itself.

Business managers usually have a lot of freedom to make decisions about money. When looking at the company's finances and how well it's doing, experts should pay attention to how risky or bold the company is being in its decisions. For example, always borrowing money and having a lot of debt is a risky financial plan for a company that is struggling to make money. "But a company with a lot of valuable assets and guaranteed income can borrow more money even if they are not making a profit from their operations.

In our experience, the leaders of businesses don't often make decisions just to make the company's credit look better. Instead, the people in charge at the company find a level of risk for lending money that they are okay with. Then they lead the company to keep a good credit reputation and meet the needs of the shareholders. This becomes very clear after looking at how they pay for things and where they put their money. However, in the past, companies have found it hard to stick to their financial plans and reach these two goals when the economy is not doing well. During these times, credit gets worse. So, the way management changes its plans when the business or the economy changes can really affect how good their credit is. Financial policies determine how much risk a company is willing to take and what level of credit quality the company has.

## DISCUSSION

Analyzing financial risk helps understand and manage the risks involved in a business. It shows how good a business is and how much it's expected to grow. We can judge if management's actions are successful or not. It shows how well a company can handle unexpected problems with its money. In the end, it is about whether a company can pay what it owes. First, looking at the potential risks in a company helps us see how uncertain its business performance is. Credit analysts need to understand how uncertain the business environment is and how that relates to the company's finances and how well it is doing [11], [12].

For instance, a company would be seen as not very reliable for borrowing money if it had risky businesses and took a lot of financial risks. On the other hand, good credit means the business has low risk and they manage their money carefully. The credit rating of a company depends on its country and industry, its business and its finances when looked at together. So, the question is how credit analysts should evaluate financial risk and performance to tell the difference between aggressive and conservative approaches.

To begin, it's useful to have standard financial ratios to compare companies. Standard & Poor's makes benchmarks in a regular and historical format. Later on, we will suggest that each analyst should create their own way of scoring, but these benchmarks are still helpful. The benchmarks in are helpful but should not be the only things used to make a decision. There are many different ratios to think about. However, you need to understand the connection between good credit and low business risk. Stronger credits perform better financially than weaker credits. Businesses in weaker positions need to have stronger financial performance to have the same credit quality as businesses in stronger positions.

We will talk about why it's important to look at how much money a company is making, but the most important thing for a credit analyst is to figure out if the company can pay back what it owes. Credit analysis is about figuring out if someone can pay back what they owe on time and in full. Financial risk analysis is about figuring out if someone can pay their debts, and how likely it is that they won't be able to. Financial volatility is how much a business's performance and risk can change over time. It depends on how much risk the management is okay with. An unpredictable business can have unpredictable financial results; a stable business would be expected to have more stable financial results. However, as mentioned before, the way a company manages its money affects how much risk it is willing to take and can also influence how boldly it pursues its business goals.

First, we need to figure out how risky the company's financial decisions are before we can analyze its past and future performance. Just like any good analysis, this involves looking at a lot of numbers and comparing different financial ratios. There are many ratios that could be looked at, but it's not really needed. This will find the important numbers that need to be looked at in order to do a good job of checking someone's credit.

Different industries and companies might have other ratios that are important to keep track of. The important thing is to know what you are trying to find. It's important to remember that you need to use cash, not earnings, to pay your bills. So, there are different reasons to look at certain ratios. We pay attention to four important things: how much money we have, how much profit we make, how much cash we bring in, and how flexible our finances are. Why these four. The balance sheet shows the company's debts and the assets that support those debts. Looking at only the debt/equity ratio is not enough to know how good someone's credit is, but it's important to also look at all the debts they have, even the ones not on their balance sheet, and compare that to how much money their assets can bring in. Profitability shows how well a business is doing compared to others, and if it's making money and doing well. Shareholders are the owners of companies, so managers focus on making a profit for them.

Making cash is important because it is used to pay bills and debts. Comparing how much money a company can make with how much money it needs, like paying debts, is the most important part of analyzing financial risk. Financial flexibility means how well a business can handle changes in its activity. When the business environment changes, it is important for businesses with low credit to be able to get money and manage their debts.

**The balance sheet**

The balance sheet is like a scale that shows how much a company owns versus how much it owes. A company is more careful with their money if they have a lot of assets, and takes more risks if they have a lot of debt. The balance sheet shows a picture of the company at a specific moment. But it can be confusing if not looked at over a longer period of time. The balance sheet shows how risky a company's finances are, but just knowing how much money the company has compared to how much it owes isn't enough to know if it's a good credit risk.

Analysts need to have two reasons when checking the balance sheet. The first thing is to find out and add up all the money that is owed. Concentrating only on regular debt securities is not enough because a company has other responsibilities too. The problem is that business and accounting can be complicated, and coming up with financial securities is creative. This makes it hard to just add up all the debt obligations one by one. However, this is very important because the credit analyst's main job is to figure out if the company can pay its debts on time and in full. We will use the total amount of money owed to help us figure out how much money we will make. The second reason is to see how risky or safe a company's finances are by looking at how much debt the company has and how stable its cash flow and assets are compared to its debt.

Any money that a company owes or needs to pay is called a financial obligation. This could include loans, debts, or other liabilities. It is considered a debt if it has a set time for repayment, a steady interest rate, and required payments that cannot be delayed or avoided. On the other hand, a stock does not have a deadline for repayment, no required income, and no mandatory repayment. Corporate treasurers have many different types of loans they can use. Off-Balance-Sheet Obligations are financial responsibilities or debts of a company that are not recorded on the company's balance sheet.

If you don't pay for these things, you may be taken to court and could end up bankrupt. There are many other responsibilities that have legal consequences but are not listed on the company's balance sheet. However, they are still obligations for the company. These obligations act like debt and should be included when calculating the total amount of money owed, along with other types of debt like traditional, structured, and hybrid debt. It's not easy to calculate all the obligations that are not listed on the balance sheet. There are different ways to accurately evaluate each item. However, each analyst must think about the economic truths of each item.

For instance, when there is not enough money for pensions and medical expenses after retirement, we need to find a way to pay for them. Responsibility should be seen as a promise to pay back. The money you expect to receive from an operating lease in the future is a good way to figure out how much debt the lease represents. The total amount of guaranteed debt and factored receivables is like having debt. When it comes to possible debts, it's okay to estimate how much the company might have to pay. Depending on the agreement, a portion of the current value of a take-or-pay contract could be used as a loan. Finally, if a company or venture owes less than 50 percent of its value, we need to think about whether it can support itself and if the parent company is willing to help. Often, the main company doesn't have to legally help pay off the debt, and the agreement might say that the debt cannot be collected from the main company. However, we can expect that the leaders will make a decision based on money, which could mean they will provide financial help if the organization is very important. Leverage Ratios measure how much a company relies on debt to finance its operations and grow.

After adding up all the money the business owes, there are some ratios that show how much debt the business is using. Simply put, they calculate how much debt is used compared to the total capital used. There are different ways to figure out how balanced a balance sheet is. The most common way is to measure debt leverage. The ratios we just talked about show how much a company is using borrowed money in different ways. Taking on a lot of debt means the company borrowed more money than it made from selling its own shares. It's usually more than half of the money the company has. However, deciding how much a company should borrow depends on their credit rating and the value of their assets.

Good assets that are worth a lot and make a lot of money can be used more than assets that are not worth as much and don't make as much money. For instance, if you use cash as collateral for a loan, you could borrow the same amount as the cash. But if you use an overvalued asset as collateral, you would likely only be able to borrow half of its value. In simpler terms, a food company with stable inventory and cash flow can borrow more money than an unpredictable electronics company with uncertain inventory and cash flow, even if they have the same credit rating. If a company does different types of business, we need to check how good their assets are for each one. Like all ratio analysis, comparing different companies' assets and leverage is the best way to figure out the right amount of borrowing for a business.

**Asset Values**

This book doesn't recommend doing a detailed check on the value of all assets, but it's important to know the difference between the values on the balance sheet and the actual value of assets. Different things have different levels of certainty about how much they are worth. Cash and short-term financial investments are very safe and certain to keep their value. Accounts receivable and inventories can be quite certain, but there is still some risk involved with their value and the possibility of not getting paid. Property, plant, and equipment are the things a company uses to make money. They can change in value because the cash flow they make can change. Additionally, the value of assets that a company uses to operate its business can be calculated incorrectly on the balance sheet. For example, when a company buys another company, the value of the new company might be shown as higher than it actually is. And the value of old things like buildings and equipment might be shown as lower than they actually are. Analysts need to understand how accounting works to book assets correctly.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, brings together important information about studying and understanding the risks involved in finance. It helps financial professionals, executives, and policymakers understand and deal with risks so they can make good decisions in today's changing financial world. We talk about how to manage financial risks and we focus on risk appetite, risk tolerance, and good governance. Case studies show examples where careful risk analysis helped make smart decisions, making the organization stronger financially and keeping it safe from unexpected problems.

The summary also looks at the worldwide view, thinking about how markets in different countries, rules changing, and economic changes add to the difficulty of analyzing financial risks. The article talks about how risk management helps to deal with challenges across different countries and keeps the money system stable worldwide.

**REFERENCES:**

[1] M. Jin, Y. Wang, and Y. Zeng, “Application of Data Mining Technology in Financial Risk Analysis,” *Wirel. Pers. Commun.*, 2018.

[2] F. J. Jimenez Serrano and A. Kazda, “A streamlined financial risk analysis for airports: Case study of an airport disruption,” in *Transportation Research Procedia*, 2018.

[3] K. Valaskova, T. Kliestik, and M. Kovacova, “Management of financial risks in Slovak enterprises using regression analysis,” *Oeconomia Copernicana*, 2018.

[4] A. Ribeiro, C. S. J. E Silva, A. C. Ferraz Filho, and J. R. S. Scolforo, “Financial and risk analysis of African mahogany plantations in Brazil,” *Cienc. e Agrotecnologia*, 2018.

[5] K. H. Onarheim, O. F. Norheim, and I. Miljeteig, “Newborn health benefits or financial risk protection? An ethical analysis of a real-life dilemma in a setting without universal health coverage,” *J. Med. Ethics*, 2018.

[6] G. P. R. Wibowo, “The Use of Financial Ratio and Business Risk Analysis to Predict Financial Distress in Indonesia,” *J. Ilm. Mhs. FEB*, 2018.

[7] C. Mudzingiri, J. W. Muteba Mwamba, and J. N. Keyser, "Financial behavior, confidence, risk preferences and financial literacy of university students," *Cogent Econ. Financ.*, 2018.

[8] R. M. Serrasqueiro and T. S. Mineiro, "Corporate risk reporting: Analysis of risk disclosures in the interim reports of public Portuguese non-financial companies," *Contaduria y Adm.*, 2018.

[9] M. Hudakova, J. Dvorsky, K. Buganova, and L. Kozubikova, "Analysis and evaluation of market and financial risks in small and medium-sized enterprises," *Commun. - Sci. Lett. Univ. Žilina*, 2018.

[10] C. A. de Assis *et al.*, "Cellulose micro- and nanofibrils (CMNF) manufacturing - financial and risk assessment," *Biofuels, Bioprod. Biorefining*, 2018.

[11] L. Kumar, A. Jindal, and N. R. Velaga, "Financial risk assessment and modelling of PPP based Indian highway infrastructure projects," *Transp. Policy*, 2018.

[12] A. Rashid and A. Mehmood, "Downside Risk Analysis of Returns Financial Institutions of Pakistan," *Indones. J. Manag. Bus. Econ.*, 2018.

# CHAPTER 6

# EXPLORING THE COMPONENTS OF CASH FLOW ADEQUACY

Dr. Lourden Selvamani, Assistant Professor
Department of Finance, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School
Jain (Deemed to be University), Bangalore, Karnataka, India
Email Id- dr.lourden_selvamani@cms.ac.in

**ABSTRACT**:

The critical concept of cash flow adequacy and its pivotal role in determining the financial health and sustainability of businesses. Cash flow adequacy is a key metric that evaluates an organization's ability to generate and maintain sufficient cash to meet its operational, investment, and financing needs. This analysis explores the components of cash flow, measurement techniques, and the strategic considerations necessary for ensuring robust cash flow adequacy. The abstract begins by defining cash flow adequacy and delineating its importance in providing organizations with the liquidity required to fund day-to-day operations, invest in growth opportunities, and fulfill financial obligations. It highlights the distinction between operating, investing, and financing cash flows, emphasizing their collective impact on overall cash flow adequacy. Various quantitative metrics and ratios utilized to assess cash flow adequacy are discussed, including free cash flow, the cash conversion cycle, and liquidity ratios. The abstract explores how these metrics offer insights into a company's ability to weather economic uncertainties, navigate market fluctuations, and maintain financial resilience.

**KEYWORDS**:

Business Environment, Capital Allocation, Cash Flow Analysis, Financial Health, Financial Obligations, Financial Resilience.

## INTRODUCTION

People who invest in stocks and bonds pay close attention to how much money a company makes and spends before deciding to invest. Investors who buy stocks pay attention to how well a company is doing, like how much money its making. Companies that make a lot of money usually can get more money from investors and have higher stock prices. So, the bosses really care about making a lot of money. However, just having a high income alone does not necessarily mean you have good credit. Also, we don't pay attention to the old-fashioned way of measuring if we can afford to pay our debt. We believe it's more accurate to look at how much cash we have, not just our earnings on paper. Instead, we look at how much money a business makes compared to what it spends, and how well it is doing overall. These measurements help us see how successful a business is. Growth rates in how much money a company makes and how much it earns also show how well it's doing. They also confirm that the company's plans are working [1], [2].

### Profitability ratios show a company's ability to make money

There are many ways to measure ratios in accounting because of the different rules and ways that companies record their income and spending. That's why this book suggests using fairly simple math to compare ratios between companies. Changes are often made to keep comparisons fair, such as removing one-time expenses like write-offs, money set aside for lawsuits, and gains or losses from dealing with other countries' money. There may be several changes needed to account for hidden debts or assets that are only partly owned [3], [4].

The current ratio levels are important, but what's more important are the patterns in the ratios, the predictions for the ratios, and how they compare to other companies in the industry. In general, if profit margins and returns on investment grow by 7 to 10 percent, that's good. But if they only grow by 0 to 2 percent, that's not so good. That is good to know because it shows how strong or weak something is, but it doesn't tell us what is really going on. Looking at just one moment in time can be wrong unless we also look at how things have been changing over time and make a prediction for the future. For instance, a company that makes 22 cents of profit for every dollar it sells and gets 22 cents back for every dollar invested might be seen as a good credit risk. However, if the numbers had been steadily decreasing from 30 percent over the past five years and it's expected to be 10 to 15 percent in the future, the assessment would be very different. Analyzing trends and predicting the future has to be connected to the evaluation of the business surroundings and the company's place in the market and against its competition.

**Comparing yourself to others**

As a result, comparing with peers is also important because it helps to understand each ratio better. Profitability ratios show how well a business is doing and can be used to compare them with others in the same industry. We are not suggesting specific earnings goals because different businesses and regions have different ways of making money and different economic cycles. In some industries, doing well might not be good enough in others.

For instance, companies that make medicine usually make a lot of money, while companies that make weapons don't make as much money but still get a good return on their investment. Even though they are different, both types of companies may be seen as having the same quality. The analyst should look at how profitable a company is compared to others in the same industry to decide if they are doing well or not. They should also think about how different industries might affect this. In the end, looking at how much money similar companies make can help us see which company is doing better. If a company has higher profit ratios, it is likely in a better competitive position [5], [6].

Additionally, if the number of competitors is changing or expected to change, the evaluation of quality may also change. This is because the changing number of competitors may show a change in how strong they are compared to each other or in the market. Specifically, if the amount of money a business makes on each item it sells goes up or down, it could mean things are changing for the business. Maybe it's costing more to run the business, or prices have changed. Similarly, when the money you invest makes more or less money, or when something grows faster or slower, you should ask questions about how well your things are doing, how the market is, how the economy is, and if the people in charge are changing their plans.

When trying to predict how well something will do in the future, it's important to think about different possibilities. Even small changes in the business, economy, or competition can affect how well it does. It's best to think about a few different situations to make sure you're prepared for anything. This analysis focuses on predicting what the managers will do in these situations. Understanding how much risk a company is okay with and their financial rules can help predict what they might do in the future. For example, a boss who makes bold decisions could borrow money to buy other companies when business is slow to make up for the drop in profits. In this situation, a more careful management would reduce spending and save money for the future. Both choices have an impact on how much money we think we'll make and may change how we view the assets. The profitability analysis needs to consider many things, like how much money is being made, how it's changing over time, what we expect in the future, and how it compares to other things. However, when studying future performance, we need to consider other things like how the company is managed and the overall economy. For instance, a plan to grow, invest more money, or buy another company will make people think the company will make more money. Selling things, what other companies do, and changes in the economy will also affect our finances. So, looking at how much money a company makes is a great way to see if the management's plans are working well and if they fit with what's happening in the industry. If the expected results don't match the actual results, the analyst needs to figure out why or what caused the change. The responses might lead to a new prediction about the company's money situation or a different view on how well the business is expected to do.

## DISCUSSION

Donald Trump says that in real estate deals, it's best to use cash. The same is true for credit quality. A company's money and the money coming in are its most important assets. All the factors we have talked about in this book are meant to help us understand the cash flow analysis. Studying industry and business risks helps determine how big, how fast, and how stable a company can grow. These are shown by looking at how much money they make. Analyzing the balance sheet helps us understand how much debt the company owes and whether it can afford to pay them back. Cash flow analysis helps to understand the situation better and helps in making a decision about whether to give credit or not. Many things like debt, interest, dividends, wages, and other expenses, are paid with real money, not just with accounting earnings. Based on this idea, credit analysts need to carefully figure out how the business earns and uses money. First, we need to understand what cash flow means, because it's called by many different names. Cash flow is the money a company actually receives and spends. It's the amount of money left over after paying expenses and accounting for things like depreciation and taxes. It's also known as funds flow or cash from operations. This is the most common way to define cash flow. It shows how much cash a business makes in a specific time period. This is the beginning to compare funding analyses, specifically how well cash generated pays for expenses and debts [7], [8].

Many analysts use "earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization" as a way to estimate cash flow and as part of different ratios. EBITDA is helpful because it makes it easy to compare debt and debt interest. However, it also has its restrictions. Actually, Moody's says in its report that EBITDA has good and bad points. We agree with a lot of what Moody's said, especially that EBITDA should not be the only way to show how much cash a company has. However, we think that it's important to consider EBITDA when evaluating different types of cash flow because many analysts use it. There are many different ways to think about cash flow. All these changes update how money moves in and out of a business, to account for the different ways cash is used. Some business activities need to happen before debt interest is paid. Otherwise, the business can't run and won't make any money. For example, operating cash flow is the money earned from business activities after accounting for changes in working capital. Changes in working capital show the money the business uses and receives in the short term. This includes getting cash from customers, paying bills to suppliers, and changing the amount of products in stock. Changes in working capital can either make the cash flow go up or down each year. If accounts receivable or inventories go up, it means that cash is being used. If accounts payable or inventories go down, it means the opposite. Most analysts like to use operating cash flow because it gives a better picture of how much cash a company is making from its regular operations. The United States, indeed. Companies have to show how money comes in and goes out in a certain way according to financial rules. Free operating cash flow is a measure of the cash made from regular business activities. "Free operating cash flow is found by taking away capital expenditures from operating cash flow. Investing money in equipment and resources is really important for a business to keep running. Without it, the business won't make it. Experts must understand that any changes in the money used for daily operations and making long-term investments have to be paid for by either using money earned within the company or by borrowing from outside sources. Finding out how much money is still available after paying for everyday business expenses and investing in long-term assets will show how much extra money is needed from outside sources. Understanding how a company handles its money is important for choosing how much debt and equity to use. Free cash flow is a useful way to predict the company's financial situation and see how flexible it is.

In terms of flexibility, extra cash flow adds back money paid out as dividends to the company's free cash flow, because these payouts are optional. Some analysts might also want to include the part of planned spending that is optional, like a plant expansion that is not very important. We want to figure out what the company can do when business is not so good, so we suggest that credit analysts find out how much money the company has to pay back its debts. To calculate that, we add interest payments and no maintenance capital spending to free operating cash flow.

Another way to figure out free cash flow was found in a study at Georgia Tech. The study said that companies report free cash flow in different ways because there is no standard definition for it. Their proposed "benchmark definition" is "the money generated from the day-to-day running of the business, minus the amount spent on long-term investments and any payments to preferred stockholders. Cash flow ratios measure a company's ability to generate cash and manage its expenses. These ratios help investors and creditors understand if a company can meet its financial obligations and sustain its operations. It is an important indicator of a company's financial health [9], [10].

Because there are a lot of cash flow ratios to look at, it's important to understand what they are measuring and which companies they are best for. Generally, cash flow ratios show how well a company can pay back its debts, cover short-term interest expenses, and fund big expenses. The ratios used by an analyst should always focus on the future to give the best idea of how good the credit quality will be. It's important to look at cash flow ratios when evaluating loans, especially for companies with lower credit ratings. Strong companies can easily borrow money when they need it, but weaker companies have a harder time and have to rely on the money they make themselves. Weaker companies are at risk right now, so it's important for them to focus on paying off their debt and making sure they have enough money on hand. More powerful companies have risks that last for a long time. They might focus more on paying off debts and spending money on investments over time. Some important ratios to keep an eye on are debt payback ratios, which show how much cash a company has compared to its debt; payment ratios, which show if a company has enough money to pay interest, principal, and other fixed costs; and capital investment coverage ratios, which track the cash available for investing in new things. At this point, you should know that corporate credit analysis is all about figuring out if a company is at risk of not having enough money to pay back what it owes on time. An organization has financial flexibility if it has different ways of getting money when it needs it, so it can avoid missing payments.

This analysis looks at two main things. First, find out when it's most important to have money available and figure out how much cash you need in those situations. The second thing we need to figure out is how much money the company can get when it needs it. However, understanding liquidity is not just about adding up where the money comes from and where it goes. It also does not depend on ratios [11], [12].

Analyzing how easily a company can turn its assets into cash is very important. Liquidity is an important part of understanding a company's financial health. It is connected to all the other aspects of analyzing a company's creditworthiness. Since having enough cash is usually very important to a company, it's important to understand their current and future financial situation. However, to do that, we need to understand how the company's business environment, industry, and financial relationships work, as well as the company's business strategy, financial policies, and tendencies.

Liquidity needs can happen for many reasons and affect all kinds of companies. Certainly, these needs are most often found in companies with poor credit. Actually, checking how easily a company can turn its assets into cash is very important when deciding whether to lend them money. It should be a big factor in the final decision. Yes, if a company's performance slowly gets worse, it can cause money problems. Even a company that is doing well and doesn't have too much debt can still have problems with having enough money when something unexpected happens. In some situations, companies that are at a high risk of having money problems seem to have enough money until something unexpected happens. So, credit analysts need to watch out for things that could make a company spend a lot of money.

There are many other unexpected events in a company's day-to-day operations that can cause money problems. A primary event is when a debt obligation is paid off. If the debt is handled well, the payments will be spread out over many years without any big increases in one year. A maturity or repayment schedule is not just about making the final payments on long-term bonds. It also includes paying off debts owed for commercial paper, making sinking fund payments for long-term debts, borrowing money from bank credit facilities that are about to expire, and redeeming preferred stock.

Analysts need to know that some loans and bonds may have their repayment dates moved up due to certain conditions written in the debt agreements, such as material adverse change clauses, financial conditions, and rating triggers. In these situations, the company's debt becomes due sooner if something specific happens, like a big change in the company's business, a decrease in a certain financial measure, or the company's credit rating dropping to a risky level. When these agreements are in place, analysts need to keep an eye on whether something might happen that could affect the company's finances, and how much money the company has available at that time.

Other important financial responsibilities, even those that are not listed on official financial statements, also need to be thought about because they could require a lot of money. This includes big spending on new projects, buying other companies, and paying for things like rent and pensions. It also includes paying taxes and dealing with any legal issues or promises made by affiliated companies. Even when looking at very reliable companies, it's important to know how long they have to pay back their debts.

In all the examples before, the people in charge have to quickly decide how to get the money needed to make the payment. Having more ways to get money and being able to use it when needed is a good thing. A company can get money in three ways: by making it on their own, spending less money, or getting it from others. An organization's own sources of money start with the amount of extra cash it has or short-term investments. This is the easiest to get money. However, it's important to know that most companies will only use their large amount of cash as a last option. "Money made from daily business activities is another good way to get funds. " We should look at how much money comes in and goes out. We need to see if the money we spend on big projects and dividends is necessary. Also, we need to consider how much extra money we have after paying for regular expenses. To see if a company can make extra money, we need to know about the economy, how the business is doing, and what the company needs to operate. Normally, the money made by a company won't be enough to cover a large increase in cash needs. This situation usually means needing to get money from other people through the financial markets. Usually, commercial paper is a good way for big and stable companies to get quick access to money. Commercial paper is a type of investment that doesn't last very long. People who invest in it are very cautious because it is risky. During uncertain times in the market or when people are worried about money, access may be limited. So, having easy access to commercial paper that is supported by bank credit is very important in case there is a shortage of money. Bank credit facilities that are committed are the most dependable way for a company to get money when they need it. It's important to know the restrictions on these facilities. In the analysis, it's important to look at how easily a company can access money, the rules they have to follow, how they calculate their financial rules, the approvals they need to change their borrowing agreements, and how they work with their banks.

The bond and stock markets are also important places to get money that should be thought about. This means that the treasurer can use all different kinds of financial investments. So, a company's ability to use their valuable assets as security is an important way for them to have access to quick money. For instance, Ford and General Motors had less access to borrowing money in 2002 because their credit ratings were lowered by rating agencies. Both companies were able to keep enough money on hand by using more receivables to secure loans. Many companies don't have as much money as big ones like Ford and General Motors. Also, since the willingness of investors to provide funding can change quickly, we should not expect to always have easy access to these sources of funding.

Finally, the money from selling things helps many companies have enough money to pay their bills. Big companies have a lot of extra things that they might sell. It's important to always check and see what they might want to sell. Often, managers can't sell off things or parts of the business as quickly or for as much money as they planned. Reviewing the things to be sold is an extra job, but it's important to know how likely they are to sell and how much they are worth. Management is the process of controlling and making decisions in a business or organization to achieve its goals.

Analyzing management factor is the most subjective part of studying liquidity and financial flexibility. In most situations, how much money a company has reflects on how it does business and manages its finances. A management team that is okay with working with less money should be seen as not being very good with money. Sometimes, the type of business or financial situation can be difficult, so it's important for management to be good at making sure there is enough money available. Even if you have financial problems, there are good ways to be smart with your money and be ready for any emergencies. These methods can help you avoid running out of money.

Studying financial risk is like looking at all the possible problems that could happen in a business. It helps us understand how risky a business is and how likely it is to have problems paying back loans. It's an important part of deciding whether to lend money to a business. It checks what the company is good at and what it needs to improve on. It also looks at how well the business is doing overall. It shows how much money the company owes and if it can afford to repay it. Finally, it shows how well the company can handle tough situations. It looks at ratio analysis, but it's not just about looking at numbers and comparing them. It's about looking at how well a company is doing financially and how risky it is to see if it can keep paying its debts in the future. The analysis looks at the company's financial situation, including how much money it makes, how much cash it makes, and how flexible it is with its money. Although these areas are connected, each one has its own unique analysis.

The balance sheet shows how much debt a company has compared to its assets, which helps us understand its financial risk. Analysts have two goals. One thing is to find out all the money that is owed. Corporate treasurers can choose from many different financial options to decide how to fund their company. People are expected to make a money decision. There are different types of securities, some are easy to understand, and others are more complicated. Figuring out how much money is still owed for each of these responsibilities can be difficult sometimes. Also, some obligations are not shown on the balance sheet. Many debts and lease arrangements are not included on the official financial statements. Analysts need to figure out the best way to add up the obligations, even if they are tricky or not listed on a financial statement. The second goal is to find out if the company's financing is risky or safe. Once all the things you owe money for are known, different ways of looking at how much you owe and comparing it to what you own will help someone figure out if you are in good financial shape or not. Measuring how profitable a business is, is very important because it shows how well the company's assets are performing financially.

Corporate managers are very focused on them because of this. Profit margins, returns on investment, and growth rates are important ways to measure how well a company is doing. But it's even more helpful to look at these factors in the context of how they have changed over time, what experts predict for the future, and how the company compares to similar ones. It is a clear sign that the business is doing well or not if the trend in the forecast follows the trend in sales. Also, the predictions about what will happen in the future should match what the business is hoping for. This means that when there is more competition in business, profits and returns will go down. If a business strategy is working well, the profits and returns should go up. Comparing with other similar companies helps to see how well a company is doing in its industry.

Cash and cash generation are the most important money a company has because it's needed to pay debts and cover all other expenses and investments. There are lots of explanations of cash flow. No matter which version is used, analysts need to compare how much money a company earns with how much debt it has to pay, how much interest it owes, and how much it spends on investments. This will show how well the company can cover its expenses. Financial flexibility means being able to get money from different places when you really need it. Credit analysts need to find when it's important to have extra money and then figure out how much money is needed. Sometimes, unexpected things happen that can put a lot of strain on a company's money, like big lawsuits and unexpected events that affect entire industries. Other common situations include having to pay off debt soon and making big investments in the company. Usually, getting money in these situations is just a normal part of doing business. For small companies, this could be a way to survive. Apart from having cash and making money, there are other ways to get funds. Borrowing money from a bank, selling short-term loans, and selling stocks and bonds are common ways to get money from others. Experts should also look into the company's ability to sell things it owns, reduce the money it gives to shareholders, spend less on investments, and get help with money from a bigger company.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, important ideas about how having enough money coming in is really important for staying financially secure. This helps financial experts, business leaders, and people involved in the company to understand, evaluate, and improve the amount of money coming in, with practical ideas for making the company stronger financially in a constantly changing business world. We will talk about how to manage and make sure there is enough money coming in, including how to handle the money we use for everyday expenses, plan for big purchases, and use good financial practices. Case studies show how smart management of money has helped organizations to be flexible and able to take advantage of opportunities and deal with money problems. The summary also talks about how things outside of a company's control, like market conditions, interest rates, and rules can affect how much money a company has. It emphasizes how important it is to be flexible and plan for different situations when dealing with changing economic conditions.

**REFERENCES:**

[1] "An Analytical Study of the Risks of Using Credit Uncover Cash Flow Statement and Its Impact on Capital Adequacy a Case Study in the Agricultural Bank," *Muthanna J. Adm. Econ. Sci.*, 2018.

[2] A. I. Erdogan, "Factors affecting SME access to bank financing: an interview study with Turkish bankers," *Small Enterp. Res.*, 2018.

[3] F. Díaz, G. G. Ramírez, and L. Liu, "Corporate bond clawbacks as contingent capital for banks," *J. Financ. Stab.*, 2018.

[4] F. Didin, M. Mochamad, and Jusni, "How measuring financial performance," *Int. J. Civ. Eng. Technol.*, 2018.

[5] D. Y. Gulleng and P. M. Suchi, "Impact of National Social Investment Programmes on Poverty and Youth Unemployment in Plateau State, 2016-2019," *Ann. Soc. Sci. Acad. Niger.*, 2018.

[6] Y. Liu, "Role of a forward-capacity market to promote electricity use reduction in the residential sector—a case study of the potential of social housing participation in the Electricity Demand Reduction Pilot in the UK," *Energy Effic.*, 2018.

[7] S. K. Haldar, "Mineral Exploration," in *Mineral Exploration*, 2018.

[8] R. S. Pradhan, "Capital Budgeting Practices in Nepal: A Survey," *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2018.

[9] I. Khan, W. Rahman, S. Jan, and M. Khan, "Comparative Analysis of Financial Performance and Growth of Conventional and Islamic Banks in Pakistan," *Sarhad J. Manag. Sci.*, 2017.

[10] Q. L. Burke and M. M. Wieland, "Value relevance of banks′ cash flows from operations," *Adv. Account.*, 2017.

[11] L. F. Tamengkel and H. S. Tarore, "Analisis Laporan Arus Kas Untuk Mengukur Likuiditas Pada Pt. Btpn, Tbk," *None*, 2016.

[12] R. Mappanyukki, H. D. Prakoso, and S. A. Irwandi, "The Impact of Free Cash Flow and Good Corporate Governance on Earning Management of Banking Companie Listed on The Indonesian Stock Exchange," *Res. J. Financ. Account.*, 2016.

# CHAPTER 7

# A REVIEW OF CASH FLOW FORECASTING AND MODELING

Dr. Manita D Shah, Professor
Department of Finance, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School
Jain (Deemed to be University), Bangalore, Karnataka, India
Email Id- dr.manita_shah@cms.ac.in

**ABSTRACT**:
The integral realm of cash flow forecasting and modeling, unraveling the methodologies, tools, and strategic insights essential for effective financial planning and decision-making. Cash flow forecasting stands as a linchpin in financial management, offering businesses the foresight needed to navigate uncertainties, optimize resource allocation, and fortify their financial positions. This analysis delves into the components of cash flow modeling, forecasting techniques, and the strategic considerations inherent in leveraging this critical financial tool. The abstract begins by defining cash flow forecasting and highlighting its significance in providing organizations with a forward-looking view of their inflows and outflows. It explores the distinction between short-term and long-term forecasting, emphasizing the nuanced strategies required for each to meet diverse organizational objectives. Various modeling techniques and tools employed in cash flow forecasting are discussed, ranging from traditional spreadsheet models to advanced financial software. The abstract delves into the role of artificial intelligence and data analytics in enhancing the accuracy and granularity of cash flow models, facilitating more informed decision-making.

**KEYWORDS**:

Artificial Intelligence, Business Environment, Cash Flow Forecasting, Data Analytics, Financial Planning, Machine Learning.

## INTRODUCTION

Certain things that bring in money are specific to certain industries, while others are more general, like the cost of getting money or how quickly people pay what they owe. Generally, they are the most important factors used to make sensitivity analysis in a financial prediction. In areas where money comes from how much something costs and how much is sold, the analyst will predict how much money will come in by guessing how much the product will cost and how many will be sold. In other areas, it may be harder to figure out what causes the money to come in without knowing a lot about the industry. In these cases, it might be helpful to look at how the overall economy is doing. For example, a company's income will increase or decrease depending on how well the country's economy is doing. Time horizon means the period of time over which you want to achieve your goals or make an investment. The best time to make financial predictions should be the same as the time when you might owe money. When there is a risk of refinancing, the time frame for dealing with the loan lasts longer than just the maturity date. Put simply, if you take a loan that you have to pay back in seven years, your financial plan should also cover seven years.

The money we expect to get in the future needs to be enough to pay off our debts. We should plan for as long as it takes to pay off our debts for each deal. Some essential businesses can stay strong and steady for a long time. Most businesses find it hard to predict what will happen in the future, even if they know a lot about their industry. They can usually only plan for the next two or three years. Predicting things far into the future is difficult, but it can show important patterns. It's important to regularly update the financial forecasts as new information comes in. Most financial predictions assume that past results can sometimes show what may happen in the future. Many people have a bias against relying on past performance because in real life, business outcomes can be unpredictable. A new market problem can happen, companies can act in new ways, or a company may decide to buy a big business or build a new factory because of changes in the industry or their plans [1], [2].

Ignoring the past is not a good idea, just like assuming the future will be the same as the past. The past gives credit analysts a solid foundation for making assumptions. Analysts need to know how the economy works, competition, and how companies plan to be able to predict how well a company will do in the future.

**Problems with Predicting Finances**

First, always remember the saying "if you put bad stuff in, you'll get bad stuff out. Creating financial projections is not a mysterious skill, but it also isn't just making random guesses. Analysts need to make well-informed guesses. If the model works well, the results will be good only if the inputs are good too. Doing simple checks can help reduce mistakes. For example, analysts need to always watch the operating margin. If costs have been going up a lot over the years, maybe people forgot to think about inflation. Secondly, financial forecasts show if a company can pay its debt, but not if it wants to. In other words, the company's leaders can make choices that hurt the company's ability to pay its debts. This could happen if they take on more debt to buy another company or give out a big dividend to shareholders. Analysts should always explain their predictions with an evaluation of the industry's situation, how the company is being run, and the financial decisions being made. Third, it is really hard to predict things like wars, natural disasters, or fraud in future plans unless experts use very advanced models. Finally, making predictions can be difficult when the company's structure is really complicated, like with private investment holding companies, or when important information is not available. However, it is better to try even if it is not perfect. Trying will also help to show exactly what makes disclosing uncomfortable [3], [4].

## DISCUSSION

We talk about the dangers of borrowing money for companies. Some of these dangers are not connected to how well the company is doing, but are based on the kind of debt used, how the different debts compare, and the rules for the relationship between borrower and lender. Other risks of debt instruments are connected to how well a company can make money. This is important when deciding how likely it is that a debt can be paid back if a company goes bankrupt.

**Debt Instruments**

When a company wants to borrow money, they have many choices. They can get bonds or loans, borrow for a short time or a long time, borrow from private or public markets, and choose different types of debt. They can also decide if the loan is secured with assets or not, and where the money will come from. Every type of loan serves a different purpose, so a company must figure out which one they need before choosing one. For example, if a store is opening new locations, it will need more money to stock the shelves with products. A line of credit from a bank that is backed by money owed to the company and the things it has in stock might be the best option for this need. On the other hand, an airline company buying new planes might be better off using a type of lease financing, which is commonly used in that industry [5], [6].

The choices depend on the place where the money is being raised. In the United States, banks don't lend as much as they used to. Instead, they put together loans, keep some for themselves, and sell most of them to big investors like insurance companies or pension funds. Banks in

Europe and Asia still lend a lot of money, but now they are selling some of these loans to big investors. In some markets, some types of debt exist because of rules or taxes. The rules for loans can be very different, depending on how good the borrower's credit is and when they get the loan. Overall, companies that have a good credit score will get loans with lower interest rates and longer payment periods.

Funding with easier rules. However, companies will also have more power to bargain and get easier loan terms when the economy is growing, and companies are making good money and banks have a lot of money. Sometimes it might just be a matter of how much something costs. Credit analysts need to know the important documents that control the agreements between people who lend money and people who borrow it, in order to evaluate a debt instrument. The main types of contracts are loan agreements and bond indentures. They consist of standard sections.

The job of credit analysts is to check these documents to make sure they show the right amount of risk. While this might be a bit complex, it is an important basic part for the next one, which talks about debt plans.

**Debt investments or loans**

In this article, we introduce the most important types of business loans and bonds. These types include loans, bonds, and many variations of them. We explain these loans and how companies can use them to get money. If you want to learn more about how market-based instruments are priced, you can look in a fixed-income textbook. Loans mean borrowing money and paying it back later with interest [7], [8].

Banks usually give corporate loans, but other types of companies and people can also give them. In simple terms, corporate loans come in two types: bilateral or syndications. In both situations, credit analysts need to be sure to tell the difference between uncommitted and committed credit options. A bank can agree to lend money to someone, but they can change their mind and not lend the money after all. In some cases, the bank has the right to sell the loan commitment. On the other hand, when a bank or a group of banks offer a loan for a specific period of time, they use their money for that whole time.

**Bilateral Loans**

This type of loan is the easiest. Bank A gave Corporation X $20 million for three years. Corporation X has to pay back the full amount at the end of the three years, or they can pay it back slowly over time. Some of the money can be used for daily business expenses, and the rest for buying things that will help the business in the long run. Bilateral loans are commonly seen at small and medium-sized companies. Banks are willing to lend a lot of money to these companies without anyone else to help if the company has financial trouble. First, let's explain what a syndicated credit is: it's when two or more banks work together to lend money to a borrower. They all agree on the same terms and use the same document to do it. A few banks working together to offer credit is also called club deals [9], [10].

In syndicated credits, borrowers choose one or more banks to help arrange the loan. One bank in the group is usually named as the agent bank. The agent helps with all the discussions, money exchanges, and paperwork while the deal is happening. We want other banks to join in and help with the loan. Flexibility and quick execution, even for a lot of money, because borrowers and lenders can agree on the terms and conditions privately. Few rules about making information public. Also, the ability to split the risk with other banks. Banks can sell off their loans to other buyers if they need to, especially in the United States and more and more in

Europe too. One big problem with the syndicated credit market is that in most cases, you can't get credit for more than 10 years. On the other hand, if you have good credit, you can easily borrow money in the bond market for up to 30 years. The main kinds of borrowed money shared among many lenders are:

Lenders give out term loans that can be paid back over 10 years. Some loans need to be paid in full at the end, while others are paid off gradually over time. The price is usually based on LIBOR plus an extra amount that shows the credit risk. The money can be taken out in one or more different currencies.

The money from term loans is usually used to pay for things like buildings, machinery, and equipment. Term loans are when banks agree to lend money to someone as long as they follow the rules of the loan agreement. A mezzanine loan is a kind of long-term loan with a single repayment at the end, and it's not as important as other loans. Mezzanine debt is usually used to finance leveraged buyouts.

Other types of term loans include commercial paper backup facilities. If a business can't keep using its loan program, it can use a bank loan to pay back people who lent it money. Revolving credit is a lot like a term loan, but it gives you more flexibility to borrow, pay back, and borrow again. Each time money is taken out, it has to be paid back at the end of a specified time. Although the person who borrows money can ask the lenders to extend the loan for another period of time with interest. Revolving credit facilities are often used to fund the everyday needs of a business, like buying stock or getting money from customers. Standby credit facilities are like a credit card that the bank can cancel at any time. Bonds, notes, and debentures are types of loans that companies and governments use to borrow money from investors. Bonds, notes, and debentures are all types of loans that are sold to the public and can be traded later. They follow rules in a contract called an indenture. A trustee makes sure the rules are followed to protect the bondholders.

In the USA, bonds, notes, and debentures are recorded with the Securities and Exchange Commission. In other areas, they are approved by local officials. Insurance companies, pension funds, and mutual funds are usually the ones who invest in long-term corporate debt. Their time in a job can be up to 30 years, and there are even some fixed-income investments that last forever. Bonds, notes, and debentures are usually not issued for less than three years [11], [12].

**Private Placements**

Private placements are a mix of loans and bonds. They usually last a long time and are mostly sold to insurance companies. The rules for documenting and sharing information about debts are decided by the people involved, which makes the process less difficult. Usually, private placements are smaller than loans or bonds and are used by corporate treasurers to diversify their funding sources. Private placements may not have a credit rating, so the National Association of Insurance Commissioners in the United States gives a credit assessment to its members. The goal is to make sure that insurance companies can understand and calculate the risks they are dealing with. In the United States, there are two main kinds of private investments:

Real private placements are deals between a person borrowing money and an insurance company. The insurance company gives the borrower a big amount of money that the borrower can pay back over a long time. Most of the time, these loans are backed by something valuable. The rules about what information needs to be shared are based on what both sides have agreed. Regulation 144A securities are private investments with standard paperwork that can be bought and sold by professional investors, but not by regular people. Most of these investments are given a rating by rating companies. These investments can be officially recorded with the SEC, making it easier to buy and sell them and get money more quickly. 144A securities with registration rights should be handled like bonds or debentures in most cases. Convertible debt is a type of borrowing that can be changed into stock in the company.

Convertible debt instruments are different types of loans that can be turned into a specific amount of regular shares at a set rate whenever the person who has the loan decides to do that. This loan can be changed into shares of a company, so it is not as important as other types of debt. Convertible debt usually lasts for up to 10 years, but in reality, it is often paid off sooner. The interest rate on the coupon is lower than on traditional long-term debt. Investors are hoping that if the company does well and their investment turns into ownership of the company, they will make up for the lower interest rate. Investors like to use this option when they think the borrower's situation is getting better.

Companies that are trying to create new products, like tech or biotech companies, might use convertible debt to get money. If the project goes well, the lender can trade the debt for ownership in the project and benefit from its success. If the project doesn't do well or fails, the lender keeps the debt. Companies that are changing their business may use convertible debt when investors think that the company will improve. Just like before, they can gain if things go well, and they also have some protection if things go badly with a loan. From the company's perspective, issuing this debt helps to strengthen its financial position when the main lenders won't give them more money, and the stock price is too low for them to sell more shares. Successful companies sometimes borrow money that can later be turned into stocks if they think their stock is worth more than what it's being sold for. This helps them put off getting new investors. In business deals where companies join together, they might give out convertible debt instead of regular stock to delay paying taxes. In terms of getting a loan, convertible debt is a unique type of loan that raises the issue of how much credit should be given to it.

**Mixed Musical Instruments**

The most common type of hybrid instrument is simple preferred stock, but there are also many other more complicated types of hybrids. Actually, investment bankers have been interested in creating new and innovative hybrid things for a long time. Hybrids are made to get tax benefits like debt securities, but with the ability to delay paying back the money. The amount of money owed for hybrids is not easy to calculate. In simple words, it might be the money that needs to be paid back. In simple terms, how easily the hybrid can be delayed will determine its debt value. A simple and smart idea is to calculate these responsibilities in two different ways, one using the full value of the hybrids and the other using no value at all. Hybrids generally share several characteristics: For those who are more traditional, they have to change their investment into stock. Long-term maturity means a time period of generally over 20 years, with some without a set end date. If the company has problems, they might be able to delay paying interest. Credit analysts should keep in mind that the company chose to issue hybrid instruments instead of regular shares when deciding if they are good for the company.

**Types of Short-Term Credit for Businesses**

Different types of short-term loans that corporations can get in the money markets have different names in different places, but they have a lot of similarities. In the United States and other places, the most common type of short-term business loan is called commercial paper, also known as CP. The time it takes to pay back a loan can be as long as 364 days, but usually it's between 30 and 60 days. "Big companies like General Electric, Siemens, and General Motors use commercial paper as a way to borrow money from investors. " Investors might be at risk if the market changes a lot or if the borrower's creditworthiness changes while they are borrowing money. This could make it difficult for them to extend their borrowing time for a new period. This has happened sometimes, causing the borrower to have trouble getting enough money. Actually, it was a big problem that showed how important credit-rating agencies are in the U. SThe places where people buy and sell stocks or bonds. Therefore, companies often make plans for other ways to borrow money, usually called CP backup lines. These credit lines can come in different types, but the most common is a committed term loan. Other ways to have enough money to pay debts if needed could be having cash or investments available. But experts will have to decide if it's likely that they can be used in an emergency.

Bankers' acceptances are a type of short-term loan that banks and companies use to fund transactions. It is not as common as commercial paper, but it is still used for financing. "Banks issue acceptances at a lower price and they usually last for six months or less. " A bank acceptance is like a letter of credit that a bank agrees to after a business deal. After they're approved, BAs can be traded in public markets by the bank that accepted them.

The first two differences have lasted in general. However, the other two things have become more similar. First, with the arrival of interest-rate swaps, people who borrow money and people who invest money can easily switch between fixed and variable interest rates. So, people who want to invest in long-term debt with a changing interest rate can buy a long-term bond and exchange the fixed interest rate for a changing one. Similarly, if a bank needs it, they can change a loan's floating interest rate to a fixed rate for managing their financial records.

Secondly, loans are now being written with rules that make it easy for them to be traded, just like bonds. Loan trading started in the 1980s because banks needed to get rid of bad loans. But now, the market has changed and banks also trade good loans to lower the amount of money they need to keep on hand. Renting money to pay for something.

A capital lease is used to buy equipment and is shown on the company's balance sheet. It is paid off over time and cannot be canceled. Operating leases are like renting because they are not fully paid off and the person leasing the equipment can cancel the lease and return the equipment before the contract ends. So, operating leases are usually not shown as debts on a company's financial statement. Factoring is when you find the numbers that can be multiplied together to get a certain number. Factoring is a way to get money quickly by giving a company your customer's invoices. The company gives you the money right away, but they take a little bit off the total amount as a fee for collecting the money from your customers. Securitizations are when different types of debts, like mortgages or car loans, are combined together and sold as a single investment. Companies also use securitizations to get money when they need it. Securitization is similar to factoring, but instead of selling receivables to a third party, they are sold directly to end investors. Securitization is a way for banks and other financial companies to make money by turning financial assets into cash.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, Planning and predicting how much money will come in and go out. It helps people in finance and business understand and improve their cash flow forecasting, so they can make better financial decisions and succeed in a changing business world. We talk about how to plan for money coming in and going out. We look at different situations, test how things might change, and make sure we're prepared for risks. Case studies show how using strong cash flow planning has helped companies to deal with financial problems, take advantage of opportunities, and stay flexible in changing market conditions. The summary also talks about how working together, communicating well, and involving everyone who is affected, help make cash flow forecasting successful. It highlights how important it is to make sure that forecasting matches with the overall goals and plans of the organization.

**REFERENCES:**

[1] R. Andalib, A. Hoseini, and B. Gatmiri, "A stochastic model of cash flow forecasting considering delays in owners' payments," *Constr. Manag. Econ.*, 2018.

[2] M. Glaum, P. Schmidt, and K. Schnürer, "Processes and Accuracy of Cash Flow Forecasting: A Case Study of a Multinational Corporation," *J. Appl. Corp. Financ.*, 2018.

[3] A. A. Shash and A. Al Qarra, "Cash Flow Management of Construction Projects in Saudi Arabia," *Proj. Manag. J.*, 2018.

[4] S. Krishna Kumar, C. Sivashanmugam, and M. B. Vennela, "The relationship between cash flow management practices and perceived business performance: A proposed conceptual model," *Indian J. Financ.*, 2018.

[5] F. Salas-Molina and J. A. Rodríguez-Aguilar, "Data-driven multiobjective decision-making in cash management," *EURO J. Decis. Process.*, 2018.

[6] F. Knöll, T. Setzer, and K. Laubis, "On predictability of revisioning in corporate cash flow forecasting," in *Proceedings of the Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, 2018.

[7] J. L. Viviani, A. N. Lai, and W. Louhichi, "The impact of asymmetric ambiguity on investment and financing decisions," *Econ. Model.*, 2018.

[8] A. Burck, M. Glaum, and K. Schnürer, "Cash-Flow Planning – Requirements and Implementation in a Multinational Corporation," *Schmalenbachs Zeitschrift fur Betriebswirtschaftliche Forsch.*, 2018.

[9] Q. Fan and W. Li, "Leading indicator variables and managerial incentives in a dynamic agency setting," *Rev. Account. Stud.*, 2018.

[10] M. J. Imhof and S. E. Seavey, "How investors value cash and cash flows when managers commit to providing earnings forecasts," *Adv. Account.*, 2018.

[11] A. Detzel and J. Strauss, "Combination return forecasts and portfolio allocation with the cross-section of book-to-market ratios," *Review of Finance*. 2018.

[12] D. McBride, J. Gebhardt, N. Croft, and M. Cross, "Heap leaching: Modelling and forecasting using CFD technology," *Minerals*, 2018.

# CHAPTER 8

# EXAMINING THE CREDIT DOCUMENT ANALYSIS: A REVIEW STUDY

Dr. Mansi Kukreja, Professor
Department of Finance, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School
Jain (Deemed to be University), Bangalore, Karnataka, India
Email Id- dr.mansi_kukreja@cms.ac.in

**ABSTRACT**:

The critical domain of credit document analysis, exploring the methodologies, considerations, and strategic insights essential for evaluating the creditworthiness of individuals and businesses. In the realm of lending and financial risk management, credit document analysis serves as a cornerstone, providing lenders with a comprehensive understanding of a borrower's financial health, history, and capacity to fulfill credit obligations. This analysis examines the key components of credit documents, analytical tools, and strategic considerations inherent in conducting thorough credit assessments. The abstract begins by defining credit document analysis and highlighting its pivotal role in the credit underwriting process. It explores the diverse array of documents involved, including financial statements, credit reports, tax returns, and legal agreements, emphasizing their collective significance in forming a holistic view of a borrower's credit profile. Various analytical tools and techniques employed in credit document analysis are discussed, ranging from ratio analysis and cash flow modeling to credit scoring systems. The abstract explores the integration of technology, data analytics, and machine learning in enhancing the efficiency and accuracy of credit assessments, enabling lenders to make more informed and timely decisions.

**KEYWORDS**:

Financial Statements, Fraud Detection, Legal Agreements, Lending Decisions, Privacy, Risk Management, Stakeholder Engagement.

## INTRODUCTION

New credit analysts may feel anxious when they get a lot of papers on their desk late at night. The pile of things is big. When they turn the pages, their hands get sweaty and they feel nervous. The papers are confusing and they don't know what to do. The rest of this is about giving credit analysts a step-by-step guide on what to focus on in a normal credit agreement or bond indenture. These papers can be different depending on the type of debt, but they are usually organized in a similar way. Credit analysts will look at important documents like the credit agreement or indenture. The name of the document changes depending on if it's a loan or a bond. But no matter what it's called, it's really important because it sets out the rules for what the borrower and lender have to do for a specific loan or bond. This is like a contract. Loans are usually controlled by a credit contract. Debts can be put into different kinds of agreements like bonds, notes, and debentures. These have a lot of complicated legal words that are hard to understand and keep lawyers busy. Unfortunately, credit analysts will need to carefully read over credit agreements and contracts to make sure the correct wording is included. Over time, standard forms have been created, especially for documents governed by Anglo-Saxon law. These are often called boilerplate format. A document that outlines the key terms and conditions of a deal. Potential investors or financial backers usually get a term sheet. This sheet is like a summary of the important terms and conditions of a loan or bond. Usually, the document changes while being made as the issuer and its bankers and investors discuss and agree on the terms and conditions. Examining a term sheet quickly shows the main parts of a deal, but it's not a substitute for carefully studying the credit agreement or the indenture.

Prospectus- information about a company or investment. When they start marketing a public debt, the bankers will make a document called a prospectus. This is also known as an offering memorandum or offering circular. In the USA, this paper is stored with the SEC and you can see it on their website. In its shortest form, it includes important information like the name of the company that owes money, the amount of debt, the interest rate, when the debt is due, when interest payments are made, the price of the debt, and the names of the companies involved in issuing the debt. The prospectus has a lot of helpful information for credit analysts. It includes detailed information about possible credit risks to investors, as well as the latest audited financial reports [1], [2].

Other papers that promise to give something valuable if a loan can't be paid back. If the loan is backed by something valuable, there will be a lot of paperwork to prove it exists and to show the rules for the people involved in the agreement. It usually has a separate agreement that explains the assets being used as security and outlines the terms of the agreement between the person borrowing money and the people or companies lending the money. It is supported by papers that prove the collateral taken was legally established and given to benefit the creditors. Credit analysts will typically find the following sections in indentures and agreements of debt instruments. For new credit analysts, bond indentures are easier to understand than loan agreements. They have a cover page that tells you who issued the bond, the transaction amount, and other important details. Also, they are usually shorter because they include many documents that are usually filed separately with the regulators. On the other hand, credit agreements are more detailed and can be harder to understand. Here we look at the main points of an agreement and what they usually include. As mentioned before, there will be some variations in different places and deals, but the basic parts should be pretty much the same.

**Borrower's Fees**

The title of this governs how interest rates, margin, and fees are calculated when using credit facilities. While this is pretty easy to understand in a bond contract, it can be very technical in loan agreements. Fees are charges for different services. They include commitment fees for holding onto money, utilization fees for increasing a lender's profit, and arrangement and agent fees for the work done by the arranger and the agent [3], [4].

**Extra money that must be paid**

The goal of this is to help each lender keep their profits high. The reason for this is that lenders charge based on costs and a profit margin, so they should be compensated for all expenses, except for regular business costs and taxes. However, to not charge the borrower too much for a long deal, the borrower is usually allowed to repay a lender if the lender makes specific claims. Some examples are taxes, fees, and payments that are connected to specific events or situations.

**Entity Guarantee the Debt Obligations**

A guarantee makes sure that if a borrower cannot repay a loan, the guarantor will. It also makes sure that the guarantor keeps an eye on the borrower and doesn't interfere in certain situations. The second reason could arise if a central bank promises to pay back a borrower's debt and the lenders want to make sure it won't restrict currency exchange or do anything else that would make it harder for the borrower to pay back the debt. We will explain this further in the next pages, as it controls all the things the borrower has to do. Different from the others, this one is often the main topic of intense discussions between people who borrow money and the people they owe money to. It can be divided into three parts: The statements by the borrower that confirm its identity, the company's good condition, its ability to make the deal, the officers authorized to sign the deal, legal compliance, governing law, no default, and other similar statements. The agreement includes promises, conditions for borrowing money, details about potential lower priority, and other important details. The events of default show what counts as a default event. The Loan Agreement talks about how a loan can be given to another investor. This is important in syndicated loans, because it explains the rules for transferring a loan to someone else. Put simply, this is very important if loans are going to be sold to another party. The agreement needs to have rules for how the lenders and the agent bank will work together. Specifically, rules help the agent avoid being blamed for any money lost by the lenders because of their involvement. The lenders need to tell the agent that they checked the borrower's finances on their own and didn't just rely on the agent's information. Basically, the agent only does mechanical and operational tasks, and its authority is limited by the lending group [5], [6].

## DISCUSSION

This gives information about how the parties talk to each other, when they meet, what rules they follow, and if they have any exceptions. It might say whether you can use extra money you've paid to pay off what you owe. Which laws will be used if there is a problem with the agreement? It's important to decide on a particular legal system to interpret the contract. When people from different countries make a deal, it's hard to know which country's laws should be followed if they haven't already been decided.  Analyzing a legal document for a loan or credit: a real-life example

We will look at the important details of a bond agreement by examining two types of bonds issued by Boise Cascade Corporation, a U. S. company that makes forest products. These bonds are worth $300 million and have an interest rate of 6. 50% and are due in 2010, and $200 million with an interest rate of 7. 00% and are due in 2013. The company chose this bond agreement on purpose because it was buying another big company. Also, Standard & Poor's said it would lower Boise Cascade's credit rating from BB+ to BB with a negative outlook after the purchase. Usually, contracts for businesses with a BB rating have a good balance of normal and strict rules. Companies with good credit ratings usually agree to only a few limitations. On the other hand, many lower-rated debts are backed by assets. The goal of this is to explain in detail how a bond agreement works, so that credit analysts can understand the important parts and the less important parts. We will only talk about the basic documentation in this, and we will look at the more detailed analysis later. Firm in Good Standing: A company that is doing well and following all the rules. In credit agreements, this information is usually in a section called "Representations and Warranties". This information should be accepted as it is. It has two big benefits: First, it makes the company's management responsible if things don't go as planned; and second, analysts can investigate further if they think there are differences between what the company says and other information. This information is usually found in a main definition called "Permitted Business. In the Boise Cascade agreement, two parts need to be put together [7], [8].

"The term "Permitted Business" refers to any business that Boise and its Restricted Subsidiaries are allowed to carry out on the date of the agreement, any reasonable extension of that, and any additional business that is reasonably related, incidental, ancillary, or complementary to it. Boise and its subsidiaries can only do certain types of business. They can't do other types of business unless it won't have a big impact on Boise and its subsidiaries. In simple terms, Boise can keep selling wood products and paper, but they can't just start leasing cars or buy a chocolate factory. This rule would not be included in the contract of a high-rated investment firm. From a financial perspective, it helps the company to focus better on its business. Credit cliffs or triggers refer to specific events or circumstances that can cause a sudden and significant change in a person's credit status. Credit cliffs and triggers are rules that let people who lend money to businesses make the businesses pay back their loans if the business is not doing well.

Almost all contracts have a clause that lets the people who lent money to a company ask to be paid back if the company changes ownership. The Boise Cascade agreement is like this too: If there is a change in who controls the company, then anyone who owns the notes can make Boise buy them back. They will get 101% of the amount owed for the notes, plus any interest that hasn't been paid yet.

The change of control provision lets bondholders ask to be paid back if they don't approve of the new owner of the company. They probably won't choose this option if the owner has good credit. Other rules also keep bondholders safe if the company doesn't do well. Credit analysts need to carefully examine them, because they can cause problems. Credit cliffs are when the cost of borrowing money is based on how well a person or company is able to pay back the loan. This is measured by looking at their credit scores or how well they're rated by credit agencies.

Some company agreements with high ratings have a clause that allows them to sell their assets if their credit rating drops. In simpler terms, if the company's credit rating drops too low, the people who loaned them money can ask for it to be paid back. In this situation, a company's rating could go down to BB+ because the economy is not doing well. A BB rating means there is a higher risk of not getting paid back, but it doesn't mean that it will happen soon. However, the company might suddenly need to find new ways to pay back the money it borrowed, at a time when it is hard to get more loans. So, if a rating goes down, it can make a bad situation even worse by causing a shortage of money. This is an example of a very tricky agreement that credit analysts need to be very careful about, especially when the economy and credit are not doing well.

In an agreement, definitions are like Russian dolls. You have to start with the smallest one before you can continue. Analysts should begin with the basic definitions and then organize them in order of importance. To understand the financial rules mentioned above, especially how the Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio is calculated, credit analysts must look up the specific terms. Default happens when you don't do what you should according to the rules or agreement. Each contract or agreement clearly explains what actions would be considered a breach of the agreement. There are two main types of events where someone does something wrong: The really serious one is when someone doesn't pay what they owe, and the less serious one is when someone breaks a promise, and there are ways to fix it in the agreement. When contracts try to cover everything, they also include bankruptcy and insolvency as events when someone fails to meet their obligations [9], [10].

**Violation of a promise made between two parties.**

Contracts usually have a clause that covers all possible events that could lead to a default. This clause might be called a material adverse change clause and it can include things like making sure information is disclosed on time, completing audits, and making important decisions. Here is an example of when someone breaks a rule and it causes a loan to be in default. Boise or its related companies have 60 days to fix any problems in their agreement with the trustee or the holders of at least 25% of the debt. If they don't fix the problems within 60 days, it's a failure.

Cross-Default Provisions explain what happens when a person or company defaults on multiple loans or contracts at the same time. Also, lenders need to make sure they are covered if a connected company or individual cannot meet their financial obligations. This rule is called "cross-default" and it means if one group member doesn't follow the rules, it will be considered a rule violation for the whole group. Cross Default means if a company doesn't pay a debt, it can also be considered in default for other debts.

If a member or members of the Group owe more than £15,000,000 in total and they don't pay it back on time, or if they have to pay it back earlier than planned because of a problem or mistake, then it is a problem. It seems clear that if a company can't pay back a bond or loan, it probably can't pay its other bills either. It's very rare for a company to not pay one bill but still pay other bills. However, when the connections between different legal entities are not clear, credit analysts must make sure that there are no agreements that could cause multiple defaults at the same time.

**Remedies Exist in an Event of Default**

**Creditors are given two options to remedy a default:**

Acceleration is the rate at which an object speeds up or slows down. If lenders are worried that the person who borrowed money might not be able to pay it back, they can demand to be paid back right away, no matter when the money was supposed to be repaid. This process is called going faster. If there is a problem with the payments, then all the money owed needs to be paid right away without any extra action or notice. If something else goes wrong and keeps going, the trustee or holders of at least 25% of the notes can say that the notes are due to be paid right away. Permission not needed. If the company breaks a small rule or does something that creditors think can be fixed without causing them to lose money, the creditors may choose to let the company try to fix the problem. In that situation, creditors give up their rights to enforce the default. To keep creditors safe, most of them have to agree to waivers. Waivers only cover small problems like breaking promises. Breaking a promise because of not paying usually cannot be fixed. The majority of note holders in a series can decide to forgive a default or event of default by notifying the trustee. However, this does not apply to a default in payment of interest, premium, or principal. When a waiver is involved, a rule stops companies from persuading some lenders to agree [11], [12].

**Paying for Permission**

Boise will not give any special treatment or payments to anyone with notes unless they give the same treatment to everyone. Insolvency rules and how debts are organized. The first section of this book talked about the risks of borrowing money and how they are connected to the company and its surroundings. These dangers affect how well a company can pay its debts on time. But if a company is struggling and cannot pay its debts, the risk of lending money to them changes. Each creditor has to decide what to do. Creditors usually have two options: they can sell the debt for less than its full value or wait and hope that the situation improves.

Creditors need to see if a company can get back on its feet when it's in money trouble. In order to do this, they need to know the rules for dealing with debt problems before they become serious, and the risks involved with the debt they have. In some places, creditors may have more power in the bankruptcy process than in others. Most importantly, they must know how much money the borrower owes and how their loan compares to the loans of other people or companies. First, we look at how creditors are treated in different bankruptcy laws. Credit analysts need to figure out how much control creditors will have if a company has money problems. They also need to know if they can use collateral to get their money back and how reliable that collateral is.

Next, we will show the priority ranking, which is the most important factor for recovery. Priority ranking means deciding who gets paid first when a company goes bankrupt. Credit analysts need to be able to figure out how much protection creditors have or how much support they have through collateral. Lastly, we talk about using assets like projects, buildings, or transportation equipment to get money. The way these transactions are done is very different from how companies usually raise money. Laws about when a person or a company cannot pay their debts.

Insolvency rules are different in each place, but they all try to be fair to both people who owe money and people who are owed money, although they are not always successful. Debtors need protection from mean creditors who want special treatment when they are having money problems. Creditors also need a way to protect the money they have invested in loans that are owed to them. This book can't explain where these differences come from in detail, but they mainly come from political decisions and disagreements about private property and jobs.

**Distress and Insolvency Procedures**

Selling a belonging Another thing that could happen, especially for big companies, is that the company will be bought by another company. Reorganizing In most places, big companies prefer this option because it keeps people employed, which is a major political concern. An administrator makes a plan to change things, and the people involved vote on it, or the courts make the decision in some places. The plan will likely involve finding ways to spend less money and making strategic changes. It might also involve selling things we own or changing debts into ownership in the company.

**Debtor-Friendly and Debtor-Unfriendly Rules**

Insolvency laws can be ranked from ones that are better for creditors to ones that are worse for them. The second option usually gives creditors less certainty about when they will get their money back and how much they will receive. The first option usually gives more clear information about when they will get paid and how the process will work. Three things affect how much a bankruptcy system favors or does not favor creditors:

Creditors' level of power during financial difficulties and insolvency procedures. Security enforcement means protecting something when you owe money and have used something valuable as a guarantee for the debt. Possible legal problems that are specific to certain places. Creditors can have a lot of control during distress and insolvency procedures. Courts are in charge of the bankruptcy process in all places, but how much they are in charge differs a lot from country to country.

It is also important to consider other ways to deal with financial problems before filing for bankruptcy. On one side, French bankruptcy laws are known for not being supportive of creditors when big businesses are having money problems. Courts usually make the important decisions in the process, and the opinions of creditors are just as important as those of other stakeholders, like employees or potential buyers. Specifically, the courts will decide if a company should be closed down or reorganized, if its assets should be sold at an auction or to a private buyer, and how long the whole process will take. All these things can make it hard for creditors of big companies to understand the French system. If the courts make changes, it can take a long time to fix things.

On the opposite side, the U. KThe insolvency system is good for people who are owed money, but the new Enterprise Act 2002 is going to change this to be less favorable for them. Creditors can choose someone to take control of a company's assets if they have a legal claim over them.

They can do this without needing a lot of involvement from the court. However, even though it seems like we can see how the process works, the costs to manage it are really expensive. Other bankruptcy laws exist between these two opposite situations.

The United States Chapter 11 laws help debtors because they stop creditors from collecting money and using collateral. Unlike the French system, it usually gives secured creditors a lot of power to negotiate, but not as much as in the UK. The system can be better explained. The way things are done in Germany seems to have found a good balance between people who owe money and people who are owed money. In that system, courts cannot make secured creditors go through a reorganization they don't want. The courts choose someone to handle a company's money problems, but this person listens to the creditors and follows their instructions. This means the creditors have a big influence on what happens in the end. The Japanese system is good for creditors because there is no delay in the process when a company is in financial trouble. This system is used more often in Japan.

The Corporate Reorganization process is used less often than the other system. Secured creditors can't choose their own administrative receivers, but they can enforce collateral in reorganization or liquidation. The only times when the rules don't apply are when a court temporarily stops the process of taking away someone's property in specific situations, and when the court takes away the guarantee for a loan as long as the people who lent the money get paid back the same value. Credit analysts should be very careful when working in places with unproven bankruptcy systems or unclear outcomes from previous cases. In some new markets, the connections between business, politics, and the legal system can make it hard for outsiders to resolve disputes in court. Security enforcement means making sure that rules and laws are obeyed to protect people and property.

In places that are friendly to people who loan money (creditors), like Hong Kong, the U. K, or Holland, creditors who have collateral can choose someone to take over a company if certain rules are followed. This person could replace the current owners and shareholders. So, people who are owed money can quickly decide what to do to make sure they get their money back. Not many other parties can get involved in the process. But if creditors don't meet the right conditions for choosing an administrative receiver, the process is like the one described for regimes that favor debtors. In places where creditors don't get much help, it can be hard to enforce security and it might take a long time. In some areas, it's not clear how to do it.

Secured creditors may keep their top priority status, but they might not be able to take back the collateral, especially when a reorganization is approved. After a plan to rearrange things is approved, the way it happens can be very different in different places. In some places, people or companies owed money can choose to give up trying to get the money back and let other lenders who specialize in lending to debtors take over. In some places, if there are no DIP lenders, creditors have to follow the restructuring terms until the debtor pays back or finds a new way to pay their debts, or they can't pay back again. Even if lenders can take back the collateral, foreclosure can take a long time and be expensive. In some places, the court can choose to exchange the promised property with something that the court thinks is just as valuable. In a restructuring, this would typically happen if the promised thing is considered important to keep the business running, but the creditor wants their stuff back early. In the United States and France, courts use strong protection laws. Many creditors have argued over this clause.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, Understanding the details of credit document analysis. This book helps finance professionals, credit analysts, and policymakers learn how to evaluate and make good decisions about credit. It gives practical advice for making sound credit decisions in a changing financial world. Credit document analysis looks at important strategies including managing risk, spotting fraud, and following rules set by regulators. Case studies show examples where careful examination of credit documents has helped to reduce the risks of lending money, improve lending strategies, and create a strong credit culture. The summary also talks about the ethics of looking at credit documents. It says it's important to respect people's privacy and be honest about how things work. It also says lending money should be done in a responsible way. It shows how important it is for lenders to find a middle ground between making it easy to get a loan and protecting against money problems.

**REFERENCES:**

[1] K. Hwang *et al.*, "Evaluation of the azoospermic male: a committee opinion," *Fertil. Steril.*, 2018.

[2] A. Penzias *et al.*, "The use of preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy (PGT-A): a committee opinion," *Fertil. Steril.*, 2018.

[3] J. Daar *et al.*, "Informing offspring of their conception by gamete or embryo donation: an Ethics Committee opinion," *Fertil. Steril.*, 2018.

[4] A. Penzias *et al.*, "Smoking and infertility: a committee opinion," *Fertil. Steril.*, 2018.

[5] A. Penzias *et al.*, "Current recommendations for vaccines for female infertility patients: a committee opinion," *Fertil. Steril.*, 2018.

[6] M. Lukman and G. Gunarto, "The Role Of Notary In The Implementation Of Credit Agreement And The Default Settlement With Mortgage Right Warranty (A Study At Bank In Pekalongan)," *J. Akta*, 2018.

[7] G. P. Lualhati, G. V. Manalo, J. M. Manalo, and J. A. Ramos, "Satisfaction of Filipino Crop Farmers on Agricultural Credit," *Int. J. Appl. Sci.*, 2018.

[8] Z. Yan and Y. Li, "Signaling through government subsidy: Certification or endorsement," *Financ. Res. Lett.*, 2018.

[9] M. Correia, J. Kang, and S. Richardson, "Asset volatility," *Rev. Account. Stud.*, 2018.

[10] R. Haselmann, D. Schoenherr, and V. Vig, "Rent seeking in elite networks," *J. Polit. Econ.*, 2018.

[11] Z. Sautner and V. Vladimirov, "Indirect costs of financial distress and bankruptcy law: Evidence from trade credit and sales," *Review of Finance*. 2018.

[12] J. Daar *et al.*, "Misconduct in third-party assisted reproduction: an Ethics Committee opinion," *Fertil. Steril.*, 2018.

# CHAPTER 9

# KEY LEGAL RISKS FOR CREDITORS IN INSOLVENCY

Dr. Premalatha K P, Assistant Professor
Department of Finance, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School
Jain (Deemed to be University), Bangalore, Karnataka, India
Email Id- dr.premalatha_kp@cms.ac.in

**ABSTRACT**:
The intricate landscape of key legal risks faced by creditors in insolvency scenarios, shedding light on the complexities, considerations, and strategic insights essential for safeguarding creditor interests. As businesses grapple with financial distress, creditors find themselves navigating a myriad of legal challenges that can significantly impact their recoveries. This analysis delves into the legal dimensions of insolvency, examining the rights and risks creditors' face, legal frameworks governing insolvency, and strategic considerations in mitigating potential pitfalls. The abstract begins by delineating the fundamental legal rights and obligations of creditors during insolvency proceedings. It explores the intricate balance between secured and unsecured creditors, examining the hierarchy of claims and the implications for recovery in distressed situations. Various legal risks faced by creditors are discussed, encompassing preferences, fraudulent conveyances, and the automatic stay imposed by bankruptcy proceedings. The abstract examines the intricacies of cross-border insolvencies, exploring the challenges and legal considerations when dealing with multinational debtors.

**KEYWORDS**:

Bankruptcy Proceedings, Compliance, Creditors' Rights, Debt Recovery, Fraudulent Conveyances, Insolvency Laws.

## INTRODUCTION

The rules for bankruptcy are usually easy to understand, but actually going bankrupt can be really hard. Courts try to make fair decisions that consider everyone's interests, even if it means making their own choices. This book doesn't explore all the places where a person owes money. However, there are certain legal dangers that are similar in various locations. A stay is when a bankruptcy court tells creditors to stop asking for their money from a company that can't pay what it owes. Courts in different places have different rules about how long someone must wait before they can take action. It could take a few months or a few years. The bankruptcy court makes a list of all the money that people are owed and arranges them in order according to the law. In other words, the court halts the movie and seizes the film.

The stay continues until the court and other important people decide if the company can be saved or not. Creditors have to wait to know how much money they will get and when they will get it. From the company's perspective, taking a break at the right time can help the owners or managers see if the company can be restarted with more money. This may seem like something from a movie, but it's really a legal concept. This means that judges will carefully review what happened before a problem goes to court, to make sure that no one unfairly makes themselves look better than others. For instance, if lenders used a mortgage to make sure they got their money back from a company, the court might say that the lenders are not really protected and could lose their money. The amount of time you have to decide can vary depending on your location. It can be from a few months to a lot of years. Limitations on commitments made by a senior person in the company. In some places, rules about promises made by companies higher up in the supply chain are uncertain or restricted by law. These rules might only happen when a business goes out of money [1], [2].

Priority ranking is a way to figure out which things are most important or need to be done right away. It helps in choosing what to do first. When a company can't pay what it owes, everyone involved with the company tries to get as much money back as possible. This involves the people or organizations we do business with, like suppliers, workers, the government, banks, investors, and shareholders. In tough times, bankruptcy laws decide who gets paid first by putting creditors into groups. This helps prevent more problems. This idea is called priority ranking, and it affects how fast we think we will get better.

Creditors who are seen as more significant will be the first to receive payment. At this point, you will need to pay fees to bankruptcy experts such as administrators, receivers, and court costs. Depending on the rules, they may have to pay money for wages, benefits, and taxes. Secured creditors are the next ones to get paid. These lenders have something valuable to back up the money they lent. These people who are owed money are usually banks, some employees, and suppliers. We will discuss secured creditors more lately. Unsecured creditors are creditors who do not have a special right to get their money back. They can be people or businesses that provide goods or services, work for the company, or lend it money [3], [4].

Subordinated creditors are individuals or businesses who have agreed to be paid after other creditors, either for more money or because of the way the organization is structured. We will discuss subordinated creditors more. Shareholders are the last people to receive money. However, regular shareholders usually receive very little money in return. The rest of this chapter explains how the way a company owes money can either help or harm the people it owes money to if the company can't pay its debts.

**Priority order: lower-ranking creditors**

Creditors are considered less important when they have a lower ranking compared to other creditor People come together in groups for different reasons, either because they want to or because of certain circumstances. In bankruptcy, creditors who are subordinated are not as likely to get their money back as other creditors. Both lenders and investors know that subordinated debt is more risky. They ask for more money in interest for subordinated debt than for other types of debt, when all other things are equal. In the next part, we will discuss how we study and handle contracts and relationships where one is below another. Contractual subordination means that one contract or agreement is considered to be less important or powerful than another.

Contractual subordination means when the people who borrowed money agree that other lenders will get paid back first. There are two ways to make one contract less important than another. Under ultimate contractual subordination, the first lenders receive priority in repayment in the event of the company's insolvency. The second type, called going-concern subordination, is a lot bigger. With this type, the people who lent money will only get paid interest after the people who lent money first get paid. The most important agreement that should be dealt with first before other contracts [5], [6].

This example explains how a contract subordination works. In April 2001, Pogo Producing Company sold $200 million worth of notes that people can buy and earn interest on. Pogo Producing Company finds oil and natural gas and makes them available for use. These are 8. 25% Series B Senior Subordinated Notes that need to be paid back by 2011. Pogo Producing Company is located in Houston, Texas. Businesses that owe a lot of money, like Pogo did at that time, often use subordinated loans to extend the time they have to repay their debts and improve their financial situation. Subordination means one thing is not as important as another thing.

Payments and distributions on the Notes come after payments on other loans that the company has, such as the Credit Agreement Obligations. These loans must be repaid before the Notes. -The company must prioritize paying back the notes before any other debts, but it must also honor any pre-existing arrangements for debt repayment. Also, the Company's Subsidiaries owe more money to trade creditors than they owe for the Note. If the company can't pay its debts, it has to pay the most important lenders first before it can pay anyone else. "Can you rewrite this paragraph using easier words? Can you please explain this in easier words? Please change this paragraph using easy words. Please rewrite this paragraph using simpler language. Due to this system of ranking, if the company goes broke, becomes bankrupt, gets restructured, or cannot pay its debts, the people the company owes money to first (Senior Indebtedness) will get paid before the people who have the notes. This implies that those who are owed money might not receive the full amount. Subordination means that a company will pay its most important debts before paying less important ones if it can't pay all its debts.

## DISCUSSION

With this way, people who lend money agree to wait to get their money back until others who lent money before them are paid. In simple words, they can't say that someone hasn't paid their debt until the people who lent them money first have been paid back. There are various methods to avoid paying interest on a debt if the money owed is not paid. Some loans let you pay interest with the total amount owed, while others let you pay interest with stocks instead of cash. While the details may vary, the main concept remains unchanged for every deal. Here is a part of a document that discusses a loan called junior mezzanine. This part explains the most important thought. The Junior Mezzanine gives a 7% interest payment each year. Every year, extra money is added to the total amount that needs to be paid. Beginning in March 2005, the amount of money paid for interest will be based on how successful the investment is. If the ratios are not right, not paying interest on the Junior Mezzanine loan won't be seen as a problem. Businesses that use going-concern subordination often can't borrow a lot of money because they are either new or struggling with their finances. We will discuss how to prioritize the company's needs later, especially when it comes to agreements with lenders. Structural subordination occurs when a group of companies owes money to each other within the same group. It decides who gets paid first if the group goes bankrupt [7], [8].

Before we talk about which thing is more important, we will discuss what affects how much money people get when a business goes bankrupt. The functionality of the entire group can be hindered in numerous locations if one key member is not operating effectively. People who are owed money from a company may not get their money back easily if the company can't pay its debts. It's easier for people owed money if they have claims on things like cash, money owed to the company, company assets, or equipment. As we mentioned before, in many places, the law puts creditors into different groups. Credit analysts should start by examining the parent company's belongings, like stocks, loans, money from other companies, and cash. Credit rating agencies give a lower rating to some types of securities because they are less stable.

Rating agencies made some rules to distinguish between different lenders. Standard & Poor's has rules that explain why not all debts from the same group have the same rating. - Standard & Poor's evaluates the amount of debt and cash flow of a company. This helps them understand how much money the company needs to pay its debts. When the ratio is too high, the debt of disadvantaged people is given a lower rating than the company's rating. These threshold levels take into account that it typically takes more than $1 of book assets to pay off $1 of priority debt. Simple: These levels show that it usually takes more than $1 of book assets to pay off $1 of priority debt. Of course, I can assist you with that job. Just let me know what you want and I will try my best to help you. For companies with profitable investments, the maximum is

20%. In other words, if a company's debts and bills are 20% or more of its assets, then the less important debts will have a lower rating than the company's credit rating overall [9], [10].

If the company doesn't have a good credit rating, there are two levels to think about. If 15% of the assets consist of debts that are very important, then other debts are rated lower. When you have a large amount of debt, smaller debts become less important in comparison to larger debts. They are then put into a category that is two levels lower. Research in the United States shows that having extra money can make it more likely for people to pay back their loans. This method is good for comparing debts, but it doesn't show how much money someone could lose. We discovered that Michelin Finance Luxembourg SA's debt is safer than CGEM's debt because it is backed by CFM. In other words, the guarantee means that the issuer and guarantor, CFM, both share responsibility for the risk of not being paid back. The previous illustration demonstrated that one thing is less significant than another, but it's often challenging to determine the exact degree of lesser importance due to insufficient information. Analysts must determine if the parent company's debts could make it difficult for creditors to get their money back. Credit analysts must remember that every situation is different, and they will have to use their judgment a lot.

People have found ways to reduce or eliminate inequality in the market because it causes extra costs for businesses. Credit analysts should learn how to lessen the effect of structural subordination. This means knowing how to lower the risk. To make this easier, we found some common patterns. Many times, companies that work in other countries need to borrow money or owe money in that country. Major companies such as Siemens, Toshiba, and General Electric will put money into projects in the area to pay less in taxes. They will also rent a space and have to pay for it just like a loan. They will employ many people and pay into local retirement programs, which are similar to debts. Sometimes, companies like Michelin use special groups to borrow money. Occasionally, these things exist for no reason and don't have any physical form.

To decrease the unequal power within the group, the members who have the most money agree to pay the parent company's debts before anyone else. If an individual is unable to make a payment, their possessions will be combined and utilized to settle the obligations of the debtor and creditor. Due to the guarantee, both the companies' debts will be equally important and have the same status. The Pari passu clause is commonly found in loan agreements. It just means that all debts are equally important. In simpler terms, it means that both creditors who own the two debts are on the same level or equal [11], [12].

When important people make promises, it helps make sure that less important people are treated fairly. But we need to pay attention to the specific words used in the promise. In many places, a top official or board must give permission for the guarantor to take on its parent company's responsibilities. Also, if someone makes a promise, they should use words like "unconditional" and "irrevocable" and cannot make excuses to avoid keeping their promise. In some places, you can't use upstream guarantees because they might lead to fraud, unless you follow certain rules. Laws have been created to prevent a company from giving its money to another company to keep it safe from people it owes money to when the first company is having problems. In some places, a company's legal structure might prevent it from offering guarantees, so the guarantee might not be valid when needed. Due to these risks, credit analysts must always request a legal opinion.

Companies lending money to each other. One possible approach to addressing the issue of unequal power between companies is to establish a lending arrangement between the two. This means that the main company gives money to the smaller companies that manage the actual things and activities. This can also work with guarantees from the higher levels. This way is not as easy and is harder to keep track of than promises made earlier in the process. Having different types of investments and spreading out the money owed can help lower the risk for the company's lenders. This is because the company owns many different things and owes money in different places. In the first case, the people or companies owed money by the main company are more likely to get their money back if the assets of its smaller companies are in different places and are managed separately. If a large company like General Electric had money problems, not every part of the company would have problems at the same time.

Credit analysts sometimes face difficult situations when they have to study companies that are not easy to understand. These companies have power or ownership in various other companies, which might or might not be connected. Specialists will uncover that the organization is financially obligated at various levels, complicating matters. To understand these businesses, analysts need to tell the difference between companies that own part or all of their operations and investment companies that own stocks in industrial businesses. Many companies worldwide are set up in this way. If a small company can't pay its debts, it could make other connected companies also unable to pay what they owe. This might end up impacting the main company too. So, we need to find out how important the debts of the parent company are compared to other debts. Big business owners should review all parts of their company's credit together.

**Priority ranking: secured creditors**

Creditors are kept safe when they have something valuable to cover the money owed to them. The rationale is straightforward: Should the company default on the loan, the lender has the right to seize and sell the collateral in order to recoup their losses. Just like with simple things, it's the little details that matter the most.

In secured lending, it's important to make sure that the thing you use as security will be able to help if there's a problem with the loan. A lender might want collateral for two reasons: It wants to make sure that it gets its money back first if the company goes bankrupt, before other people get paid. It might need to fully heal if it's not working right. These two ways have made different types of agreements. In this, we will show different things that can be used as collateral and how easy it is to get money for them.

**Collateral: Things you pledge as a guarantee**

There are many types of collateral. They can be sorted based on the asset they are made of or how quickly they can be turned into money. Also, how good they are at handling bankruptcy will vary greatly depending on the location. Money and investments are used as security for a loan. These are things that can be quickly be sold for money and are easy to determine their worth. Credit analysts can find out how the value of these things can go up or down. These types of things are usually easy to figure out how much they are worth. Tangible things are used to promise that a loan will be paid back. There will be enough money for buying new materials, but not enough for old equipment. In most places where people buy and sell homes and cars, there is a lot of information that can help figure out how much they're worth. Later, we will find out that both types of things you own can be paid for in tricky ways, because different places have specific rules for people who lend and borrow money. "Collateral is protected by various kinds of agreements. - Without a significant and varied track record of owning rights, patents, or contracts, it will be challenging to ascertain a company's value and reliability. Even if you can give these agreements to someone else, there's no guarantee that the other people will want to work with them, or that the new owner will be able to do what the agreements say. Intangible assets and owning parts of companies. Both types of collateral can help with a loan, but it's difficult to know exactly how much they are worth. In both cases, the value of the collateral is linked to the value of the company. If the company runs out of money, the company may not be worth as much.

People who borrow money and people who lend money need to write in a contract that they agreed to use something valuable, like a house or other possessions, as a guarantee for the loan. Normally, this agreement is with a bank or another money company. To do the job well, the credit analyst has to search for certain words and documents. The paper needs to say that the investments are safe. Use a "granting" clause in clauses starting with "whereas". In addition to the Offering Circular, there should be other important legal papers like security agreements, mortgages, pledge agreements, charges, or deeds, which should have similar rules as the Offering Circular. Collateral perfection means that if a person doesn't pay back a loan, the lender can take their belongings.

Put simply, this means that for many security types, the agreement has to be written on a public list. In simple terms, when someone wants to buy a house, it's written down by the government office that keeps track of property records in that country. Many countries keep records of things that people use as a guarantee for loans. When a company cannot pay its debts and many people used the same property as a guarantee, the order in which they get their money back is decided by when they first asked for it.

Lenders need to be cautious because they might lose their rights to the collateral if too much time passes, or if the borrower's name or situation changes, depending on the laws in that area. If the lenders who have lots of protection make a mistake, they will no longer be the first ones to get their money back. After they repair it, they will be in a worse position than the creditors who were already below them. The next contract from Medquest Inc. The Guarantee and Collateral Agreement means the borrower has to make sure they do what they're supposed to do.

Maintaining the security interest in good shape requires more paperwork. The lender will ensure they have the right to keep the collateral if the borrower fails to repay the loan. They will also try to protect it from anyone else who claims it, while still following the loan agreement. Financial assets are made official with a contract that shows who will get the assets in the end. Contracts are private, so it's difficult for creditors to be completely sure that no one else will try to take the collateral before they can. It would be easier if they already had the collateral.

Even experienced credit analysts will ask their lawyers for a legal opinion. They want to double check that the security is set up correctly and to confirm its position when it closes. If the legal advice says the collateral is set up right and is very important, and the security package has the right words, then the collateral should be good in bankruptcy. However, we still don't know what will happen when a company goes bankrupt, or how much the collateral is worth. Buying and selling things based on value rather than cash.

Secured lending has grown and now includes loans for things like power projects, real estate, transportation assets such as railroad equipment, aircraft, and ships, and even securitizations. These things are not related to the worth of the company that has them. They have their own worth. In a building, someone who is renting a place can be replaced with someone else. Maybe Singapore Airlines will use the planes that American Airlines is not using.

Structured finance is when you use assets to get a loan that is safer and more organized. It includes difficult trades that are usually done in secret. It would need a whole book to really understand and study the credit used in these transactions. The aim is to review all the main ideas and give a summary of the key concepts we discussed earlier. Selling something for actual money and making sure it doesn't get lost if you go broke. Structured finance helps lenders to have a way to get back the things they loan money for if the borrower can't pay back the loan. This is still true even if the person who borrows the money has no more money.

To make it happen, the things we own need to be transferred from the person who has them now to a different group. This will ensure that the things the operator owns and the money they make won't be affected if the operator goes broke. This is called a genuine sale. In aircraft finance, lenders must have the ability to take back the plane used as collateral for a loan without getting involved in a complicated bankruptcy procedure of the airline company. In this process, belongings are put in a special vehicle that is kept safe from bankruptcy. What do different groups do before and after a sale happens. If there are no laws to prevent bankruptcy, there is still a risk of getting in trouble with the law, even if everything else is well organized. At times, the courts may put together the money and possessions of a company with the possessions of the company that does the work. This can remove the individual legal protections and benefits that the original company had for the people it owes money to.

An essential characteristic of an SPE is its ability to perform only the required actions to complete a task. The company's mission is written in the "objects" section of its legal papers. Besides being able to do other activities for the main business, it's important to have a clear reason for doing them. Restrictions on the amount of money you can borrow. One important thing to think about is that the company cannot take out any more loans. Accessing additional funds could lead to expanded business prospects, but it also carries a higher risk of being unable to repay the debt. A director who makes their own decisions and is not controlled by others. In many business deals, a company that is not the main one creating a new company to handle the transaction. The top people in the main company can also be the top people in the smaller company. These connected director jobs might create an issue. If the big company goes out of business while the other company is doing good, the bosses of the big company might want to put the two companies together to avoid going bankrupt. The SPE rules say that the independent director must consider what is best for the people who lent money and the owners when voting on bankruptcy.

Don't mix or change the order. This rule makes sure that if the company combines with another company or makes big changes, it won't affect the company's protection from bankruptcy. Separation or being away from something else. The SPE needs to prove that it is not connected to anyone else and can make its own decisions. If it's not separate, a court might not think of it as its own thing. This also means that the SPE must have its own separate bank accounts to avoid any confusion. Determining creditworthiness involves ensuring an individual has the ability and intention to repay borrowed funds in a timely manner. These five characteristics make it hard for the management of the SPE to avoid repaying their debt.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, Creditors may have legal issues when a company goes bankrupt. It helps lawyers, banks, and people who lend money to understand and handle legal risks. It tells people how to protect their money when a company can't pay its debts. Lenders should be cautious when facing legal problems during bankruptcy. They need to study, think about their legal plans, and negotiate well. Case studies prove how lenders were able to recover as much money as they could by following the rules, even when the laws were very complex. The summary is about how the rules for businesses that go broke are changing and how this affects people who are owed money by the businesses. It means that people who lend money need to follow the rules and adjust their plans to match the new rules.

**REFERENCES:**


[1] D. Pritchard, “Legal risk, legal evidence and the arithmetic of criminal justice,” *Jurisprudence*, 2018.

[2] C. Cameron, B. Freudenberg, J. Giddings, and C. Klopper, “The program risks of work-integrated learning: a study of Australian university lawyers,” *J. High. Educ. Policy Manag.*, 2018.

[3] A. S. Cloots, “Cryptocurrencies, Blockchain and Risk Management: Legal, Operational and Systemic Risks,” *McKinsey Co.*, 2018.

[4] K. F. K. Low and E. Teo, “Legal Risks of Owning Cryptocurrencies,” in *Handbook of Blockchain, Digital Finance, and Inclusion, Volume 1: Cryptocurrency, FinTech, InsurTech, and Regulation*, 2018.

[5] Y. Giat, “Principal-Agent Analysis on How Legal Risks Affect Audit Fees and Quality,” *Int. J. Strateg. Decis. Sci.*, 2018.

[6] C. Cameron, “The student as inadvertent employee in work-integrated learning: A risk assessment by university lawyers,” *Int. J. Work. Learn.*, 2018.

[7] H. S. Ryu, “What makes users willing or hesitant to use Fintech?: the moderating effect of user type,” *Ind. Manag. Data Syst.*, 2018.

[8] L. Chen, “The risk management of medical device-related pressure ulcers based on the Australian/New Zealand Standard,” *J. Int. Med. Res.*, 2018.

[9] Z. Li, “Health risk characterization of maximum legal exposures for persistent organic pollutant (POP) pesticides in residential soil: An analysis,” *J. Environ. Manage.*, 2018.

[10] M. Li, L. Liu, Y. Li, and Y. Xu, “Evaluating the Risk of Natural Gas Pipeline Operation Management in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Linguistic Environments,” *Math. Probl. Eng.*, 2018.

[11] P. Quinn, “Crisis Communication in Public Health Emergencies: The Limits of ‘Legal Control’ and the Risks for Harmful Outcomes in a Digital Age,” *Life Sci. Soc. Policy*, 2018.

[12] Y. Yu, A. P. C. Chan, C. Chen, and A. Darko, “Critical Risk Factors of Transnational Public–Private Partnership Projects: Literature Review,” *J. Infrastruct. Syst.*, 2018.

# CHAPTER 10

# EXPLORING THE MARKET VALUE APPROACH: AN ANALYSIS

Dr. Pujari Sudharshana Reddy, Assistant Professor
Department of Finance, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School
Jain (Deemed to be University), Bangalore, Karnataka, India
Email Id- pujarisudharsana_reddy@cms.ac.in

**ABSTRACT**:

The Market Value Approach, a fundamental paradigm in asset valuation that plays a pivotal role in financial decision-making, real estate appraisal, and investment analysis. The Market Value Approach, rooted in the principles of fair market value, leverages diverse valuation methods such as comparable sales, income approach, and discounted cash flow to provide a comprehensive understanding of an asset's worth. This analysis delves into the nuances of the Market Value Approach, its applications across various industries, and the strategic considerations inherent in employing this valuation methodology. The abstract begins by defining the Market Value Approach, emphasizing its significance in capturing the dynamic interplay of market forces and conditions that influence the value of an asset. It explores the core principles of fair market value and how this approach aligns with the broader economic context. Various valuation methods encapsulated within the Market Value Approach are discussed, including the sales comparison approach, income approach, and the intricacies of discounted cash flow analysis. The abstract highlights how these methods cater to different asset types, providing a versatile toolkit for valuation professionals, investors, and decision-makers.

**KEYWORDS**:

Asset Valuation, Comparable Sales, Discounted Cash Flow, Fair Market Value, Financial Analysis, Income Approach, Market Value.

## INTRODUCTION

If no one is in charge of the assets in a company, the new owners have to hire outside help to run them and keep them in check. In a structured finance deal, the servicer is the company that runs the assets for the new owners. In the situation of buying or financing planes and ships, the company that manages the transactions could be the same as the company that originally operated them. In real estate deals, the servicer could be a company that manages properties. If the company we used for a service is no longer available, we need to find a different company that can do the same job. The trustee is someone or a company that's not connected to the servicer or original owner of the assets. Their job is to collect payments from the servicer and handle tasks like monitoring and administrative work. Especially, the trustee makes sure that any money received for the SPE cannot be used by the servicer, especially if the servicer can't pay their debts. Understanding what makes cash flow change and making a plan for how it changes [1], [2].

If the insulation of assets and protection from bankruptcy mainly handle the ability to pay debts, then using cash flow modeling should help analysts understand how well the company can pay its debts. Because the SPE only has one job, we can make very detailed plans for how the money comes in and stress test the things that affect the cash flow to see how much we can use to pay off debts. Most loans and investments look at important numbers, like the "debt-service coverage ratio. This ratio is usually calculated as the money left over after taxes and necessary expenses, but before paying off debt. This will decide how much debt the transaction can handle, based on assumptions about debt costs and repayment needs. Regular cash flow models for structured transactions are really long and complicated spreadsheets that carefully explain where the money comes from, where it goes, and what it's used for. If there are unexpected expenses or costs related to things like business interruptions, pensions, guarantees, etc. We will try our best to calculate them and add them to the cash flow plan. Like in other modeling exercises, credit analysts should remember the important factors such as price, volume, costs, and maintenance expenses. Loan Time, Order of Importance, and Agreements among Lenders.

Once we figure out how much money is available and how much debt needs to be paid, the lenders and borrowers need to start planning how to arrange the payment schedule. This will involve talking about how to pay back money we owe, the order of importance of different debts, rules about how different debt holders work together, and how to gradually pay off the debt [3], [4]. Debt term depends on how risky the business is and the competition it faces. In other words, it relies on how predictable and stable the cash flow is. Lenders might loan money to a water company for 30 years or longer, with a big payment due at the end, if the government rules are good and the company's equipment is in good shape. On the other hand, lenders usually don't lend money for more than 10 years for typical leveraged buyouts. Some of the debt is paid off gradually over time. When there's a lot of money available to borrow, people can get better deals from lenders. But when there's not a lot of money to borrow, lenders can be more strict about who they lend to and on what terms. In structured finance deals, there are usually different levels of debt, called "tranches" or debt classes. These levels are legally below each other. In simple LBO structures, bank loans come first, then high-yield bonds or mezzanine loans, and then shareholder loans or vendor financing. In bigger deals, like when companies borrow money or finance projects, there can be many different types of debt, called tranche A, tranche B, and so on.

Inter-creditor agreements have rules for how different kinds of creditors can act. They usually stop lower-priority creditors from demanding payment if the borrower can't pay. This part is really important. Money that can be used to pay debts goes to the most important debts first, then to the next ones if there is still enough money left, and then to the next ones after that. If the debt cannot be paid, the interest can be added to the total amount owed or paid with goods instead of money. But the people who own that debt cannot declare that the borrower has failed to pay. This "waterfall" process means that each portion of debt has a different chance of not being paid back. If the laws are strong, then lenders will ask for more money back if they think there's a higher chance they won't get it. Also, credit agencies will give different ratings to different parts of the debt. The oldest debt needs to be paid back first before any other debts. Credit analysts need to be very wary of any attempt to change the order in which debts are paid off, especially for debts that are not given equal priority. If this rule was broken, it would change the order in which people are paid back, with less important debt holders being paid before others [5], [6].

**Liquidity Facility**

In a planned deal, a backup fund can do two jobs. The main reason is to have enough money on hand to pay off debts when they are due, even if the money comes in at different times. Secondly, a liquidity facility makes creditors feel better. Creditors may not be sure if they can take control of the assets right away if there are financial problems. The result is definite, but when it will happen is not certain. In this case, a special fund for the most important debt holders would help to pay their debt when they can't, so they don't actually default.

Custom finance deals can take a long time to put together, but some types, like LBOs, have become more standardized. Creditors try to have as much control over the money coming in as they can, even though it's complicated. Covenants in structured transactions are used the same way as in regular corporate debt deals: They decide what the person in charge of the asset can and cannot do. Triggers are rules about money that, when broken, cause something to happen to keep bondholders safe from a bad situation.

The most common reason for these transactions is that the debt service ratio is too high. Structured finance can have one or more limits. In the first situation, breaking the trigger would be considered a default. Some deals are set up so that if a company breaks a certain rule, the people who lent them money can bring in someone to check how the company is doing. If the company breaks another rule, the lenders can bring in someone to take over the company or make changes to how it's run. This gives the lenders the power to change how the company is organized or sell its stuff.

The security system for structured transactions is usually very careful, as lenders will make sure that every asset has collateral. So, it will have all the money, property, and other valuable things a company has now and in the future, plus any agreements to get money, and can take a long time to go through. Furthermore, the security package is strengthened by strong negative pledge language to make sure that no other lenders, current or future, can ever undermine the established priority status of current lenders. In structured transactions, there are very strict rules for documenting everything. Creditors usually want to get a lawyer's opinion on important things like selling assets or putting up collateral. Cash flow modeling is a way to predict how much money will come in and go out of a business over time.

Companies that undergo an LBO are usually, but not always, engaged in specific activities that can be easily identified. This makes it easier to create detailed cash flow projections and assess the associated risks. Credit analysts should not expect to find businesses that are as simple as those found in true asset-based finance. They need to be careful. Also, LBO financing can be used in a wide variety of industries. The way we will model things will be like how it's done in this book, with a focus on borrowing as much as possible while still being able to cover our expenses with the money [7], [8].

## DISCUSSION

You might think that Arthur Andersen, a big American accounting company, Moulinex, a French company that makes household appliances, and Le Méridien, a fancy hotel chain from the U. K, are all very different companies. But, if you think about it, they all have one main thing in common: They all couldn't pay their debts in the recent past. In 2002, Arthur Andersen went out of business because it couldn't fix its damaged reputation. Mistakes in the audit work for the big company Enron and criminal charges against the company made important accounting clients leave fast, which hurt the company's cash flow. In 2001, Moulinex went out of business because they couldn't make their products as good or as cheap as their competitors. They tried to change things at the company a few times, but it didn't work. Finally, Le Méridien could not pay its debts because it had too much debt when not many people were staying in hotels after the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks in the United States. Here are three businesses in different kinds of work that all have something in common: they didn't pay back their loans. There are many things to learn.

For instance, when trying to predict what might happen to a company's finances, experts should figure out what could cause problems. In cases where you already struggle to pay off your debt, even a small decrease in income or profits can make things even harder. In some cases, like with Coke, it's hard to figure out what could make them fail, like if there's a big trend and lots of competition. Usually, companies go out of business because they don't have enough money to pay their employees, suppliers, and other debts, or even to the government. Companies can also keep money from people they owe money to because they expect to file for protection from having to pay them. In this situation, they might be able to pay their debts before declaring bankruptcy, but they might not want to. Most firms don't meet all their obligations at the same time.

When figuring out how likely it is for someone to get their money back, the most important thing is which creditors get paid first. In other words, different types of debts will have different chances of being paid back, depending on how important they are. To figure out how likely it is for a company to get their money back, credit analysts need to evaluate the value of the company or its assets. This will help them see if the company will be able to pay back the money it owes [9], [10].

**Different kinds of non-payments**

Many LBO transactions fail because the owners or sponsors were too greedy. They put a lot of debt on the company they were buying, hoping it would make a lot of money quickly to repay the debt. This increase in cash flow can happen by selling assets that are not essential or by managing money better and cutting costs. While this plan might be effective, the owners also need to have good timing. If the LBO is tied to an industry that goes up and down with the economy, and the economy suddenly gets worse, there is a higher chance that the company will not be able to pay its debts. The company might fail because of a sudden drop in business, bad timing of important decisions, or both.

As I mentioned before, this was true for the LBO on the hotel chain Le Méridien: The deal closed right after the sad events of September 11, 2001, which made the recession's effects on world travel and hotel occupancy rates even worse. With not much money, the chain started a big plan to make the hotels more comfortable. Some hotels were taken over by a person or organization to manage them in August 2003. The Le Méridien hotel chain is a good business. Their hotels are in great spots and they cater to high-end customers. Some people may say the hotels are well run.

Famous companies like Le Méridien that can't pay their debts are often good choices for changing how they owe money. In these situations, people who already own shares in the company usually can't make decisions anymore and might even lose all the money they put into the company. The restructuring might mean that the debts could be given more time to be paid off, or some creditors might have to exchange their debt for a share in the company. In some cases, the debts might be completely forgiven, which would mean both the shareholders and creditors would lose some of their money. Selling off or closing down the business is unlikely because the business is still doing well.

**Struggling business**

Firms that are not doing well financially and are not diversified fall into this category if they are in a very competitive environment. Replacement or becoming outdated is a big problem in industries that rely heavily on technology. In the beginning of the book, we discussed what happened to the whip and buggy business when cars became popular. Typewriters, vinyl records, and videotapes are going away as computers, CDs, and DVDs take their place. Inefficient costs or losing a lot of customers can also cause a lot of problems and lead to not being able to pay debts. In some cases, it's hard to know what will happen after a default. The business might be changed, sold, or shut down [11], [12].

**Poor Business and Poor Finances**

A company that tries to stay competitive by investing a lot in its business, but gets hurt when the economy goes down, shows how management can make mistakes. A speculative bubble could cause a situation where there is a lot of capacity but not enough demand for it. See the big problem with houses around the world in the early 1990s, and then the Internet and phone bubble ten years after that. In both cases, a change could happen, but it might take a long time, maybe even up to ten years. Some industries that people thought were no longer relevant are able to find new ways to survive, like shipbuilding in France. It's too soon to know if the large number of U. Stele communications companies that had problems in the early 2000s will come back and make money in the future. Sometimes, a company may not be able to pay its debts because something unexpected happens from outside. In the case of Arthur Andersen, the company was too closely connected to Enron, a bankrupt energy company. This caused many of its clients to leave. Surprises can happen from the inside or from the outside. Shocks are very unusual and hard to predict, and it's even harder to know what effects they will have.

**Putting a price on a struggling business**

If the company uses all its assets as security for a loan, or if it has no specific assets to secure the debt, credit analysts need to assess the overall value of the company. This is because it's unlikely that the company would sell its assets one by one, especially if it's a big company. In these cases, the business will probably need to reorganize, and credit analysts should check how valuable the business is as a continuing operation.

Valuing a struggling business is best done by looking at how much money it will make in the future and how much it's worth in the market. This helps us understand what makes the business profitable. Other ways to figure out the value of something can be helpful to make sure that you're not making a mistake. Before suggesting a way to determine the value of distress, it is important to consider. We want to give a warning. At their best, predictions are a rough guess of what might happen in the future. Adding extra problems like distress, restructuring, or insolvency makes something that's already hard even harder and almost impossible. In many places, there are people who earn money from these situations. They usually buy the troubled debt for a big discount or become the new owners of the struggling assets by trading debt for ownership. The lower levels of debt basically become the new ownership. These investors know a lot about when companies can't pay their debts and are really good at solving these problems. They are willing to wait for things to get better, which is something that big investors might not want to do. So, even if a distressed valuation only gives a rough idea, it's still better to do it rather than just wait and do nothing. The picture is made up, but it shows a common problem with a company that has borrowed too much money for a buyout. Let's say the company works in a light industry that is affected by changes in the economy and requires a medium amount of money to operate.

The best way to measure how much a business is worth is by using the discounted cash flow method. This method makes the analyst check all the assumptions used to make cash flow predictions in a certain way. People who know about corporate finance theory understand that this method is used for all valuations, not just ones that are in trouble. The sidebar quickly reminds you of the theory. When doing a discounted cash flow analysis, credit analysts need to assume that the company can be reorganized and saved. Another option would be to think that it cannot be fixed and the assets will be sold off. In this case, the discounted cash flow method would not be used.

Credit analysts need to focus on the money coming in and out of a business to understand its financial situation. However, they should think that the cost of capital will be the same as a company that is doing well or the cost of a buyer who is willing to pay. Credit analysts need to make educated guesses to figure out how much money a company has left after paying taxes and other costs, as well as the value of the company in the future.

The market value approach may not be the most detailed, but it is definitely the easiest and most common. Let's say we want to sell a three-year-old Toyota Corolla with 40,000 kilometers on it. If we want to know how much money it could sell for, we can just buy a magazine for used cars and find ones that are similar to ours and have a similar amount of miles on them. Even if we don't realize it, we are naturally using the market value approach, trying to find the price that people are willing to pay and sell for at a certain time. The market value approach is good because it can be used for individual things or whole businesses.

Using this method for assets that are not all the same, like companies, has a problem. It's hard to find companies that are exactly the same and it's also hard to adjust their financial information so we can compare them well. Some numbers are used in different areas, like comparing how much a company is worth to how much money it makes, or its profits. Others are specific to certain industries, like the value of a product per weight, area, or average research and development.

When credit analysts use this method for evaluating companies, they have to choose similar companies in the same industry and make sure that the financial information is adjusted so that it can be easily compared. Some important changes are: Only count the value of stocks and debts that earn interest, and don't include cash or long-term investments. Get rid of any unusual things from the money coming in, the earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization, or the earnings before interest and taxes. For Mousetrap Corp. the most commonly used number to measure its value is the market value compared to its earnings. Mousetrap's main competition is Mouse Solutions Inc. Rat Track Plc is a big company, but there is an even larger and more varied company called TrapAll Corp. Bankers are ready to offer help to a struggling company only if they believe the company will have enough money to pay back its loans. One way to see if debt is sustainable after reorganizing is to figure out when the money coming in will always be enough to pay off the debt. In simpler terms, debt is the result of how much money you can pay back, not how much you borrow.

In the enterprise value approach, the first thing to do is to figure out how much money is left over after paying taxes, making necessary changes to working capital, and maintaining the property. The next thing to do is to think that the bankers are willing to give financial help during tough times, but they will need a promise that they will get a certain amount of money back. This will make sure that the most amount of money used to pay off debts is lower than the money coming in. Step three is to estimate how much it will cost to pay off the debt over time. Most companies that have gone through a reorganization are usually rated as risky by credit rating agencies. The final thing to do is to divide the regular cash flow by the total debt cost to figure out how much debt can be sustained. Based on these results, the person who looks at people's credit history can come up with the following plan:

In the first two years, the company won't have a lot of money coming in and may struggle to pay off its debts because the new management is working on making changes. If there is no risk, and the interest rate is 2. 5 percent plus an extra 350 basis points, the most debt that can be managed ranges from $250 million to $320 million. Over the next four years, the company's money coming in gets better because the changes they made are helping the business. The most debt the company can handle goes up to $500 million to $680 million during that time. Over the past four years, the business has been less visible, and the money coming in has also decreased, which means we can't borrow as much money. Based on this situation, the creditors will probably experience the following if the borrower fails to make payments.

First, banks that loaned $450 million will probably get back 55 to 66 percent of their money. Secured creditors will probably get a type of debt or investment that doesn't give them much priority, and they will only start getting paid after the company does better.

The people or companies that are owed money and don't have any collateral might get a type of debt that is seen as less important, or their debt might be changed into shares of the company. Any loans that are included in the refinancing should have a feature that allows the borrower to pay off the loan over time, because we are not sure how the business will do in the long term. Other ways to find out how much something is worth. Although the discounted cash flow and market value methods are commonly used for valuing something, there are also other ways to determine its value. Other ways to value something include: how much it would cost to replace. For many things you own, another way to think about their value is to consider how valuable they are in their natural state. It wants to know how much it would cost to get a new version of something in the future, or how much that thing could be sold for. This method is good for individual things like houses, cars, and equipment. But it's not as good for whole companies, unless they are likely to be sold off, which doesn't happen often for big companies.

Valuing something based on its options. The main idea when using these models is to assume that the value of some things depends on certain events happening. For example, a mining company might choose to dig up or close a mine based on how much copper is worth. The writers do not know of anyone trying to use these models for struggling companies. Predicting how much a company will improve in value by considering how risky it is.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, The Market Value Approach is important for valuing assets. It helps people who value things, invest money, and make decisions to understand and use this method. It gives practical advice for figuring out how much things are worth in different economic situations. We look at how the Market Value Approach is used in different industries such as real estate, finance, and making important business decisions. It can be used in many different ways. Case studies show examples where using the Market Value Approach has helped with managing assets better, making investment plans, and having productive discussions. We talk about how to use the Market Value Approach. It's important to have the right data, know about market trends, and understand valuation methods. The abstract shows how important it is to match valuation work with the goals of the organization and industry standards.

**REFERENCES:**

[1] M. e. J. Khondker, H. Ali, V. Upraity, S. Gurung, G. C. Dhar, and B. Belton, "Making sense of the market: Assessing the participatory market chain approach to aquaculture value chain development in Nepal and Bangladesh," *Aquaculture*, 2018.

[2] E. Jung and H. Yoon, "Is flood risk capitalized into real estate market value? A mahalanobis-metric matching approach to the housing market in Gyeonggi, South Korea," *Sustain.*, 2018.

[3] M. Sigala, "A market approach to social value co-creation," *Mark. Theory*, 2018.

[4] Y. Koloğlu, H. Birinci, S. I. Kanalmaz, and B. Özyılmaz, "A multiple linear regression approach for estimating the market value of football players in forward position," *arXiv*, 2018.

[5] B. A. Jones *et al.*, "In search of an inclusive approach: Measuring non-market values for the effects of complex dam, hydroelectric and river system operations," *Energy Econ.*, 2018.

[6] Y. Kalmykova, M. Sadagopan, and L. Rosado, "Circular economy - From review of theories and practices to development of implementation tools," *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.*, 2018.

[7] A. Bonanno, C. Russo, and L. Menapace, "Market power and bargaining in agrifood markets: A review of emerging topics and tools," *Agribusiness*. 2018.

[8] R. Herrera, S. González, and A. Clements, "Mutual excitation between OECD stock and oil markets: A conditional intensity extreme value approach," *North Am. J. Econ. Financ.*, 2018.

[9] J. Donkor, G. N. A. Donkor, and C. K. Kwarteng, "Strategic planning and performance of SMEs in Ghana," *Asia Pacific J. Innov. Entrep.*, 2018.

[10] X. He, K. D. Brouthers, and I. Filatotchev, "Market orientation and export performance: the moderation of channel and institutional distance," *Int. Mark. Rev.*, 2018.

[11] M. Durica, D. Guttenova, L. Pinda, and L. Svabova, "Sustainable value of investment in real estate: Real options approach," *Sustain.*, 2018.

[12] M. Grimaldi, L. Cricelli, and F. Rogo, "Valuating and analyzing the patent portfolio: the patent portfolio value index," *Eur. J. Innov. Manag.*, 2018.

# CHAPTER 11

# DETERMINING A TIME HORIZON FOR THE CASH FLOWS

Dr. Selvi S, Professor
Department of Finance, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School
Jain (Deemed to be University), Bangalore, Karnataka, India
Email Id- dr.selvi@cms.ac.in

**ABSTRACT**:
A time horizon for cash flows, offering insights into the methodologies, considerations, and strategic approaches essential for accurate financial forecasting. Establishing an appropriate time horizon is a critical component of cash flow analysis, influencing investment decisions, capital allocation, and overall financial planning. This analysis delves into the factors influencing time horizon determination, quantitative modeling techniques, and the strategic considerations involved in aligning cash flow forecasts with organizational objectives. The abstract begins by defining the concept of a time horizon in the context of cash flows, emphasizing its role in capturing the relevant period for assessing an investment or project's financial performance. It explores the distinctions between short-term and long-term time horizons, considering the diverse needs of different businesses and industries. Various quantitative modeling techniques employed in determining cash flow time horizons are discussed, including discounted cash flow (DCF) analysis, scenario-based modeling, and sensitivity analysis. The abstract explores how these tools enable financial professionals to assess the impact of varying timeframes on cash flow projections and make informed decisions accordingly.

**KEYWORDS**:

Decision-Making, Financial Forecasting, Investment, Modeling Techniques, Organizational Goals, Precision, Project Dynamics.

## INTRODUCTION

The amount of time for a loan or bond to be paid back depends on how easy it is to predict how much money will be coming in. If it's easy to predict, borrowers can get money for a longer time. As a result, people who study credit will have to look at the risks of different businesses and industries to see how they compete with each other. For businesses that have ups and downs and are not easy to predict, creditors will probably want some of the loan to be paid off regularly. They see the business as more of a decreasing value over time, instead of a steady source of income. On the other hand, some companies will have more predictable cash flow because of the type of business they are in or because of the assets they have. In particular, businesses that have some rules to protect them or own valuable assets may be considered for longer-term loans, even if they are recovering from financial troubles. Predicting cash flow is not the same as how often cash flow goes up and down. The first thing just means that the business is not going to go away because of competition or other challenges. The second thing shows that the amount of money coming in and out could change a lot because of competition. The cost of capital refers to the amount of money a company has to spend to finance its operations and investments [1], [2].

The way they handle things will depend on if the credit analysts think the business can be changed to be more successful, or if it will be sold or shut down. Analysts should assume the cost of debt if they think the business can be reorganized. If the cost of borrowing money is not known, analysts should consider it to be similar to a company that just finished fixing its financial problems and has a credit rating in the B category, which is common. If they have enough information, they can find out how much each debt instrument is worth after a company goes bankrupt. Also, they think that the amount of money the company owes will go down as the company gets better.

The price of owning a percentage of a company's stocks. It's harder to figure out how much a company's equity is worth, but credit analysts shouldn't be too concerned because a struggling company doesn't have much equity anyway. In a situation where things are being changed, it's likely that the small ownership in a company will be seen as risky and have a high beta value, maybe between 2 and 3, or even more. If experts believe that the restructuring will be successful, the beta value will gradually decrease and become closer to 1. 0 during the restructuring process. The other values are not specific to one company and are the same as mentioned before. In the second situation, analysts should think that the person buying is a part of the industry and has a cost of money that is average for that type of business. You can find this information on Bloomberg or other data providers like Market Scope. For Mousetrap Corp borrowing money may be similar to a company with a credit rating of BBB. The cost of borrowing money will be between 120 and 250 points above the safe rate of return. The risk of investment will be between 0. 8 and 1The potential return on the investment will be between 3 and 7 percent, with an average of 5 percent. In this situation, experts can expect that there will be a way to make a profit by taking advantage of the difference in costs between the acquiring company and the struggling company [3], [4].

**Evaluating individual items in a difficult situation**

Some credit transactions are backed by assets that have their own value and are easy to identify, regardless of how the business is doing. They could be money, stocks, or things that a company owns like products, buildings, or equipment. The way we will measure the value of the company will be changed from the one we talked about before. In these cases, credit analysts should only look at how much the assets are worth in the market, not how much they are worth in the company's books, because the book value doesn't matter when the company is in trouble. This is why it's important to get a separate opinion and carefully look at the basic beliefs. Many banks and big investors have ways to figure out the most risk they can take with different kinds of investments. The following explains the basics of valuing different types of assets.

**Money in the form of bills and coins**

To figure out how much the cash put up as collateral is worth, we need a statement from the bank saying the money is there and can be used by creditors if needed. Additionally, the bank that is receiving the money should confirm that the cash is not being used as collateral for someone else. The main dangers are if the person we gave money to can't pay it back, if the money is in a different currency from what we owe, and if the country is not stable. In groups, credit analysts need to know how money from different people is separated in cash pooling agreements [5], [6].

**Investments sold on the stock market**

It's important to know that different types of securities, like stocks and bonds, can have different values, especially when they are used as collateral. Lenders like to have safe, short-term investments that are easy to value and can be sold quickly if needed. Creditors should be ready to lend 80 to 100 percent of the value of these securities unless there is a risk from the other party or country. When lenders use lower-quality assets as collateral, they will value them differently based on whether it's just one asset or a bunch of assets together. If it is the first one, lenders can use an option-based value, a credit score-based one, or a combination of both.

## DISCUSSION

The chances of getting back the money if the person who owes it doesn't pay. The risk of having too much of your money in one place. There are financial models that can measure the risk of real losses. For stocks that people can buy and sell on the stock market, the advance rate should be figured out based on how much the stock's price has changed in the past. Banks usually lend 60 to 80 percent of high-quality money owed to a business. Receivables that can be used are usually those that are collected within 60 or 90 days, but this can vary depending on the industry. Lenders need a monthly certificate signed by a borrower's officer to confirm the value of the money owed to them. A banker likes good receivables as collateral because they pay off the loan when customers pay their bills. We can make rules to stop the credit facility if we're not getting enough payments. Stocks of goods that businesses keep to sell or use in their operations. Banks usually lend between 30 and 70 percent of the value of a company's products at a certain time. This can vary depending on the type of industry and whether the products are raw materials, partially finished, or fully finished. Simply put, it's easier to sell stocks quickly if they are more common and widely traded. Stocks that are most valuable in a liquidation are raw materials because they can be sold quickly [7], [8].

It's difficult to sell semi-finished and finished products because other companies might not want them or they might be outdated. Also, the company that made them might not be around to help with any issues in the future. Credit analysts deal with things that can be touched, like products or goods in a store. It is hard to figure out how much they are worth. In most places, inventory is usually counted at the amount it was bought for, even though there are different ways to calculate it in accounting. In a normal situation, credit analysts care more about how much money they can get right away than how much the products are worth. It is better to ask for help from experts who specialize in these situations.

### Real Estate

Real estate is a good investment, but you need to pay attention to the small details. There are big differences between a mine in Argentina and an office building in Tokyo, even though both are considered real estate. For other types of assets, like houses, the more common they are, the more money you can borrow against them.

For example, banks are usually willing to lend up to 90 percent of the money needed to buy a house or apartment, as long as it's in a good location and in good condition. The value of the property will be determined using three different methods: looking at similar properties that have been sold, calculating the cost of replacing the property, and estimating the property's rental value. On the other hand, a shopping mall in a suburban area with only one big company as the employer may only have 50 percent of customers coming in, especially if the company is moving to a cheaper area [9], [10].

Similarly, the interest rates for industrial properties will be more careful. The down payment for raw land is also around 50 percent. This is how much risk banks are willing to take and the relationship they want to have with the borrower in sharing that risk. When dealing with real estate, lenders often consider the value of a building if it were used for something else. Creditors need to know about planning rules and possible extra costs, like environmental risks. At the very least, within certain limits and conditions mentioned before, bankers can always check the value of the empty land. Leasing companies have good records of how much different types of equipment, like printers, computers, and cars, are worth. Just like with land and buildings, it's easier to sell equipment in bankruptcy if it's not very specialized, because its value is separate from the business it's used for. If the equipment is not standard, it’s worth will be linked with the worth of the company. In most cases, the amount of money loaned is more than 70 percent of the value of the item put up as collateral. If someone doesn't make their payments, the lender can take back the item without going through a long and complicated process. This makes it easier and quicker for the lender to take back the collateral.

The value of equipment is affected by how old it is. Lending money for trains, ships, and airplanes is a special type of loan because some countries have laws about it. There is a market where experts can keep track of how much a 10-year-old large shipping boat is worth each month, no matter where it is in the world. In short, credit analysts need to be careful when using equipment as collateral. If the equipment has value on its own and can be sold in a secondary market, then it is good collateral. If not, it can still be used as support, but not as much. Intangible assets are things that a company owns that have value, but you can't touch or see them, like copyrights, trademarks, and patents [11], [12].

In addition to money and physical things, there are many intangible things that are valuable, like agreements, rights, patents, brands, and goodwill. Credit analysts need to consider intangible assets the same way they consider other collateral, and determine if these assets have value that is separate from the business. Usually, intangible assets are linked to the success of the business. If the business does poorly, the value of these assets will likely go down too. Put simply, the value of the business is closely tied to the value of the collateral. In some cases, agreements, rights, and patents can be valuable. For example, the Eurotunnel, a train tunnel between France and England, has value, but lenders didn't realize it was lower than they thought. Similarly, music, publishing, and film rights all have value, even though it's hard to figure out exactly how much they're worth.

**Recovery thoughts: Final advice**

As we mentioned at the beginning, it's hard to predict how much money a company will recover before or during bankruptcy. During each step, the credit analyst must make educated guesses to figure out how much money is left over after expenses. More importantly, the analyst must assume that there will be no additional cost if the process is started because of the default. However, this is hardly ever true. At this point, it's important to consider the main factors that could affect how well something recovers. The costs of not being able to pay back what you owe can be very different in different places and depending on what you can't pay. But usually, these costs are very high.

The rules for handling bankruptcy situations. When a company's money and property are all in one country, that country's bankruptcy laws usually govern what happens. If the things you own are in different countries, it might take a long time to decide on what to do with them. Everyone involved has to agree on a plan. If a reorganization at work could make people lose their jobs, there might be a lot of pressure to change the process.

Ranking things in order of importance. Credit analysts should not believe that only debt holders can make claims against a company that cannot pay its debts. Companies have people they buy things from and make deals with. They also have employees and retired workers, and they sometimes have legal problems. In case a company goes bankrupt, some people like employees, landlords, and people getting pensions may have rights to get their money back before certain banks and other lenders. Credit analysts may need to consider all these different factors when creating their model. They should also explain the different types of debt and how much they cost.

The pattern of recoveries happening again and again. Recent research suggests that we should see recoveries as a variety across the whole investment portfolio. For example, the telecommunication sector had a lot of problems in 2001 and 2002, which made it hard for companies to get back their money. Investors who are willing to spend a lot of time working on debts that are a problem might be able to get back more money. Credit scoring is really important nowadays for figuring out how much to charge for loans and for managing the risk of people not paying back their loans. In the past, we showed a way to rank the likelihood of not being able to pay back a loan and how much can be recovered if it happens. When you add together the risk of not being able to pay back a loan, the chance of the loan not being paid, and the likelihood of getting some of the money back if the loan isn't paid, you can figure out the expected amount of money that might be lost on a loan or a group of loans. This talks about how credit ratings from rating agencies are used to decide the price of debt like bonds and loans. It shows how banks use their own ratings to decide how much money they need to keep on hand, according to a set of rules called Basel II. This is to make sure that banks around the world are stable and safe by using their money wisely. In summary, this gives a quick look at credit rating agencies, how they rate things, and how they check if their ratings are right; it also talks about important credit models.

Putting together scores of how well companies pay their debts and how likely they are to recover money. This helps determine the price of loans and how to spread out the risk. The chances of not paying back a loan and how much money can be recovered if the borrower defaults are important in finance. They help in setting prices for debt and in calculating capital and risk charges for managing risk. We talk about how credit ratings are used for practical purposes.

**The Use of Corporate and Recovery Scores in Pricing Debt Securities**

The cost of a debt security is determined by two main things: the safe rate, which is usually the yield on a government bond; and a risk premium, which shows the credit risk, and a liquidity factor, which represents the supply and demand for the security in the market at that time. Basically, if there's a higher chance someone won't pay back a loan, the person lending the money will want a higher payment for taking that risk. As credit ratings become more common, people are using them to decide if the interest rate on a debt is good. The chart shows a clear connection between ratings and spreads, even though spreads include other types of information.

Credit ratings are not only used to compare debentures, bonds, or notes. As mentioned in 7, lots of loan agreements have charts that connect the interest rate on a loan to specific ratings. The rating scales are very detailed, so they help to create a risk-reward curve that is also very detailed. Credit ratings and scores are used for managing risk. This is important for the Basel Capital Accords.

Bank regulators make sure that banks set aside enough money to cover the risks they take. This is done to be cautious and careful. For example, if a bank makes risky investments, they have to set aside more money than a bank that only invests in safe government bonds. This helps to keep customers' money safe. The reason is that this money will help cover losses if people don't pay back their loans. The main goal of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, which was started in 1975 by the central bank governors of the Group of Ten countries, is to make sure that the world's banks are stable by agreeing on how much money they need to keep in reserve. A system for measuring risk in credit was created in 1988. It used a one-size-fits-all approach and looked at the amount of money compared to the risk of the assets. After seeing ten years of better ways to manage risk, the Basel Committee suggested a more detailed and risk-focused way to measure credit and operational risks in 1999. This is often called Basel II. 3The main goals of this new agreement are:

Encourage safety and stability in the financial system. Improve fairness in competition. Give a better way to deal with dangers. This document has rules about how much money a bank needs to have based on the risk of its business. It mainly focuses on big banks that do business around the world, but the rules can also be used for smaller banks. To reach these goals, the Basel Committee wants to support three important pillars: making sure banks have enough money set aside, checking up on them regularly, and making sure the market keeps an eye on them too. In simple terms, Basel II suggests two ways for banks to determine if they have enough money to cover their risks - the standard way and the internal ratings-based way. This takes into account that different banks have different levels of skill in managing their risks. Basel II introduced specific rules for how much money banks have to keep for risks that come from their internal systems and processes, not just from loans.

The new agreement will help national regulators make sure that all banks have enough money to cover their risks. It gives specific details about different types of assets. Rules for reducing the risk of not being paid back on a loan, like using collateral or getting guarantees from someone else, are also explained. We quickly look at both ways, but only in relation to business credit risk, because that's what this book is about. De Servigny and Renault explain the Second Basel Accord in detail, including what it does well and what it does not do well. They show how to use credit analysis to manage risk, but remember that the new rules are not in effect yet and could still change. The way things are done in a consistent and regular manner.

In this method, different parties are given risk scores based on evaluations by outside credit assessment organizations, like rating agencies. This is for banks that don't have advanced systems to manage risks. "The suggested risk weights assume that unrated parties are typically rated BB- or higher. This happened because in most countries, companies don't need a rating to borrow money from banks. The Basel Committee didn't want to make it harder for small and medium-sized companies to get loans by making them get a rating. This could have a bad effect on the economy. This makes it hard for bank regulators because they have to make sure that banks with big investments in risky businesses still have enough money to operate safely. To do this, regulators can keep an eye on how many people are late on their payments. But this way of doing things is not as good as a more careful way of managing risk.

In the new plan for how we measure risk, things that make risk smaller, like stuff you give as security, are mostly things that can be easily replaced, like money, investments, and gold. Corporations don't think much about using traditional assets like money owed to them, items for sale, and buildings and machines as collateral for loans.

**The Internal Ratings-Based Approach**

The Basel Committee allows banks with advanced risk management systems to use a more advanced approach. The internal ratings-based approach lets banks use their own rating system. With this method, the banks have to prove they are doing the right thing, and the regulators have to say it’s okay. The IRB has two parts: the basic IRB and the advanced IRB. But for this discussion, we will only talk about the common things in both parts.

Banks were asked about their IRB methods. They use a scale with ten categories for good loans and three for bad ones. This gives a more detailed way to measure risk than the five categories used in the standardized approach. The Basel Committee suggests different levels of risk for different types of investments with IRB.

One important requirement is that banks have to prove that their models are based on strong and lengthy data about when people failed to pay back loans and how long it took to recover the money. It's also really important to have a wide range of data to make sure our models are fair. If we only focus on certain areas or industries, our models might not be accurate. With the Internal Ratings-Based approach, the way credit mitigators are used to calculate Loss Given Default varies a lot between the basic and more advanced methods. The foundation approach uses specific categories in different areas, like how things are owned and who owns them. In simple words, when a company goes bankrupt, subordinated creditors can expect to get back 25% of what they are owed on average, and unsecured creditors can expect to get back 45% on average. Secured claims will be assessed using similar methods as the standardized approach for financial collateral, and a slightly more detailed method for residential and commercial real estate.

The new LGD method in the advanced approach is not clear. Banks and regulators have to figure out and make the data official themselves. Some banks are better at making good contracts and dealing with problem loans than others. These banks have an advantage in how they use their money compared to other banks.

## CONCLUSION

The time frames for money coming in and out of a business are shown, based on what the organization wants to achieve. This includes how to deal with risks, the goals for investing, and what kind of industry the business is in. Case studies show that thinking about the time frame has helped make better financial predictions, allocate resources better, and plan strategies more effectively. The summary also talks about how business environments are always changing and how companies need to be flexible and able to adapt to new situations. It shows how important it is to regularly check and change cash flow predictions to make sure they stay accurate and account for changes in the market. In summary, this summary combines important thoughts on how to decide how long to plan for future money coming in. It helps financial experts and decision-makers understand and improve the way they manage time and money in today's ever-changing business world.

**REFERENCES:**

[1] F. Z. Xing, E. Cambria, and R. E. Welsch, "Natural language based financial forecasting: a survey," *Artif. Intell. Rev.*, 2018.

[2] S. Krylov, "Target financial forecasting as an instrument to improve company financial health," *Cogent Bus. Manag.*, 2018.

[3] K. Bisht and S. Kumar, "Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set-Based Computational Method for Financial Time Series Forecasting," *Fuzzy Inf. Eng.*, 2018.

[4] S. Krylov, "Target Financial Forecasting as an Instrument to Overcome Financial Difficulties," *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2018.

[5] D. Zhu, L. Hodgkinson, and Q. Wang, "Academic performance and financial forecasting performance:A survey study," *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance*. 2018.

[6] L. Di Persio and O. Honchar, "Multitask machine learning for financial forecasting," *Int. J. Circuits, Syst. Signal Process.*, 2018.

[7] S. Mishra, "Financial management and forecasting using business intelligence and big data analytic tools," *Int. J. Financ. Eng.*, 2018.

[8] S. Chen, K. Jeong, and W. K. HHrdle, "Support Vector Regression Based GARCH Model with Application to Forecasting Volatility of Financial Returns," *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2018.

[9] S. Degiannakis and G. Filis, “Forecasting oil prices: High-frequency financial data are indeed useful,” *Energy Econ.*, 2018.

[10] W. Davidson, *Financial Forecasting and Decision Making*. 2018.

[11] B. Zhang, “Foreign exchange rates forecasting with an EMD-LSTM neural networks model,” in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 2018.

[12] F. Li and Y. Kang, “Improving forecasting performance using covariate-dependent copula models,” *Int. J. Forecast.*, 2018.

# CHAPTER 12

# ANALYZING CORPORATE CREDIT SCORES AND RATINGS

Dr. Shaji Thomas, Professor
Department of Finance, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School
Jain (Deemed to be University), Bangalore, Karnataka, India
Email Id- shaji.t@cms.ac.in

**ABSTRACT**:
The realm of corporate credit scores and ratings, exploring the methodologies, significance, and strategic implications of these financial health metrics for businesses, investors, and stakeholders. Corporate credit scores and ratings play a pivotal role in assessing a company's creditworthiness, influencing lending decisions, investor perceptions, and overall financial market dynamics. This analysis examines the factors influencing credit scores and ratings, the methodologies employed in their determination, and the strategic considerations associated with managing and interpreting these critical metrics. The abstract begins by defining corporate credit scores and ratings, emphasizing their role as quantitative measures that evaluate a company's ability to meet its financial obligations. It explores the key elements that contribute to these metrics, including financial performance, debt levels, industry risks, and market perceptions. Various methodologies employed by credit rating agencies and financial institutions in determining corporate credit scores and ratings are discussed. The abstract explores the significance of both quantitative and qualitative factors, highlighting the importance of comprehensive financial analysis, industry benchmarks, and the assessment of management quality.

**KEYWORDS**:

Financial Health, Financial Market Dynamics, Investor Perceptions, Legislative Changes, Market Impact, Regulatory Frameworks.

## INTRODUCTION

A corporate credit score shows how likely a company is to not be able to pay back their debts, and a recovery score shows how likely it is that lenders will get their money back if the company does default. Together, these two scores show how likely it is that something bad will happen. As these scores are tracked over time, they help investors keep an eye on how risky individual assets and groups of assets are. In risk management, a corporate credit score or rating shows how likely a company is to not be able to pay its debts. It's an important factor in assessing the risk of lending to them. Basically, it shows how likely it is that someone won't be able to pay back a loan. Until recently, many people only saw credit as either being accepted or rejected, based on reputation. After the money was given and the risk was recorded, the person or company who gave the money was supposed to keep it until it was fully paid back or until the borrower could not pay it back. Credit rating agencies helped us understand the chances of a company not being able to pay back its debts by collecting and keeping track of rating data over time. Credit rating agencies are companies that assess the creditworthiness of businesses and governments. They use rating scales to indicate how likely a borrower is to repay their debts [1], [2].

It's important to know that all three agencies consider their ratings as "opinions" about how trustworthy a borrower is. Although the symbols are a little different, the three scales are mostly the same. In the 1990s, Fitch bought several smaller rating agencies that had started in the meantime, and today, Standard & Poor's, Moody's, and Fitch are still the most important companies for credit ratings.

In 1970, when Penn Central couldn't pay back $82 million of money it owed, people lost trust in the market. This made other companies also unable to pay back money when investors wouldn't lend them more. Companies asked for credit scores, so rating agencies could start charging for them instead of getting paid by subscriptions. This was a big change for rating agencies because they were able to give more help to their research.

As more people were using ratings, the Securities & Exchange Commission gave special status to certain agencies in 1975. These agencies' credit ratings could be used to figure out how much money broker-dealers needed to have. In the 1980s, seven agencies were NRSROs, but by the end of 2002, there were only three: Standard & Poor's, Moody's, and Fitch. In 2003, the SEC gave Dominion Bond Rating Service, a small company in Toronto, Canada, the status of being an NRSRO. An agency needs to show the SEC that its rules and procedures are honest, and that it is independent because of its ownership, money, and staff, in order to get this status. The SEC also thinks it's important for the market to accept the ratings from the agencies.

Other rating agencies also started in Canada and Japan during the 1970s and 1980s, besides the ones in the United States. In Japan, the local rating agencies still have a big impact. But the three biggest international agencies are becoming more important in all parts of the credit markets, including basic U. S. investments Bonds issued by local governments to complicated financial products to debt in developing countries. Credit rating agencies' studies on companies not able to pay back their debt: How often companies can't pay back their debts over time. Every year, companies that rate things publish reports about how different groups of ratings behaved during the year [3], [4].

**Predicting Bankruptcy using Data Analysis**

Credit rating agencies are the main source of credit analysis for the public debt markets. Meanwhile, academics and risk managers are creating models to predict the chance of bankruptcy, mainly to help banks manage their risks. These models assume that we can find measurable behaviors to predict the chances of someone not being able to repay their debts. The banking industry really wants to create credit models for a good reason: A strong model is better than using experts and saves money. Also, models can use the same type of information, which makes collecting data consistent. This book doesn't mainly talk about risk management, but it's still important to quickly look at some of the credit models out there. The Merton model is a well-known way of managing risk in the bond market. It was created by Merton in 1974. This model looks at the risk of someone not being able to pay back their debt by using the ideas of options pricing. It shows how the risk of not being able to pay back debt is connected to how a company's finances are set up. It means shareholders have the right to buy the company and creditors have the right to sell the company. When a company's value is less than the strike price of a put option, the debt becomes due.

**KMV Credit Monitor**

This model is based on the Merton model. It uses stock prices or prices of similar stocks to figure out how much the company's assets are worth at any given time. The company's assets are compared to a certain level to see if the company is at risk of not being able to pay its debts. This level is determined by adding the company's long-term debt and half of its short-term debt. The result is shown on a graph based on the likelihood of not being able to repay a loan, which is called Expected Default Frequency. Many people use this tool to quickly warn them about changes in the market. They think that the market works well and this helps them be prepared ahead of time. One problem is that it may be difficult to find out how much it costs. Most banks use a combination of numbers and other information to figure out a person's credit score. They look at how often people don't pay their debts to decide how likely someone is to not pay in the future. Different methods are used like decision trees, scorecards, and statistical analyses to make decisions. They usually check how strong they are by looking at ratings agencies' studies on defaults and changes in ratings [5], [6].

**Recovery Scores and Ratings - Understanding how well someone is getting better**

There haven't been many thorough studies about how investments recover after a loss, especially compared to the in-depth studies done by the top two rating agencies, Standard & Poor's and Moody's, about companies not being able to pay back money they owe. The Basel Committee is working on fixing a problem with rating agencies, but it's a big job because it took the rating agencies a long time to gather good default data. The most reliable numbers that everyone can use are from Standard & Poor's. They have gathered information in the United States and also from studies done in the United Kingdom. The United States Experience the things you have done and learned from in your life.

Between 1988 and 2001, Standard & Poor's LossStats database recorded more than 1,600 instances of public debt not being paid back. In addition to other things, the data shows which industry the firm is in, the amount of money owed when they default, the different types of debt they have, when the default happened, the ranking of their debt, if they put up any collateral for the debt, the price of their debt before and after the default. The United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland Experience means the knowledge and skills a person has gained from doing things and being in different situations.

In the UK, a study by a professor found that. Julian Franks and Oren Sussman wrote about a system for dealing with bankrupt companies that is more helpful to the people or businesses owed money than the one used in the United States. System Translate - The way things work together. It's important to know that the companies we looked at in the study are a lot smaller than companies in the United States, with the average turnover in the UK being lower. Companies had less than £5 million. The group of companies in the study is small, but it is important. It includes more than 500 firms from three different banks during 1997 and 1998. Credit risk is when the possibility of someone not being able to pay back their debts. The portfolio effect is the idea that by spreading out investments, the risk of losing money is lowered. In credit risk, the portfolio effect helps reduce the overall risk of lending money to different people or companies.

Debt investments are less risky when you have a mix of different types of debt because it helps to lower the overall risk. This goal can be reached by combining loans from different areas, countries, or credit ratings. This will lower the risk connected to any single loan. If we don't put all our money in one place, we can avoid being too focused on one thing. Different parts of the economy, countries, or credit ratings don't always go up or down at the same time, even though they might be connected. Basel II considers how different types of investments can help reduce the risks in its system. Similarly, portfolio models like Standard & Poor's CDO Evaluator also consider diversification of investments in a portfolio. These models are used in risk management to figure out how risky it is to lose money on investments [7], [8].

## DISCUSSION

We show real situations where we use credit analysis. From what we've learned, it's good to know theory and the right way to do things, but you really learn when you use them in real life. With the help of some experienced Standard & Poor's analysts, we present seven cases that are useful for students and professionals to learn from. These examples are supported by tips for success for the industries connected to the examples. These keys are found when we look at the risks in the industry, and then we study them more when we look at the risks to the business and its finances. In every situation, we give the important things to look at, the way we decide if something is doing well, and how to understand if something is doing well or not.

The cases are different and include things that happened in North America, South America, Europe, and the Pacific Rim. They involved mergers, foreign ownership in a merger, government issues, comparing companies, and analyzing recovery. You work as a senior credit analyst in the communications industry at a big mutual fund company. The person in charge of money at Comcast Corp calls you on the phone. We want to tell you that we have just made a public announcement about acquiring something. He tells a little about the new company, AT&T Comcast, but not a lot. Your company has put a lot of money into buying bonds from Comcast.

The group in charge of investing is worried about whether to keep or sell the bonds. You need to get ready for a test and show it to a group of investors in two hours so they can make a decision. Please use the Cable Industry Keys to Success Factors on page 315 to make a short assessment of the merged company's strong points and any worries. Talk about important financial credit numbers and why they are important for analysis. Your suggestion to the investment group should show if the combined company's credit worthiness is good or not.

**Overview of industries**

Cable companies make money from the fees that customers pay each month, selling movies and events, showing advertisements, and charging home shopping channels for being on their service. Income is expected to increase by 4 to 6 percent each year because more people are using broadband and the fee for subscribers is going up. Subscriber growth has stopped increasing and stayed at about 69 percent of the U.S population TV in houses. In the last five years, the cable industry has spent about $60 billion to make their services better. This includes improving their infrastructure and facilities so they can offer things like digital cable, fast internet, and on-demand videos. Cable companies have been losing money for many years. Investors are worried about how much money the industry will make in the future. In the next few years, spending on infrastructure is expected to go down to about $10 billion per year because most of the building projects will be finished [9], [10].

Most of the money comes from the fees that subscribers pay each month. These fees make up 65 to 70 percent of the sales. Cable companies make money by showing ads during TV shows and by selling products on home shopping channels. They also get a part of the sales from the home shopping channels. We expect sales to grow as we offer more pay-per-view and interactive services like fast Internet. Cable companies usually pay TV channels either a fixed amount every month or a percentage of their earnings. Increasing the amount of money spent on programming is a big worry for the industry. As of January 2002, almost all of the U. S population Households had TVs. Competition means trying to do better than others in a game or activity.

In general, cable operators have strong positions in the market because they are the only ones providing cable TV in their areas. But, TV services that use satellites have grown a lot in the last few years. The satellite TV industry gained 2. 6 million new customers in 2001. By the end of the year, they had almost 17.

**The AT&T Broadband Transaction**

On December 19, 2001, AT&T and Comcast Corporation said they agreed to combine AT&T Broadband with Comcast. The deal is worth $72 billion. The new company will be called AT&T Comcast Corporation. It will be one of the top and most powerful companies in the world for communication, media, and entertainment. It would have about 22 million people who pay for the service and be a big part of 17 out of the 20 biggest cities in the United States. It would be the best company in the world for providing fast internet, phone, and information services, making about $19 billion a year.

**The agreement's terms**

AT&T is going to separate its Broadband business and join it with Comcast to create a new company called AT&T Comcast. AT&T shareholders will get about 0. 34 shares of AT&T Comcast Corporation for each share of AT&T they have. Comcast shareholders will get one share of AT&T Comcast Corporation for every Comcast share they have. AT&T Comcast Corporation owns cable TV systems from both companies, as well as AT&T's share in cable TV partnerships and its 25. 5% share in Time Warner Entertainment. Comcast also owns shares in QVC, E. Entertainment, The Golf Channel, and other entertainment properties. The Roberts family from Comcast would have a say in one-third of the decisions for the new company and would still have a lot of control over how it is run [11], [12].

**Comcast Corporation is a company**

In 2001, Comcast Corporation made $9. 674 billion and had earnings of $2. 7 billion before taking out costs for interest, taxes, and other expenses. The money owed was around $12 billion, but some of it was balanced out by investments and almost $3 billion in cash. We spent about $2. 1 billion on new things for our business. Comcast made a lot more money in 2001 and gained some new customers, even though they had to compete with satellite TV companies. Comcast's cable systems make a lot of money. The company's computer systems were improved and are growing because more people are using digital and high-speed internet services. Comcast's boss is highly respected and is considered one of the best in the cable TV industry. AT&T Broadband - a service provided by AT&T that offers high-speed internet access.

In comparison, AT&T Broadband lost about 4 percent of its customers compared to last year and has one of the lowest profits in the industry. About 20% of its systems do not have enough capacity to offer high-margin digital and high-speed data services. So, AT&T Broadband will probably spend more than $2. 5 billion on system upgrades in the next two years. The company thinks it will make about $6 billion from selling its 25 percent share in Time Warner Entertainment and from some other partnerships where it owns a small part of the business. The money earned will be used to pay off debt, but not until 2003 when the sales are finished.

**Success in cable TV industry**

The United States The cable TV industry is seen as having good and low-risk business qualities. Good risk features include being a strong leader in the market, facing little competition, making a lot of money, having a high demand for services, and spending a moderate amount of money to be successful. The cable companies that are already in place tend to be the only ones in a particular area because of economic and technical reasons that make it hard for other companies to start providing cable services there. Satellite TV companies are the only ones competing and they have been getting more customers because they offered digital service earlier and showed popular sports programs that cable companies didn't have. Also, some cable companies didn't have good customer service. The cable systems are being fixed and improved. This will make the cable service better than satellite. The cable industry is also working on improving its customer service.

Cable companies have to follow certain rules and regulations set by the government. They can only provide their services in specific areas that have given them permission. Federal laws also control what they can and can't do. Although multiple companies can legally offer cable services in an area, there is usually only one cable company available. Reasons why there's usually only one cable company in an area are because the telephone poles can't hold more than one company's equipment, and it's too expensive for a second company to build their own underground facilities. Because cable infrastructure is expensive, it's hard for a new cable company to make money in a market where there are already other cable providers. Competitors in the satellite industry have captured about 50% of the market.

Only 20 percent of people use satellite TV, while almost 70 percent use cable. But in the past few years, both EchoStar and DirecTV have gained more new customers than cable companies. However, in terms of technology, cable companies have a clear advantage over satellite. Cable companies can provide more internet speed than satellite companies because they have more digital capability. This is important because people want faster internet for things like watching videos and TV shows. Cable companies can offer home phone and fast internet at a good price. Satellite can't do that. Although satellite has been successful in the past few years, it would be unwise to underestimate its ability to offer a service that can compete with cable.

Also, cable companies are facing more competition from satellite companies and are having to pay more for sports channels like ESPN. Cable companies usually raise their prices to cover costs, but this makes them vulnerable to competition from satellite companies and gets the attention of politicians. In the future, new technology could create more competition for cable companies. Changes in the current DSL internet access for telephone operators could make the internet faster and better at reaching faraway places. This might allow phone companies to offer their own TV services that can compete with other companies.

Additionally, if phone companies speed up their use of fiber-optic cables, it might give them the best platform to use. However, the phone companies may not invest in fiber deployment soon because they are unsure about the rules and it requires a lot of money. Some power companies are starting to give fast internet to some customers using power lines. But upgraded cable systems seem to be the best way to provide a lot of video, data, and interaction at a good price for a long time. The area where the cable company is allowed to operate affects how big it can get and how many customers it can reach. The size of a phone company, based on how many people use its service, can affect how much money it spends on running and improving its service. Specifically, because they are in a good position to negotiate, the biggest cable companies can save a lot of money on the cost of TV shows and movies. This is very important because these costs have gone up a lot in the last few years. In the same way, big cable companies usually pay less for equipment like cable boxes and modems. In addition to the number of subscribers, organized systems can also make operations run more smoothly and efficiently. Having customers close together helps us use our warehouses and staff better. Moreover, being able to help many customers from one location saves money on the equipment needed to provide advanced services. Actually, it was the increased cost of running a rural cable system that stopped them from being fixed. This means that people in rural areas couldn't get digital and other services easily, which made it hard for them to compete with satellite companies.

In the past, things like age and where people live didn't really affect how much people watched regular TV. But in the future, as cable TV bills get more expensive, how much money people make might start to matter more. A person who pays for digital cable and fast Internet with a cable company, and also rents a lot of on-demand movies, might have to pay over $100 every month for cable. With better cable services available, people in wealthier areas tend to spend more on TV subscriptions. Some cable companies have different operating margins, but all well-run companies should make at least a 30% margin. Even if there are differences in margins, this minimum margin is expected. Operating margins are a good way to measure how well a business is doing. Large operators will likely make more money from programming and other cost savings, starting at around 30 percent. Furthermore, systems that are well organized should also show higher profits. However, using margins to measure efficiency needs to be done carefully and with analysis. Temporary losses may happen, but they will ultimately help the cable company in the long run.

For example, if we get more new subscribers, we will have to spend more money on marketing and on new services. This may temporarily lower our operating margin. Furthermore, adding new services like phone service through cable can increase profits and money available for the company, but it may also decrease the percentage of profits from regular operations. In these situations, a drop in the operating margin ratio might not mean that the company is working inefficiently. Instead, it could be because they have introduced new products that don't make as much profit.

The number of customers and the services they use shows how well a company is good at advertising and providing different types of services. Most cable companies only really compete with two big satellite companies, DirecTV and EchoStar. To deal with tough competition from satellites, cable companies need to offer good TV shows and movies, and provide good customer service. Cable companies have to offer digital service to give customers lots of channels, including special ones like high-definition and on-demand shows and movies.

When it comes to helping customers, the cable industry hasn't been very good in the past. This is one reason why they have lost some customers to satellite companies. Being successful in business depends on good marketing and providing high-quality service. This can be seen in how many people use digital, video on demand, and cable modem services, and in the number of customers who stop using cable. Another way to measure success is by looking at ARPU, which stands for average revenue per unit. This shows which cable companies have the best shows and good enough service to keep their prices steady.

**Advanced Service Capability**

Cable companies with the newest technology can make more money from each customer and are less likely to lose customers to satellite companies. Channel capacity allows for more shows and content options like on-demand videos, fast internet, and phone service. Measuring technology involves checking how much data and signals can be sent over a network, how well digital devices work, installing fiber optic cables, and setting up cable modems and phones. If the operator isn't good at their job, two things happen: First, the company falls behind its competitors, and second, it has to find money to pay for system upgrades.

Debt leverage means comparing debt to cash flow, subscribers' cash flow, and EBITDA to interest expense. Liquidity is measured by comparing free cash to debt maturities. Financial risk is evaluated using numbers like financial ratios and also by looking at the overall picture. Using ratios by themselves may not be very helpful, and sometimes they can actually give wrong information if they are not looked at along with the needed subjective measures.

In the cable industry, debt is usually measured using two ratios: total debt compared to cash flow, and debt per subscriber. The first ratio measures cash flow using EBITDA, which stands for earnings before taxes and depreciation. EBITDA is a basic but often helpful measure, and debt to EBITDA gives a rough idea of how much debt a company has. However, even though the debt/EBITDA ratio might seem to show how long it will take for a company to pay back its debt, the company still needs to use its EBITDA for other expenses like interest, investments, and repaying the debt itself. However, despite these limitations in analysis, the debt/EBITDA measure is a commonly used indicator of leverage in the cable industry and is accepted by lenders.

The amount of debt per subscriber also takes into account how much money the company owes, and it shows how likely creditors are to get their money back. Because cable assets can be sold easily, if the amount of debt per customer is much less than what the customers are worth in the market, then there is enough extra value to make sure that all the people or companies that the business owes money to will get all their money back if the business has to be sold. Cash flow protection is evaluated in different ways. One way to measure it is by using the EBITDA/interest ratio, which shows how well a company can pay its interest expenses with its earnings.

Having enough cash available is really important, especially for small cable companies that depend a lot on the ups and downs of the stock market. In the past few years, when the high-risk investment markets closed, some companies with risky credit ratings didn't have enough money to carry out their potentially successful business ideas. Liquidity analysis looks at financial rules, access to money from credit agreements, and how much cash is available, along with other things. Certainly, we need to consider if these funding sources are suitable for a cable company's needs. This may involve spending a lot of money on upgrading the system or adding new services. Besides having enough money on hand, financial flexibility includes an operator's ability to borrow money, manage their working capital, and sell off things they don't need, or attract lenders and investors. This can be seen in their equity cushion.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the complex world of business credit scores and evaluations. It helps financial professionals, executives, and investors understand and respond to financial metrics and market changes. Advice for businesses on how to manage and improve their credit scores and ratings is given. This includes watching their finances, talking openly with people involved in their business, and taking steps to reduce risks. Case studies show examples of companies that have done well in facing difficulties and improving their financial strength by making good plans for their money. The summary also talks about how credit scores and ratings affect the stock market, how investors make choices, and the general economic stability. It looks at how these numbers affect how much it costs to borrow money and how easy it is to get money, and it focuses on how this has effects that go beyond just one company.

## REFERENCES:


[1] A. Mujahid, M. E. Isharyani, and D. Widada, “Analisis Strategi Pemasaran Mengunakan Metode Quantitative Strategic Planning Matrik (QSPM) Studi Kasus : Borneo Project,” *J. Rekayasa Sist. Ind.*, 2018.

[2] A. Nofrianti and E. Saraswati, “The Effect of Corporate Social Responsibility and Audit Size on Credit Rating,” *J. Korea Converg. Soc.*, 2018.

[3] F. D. Duarte, A. P. M. Gama, and M. A. Gulamhussen, “Defaults in bank loans to SMEs during the financial crisis,” *Small Bus. Econ.*, 2018.

[4] C. Hauptmann, “Corporate sustainability & bank loan pricing: It pays to be good, but only when banks are too,” *Acad. Manag. Proc.*, 2018.

[5] E. I. Altman, “Corporate Scoring Systems over Time,” *J. Credit Risk*, 2018.

[6] R. L. Mertens, T. Poddig, and C. Fieberg, “Forecasting corporate defaults in the german stock market,” *J. Risk*, 2018.

[7] O. Town, M. Mwinga, and T. Leader, “Economy Pro le of Georgia,” *Doing Bus. Georg.*, 2018.

[8] World Bank Group, “Economy Profile, Kenya,” *Doing Bus. Kenya*, 2018.

[9] J. Belás, M. Mišanková, J. Schönfeld, and B. Gavurová, “Credit risk management: Financial safety and sustainability aspects,” *J. Secur. Sustain. Issues*, 2017.

[10] M. Suhail Rizwan, A. Obaid, and D. Ashraf, “The Impact of Corporate Social Responsibility on Default Risk: Empirical evidence from US Firms,” *Bus. Econ. Rev.*, 2017.

[11] C. Guotai, Z. Zhichong, and M. Z. Abedin, “Credit Risk Rating System of Small Enterprises Based on the Index Importance,” *Int. J. Secur. Its Appl.*, 2017.

[12] A. Wiener-Fererhofer, “Credit rating process: A distinction between family and non-family firms regarding their rating score,” *J. Fam. Bus. Manag.*, 2017.