CONCEPT OF PUBLIC RELATIONS

Somayya Madakam

CONCEPT OF
PUBLIC RELATIONS

CONCEPT OF PUBLIC RELATIONS

Somayya Madakam

ALEXIS PRESS

ALEXIS PRESS

Published by: Alexis Press, LLC, Jersey City, USA
www.alexispress.us

First Published 2023

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication Data

Includes bibliographical references and index.

Concept of Public Relations by *Somayya Madakam*

ISBN 979-8-89161-394-2

CONTENTS

Chapter 1. Navigating the Storm: The Crucial Role of Public Relations in UPS's 1997 Strike and Recovery 1
— Somayya Madakam,

Chapter 2. Evolution of Public Relations Models: From Revolutionary Campaigns to Contemporary Strategies 9
— Cleston Jacob Dcosta

Chapter 3. Strategic Management and Roles of Public Relations in Building Organizational Success 16
— Aditya Kashyap

Chapter 4. Exploring the Critical Influences of Organizational Structure and Culture on Public Relations 24
— Bineet Naresh Desai

Chapter 5. A Brief Discussion on Public Relations and Organizational Effectiveness 31
— Sadaf Haseen Hashmi

Chapter 6. Identifying and Prioritizing Stakeholders and Publics 39
— Poonam Singh

Chapter 7. Public Relations Research: The Key to Strategy 48
— Simarjeet Makkar

Chapter 8. A Brief Discussion on Public Relations Process RACE 56
— Anand Kopare

Chapter 9. Exploring the Multifaceted Landscape of Public Relations 65
— Jaimine Vaishnav

Chapter 10. Navigating Public Affairs: A Comprehensive Study on Issues Management, Government Relations, and Activist Engagement in Organizational Strategy 74
— Hemal Thakker

Chapter 11. Ethical Leadership and the Role of Public Relations: A Comprehensive Study on the Integration of Moral Principles in Organizational Decision-Making 83
— Shoaib Mohammed

Chapter 12. Exploring Ethical Decision-Making Paradigms in Public Relations: A Comparative Analysis of Consequentialism and Deontology 91
— Shilpi Kulshrestha

Chapter 13. Enhancing Organizational Effectiveness through Excellence in Public Relations 97
— Nikita Nadkarni

CHAPTER 1

NAVIGATING THE STORM: THE CRUCIAL ROLE OF PUBLIC RELATIONS IN UPS'S 1997 STRIKE AND RECOVERY

Somayya Madakam, Associate Professor
Department of uGDX, ATLAS SkillTech University, Mumbai, India
Email Id-somayya.madakam@atlasuniversity.edu.in

ABSTRACT:

This study delves into the critical role of public relations (PR) for companies, exemplified through the case of United Parcel Service (UPS) in 1997. Facing complex negotiations with the International Brotherhood of Teamsters, UPS grappled with issues related to pay, healthcare, retirement benefits, and workplace security. The ensuing nationwide strike orchestrated by the Teamsters had profound consequences, costing UPS $750 million in losses and severely affecting its reputation. The study underscores the strategic importance of PR in crisis management and the preservation of organizational relationships. By examining UPS's response and subsequent recovery, it highlights the need for comprehensive PR strategies, early crisis communication, and adaptability to navigate unforeseen challenges. The UPS case emphasizes the enduring impact of effective PR on an organization's success, even in the face of substantial setbacks. The goal of public relations has been introduced in this chapter. Notwithstanding the fact that the public relations function goes by many various names, it is crucial to realize that it is a distinct management function that, by emphasizing the establishment and upkeep of connections with important publics, helps a firm succeed. These publics often include workers, investors or other financial stakeholders, local communities, various governmental entities, and the media. Additionally, it's critical to distinguish between the main goal of public relations and its supporting roles, such media relations and publicity.

KEYWORDS:

Corporation, Company, Public Relations, Media Relations, Visibility, Publicity.

INTRODUCTION

For a company, public relations may really mean the difference between success and failure, or even life and death. The ensuing instance highlights the significance of public relations as a tool for preserving continuous, advantageous associations and for methodically hearing and comprehending the apprehensions of publics in this instance, internal publics, a labor union, and the news media's outward public. The following case study illustrates how ongoing public relations measures, such strategic issues management, may have avoided the troubles the firm faced. The story also shows that, if an institution is willing to admit its errors and make a change in direction, it can regain its footing and mend relationships and its image. The sequence of events that follows emphasizes the critical role that consistent, purposeful public relations play as an organization's lifeblood. Cases based on Kenneth Sternad interviews and lectures in the classroom.

A Battle Breaks Out

As 1997 got underway, United Parcel Service (UPS), the biggest logistics and Transportation Corporation in the world, had to deal with a challenging array of issues. Established in 1907, the corporation has significant importance in the American and worldwide economies. In 2008, UPS carried more than 3.8 billion parcels, or 15 million shipments per day, to more than 200 nations and territories. The corporation has over eight million daily interactions with customers and generated $51.5 billion in sales in 2008. With 427,000 workers, it ranks ninth globally and as the second biggest employer in the US. Approximately 6% of the GDP in the United States and 2% of the GDP worldwide are carried by UPS.

The International Brotherhood of Teamsters, the union that has defended UPS workers since the 1920s, and UPS have a long and generally amicable history together. That partnership would be put to the test in 1997, with far-reaching consequences for the organization. Even though the current contract didn't expire until 12:01 A.M. on August 1, 1997, negotiations with the Teamsters started early that year. Every four to six years, UPS and the union negotiate a national contract, and up until 1997, there had never been a nationwide strike by the union against UPS. With 225,000 members, the firm is the biggest employer of Teamsters in the nation.

Ron Carey, a former New York City UPS driver who left the firm with a deep-seated disdain for UPS, was the president of the Teamsters. In 1996, Carey was reelected to the Teamsters presidency. However, after his victory, there was an inquiry into his actions due to claims of illicit fundraising and payment of bribes. Carey's opponents in the union were assaulting him while discussions with the Teamsters got underway, trying to weaken his support and circulating petitions for potential fresh elections. Many thought there was a good chance Carey's election would be thrown out as a consequence of the FBI inquiry. UPS was unaware that Carey had been secretly preparing the union for a strike even prior to the start of discussions. In order to rally his supporters in the event that the election was thrown out, he needed to put on a display of strength and leadership [1], [2].

The main concerns at the beginning of the discussions were conventional ones, such pay, health care, and retirement benefits. However, two other areas turned out to be significantly more crucial, particularly in the conflict over communication that arose as the talks started to go south. Workplace security was one of them. The Teamsters wanted UPS to commit to creating a larger proportion of full-time positions, with a guaranteed minimum number of these jobs, since the corporation had been using part-time workers for many years. The authority over UPS workers' pensions inside the union was a second underlying issue that played a significant role in the discussions. One of the biggest pension funds in the country was under the Teamsters union's control when talks got underway. UPS requested a separate pension fund for its Teamsters workers and expressed concerns about the fund's management as well as the employees' future pension security.

When the discussions started to go south, the business started preparing backup plans across the board, including public relations1. UPS remained a privately owned firm in 1997. With just 10 management staff members and a meager $5 million US budget, the public relations division was tiny. Since the corporation did not have the public disclosure requirements common to publicly listed companies, there were not many professional spokesmen. Product publicity, financial communications, reputation management, and executive communications via a speaker's bureau were among the duties performed by the public relations department. In addition, the function was in charge of sponsorships, event support, crisis management, and overall message creation. However, it lacked the manpower and resources necessary to handle the increased scrutiny UPS was going to get on a worldwide scale.

Throughout the summer of 1997, the contract discussions broke down, and on July 30, the Teamsters rejected UPS's last offer to enter into a contract. Federal mediators then became involved and carried on the talks until August 3. The union said it will be back at the table the next day when the negotiations came to a conclusion.

A researcher, a facilitator, and a manager of communication who defines issues, conducts study, and gives meaning to communication among various social groups. Also known as public affairs, marketing communication, and business communication. That night, the Teamsters union informed its members without notice that it would go on strike. On August 4, Ron Carey announced a nationwide strike and urged all UPS employees to take a leave of absence at an early morning news conference. The Teamsters had been planning a full-court media assault, and they used print, radio, and television to begin a well-thought-out campaign that day.

The UPS strike quickly shot to the top of local and national news stories throughout the country. The strike sparked media attention in every major to medium-sized city and impacted operations at over 1,800 sites across all 50 states. During the strike, the UPS public relations office received over 20,000 phone calls. Ken Sternad, who oversaw the event at the time, said, "We got slaughtered in the press." The 15-day strike severely affected both domestic and international trade, losing UPS $750 million in lost income and associated costs. Sternad believed that the Teamsters' "key messages that played well" were a major factor in their victory in the communication war.

Sternad said that the media picked up on their campaign, which centered on the idea that "Part-time America won't work." "This message, like with the others they used, had obviously been tried and tested by the Teamsters. They conducted twice-daily press briefings in Washington, DC, as well as early and frequent communication. The message was effectively conveyed by the Teamsters, who maintained control over it. Sternad also emphasized how the union humanized the problem by highlighting disgruntled UPS employees, particularly those who work part-time. They used the Internet well and brought in other specialists.

UPS developed a distinct set of guiding principles before the strike and never deviated from them. Gaining a favorable deal was the company's main goal; winning the PR war was not one of them. Remembering, Sternad says, "We had decided early on that we would not attack the union leadership and not make our people a target." He went on, "We didn't want to do or say anything that would tarnish the image of the UPS driver because we knew that we would need our people with us for the long term." We didn't want to alienate them since they would always be the face of the business and our conduit to the public [3], [4].

UPS did have a formal crisis communications strategy in place and had created a special communications plan in case of a strike as they prepared for the walkout. Prior to a strike, the public relations team educated local spokespeople and gathered a wealth of information on the firm. Additionally, they had located outside specialists who could highlight the company's many advantages. The corporation admits that, looking back, they should have handled the communication leading up to and during the strike more effectively. Sternad states, For the first twenty-four hours, we hardly spoke at all. Simply put, neither the media nor the broader public—including our clients—found resonance with our statements. We came to the realization that neither before nor during the crisis had we thoroughly tested our communications. Furthermore, compared to the Teamsters, we used the web much more slowly. Ultimately, we just lacked the necessary resources to effectively handle the situation. Before the problem arises, the actual labor starts. The PR staff has to prioritize its actions and make long-term choices. The first hours are the most crucial in any crisis. The tone for the remainder of the crisis is established by the company's early response. This is why cutting-edge research is so important. Effective communication requires message testing, but this has to be done before a crisis arises.

In the heat of the 1997 conflict, UPS may not have succeeded in making its point, but in the long run, things worked out differently. Due to his participation in election violations, Teamsters president Ron Carey was removed from office, expelled from the union, and prohibited from engaging in labor activities for life once the strike was resolved. After the 1997 strike, the Teamsters still had authority over the pension plan, but in the years that followed, the plan's financial stability continued to deteriorate. Over 40,000 Teamster members who had been covered by the union plan were eventually transferred to a separate pension plan by UPS after pension benefits were reduced and the retirement age was increased. Compared to what it would have cost if UPS had been given control in 1997, it cost the company more than $6 billion to satisfy its responsibilities and leave the union plan.

UPS saw its greatest expansion and most successful years in 1998 and 1999 after the strike was resolved. Through the biggest first public offering of its shares in Wall Street history, UPS became a publicly listed corporation in 1999. UPS would have greatly liked to have avoided the Teamsters strike entirely, even if the firm eventually overcome the disadvantages it suffered from it in 1997. It is obvious that the strike damaged the company's reputation, and it took years for that damage to be repaired. The story highlights the value of forming and maintaining connections, even with those you may consider to be enemies. In this instance, the business misjudged the Teamsters' propensity to declare a strike. They also misjudged the Teamsters' members' underlying animosity against the business. After the strike started, the business started to stabilize. The management made a strategic decision not to publicly demonize its staff members notwithstanding their resignations. This tactic turned out to be crucial in minimizing the strike's long-term effects and enabling UPS to quickly restore its image and labor relations.

DISCUSSION

By encouraging contact between various social groups, public relations conduct research, defines issues, and creates meaning. It is a conduit, a facilitator, and a manager of communication. The UPS case served as an example of the significance of this communication, both monetarily (the strike cost UPS around $750 million) and strategically (UPS's brand was damaged). A strategic discussion is public relations. As you would expect, public relations is a broad, transient profession that is often misunderstood and difficult to learn due to the inherent lack of message control. Determining public relations is considerably more challenging. Telling the truth or spinning it? In any case, the role of public relations is widespread and expanding; conventional mass communication channels, like newspapers, are declining while public relations is rising as a result of the media's fragmentation and the expansion of alternative message sources.

Public relations is used by almost all sectors of the economy, the government, and nonprofit institutions. It is hard to comprehend without paying some attention to the taxonomy of this dynamic and diversified profession due to its extensive extent. Gaining knowledge of the public relations terminology covered in this chapter will help you become an expert in the field and accelerate your reading progress in later readings. PR for corporations and agencies is different. A following chapter delves more into these ideas as well as nonprofit public relations, government relations, and public affairs. To provide a broad perspective, corporate public relations may be defined as the internal public relations division of any size for for-profit company. Conversely, public relations companies are employed as consultants, often on an hourly basis, to support the campaigns or objectives of the company hiring them. Large companies often employ both an external public relations firm that provides advice on certain topics and an inside corporate PR department. Nonprofit public relations, as its names suggest, relates to foundations, issue- or cause-related groups, and not-for-profit organizations. The area of public relations known as "government relations" or "public affairs" is dedicated to handling contacts with legislators and regulatory bodies [5], [6].

Constitutes Public Relations

The definition of public relations most often referenced among the several conflicting definitions is that provided by J. Grunig and Hunt: "The management of communication between an organization and its publics" is what public relations is defined as. Hunt and Grunig. In the original, emphasis was added. This definition's parsimony—using few words to communicate a lot of information—is one factor in its effectiveness. In contrast to the competing methodologies of journalism or the promotion-based strategy of marketing and advertising that focuses largely on customers, it also establishes the basis of the profession solidly inside management. The following are the elements of the well-known definition of public relations by Grunig and Hunt:

Administration

The corpus of information on how to effectively coordinate an organization's operations.

Interaction

Not only conveying a message to a recipient, but also comprehending other people's messages via conversation and listening.

Organization

Any organization established with a shared objective; often, this is a company, nonprofit, governmental body, or corporation.

Publics

Any group or groups of individuals united by a shared interest. Publics are different from audiences in that they often self-organize and do not need to pay attention to communications; similarly, they are different from stakeholders in that they may not be financially motivated to support certain objectives or outcomes of the organization. On the other hand, publics that get messages that are especially customized to their interests are known as targeted audiences. Public relations, defined as “the management of communication between an organization and its publics,” has evolved significantly from its historical foundations in journalism and publicity to become a discipline focused on strategy and research in management.

Public Relations's Role

"Public relations helps an organization and its publics adapt mutually to each other" is the definition of public relations that the Public Relations Society of America (PRSA) approved in 1982. This term aids in defining the organization's goals. American Society for Public Relations. PRSA further elucidates the purpose of public relations in its "Official Statement on Public Relations":

Public relations fosters understanding between institutions and groups, which facilitates decision-making and improves overall functioning in our complex, multicultural society. It facilitates the alignment of public and private policy. Numerous societal institutions, including corporations, labor unions, governmental bodies, nonprofit organizations, foundations, medical facilities, educational institutions, colleges, and places of worship, benefit from public relations. The managements of institutions need to understand the attitudes and values of their publics in order to achieve institutional goals. These institutions must build effective relationships with a wide range of audiences or publics, including employees, members, customers, local communities, shareholders, and other institutions, as well as society at large. The external environment shapes the objectives themselves. In addition to serving as a mediator, public relations practitioners coach management and assist in converting private goals into rational, broadly supported policies and actions. American Society for Public Relations (2009a). As a result, the area of public relations has expanded to include the development of significant connections via communication and action between an organization and its target audiences. This viewpoint provides insight into the tasks and responsibilities of public relations practitioners and describes the discipline as a management function.

But the PRSA definition isn't flawless: The need for public relations "to bring private and public policies into harmony" is a major flaw in that definition, according to the Public Relations Society of America (2009b). It is a known fact that an organization's connections with all of its publics are not always amicable. Furthermore, if the organization's and its publics' interests are diametrically opposed, it may be illogical for us to behave in their best interests, as required by that definition. Class action lawsuits, boycotts, oppositional research, and lobbying are a few instances; in spite of their unfavorable aspects, these partnerships nonetheless call for public relations management and outreach. Any business that involves people in its operations whether they are consumers, workers, or shareholders—needs to succeed in the special management role of public relations. This essay will help you realize that, despite the common misconception that publicity serves as public relations' only goal, it really serves as a subfunction of the larger goal and should not be mistaken with it [7], [8].

Identifying the Public Relations Role

Many terminologies have come to be used in reference to contemporary public relations practice. Organizations often decide to rename their public relations function under a different name due to the shady origins of the field, which we shall touch on briefly in the following section. Regarding the duties of public relations in comparison to overlapping or conflicting organizational activities, there is a great deal of misunderstanding caused by these different terminologies. According to Bowen et al. (2006), corporate communication is the most often used synonym for public relations in modern practice. Public affairs and marketing communications come next. Although some academics contend that the phrase "corporate communication" primarily pertains to for-profit businesses, we see it as a synonym for public relations. On the other hand, we see corporate communication5 as a goal-oriented communication process that can be used in a variety of contexts, including the nonprofit and nongovernmental sectors, educational foundations, activist groups, faith-based organizations, and the business sector. Many firms prefer to refer to corporate communication instead of public relations since the phrase often causes confusion between the media relations role, public affairs, corporate communication, and marketing promotions.

We think that a strategy component is essential to successful business communication or public relations. To distinguish it from the sometimes-misinterpreted broad word public relations, or "PR," which may be associated with manipulation or "spin" in the eyes of ordinary publics, many academics prefer to use the phrase strategic public relations. Synonyms for the notion stated in the descriptions above include corporate communication, strategic public relations, and strategic communication management. Academics studying the field see public relations as the bigger profession, an umbrella word that encompasses numerous minor subfunctions, such investor relations, public affairs, and media relations. Later in this chapter, the subfunctions of public relations will be outlined [9], [10]. Professionals prefer the phrase business communication, whereas academics often use public relations. Do not let the controversy over the name or the other synonyms divert you. Whatever moniker you happen to come across or like, a substantial corpus of research in the field—drawn from both academic studies and professional experience—has established the significance of the ideas behind the strategic communication function that this work will cover.

CONCLUSION

The UPS case study serves as a valuable lesson on the pivotal role of public relations in the corporate landscape. Despite the initial challenges and setbacks, UPS managed to recover and thrive, underscoring the significance of strategic PR measures. The study emphasizes the need for companies to proactively engage in ongoing PR efforts, employing tools such as strategic issues management to avert crises. UPS's decision not to attack the union leadership during the strike, coupled with a formal crisis communication strategy, played a crucial role in mitigating long-term effects. The aftermath of the 1997 strike saw UPS overcome the disadvantages it faced, demonstrating the resilience that strategic PR can instill in an organization. This case study serves as a compelling illustration of how effective public relations can be an organizational lifeblood, contributing to sustained success and the preservation of valuable relationships.

REFERENCES:

[1] M. H. A. Rahim and P. D. Mahadavesingh Huzooree, "Professional ethics in commercializing blogs as a potential public relations tool," *J. Komun. Malaysian J. Commun.*, 2018, doi: 10.17576/JKMJC-2018-3403-26.

[2] D. Hidayat, E. Kuswarno, F. Zubair, and H. Hafiar, "Public relations communication behavior through a local-wisdom approach: The findings of public relations components via ethnography as methodology," *J. Komun. Malaysian J. Commun.*, 2018, doi: 10.17576/JKMJC-2018-3403-04.

[3] K. R. Place and J. Vardeman-Winter, "Where are the women? An examination of research on women and leadership in public relations," *Public Relat. Rev.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.pubrev.2017.10.005.

[4] S. J. Guo and L. B. Anderson, "Workplace adversity and resilience in public relations: Accounting for the lived experiences of public relations practitioners," *Public Relat. Rev.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.pubrev.2018.02.002.

[5] P. Mules, "Reflections on the absence of formal reflection in public relations education and practice," *Public Relat. Rev.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.pubrev.2017.09.008.

[6] L. L. Lemon and M. J. Palenchar, "Public relations and zones of engagement: Employees' lived experiences and the fundamental nature of employee engagement," *Public Relat. Rev.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.pubrev.2018.01.002.

[7] M. A. Ferguson, "Building theory in public relations: Interorganizational relationships as a public relations paradigm," *J. Public Relations Res.*, 2018, doi: 10.1080/1062726X.2018.1514810.

[8] L. Edwards, "Public relations, voice and recognition: a case study," *Media, Cult. Soc.*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/0163443717705000.

[9] S. Aw, “An evaluation of a public relations program in promoting synergistic cooperation between schools and industries,” *Probl. Perspect. Manag.*, 2018, doi: 10.21511/ppm.16(4).2018.29.

[10] W. T. Coombs and S. J. Holladay, “Innovation in public relations theory and practice: A transmedia narrative transportation (TNT) approach,” *J. Commun. Manag.*, 2018, doi: 10.1108/JCOM-12-2017-0145.

CHAPTER 2

EVOLUTION OF PUBLIC RELATIONS MODELS: FROM REVOLUTIONARY CAMPAIGNS TO CONTEMPORARY STRATEGIES

Cleston Jacob Dcosta, Assistant Professor
Department of ISME, ATLAS SkillTech University, Mumbai, India
Email Id-cleston.dcosta@atlasuniversity.edu.in

ABSTRACT:

This study traces the evolution of contemporary public relations in the United States, examining its historical roots from the Revolutionary War to the development of modern models. Beginning with the successful PR campaign during the Revolutionary War, where activists strategically combined words and deeds, the study explores the transition from press agentry strategies, epitomized by P. T. Barnum's flamboyant methods, to the emergence of more ethical practices under Ivy Lee's public information model. Grunig and Hunt's four public relations models, ranging from one-way communication to two-way strategic management, provide a framework for understanding the historical trajectory. The asymmetrical and symmetrical models, rooted in behavioral psychology, showcase the shift toward research-based approaches. The study concludes by emphasizing the contemporary use of a mixed-motive approach that combines various strategies and communication technologies to navigate the complexities of public relations.

KEYWORDS:

Campaign, Independence, Public Relation, Strategies.

INTRODUCTION

Even though public relations dates back to ancient Greece, contemporary public relations in the United States started when a group of revolutionaries launched a PR campaign to shift public opinion in support of the country's independence from King George and England. The revolutionaries mounted a successful activist campaign that resulted in the Revolutionary War by skillfully combining words and deeds. Following the publication of Thomas Paine's Common Sense in 1776, there was a growing belief that King George III's rule over England was unfair. Paine's essay, often referred to as the most significant treatise of the American Revolution, included rhetorical arguments that greatly impacted the later Declaration of Independence and external actions of protest. The employment of printed materials, such as colonial newspapers, and slogans like "Don't Tread on Me" were important communication strategies used to change public opinion in support of a revolution and an independence struggle. The Federalist Papers were used to approve the US Constitution after independence. Grunig and Hunt evaluated these 85 writings as excellent examples of successful public relations. Hunt & Grunig (1984). Using public relations, these American founding fathers created the public consensus that a fledgling country needed to create a new form of government and establish the human rights essential to the country's survival.

Evolution of Modern Public Relations through History

The history of contemporary public relations in the US has fewer spectacular origins than the emergence of a new democratic republic. Cutlip (1995). Famous circus owner P. T. Barnum earned his name for himself by creating and using a variety of publicity or press agentry strategies to draw attention to his acts and attractions. Barnum gained notoriety for saying, "There's no such thing as bad publicity." To get attention and maintain a story, he was even known to write letters to the newspaper using fictitious names, exposing some of his attractions as frauds. Regretfully, Barnum's morals were far from ideal [1], [2].

Models of One-Way Communication: Publicity and Information Distribution

Barnum famously said, "The public be fooled," believing that honesty was beyond the purview of a press agent. On page 29, Grunig and Hunt (1984). Following in Barnum's footsteps were scores of press agents hoping to get free coverage for their customers, which included politicians, railroads, and celebrities as well as private interests. Grunig and Hunt dubbed this PR strategy "press agentry"1 due to its emphasis on creating publicity at the expense of accuracy. To find instances from today's world, all we need to do is check out the entertainment press around a new movie release, or the product press surrounding a new energy drink or tech device. Press agentry and publicity are interchangeable concepts that refer to the act of drawing attention via the media.

A new public relations paradigm known as "public information," as defined by Grunig and Hunt, emerged from the following historical phase2. Using a journalistic writing style, a writer who has previously worked as a journalist represents clients by sending press releases to media sources. It was the beginning of the notion that the public relations professional should serve as a consultant to management rather than just a press agent. Ivy Ledbetter Lee was a trailblazing public information counselor who popularized the concept of stating the truth in public relations at the time. After completing his studies at Harvard Law School, Lee became a journalist. Ivy Lee got the notion to start a new kind of press agency after realizing he had a genuine talent for explaining difficult subjects to people after working as a successful journalist for many years. Lee believed that his job was to tell the public about accurate facts and provide the media with as much information as possible, not to mislead them. In 1904, Ivy Lee founded the third PR firm in the US, handling accounts for the Pennsylvania Railroad, the Rockefeller family, and the Anthracite Coal Roads and Mine Company.

Based on his proclamation that "the public be informed" as opposed to railroad magnate Commodore Cornelius Vanderbilt's notorious remark that "the public be damned" Lee became the first public relations practitioner to release a code of ethics. An objective, truthful, and more reputable kind of public relations was introduced by Ivy Lee. His strategy for public information is still in use today, particularly for government reports, quarterly financial reports, and other publications with a similar informational purpose. Writing and technical proficiency with words, pictures, Web sites, and media relations are the foundations of both the public information and press agentry models of public relations. The foundation of these ideas is the one-way flow of information. Since strategic management is grounded on research, these models are not management-based. Research is the difference between a basic one-way information distribution based on assumptions and management as a strategic endeavor based on knowledge and facts that compose two-way communication [3], [4].

Models of Two-Way Communication: Public Relations Strategic Management

The following two public relations models are research-based. Because these models more closely resemble a discussion than a straightforward information distribution, researchers have labeled them as two-way models instead of one-way, based on research to collect data on public opinion. The two management paradigms were referred to as symmetrical and asymmetrical by Grunig and Hunt.

Based on the ideas of behavioral psychology, the asymmetrical model3 was developed between 1920 and 1950 by Edward Bernays, the nephew of psychiatrist Sigmund Freud. The goal of public relations research is to ascertain the public's knowledge, comprehension, and beliefs on the client company, significant problems, and other related topics. In the asymmetrical approach, these views are then included into the public relations messaging that the company distributes when they are discovered via polling and other methods. The reason it's termed asymmetrical is because the power is skewed in the communicator's favor; she doesn't really change; instead, she leverages the concepts she knows the audience will find compelling to persuade them on a certain problem or topic. For instance, if I were a politician seeking reelection and my research showed that tax cuts are a popular subject with the public, I would bring up the significance of tax cuts in my next speech. This methodology heavily relies on research, which aims to change the public's attitudes and views in favor of the organization by gathering information about their preexisting opinions.

DISCUSSION

Between about 1960 and 1980, Edward Bernays and a number of other well-known public relations practitioners and academics also developed the symmetrical model4. Like the asymmetrical approach, it aims to incorporate public opinion research as well. It does, however, use research to foster understanding between the public and organizations rather than to convince. Under this paradigm, organizations are willing to adapt their internal rules and procedures in response to feedback from their stakeholders. It's a cooperative method of fostering understanding that is, while not perfectly balanced, a dynamic equilibrium that gives both parties involved in the dialogue the chance to provide suggestions and alter a situation. To update this example, a symmetrical politician would really integrate tax cuts into her belief system and provide ideas supporting those views on the campaign trail, after research indicating tax cuts as a problem.

In contemporary public relations, it is common to combine many strategies or communication technologies with public relations models to create a single public relations campaign. It is better to consider the models as theoretical constructs that are merged in practice due to the conflicting goals of public relations. Public relations specialists often seek to help the publics outside their organization get access to and comprehension of the inner workings of the company, in addition to helping their employer or client. This mixed-motive approach, which aims to promote communication on both sides of an issue for businesses and publics, is grounded in the real-world circumstances that influence public relations choices [5], [6].

An overview of the public relations models

In conclusion, Grunig and Hunt established four unique public relations models based on the field's historical history. After reading this short overview of public relations' past, you probably understand the models well enough to start using them in your management of public relations. These concepts are used in academic literature and in public relations management, and they are all still used in contemporary public relations practice. The one-way models are based more on straightforward information distribution than on social science research. The two-way models are known as the two-way management models because they are grounded on research. The models are listed in the order in which they were developed:

1. Public relations one-way information sharing with an emphasis on publicity to attract attention or persuade.
2. Information available to the public giving information via one-way distribution.
3. Asymmetrical in two ways. Two-way (research), which is skewed to support the organizations' objectives by convincing the public.
4. Symmetric in two ways research is more balanced in that it moves equilibrium and fosters understanding between parties.

Public relations practitioners would probably combine these models in their public relations management because of the conflicting motivations present in the PR process. While various tactics are needed for different circumstances, these models reflect a general philosophy of public relations. As a result, as will be covered later in this chapter, it is also helpful to have public relations plans that represent a contingency of several methods.

Public Relations' subsidiary roles

We would like to expose you to the subfunctions or specializations within public relations before delving further into the field. Consider the public relations role as a broad profession with several specialized areas. These subfunctions are often separate entities within a company; they may report to human resources, legal, or marketing departments, or they may report to public relations. Understanding how to manage an integrated and successful public relations function requires familiarity with the subfunctions and terminology related to this role. We shall go into further depth about the following subfunctions later in this chapter.

While public relations encompass several subfunctions, most individuals would distinguish between two main categories: corporate and agency. "In-house," or corporate6, refers to a division of the company or enterprise. Its purpose is to establish a connection between an organization and its different audiences. The second kind of subfunction is related to the public relations agency and serves to support organizations in a particular field of specialization.

Common Subfunctions in Corporate Public Relations

The chapter "Organizational Factors for Excellent Public Relations" and "Organizational Factors Contributing to Excellent Public Relations" explore how the size and structure of a company might affect the subfunctions. Subfunctions within public relations may sometimes overlap, with many departments or even a single individual handling some or all of these duties. Sometimes, large organizations especially those with several sites and global operations—will have multiple divisions dedicated to a single public relations subspecialty. The public relations role is often organized such that each task is handled by a different department [7], [8].

Problem Solving

Arguably, the most significant public relations subfunction is issues management 8. The proactive, problem-solving, management-level role of issues management is in charge of spotting possible difficulties, trends, changes in the industry, and other issues that can have an influence on the company. A strong understanding of research, environmental monitoring, the organization's industry and business model, and management strategy are all necessary for issues management. Because it engages directly with the media, the media relations subfunction of public relations is perhaps the most visible part of what an organization does. The production of public relations materials, or outputs, is the foundation of the media relations subfunction, which is primarily a technical function. News releases, podcasts, brochures, video news releases for broadcast media, direct mail pieces, photos, websites, press kits, and social media (digital media) are a few examples of tactics. Outputs and tactics are often linked.

Relations with the Community

The community relations subfunction, as its name suggests, is in charge of forging and preserving links with the communities that surround an organization. Typically, this area denotes a real community, such as the boundaries between industrial sites and their surrounding residential areas.

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and Philanthropy

The public relations department often includes corporate social responsibility initiatives and the strategic donation of money or services in its work. Corporations are required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 to report on their socially responsible activity and adhere to a code of ethics. The community relations department or unit that oversees this reporting under the public relations subfunction is often referred to as the CSR unit or department.

Relations with Investors and Finance

Many managers are unaware that authoring an organization's annual report, quarterly financial releases, and correspondence with investors and market analysts fall within the purview of public relations. Typically, this kind of PR calls for prior financial reporting and accounting skills.

Marketing Communications

Integrated marketing communications or integrated communications are other names for Marketing Communications. This subfunction is centered on product marketing and publicity aimed at a particular customer demographic. In order to raise awareness and convince people to try or purchase a certain product, public relations techniques and tactics are typically used via a press agentry model.

Public affairs and government relations, including lobbying

The topics that a community or populace is interested in and that an organization has to speak about are known as its public affairs. Keeping up ties with elected and appointed officials, as well as regulatory bodies, is the responsibility of government relations.

Internal Relations

The role of internal relations is to ensure that the staff is productive and content13. Internal relations specialists in public relations are primarily responsible for interacting with executives, managers, labor unions, administrative personnel, and other intraorganizational publics.

Subfunctions of a Typical Public Relations Agency

There are seven frequent specialties or subfunctions in public relations in addition to the broad media relations operations provided by many firms.

Crisis Handling

Crisis response and preparation are two aspects of crisis management14. Public relations firms that specialize in crisis or risk management often develop and execute rapid reaction plans and accurate information to the news media on behalf of organizations during times of disaster.

Influencing

An outside lobbying firm may also be used as an auxiliary to the company's government relations or public affairs division. In addition to maintaining connections with lawmakers, press secretaries, and other government officials, lobbyists often possess sector knowledge. On behalf of their customers, they often provide policy analysis, research, and instructional materials to government officials.

Member Relations

As the name suggests, the member relations16 public relations subfunction is in charge of maintaining positive interactions with an organization's members. These individuals might be contributors, former students, activists, supporters, or members of almost any other organization that shares a characteristic and necessitates participation.

Creation and Fund-Selection

The development fund-raising subfunction of public relations often intersects with member relations as it aims to increase support, especially in the form of monetary contributions or grants from the government.

Polling and Research

These tasks are so prevalent in the field of public relations that full-time, specialized businesses exist to carry them out, often on a contract or retainer basis. It should be mentioned, nevertheless, that under one or more public relations subfunctions, extremely big corporations often have their own research "departments [9], [10]."

Public relations for sports, entertainment, and travel

Within each of these massive sectors, there are subfunctions of public relations that are specialized in certain areas of public relations. Public relations and advertising are not the same profession, however advertising is often used in conjunction with PR campaigns.

The fundamental understanding of public relations models and subfunctions (both agency and corporate) that you need to comprehend and broaden your understanding of this enormous and dynamic field. These are the models and subfunctions that, although they differ by industry, typically make up public relations. Whether a business has all or part of these subfunctions in-house, outsources them as required, or depends on public relations agency will depend on a number of factors, including the organization's size, kind, level of government regulation, and even its competitors. An organization often has most of the subfunctions listed above. They might be organized as separate entities under the control of senior management, another department, or the public relations department. It is easier to recognize various public relations strategies and how they are combined in real-world situations when one is familiar with the language associated with the subfunctions. To get the most out of public relations campaigns, it's critical to understand which subfunctions are necessary, which complement one another effectively, and which need autonomy or independence. We'll use this information later in the book to look at how the public relations department is organized and its smaller departments operate. We will look at how organizational structure affects the public relations models used and the practical subfunctions.

CONCLUSION

This study provides a comprehensive overview of the evolution of public relations, spanning from its revolutionary origins to contemporary practices. The shift from one-way communication, centered on publicity and press agentry, to more research-based two-way models signifies the maturation of the field. The significance of figures like P. T. Barnum and Ivy Ledbetter Lee in shaping early practices underscores the dynamic nature of public relations. Furthermore, the delineation of corporate and agency roles, along with subfunctions, illuminates the multifaceted responsibilities within the profession. Understanding these historical roots and diverse functions is pivotal for crafting effective public relations strategies in the complex landscape of modern communication.

REFERENCES:

[1] C. Du *et al.*, "Using hydrogen peroxide to prevent antibody disulfide bond reduction during manufacturing process," *MAbs*, 2018, doi: 10.1080/19420862.2018.1424609.

[2] X. Jian, Y. Hu, W. Zhou, and L. Xiao, "Self-healing polyurethane based on disulfide bond and hydrogen bond," *Polym. Adv. Technol.*, 2018, doi: 10.1002/pat.4135.

[3] A. Pang, W. Shin, Z. Lew, and J. B. Walther, "Building relationships through dialogic communication: Organizations, stakeholders, and computer-mediated communication," *J. Mark. Commun.*, 2018, doi: 10.1080/13527266.2016.1269019.

[4] G. Duthler and G. S. Dhanesh, "The role of corporate social responsibility (CSR) and internal CSR communication in predicting employee engagement: Perspectives from the United Arab Emirates (UAE)," *Public Relat. Rev.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.pubrev.2018.04.001.

[5] R. Johar, E. Junita, and S. Saminan, "Students' Mathematical Communication Ability And Self-Efficacy Using Team Quiz Learning Model," *Int. J. Emerg. Math. Educ.*, 2018, doi: 10.12928/ijeme.v2i2.8702.

[6] J. Macnamara and A. Gregory, "Expanding Evaluation to Progress Strategic Communication: Beyond Message Tracking to Open Listening," *Int. J. Strateg. Commun.*, 2018, doi: 10.1080/1553118X.2018.1450255.

[7] C. Foletto, "Exploring the 'secret garden': Instructional communication in one-to-one instrumental lessons Desvendando o 'jardim secreto': A comunicação entre professor e aluno no ensino individual do instrumento," *EDUSER Rev. Educ.*, 2018.

[8] P. Yi, Y. Guan, F. Zou, Y. Yao, W. Wang, and T. Zhu, "Web phishing detection using a deep learning framework," *Wirel. Commun. Mob. Comput.*, 2018, doi: 10.1155/2018/4678746.

[9] R. Alzohairi, R. Alghonaim, W. Alshehri, S. Aloqeely, M. Alzaidan, and O. Bchir, "Image based Arabic Sign Language recognition system," *Int. J. Adv. Comput. Sci. Appl.*, 2018, doi: 10.14569/IJACSA.2018.090327.

[10] O. Kelm and M. Dohle, "Information, communication and political consumerism: How (online) information and (online) communication influence boycotts and buycotts," *New Media Soc.*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/1461444817699842.

CHAPTER 3

STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT AND ROLES OF PUBLIC RELATIONS IN BUILDING ORGANIZATIONAL SUCCESS

Aditya Kashyap, Assistant Professor
Department of ISME, ATLAS SkillTech University, Mumbai, India
Email Id-aditya.kashyap@atlasuniversity.edu.in

ABSTRACT:

This study provides an in-depth analysis of the role of public relations (PR) within organizational management, emphasizing its unique position in fostering and maintaining connections with various stakeholders. The research outlines the diverse management tasks within organizations, highlighting the importance of effective communication in achieving strategic objectives. It categorizes PR roles into communication technologists and communication managers, further delineating four distinct positions, namely communication technician, expert prescriber, communication facilitator, and facilitator of problem-solving. The study underscores the significance of the communication manager's strategic thinking, emphasizing their role in assessing and communicating information crucial for decision-makers. It explores the relationship between the roles of communication manager and technician, revealing that the latter often focuses on tactical execution, while the former engages in strategic planning. Examining the executive team's composition, the study highlights the vital role of the Chief Communications Officer (CCO) in decision-making processes, ensuring that the interests of various stakeholders are considered. The research contends that effective PR contributes to organizational success by balancing the needs of internal and external publics. Drawing from a 2007 white paper, the study explores the evolving role of the CCO in promoting organizational values, fostering stakeholder connections, and maintaining trust. It argues that the CCO's understanding of business operations is crucial for effective communication and decision-making, echoing the sentiments of CEOs who prioritize business acumen as the most crucial quality for communication executives. The study emphasizes the strategic nature of PR in organizational success, dispelling misconceptions that portray PR as a mere collection of techniques. It asserts that PR practitioners, particularly those in leadership roles, play a pivotal part in shaping organizational strategy, influencing decision-making, and contributing to overall business objectives.

KEYWORDS:

Development, Management, Organizational, Public Relations, Strategic.

INTRODUCTION

Sponsored by the International Association of Business Communicators, research on best practices in public relations indicates that superior PR happens when the senior communications officer is in the C-suite and a member of the prevailing coalition. The Bowen group (2006). The public relations function is not carrying out its distinct management job when it is reduced to the status of a communication technician. As was previously stated, one must gain this status. Public relations specialists get access to this by offering crucial data and advice required for making critical choices. These communication specialists need to be on that management team when they exhibit commercial acumen and possess extensive understanding of strategic public relations, including research and assessment. Public relations was described in these chapters as a special kind of management where interactions with important publics are managed via communication. We shall elaborate on this management function in this chapter, outlining the requirement of public relations for businesses and the several specialized responsibilities that make up the public relations function.

Management Tasks

To help them run as efficiently as possible, organizations often include many management roles, including marketing, operations, finance, legal, human resources, and research & development. Every one of these roles is focused on how it can best support the organization's success. By successfully engaging with various groups, public relations play a unique role in assisting the business in building and maintaining connections with all of its important publics and stakeholders. Establishing and sustaining positive, enduring, and trustworthy relationships with stakeholders and the public requires effective communication.

As previously said, when public relations is used wisely, it offers a firm the most benefits. What does this really imply, though? Consider it this way: All of the main operations of a successful business are connected by a shared set of strategies that support a guiding set of values and an overarching future vision. Perhaps "to become the low-cost provider of computing power to the developing world" is the mission statement of a computer corporation. Senior management creates a set of plans based on this vision, addressing topics including product distribution, design, marketing, sourcing, manufacturing footprint, and human resource development. When everything works together, the business expands steadily and profitably.

A communication plan is a crucial part of this group of tactics. For instance, it will be crucial that each person in the company is aware of the strategy and how they fit into its implementation. In the end, a lot of company failures may be traced back to the misunderstanding that results from inadequate communication. How many times have you encountered staff members at a restaurant or retail establishment who provided poor customer service? Most likely, the company that hired this employee wanted him or her to provide you with excellent service. However, the flow of communication broke down somewhere along the way. It's possible that the shop manager or the employee's immediate supervisor lacked good communication skills. Regardless of the reason, the ultimate effect is a disgruntled client and a decline in relationship loyalty.

A successful business has to communicate not just with its staff but also with its suppliers, consumers, and shareholders if it is a publicly traded corporation. A collection of communications and a strategy for effectively reaching the public must be created for each important audience. A high-impact Wall Street Journal story will fall flat on its face when it comes to reaching the strategic audience that the corporation is trying to reach—young people. A creative YouTube video may not be the best solution if the target audience is high net worth investors. As we will explore next, public relations is typically implemented differently depending on the position the organization's top communicator performs, despite the fact that it serves a distinct and significant purpose inside businesses [1], [2].

Roles in Public Relations

Public relations specialists may often be classified as communication technologists, who focus largely on message construction and writing, or as communication managers, who plan and coordinate communication operations. Four distinct jobs have been identified as a result of this research: three different kinds of communication managers and the technician function. The majority of practitioners work as communication technicians at first1. In order to do this job, one must implement communication strategies using press releases, employee newsletters, position papers, media placements, speeches, blogs, and social media messaging. Those who fill this position often don't define issues or come up with answers; instead, they rely their strategies on their technical writing abilities. Similar to how a doctor treats a patient, the expert prescriber2 is a specialist in a certain field, issue, or area of public relations who is tasked with handling this role primarily as a consultant or with minimal assistance from other senior management. A boundary-spanner who listens to and mediates information between the organization and its important publics is known as the communication facilitator. The objective of this position is to "provide both management and publics with the information they need for making decisions of mutual interest. The facilitator for problem-solving works in conjunction with other managers to identify and resolve issues. The professional must have access to other top managers and be a member of the organization's dominant coalition in order to perform this function [3], [4]. The facilitator of problem-solving assists other managers in approaching organizational issues from the standpoint of public relations.

DISCUSSION

Studies conducted on these four positions revealed that the function of communication technician was unique compared to the other three, and that there was a strong correlation between the latter three roles. Put another way, a skilled prescriber was also likely to play the role of a facilitator of communication and a facilitator of problem-solving. Since the last three functions did not conflict with one another, they were merged into a single position, communication manager. The duties of public relations practitioners inside businesses were better articulated by the distinction between the roles of communication manager and technician.

Studies show that most practitioners working in mostly technological roles write, produce, and distribute communication messages. People in this position usually have artistic and creative abilities with words and graphics. Using their ability to design and convey communications with strong language and imagery is crucial to the success of public relations strategies. Nevertheless, technicians are seldom involved in the organization's strategy and are rarely given a seat at the management table. The technician is brought in to carry out the deliverables (or tactics) in the plan once it has been chosen.

The communication manager participates in the organization's strategic thinking and has to be able to assess, study, and communicate information that helps decision-makers manage connections with important publics more effectively. Because the communications manager thinks strategically, he or she will be focused on the organizational initiatives that support the win-win partnerships that enable a business to accomplish its financial objectives. These activities go beyond just developing communication plans; they also include keeping an eye on the outside world of an organization, looking for problems that might have an effect on it, and assisting it in meeting stakeholder demands.

One of the key indicators of quality in public relations practice, according to research on the subject, was whether the senior public relations executive's position was one of technician or manager. The likelihood of those in management having a favorable influence on the company's PR strategy was much higher. The executive in charge of corporate communication has to be included in decision-making processes for the function to operate strategically.

Executive Team

A senior leadership team oversees almost every company and is in charge of formulating strategy and implementing the organization's mission. A board of directors may eventually oversee both nonprofit and publicly listed corporations, but this board looks to the chief executive officer and his or her senior staff to run the business on a day-to-day basis. A company's chief financial officer (CFO), general counsel oversees legal matters; chief personnel officers (CPO) oversee human resources; chief information officers (CIO) over-sees information services; chief marketing officers (CMOs) oversee marketing; chief communications officers (CCOs) oversee communication; and chief information services oversees information services. The company's operations, which may answer to a president or chief operating officer, are supported by these functional areas. The CEO often doubles as the organization's president or COO (chief operating officer).

These fundamental functional domains are often found in the senior team, despite the fact that organizational structures differ from firm to company. The communication role may sometimes fall under the purview of another department, such as marketing, legal, or human resources. It becomes more challenging for the senior communications leader to contribute significantly to the process of making strategic decisions when this is the case. Compared to these other areas, the communication role offers the senior team a distinct viewpoint. Human resources (HR) is nearly entirely focused on employee development and remuneration, marketing is mostly concerned with the company's competitive position with customers, and the legal function is primarily concerned with adhering to the law. Put differently, communication is the sole function that monitors all internal and external audiences and need to be considered when making strategic decisions [4], [5].

Communication's Function in Decision-Making

Making wise judgments that impact their capacity to positively contribute to the organization's objectives is one of the commonalities among officers in the C-suite. A valuable manager is frequently defined by their ability to make sound judgments. Managers require quality information in order to make wise choices. Good information, by definition, aids in lowering decision-making uncertainty. Although managers seldom make decisions with perfect certainty, they do need sufficient knowledge to be confident that their choices will have favorable effects. This data is supplied in relation to these different roles, which include financial statements, legal precedents, market research, and product testing. Public relations must include facts or information on how the business may do this, as part of its responsibility to support the development and maintenance of positive relationships. In this way, strategic PR becomes deserving of a place at the executive table.

In order to improve interactions with these publics, the communication function considers all of the organization's stakeholders and employs a range of strategies and instruments. At its finest, the communication function keeps a close eye on how the company is seen both inside and outside by using research and monitoring techniques. It boosts the organization's reputation using a range of communication platforms. Most significantly, it gives the organization's executives strategic advice to aid in the team's decision-making.

There are others who argue that the communication function acts as the corporate conscience, or ought to act as one. They argue that communication executives may assist the company make more balanced choices by weighing the often-competing requirements of various publics from a distinct, impartial viewpoint. While this viewpoint has a lot of validity, we would also add that everyone in leadership of the organization—the CEO, the board, and the senior management team—shares the organization's conscience and its moral imperative to act morally.

The chief communication officer (CCO) has the significant duty of guaranteeing that all significant stakeholders get enough attention throughout pivotal decision-making processes. In this sense, the CCO speaks for a lot of people who aren't there while decisions are being made. The minority shareholders, underappreciated employee groups, nongovernmental organizations, special interest groups, elected officials, community leaders, and other individuals who could be impacted by the choice and who play significant roles in their respective fields must all be taken into consideration.

The CCO does much more than just delivering tactical communication solutions by offering this broad viewpoint. CEOs and other executives are looking for this kind of strategic advice from every senior team member. By providing it, the CCO raises the function's profile and guarantees continued involvement in determining the company's future direction.

Profit and Strategy Inspiration

Many people consider public relations to be just a straightforward collection of techniques. The media and popular culture all too often characterize people in this field as a bunch of clueless party planners or dishonest flacks who would say anything to get attention for their clients. News releases, press conferences, media events, employee newsletters, and other instruments of the trade are seen as distinct strategies that are seldom, if ever, motivated by an overarching plan. Like other clichés, this one is based purely on fiction. Public relations, as used by the majority of major corporations and agencies, is a crucial component of overall strategy. In order to achieve particular corporate goals with specified results, target audiences, and key messages, communication strategies are established based on thorough research. These initiatives may have quantifiable and qualitative outcomes that can be quantified.

Consider it this way: An organization typically works with a limited group of important executives to produce a strategic strategy. These executives consider the company's assets, structure, difficult difficulties, and possible future concerns. They take into account the company's financial standing, development potential, competitive standing, and the dynamic environment in which it works. After taking into account each of these aspects, they develop a plan that will capitalize on the company's present advantages, strengthen its areas of relative weakness, seize chances, and get ready for impending dangers. For instance, they could choose to provide their industry segment's lowest prices. Alternatively, they can choose to capitalize on their better distribution network or their knowledge of developing new products [6], [7].

At some point, a much wider, geographically distributed network of workers must implement the plan. At this point, the communication plan becomes essential. It will be much more difficult to get an organization to embark on a new effort focused at enhancing customer service if they have a history of battling with their workers on matters such as wages, benefits, union representation, child care programs, or workplace safety. Helping the business balance the requirements of all public’s employees, investors, consumers, and communities while it takes crucial choices is, in large part, one of the communication function team's critical roles. Let us take the scenario where a corporation is having financial issues as a result of its market share dropping in a particular area of the United States. Since there is no longer a need for that level of production capacity, they must decide whether to close a regional factory. They may have just told the public relations executive, "We're closing the Milwaukee plant," in the past. Try to put a positive face on it. A company that sees the communication department as a strategic partner might say, "We should close Milwaukee because we have too much manufacturing capacity." Please consider the effects this will have on our staff, clients, and the local community, and assist us in quantifying them as we look at the other options. There could be an alternative that won't cause the organization as much suffering.

One aspect of the influence public relations may have on accomplishing organizational objectives is balancing the requirements of publics. Though it clearly varies depending on the company, efficient communication programs virtually always support the development and implementation of strategy. Programs for internal communication that are successful may help managers inspire staff members and foster a sense of pride inside the company. Innovative external communication strategies may boost investor interest in a publicly listed firm, strengthen brand awareness, foster better customer connections, and boost the efficiency of conventional advertising and marketing campaigns. Community engagement initiatives may assist locals in understanding how a business affects the neighborhood in which it operates. Well-designed strategic communication initiatives may have a significant influence; several businesses have already profited from realizing this and capitalizing on the advantages public relations offers.

A white paper titled The Authentic Enterprise was released in 2007 by the Arthur W. Page Society, a membership group made up of CEOs of advertising agencies, top academics, and chief communications officers of the biggest companies. The Genuine Business (2007). The paper looked at how top communications executives are changing in 21st-century businesses. This research states that the CCO's function has expanded significantly from merely a few years ago. The modern CCO has to take the lead in the following areas:

1. Outlining and promoting the company's values
2. Establishing and maintaining connections with several stakeholders; providing the business with "new media" capabilities and resources.
3. Establishing and sustaining trust in The Genuine Enterprise (2007).

These duties are shared by more than just the communication executive. They are distributed among the other team members in charge. However, the communication executive may and ought to take a leading role in making sure the company fulfills these obligations [6], [7].

Commercial Sense

Being able to participate in decision-making is a luxury rather than a right. Consider it this way: Prior to departing on a lengthy journey to Mexico, you should definitely brush up on your Spanish. Although you could certainly get by without knowing Spanish, if you at least tried to speak the locals' language, you would be lot more effective and well-liked by them. At the management table, things are very much the same. The participants are conversing in business parlance there. They are discussing market capitalization, profits growth, and margin performance. They are talking about competitive positioning, market share, and corporate strategy. You are clearly at a disadvantage as a team member if you are unfamiliar with this language and the reasoning behind it.

To find out how CEOs at big, global companies felt about the chief communications officer's role in an effective executive team, The Page Society polled these CEOs. Results stated in the Authentic Enterprise white paper indicated that in-depth business knowledge was the most crucial quality for a CCO or communications manager. This is without a doubt the most important need for a senior communications officer. Every CEO feels that their firms are big, complicated things, and that if their top executives are not well familiar with them, they cannot speak effectively on behalf of their organizations [8], [9].

Why are CEOs and other C-suite executives interested in this understanding? The communication team, particularly the leaders, must first comprehend the organization's goals in order to develop convincing communication strategies that further those goals. They also need to be aware of the business environment and outside factors that the company is operating in as it pursues its goals. Establishing trust with the audiences you are aiming to reach is crucial. The receiver of the information loses faith and confidence in an organization's spokesman when they are unable to say anything beyond what is predetermined in talking points. If they think a media relations specialist doesn't fully comprehend the firm or the sector it works in, a lot of reporters will be hesitant to talk with them. Simply said, communication specialists that are well-versed in business, politics, local concerns, and the particular company they work for are more beneficial to the endeavor as a whole.

Acquiring an understanding of a company and its goals does not equate to being an expert in the field of CFO, General Counsel, or accounting leadership. To assist communications professionals, communicate more convincingly and function as more valued team members, there are several basic concepts that are crucial to comprehend. For instance, all publicly listed, for-profit businesses follow a set of rules, normative standards, and milestones to provide their stakeholders with information about their financial standing, development potential, and competitive edge. Similar to how temperature, heart rate, and blood pressure data may provide a doctor an idea of a patient's health, these metrics can offer a fast overview of an organization's health.

Sustaining Fundamental Skills

It makes sense to pursue more educational options in some instances. For instance, there are many courses available that educate non-financial managers the fundamentals of finance. A Master of Business Administration (MBA) or executive Master of Arts (MA) is a degree that some communication professionals decide to obtain again. We may still learn a lot by just reading the business press, particularly the Wall Street Journal, as well as trade publications like Fortune, Business Week, and Forbes, as well as television networks like CNBC and Fox Business. A never-ending supply of information on specific businesses and topics affecting all kinds of organizations and sectors may also be found on the Internet.

Ultimately, discussions among coworkers may provide a wealth of educational possibilities. Communication professionals who are able to listen well, ask insightful questions, and absorb information from others are more equipped to understand business operations in general and a particular firm or organization in particular. This knowledge offers a valuable mix of specific talents that can be utilized to benefit the whole business, together with an awareness of the industry and the capacity to apply communication experience [10],[11].

CONCLUSION

This study delves into the multifaceted role of public relations within organizational management, illuminating its strategic importance. It highlights the distinct tasks of PR in fostering positive relationships with stakeholders, categorizing roles into communication technologists and managers. The differentiation of roles, such as communication technician and manager, emphasizes the importance of strategic thinking in PR leadership. The study underscores the unique perspective that communication brings to decision-making processes, emphasizing its role in providing valuable information for sound judgments. By exploring the composition of the executive team, especially the CCO's responsibilities, the research contends that effective PR involves considering the diverse interests of stakeholders. The evolving role of the CCO, as outlined in the 2007 white paper, accentuates the need for communication executives to lead in defining organizational values, maintaining connections, and building trust. The study concludes by dispelling the notion of PR as a mere set of techniques, asserting its integral role in shaping organizational strategy and contributing to overall business success.

REFERENCES:

[1] N. I. Afkarina, “Strategi Komunikasi Humas Dalam Membentuk Public Opinion Lembaga Pendidikan,” *Idaarah J. Manaj. Pendidik.*, 2018, doi: 10.24252/idaarah.v2i1.5124.

[2] C. Valentini, “Public Relations in the public sector. The role of strategic communication in the italian public administration,” *Sinergie Ital. J. Manag.*, 2018, doi: 10.7433/s92.2013.06.

[3] M. M. Krohling Kunsch, “Strategic communication in contemporary organizations,” *Media e J.*, 2018, doi: 10.14195/2183-5462_33_1.

[4] K. D. Rennie, K. Byrum, M. Tidwell, and A. K. Chitkara, “Strategic Communication in MBA Curricula: A Qualitative Study of Student Outcomes,” *J. Manag. Educ.*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/1052562918774593.

[5] M. S. Niell, “Change Management Communication: Barriers, Strategies & Messaging,” *Public Relat. J.*, 2018.

[6] D. L. Constantin, C. Mitrut, R. M. Grosu, M. Profiroiu, and A. E. Iosif, “Municipal real properties and the challenges of new public management: a spotlight on Romania,” *Int. Rev. Adm. Sci.*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/0020852315596212.

[7] M. S. Neill, “Change Management Communication: Barriers, Strategies & Messaging,” *Public Relat. J.*, 2018.

[8] P. S. C. of Kenya, “Human Resource Policies and Procedures Manual for the Public Service,” *Glob. J. Hum. Resour. Manag.*, 2018.

[9] V. Zamlynskyi, N. Yurchenko, and O. Haltsova, “Features of a complex marketing approach in management of the tourism industry,” *Econ. Ecol. socium*, 2018, doi: 10.31520/2616-7107/2018.2.4-7.

[10] A. O. Awobamise and Y. Jarrar, “Strategic Public Relations in Post-Gaddaffi Libya for Non-Profit Organizations: A Focus on the Rebuilding Efforts of Bokra and Attawasul,” *Int. Multidiscip. J. Soc. Sci.*, 2018, doi: 10.17583/rimcis.2018.3330.

[11] R. Mickienė and E. Valionienė, “Substantiation of Value Oriented Management Changes of State Seaport,” *Soc. Tyrim.*, 2018, doi: 10.21277/st.v41i1.244.

CHAPTER 4

EXPLORING THE CRITICAL INFLUENCES OF ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE AND CULTURE ON PUBLIC RELATIONS

Bineet Naresh Desai, Professor
Department of ISME, ATLAS SkillTech University, Mumbai, India
Email Id-bineet.desai@atlasuniversity.edu.in

ABSTRACT:

This study explores the critical influence of organizational structure and culture on communication within businesses, with a focus on the CEO's perception of public relations and the benefits of fostering a participative organizational culture. Drawing from the findings of the Excellence Study and insights from various sources, the research emphasizes the importance of a participatory culture, communicator knowledge, shared expectations about communication, and organizational character in achieving excellence in public relations. The study reveals that businesses with decentralized structures, direct reporting lines between the CEO and Chief Communications Officer (CCO), and participatory cultures tend to excel in public relations practices. The research underscores the need for a strategic understanding of public relations by top management, emphasizing the role of participatory cultures in enhancing work satisfaction and achieving organizational goals.

KEYWORDS:

Business, Culture, Organization, Public Relation.

INTRODUCTION

This chapter covered the findings of the Excellence Study as well as information from other sources regarding the critical influence that organizational structure and culture have on communication, the CEO's perception of public relations, and the benefits of fostering a participative organizational culture as a component of effectiveness. The idea that public relations work better for businesses when it is valued by the C-suite or dominant coalition, that decision-making is generally autonomous due to a relatively decentralized organizational structure, and that there is a direct reporting line between the CEO and CCO is supported by research. The Excellence Study went on to say that involvement and diversity are important to the greatest companies. These organizational characteristics will obviously differ from one organization to the next, and each will have particular demands that are reflected in its structure and organizational culture. Some cultures may be more decentralized but less participatory, whereas others may be more centralized and more participatory.

Three key factors were identified as the main predictors of excellence in the International Association of Business Communicators (IABC) study on Excellence in Public Relations and Communication Management (Excellence Study): communicator knowledge, shared expectations about communication, and organizational character. Public relations specialists who exhibit stronger management abilities are more likely to be included in the C-suite, as was indicated in Chapter 4 "Public Relations as a Management Function". Nonetheless, the function of public relations inside a company is also influenced by organizational issues. First and first, there has to be a participatory culture; second, management needs to recognize the value that public relations can bring to the business; and third, the organization needs to encourage a variety of thought and viewpoints [1], [2].

According to the Excellence Study, public relations best practices could not be predicted just by communicator competence. Senior management or the dominant coalition, as well as the communications department, have to have similar expectations. The chief executive officer (CEO) and other top managers frequently demand and support practices that include research and strategic planning and management rather than just press releases and media placement if they believe the public relations function should be strategic and contribute to the organization's bottom-line goals. The actual practices in these businesses are influenced by this requirement for sophisticated, two-way communication. In order to enable more strategic practices, it necessitates the employment and retention of experts who are capable of doing research and data analysis.

Importance of public relations

The public relations department has to demonstrate its worth to management in order to be given a strategic management position within the company. According to Hambrick, exhibiting value begins with the ability to manage ambiguity. Workflow, technology, and outside surroundings are all factors in the development of uncertainty and, therefore, strategic contingencies. A company that does excellent PR should be able to handle uncertainty. Only facts and pertinent information may be used to do this. According to information theory, data are only valuable when they lower uncertainty. One crucial activity that the public relations function does for the company that is specific to its role is providing information and feedback on the requirements and expectations of stakeholders. According to Saunders, a function's impact inside an organization may be attributed to a number of factors, including lowering uncertainty, carrying out a crucial duty, and not being replaceable or ubiquitous.

Increased influence results from public relations' ability to demonstrate its distinctiveness and the fact that it cannot be replaced by another organizational function (it is not substitutable) as well as from its ability to be integrated across the entire organization and assist in managing relationships with all significant stakeholders (it is pervasive). When this special duty is concentrated on building, preserving, and mending relationships with important stakeholders people who are essential to the firm's success it becomes much more important to the organization. When finances are tight, these results which are essentially PR and media relations may be seen as less important and even disposable.

Culture of the Organization

Businesses with high Excellence Study scores tended to have more of a participatory culture than an authoritarian one. The official structure of an organization may often be used to forecast the level of decision-making involvement. A small number of extremely senior managers make all of the decisions in organizations with highly formalized and centralized hierarchies. Because their isolated structures are slower to react and rely on a small number of voices for decision-making, such hierarchical systems often struggle to cope with changing external contexts.

Centralization, according to Robbins, is the most harmful element preventing an organization from functioning well. In summary, decentralization offers many benefits such as lowering the likelihood of information overload, increasing the number of voices in the decision-making process, reacting quickly to new information, inspiring managers to make wise choices, and so on, according to L. Grunig. Vertical and horizontal decentralization are two decentralization techniques that Mintzberg discovered to assist firms in navigating volatile settings. Delegating formal decision-making authority lower down the chain of command, or vertical decentralization1, allows the individual with the closest knowledge of the circumstances to make the best choice. Horizontal decentralization occurs when decision-making power flows informally to people in operating core (people who directly produce the goods and services), technostructure (staff who design, maintain, and adjust work flow processes and structure), and support staff (people who provide indirect support to the rest of the organization: human resources, public relations, legal counsel).

Organizations must be somewhat decentralized in order to foster participatory cultures, since organizational culture has the power to actively influence management practices and daily employee behavior. According to the research on organizational behavior, culture is the shared and comprehended collection of values, beliefs, and cognitions that shape proper conduct via social interaction. While some companies may have relatively weak (ambiguous) cultures, others may have strong (cohesive) cultures. Because the values dictating expected conduct are unclear, weak cultures have been linked to increases in political activity [3], [4]. Excellent public relations require the presence of ideals that promote collaborative decision-making. The IABC research found that the following characteristics of participatory cultures were present: individuals feeling like they are a part of the team, cooperating, management showing concern for workers, treating everyone equally, taking part in decision-making, management delegating authority and responsibility, and encouraging cooperation.

The characteristics of authoritative cultures which include strict management control, departmental rivalry, influence (the idea that who you know matters more than what you know), decisions based on authority, and apprehension of senior management are frequently linked to centralized decision-making in organizations. The Excellence Study revealed that participatory cultures had higher levels of work satisfaction, which is not unexpected. More significantly, public relations practices that included research and two-way communication were more common in participatory firms, and they were also more successful in assisting the latter in achieving its goals and objectives.

A further significant indicator of high-quality cultures inside a business is how it handles women and workers from many ethnic origins. According to the Excellence Study's summary, staff members from a variety of experiences provide a more accurate view of the outside world. When senior managers associate with like-minded individuals, they become more closed off to external influences. Weick advocated for senior management to have required variety, which relates to the idea of making an effort to have inclusivity in the management team that represents external publics. An organization may lose touch with the diversity of its stakeholders if its executives and staff are all the same. Misunderstandings and difficult exchanges with these publics might result from this isolation. It might be challenging to communicate, and in order to avoid misunderstandings, common experiences are necessary. Diversity starts in the workplace, where everyone is treated with respect. Respect for all people thus encompasses all parties involved and is necessary to build partnerships that satisfy both parties.

Structure of Organizations

Of course, because of the reporting lines and information flow inside the company, organizational structure might affect communication. "Simple Organizational Structure", where solid lines denote direct reporting ties. There would probably be a service or information arm, but the function of the chief communications officer (CCO) in relation to the other members of the dominant coalition, or C-suite, who all answer to the CEO, is what we are concerned about. Depending on the scope, complexity, and number of locations involved in the chase, these executives may differ between organizations and industries. As we go down the organizational hierarchy, consider the possibility that there are other personnel levels that are not shown in this chart. A more intricate knowledge of the organization starts to take shape in any manufacturing-based organization or one with a production component.

DISCUSSION

"The Structure of Organizations" "With a Production (Manufacturing) Component" describes how the chief financial officer (CFO), chief marketing officer (CMO), chief legal counsel, and chief production officer (CPO) are included into the dominant coalition mix. The majority of these sorts of businesses would have a large number of hourly production workers who report to the CPO, in addition to a large number of supervisors and other levels and types of administrative personnel spread out around the chart. We can see how the corporate communication function interacts with the rest of the dominant coalition across functional areas and reports to the CEO by breaking it down into the direct-reporting connections found in the management chain of command. Ultimately, the structure of a public relations agency might differ significantly amongst firms; nonetheless, it is founded on a consultation relationship with the client. A dotted-line, or as-needed, less frequent and informal reporting relationship between an account executive (AE) and the lead of the agency, typically the president or CEO, is typically established in addition to a direct reporting relationship with a senior account executive or vice president of accounts.

On a daily basis, the AE would have more regular communication with the customer, whereas the CEO would only have significant, rare communication with the client. Typically, the account and all of its activities would be managed by the senior AE. For the client, the firm would provide creative services such graphic design and layout, media relations initiatives, story placement, and certain marketing promotions. Some businesses collaborate with advertising companies directly, or they employ an internal liaison that handles advertising projects. An entry-level job in public relations that focuses on writing and developing strategies or messages for distribution is known as a technical skills function. The majority of the chart on the lowest level would be made up of several technicians with a range of production specializations. Administrative workers and some interns are often employed as well [5], [6].

Example: Constructing a Corporate Headquarters in a prestigious area

Consider the instance of a large company in Memphis, Tennessee, that wanted to construct its new headquarters in a highly esteemed area as an illustration of the collaborative method. The firm held the park-like land that was the proposed location. The firm used a big, historically significant house on the multiacre site as an overflow office. The company intended to construct a new structure to accommodate the whole headquarters. But this was going to be no easy feat, with the majority of the residences in the neighborhood owned by the city's most illustrious inhabitants, and the community had just successfully battled against the conversion of an abandoned school building into offices. Despite the fact that the business already owned the land, it chose to work with the community to develop solutions that would satisfy everyone rather than risk having a court order against it. In order to learn about the worries and issues around the construction of a corporate headquarters in the area, the public relations director visited with the home-owners association. The following were the main worries: the potential for decreased property values, some of which topped a million dollars; the noise and disruption of the office building; the look of the office building; and Additional potential sources of aggravation in their everyday life, such as increased traffic, a lack of privacy, and the ugliness of an office building.

Representing this information to management, the director of public relations collaborated with the CEO and other high-ranking officials to devise tactics aimed at garnering support for their building's development. The following terms were agreed upon by the company and the association after further meetings and negotiations: The original mansion would remain on the property with a few minor renovations. The new office building would be attractive even though most people wouldn't know it was there. Multiple floors were built underground so that the office wouldn't extend above the trees, but the creative design allowed natural light to reach the lower levels. Soundproof baffles would be built between the construction site and the neighboring homes. All of the old-growth trees would be kept [7], [8].

The majority of the community's inhabitants had a half-hour travel to work, according to a survey on their commuting habits. As a result, the company decided to close at 5:30 p.m. to avoid causing traffic issues for its neighbors. The company provided the neighborhood with the use of its security personnel to monitor the area for questionable activity in order to prevent any feelings of lost privacy that may arise from clients visiting the office building. Neighbors enthusiastically supported the business's construction of its new headquarters, and for many years to come, ties between the community and the corporation were excellent. Notes from neighbors thanking a security officer for helping recover a lost dog or for donations to local fund-raisers for charitable causes were often posted by the public relations director. Through two-way communication and the collaborative approach, this firm was able to come up with a win-win solution.

Numerous PR measurement firms will examine media coverage to determine the proportion of stories that include the main messages of the program. The message's prominence (e.g., whether it appeared on page 1 or page 16 in a press release in a broadcast, how much time was devoted to the story and where it appears in the program) the message's tone (positive, neutral, or negative); and the comparison of the media's efforts with those of their main competitors (share of voice). These organizations provide measurements that aid in setting standards for program output goals and tactics. However, primary research, such as surveys, must be carried out to determine if these messages truly had an impact on people's awareness, knowledge, attitudes, or actions. Assessment and quantification need to occur at any point of your endeavors. In order to ascertain if your message is accessible to the public (what public relations specialists refer to as "opportunities-to-see," or OTS), you should be continuously observing the media. Course adjustments must be made during the program, not after it has been finished, if the media strategy is failing.

Even if more advanced metrics for communication output have been created throughout time, it is still more important to take the messages' effects and outcomes into account. Disseminating information via a variety of platforms, whether new or old, is only a means to an end—influencing beliefs, attitudes, and actions. Measuring the results is necessary to connect them to the objectives and aims of the company. Because it is difficult to determine the true effect of public relations and advertising communications, cost comparisons between them are not often utilized or promoted as an assessment tool. We do know, however, that although advertising and public relations both increase brand memory, purchase intention, and product awareness, public relations material results in greater levels of favorable product assessment and product knowledge [9], [10].

The survey is still the most often used instrument for gauging attitudes and views. Against ascertain whether an organization's words and actions have had the desired impact, surveys of public opinion and attitudes may be carried out and compared against benchmarks. Surveys may also be used to assess purchase preferences and behavior intentions, yielding some data about people's inclinations. Benchmarks may also be used to assess behaviors. Measuring behaviors might include looking at things like enhanced sales and investments, more contributions, and higher staff retention. Since other factors may often have an influence on the relationship between communication strategy and behavioral changes, it's critical to identify and monitor the effects of public relations initiatives to determine if they are the primary cause of the change.

CONCLUSION

This study underscores the pivotal role of organizational structure and culture in shaping effective public relations practices. The findings highlight the significance of a participatory culture, decentralized decision-making, and clear communication expectations within the organization. The study emphasizes that public relations cannot solely rely on communicator competence; instead, it requires alignment with the expectations of senior management and the dominant coalition. Furthermore, the research underscores the importance of inclusivity, diversity, and the ability to handle ambiguity in demonstrating the value of public relations within an organization. By focusing on these factors, businesses can enhance their public relations function, contribute strategically to organizational goals, and build strong relationships with stakeholders. The study advocates for ongoing measurement and assessment of public relations efforts to ensure alignment with organizational objectives and foster continuous improvement.

REFERENCES:

[1] F. Miedaner, L. Kuntz, C. Enke, B. Roth, and A. Nitzsche, "Exploring the differential impact of individual and organizational factors on organizational commitment of physicians and nurses," *BMC Health Serv. Res.*, 2018, doi: 10.1186/s12913-018-2977-1.

[2] C. Hattingh, A. De Waal, and P. Parsons, "Assessing high performance: A South African case study," *South African J. Bus. Manag.*, 2018, doi: 10.4102/sajbm.v49i1.3.

[3] A. Trezona, S. Dodson, and R. H. Osborne, "Development of the Organisational Health Literacy Responsiveness (Org-HLR) self-assessment tool and process," *BMC Health Serv. Res.*, 2018, doi: 10.1186/s12913-018-3499-6.

[4] J. Schwarzman, A. Bauman, B. Gabbe, C. Rissel, T. Shilton, and B. J. Smith, "Organizational determinants of evaluation practice in Australian prevention agencies," *Health Educ. Res.*, 2018, doi: 10.1093/her/cyy015.

[5] H. S. Shorey and J. S. Chaffin, "Leader–Follower Attachment: Implications for Personality Assessment in Organizational Contexts," *J. Pers. Assess.*, 2018, doi: 10.1080/00223891.2018.1472100.

[6] I. Shkolnyk and V. Koilo, "The relationship between external debt and economic growth: Empirical evidence from Ukraine and other emerging economies," *Invest. Manag. Financ. Innov.*, 2018, doi: 10.21511/imfi.15(1).2018.32.

[7] P. B. Sajeet Pradhan, Lalatendu Kesari Jena, "Transformational Leadership and Contextual Performance : Pratishtha Bhattacharyya , Doctoral Scholar," *Int. J. Product. Perform. Manag.*, 2018.

[8] J. Davies *et al.*, “Understanding innovation platform effectiveness through experiences from west and central Africa,” *Agric. Syst.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.agsy.2016.12.014.

[9] C. Trivedi and S. Misra, “Dialogue and the creation of transformative social change: The case of social enterprises,” *Informing Sci.*, 2018, doi: 10.28945/4012.

[10] M. Ibrahim Shire, G. T. Jun, and S. Robinson, “The application of system dynamics modelling to system safety improvement: Present use and future potential,” *Safety Science*. 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.ssci.2018.03.010.

CHAPTER 5

A BRIEF DISCUSSION ON PUBLIC RELATIONS AND ORGANIZATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS

Sadaf Haseen Hashmi, Associate Professor
Department of ISME, ATLAS SkillTech University, Mumbai, India
Email Id-sadaf.hashmi@atlasuniversity.edu.in

ABSTRACT:

Organizations tend to put more emphasis on the functions that contribute to their success and to reward those efforts most, thus it is crucial to understand how they define success. Three methods for assessing an organization's efficacy were identified in this chapter. This study explores various methods of assessing organizational effectiveness, ranging from the traditional goal-achieving approach to the more nuanced systems theory and stakeholder management methods. The goal-achieving method, emphasizing the attainment of objectives, is critiqued for its oversight of the human element within organizations and its disregard for external factors. The systems theory, highlighting the organization's open social systems and their need to adapt to environmental changes, is presented as a more comprehensive approach. However, challenges, such as the measuring problem, are acknowledged. The stakeholder management method is introduced as a strategy that integrates systems theory with a focus on building connections with key stakeholders. The study outlines the six steps of stakeholder management and emphasizes the role of public relations specialists in aligning organizational goals with stakeholder interests.

KEYWORDS:

Management, Organization, Public Relation, Strategic.

INTRODUCTION

Public relations professionals now need to be knowledgeable in organizational management, as the field has moved from emphasizing the technical function of the communicator to the strategic communication role of the manager. Learn about a number of management theories in this chapter that aid in defining organizational success and the function of public relations in managing that success. Organizational effectiveness has been described in a variety of ways by management theory. Early management theories placed a strong emphasis on achieving objectives as a gauge of effectiveness. This method turned out to be rather basic and overlooked how surroundings and organizations are intertwined. In response to the drawbacks of the goal-attainment viewpoint, a systems model approach was created. Nevertheless, the systems approach is sometimes too abstract to gauge its efficacy. A third method is more quantifiable since it focuses on building connections with important stakeholders and acknowledges the organization's dependence on its surroundings. It also gives particular attention to these stakeholders. This strategy, sometimes known as stakeholder management, acknowledges the importance of key constituents to an organization's performance as well as the fact that these stakeholders' interests often collide. Each has an effect on the organization's public relations practices.

Goal-Achieving Method

Historically, the achievement of objectives has been used to determine an organization's effectiveness. Organizations were thought of as rational entities whose main goal was to attain goals in the early theories of organizational behavior. According to this method, an organization is more successful the more successfully and efficiently it can accomplish its objectives. Profitability is often at the center of an organization's core objectives [1], [2].

Budgetary Objectives

Evaluating an organization's success might include comparing its size to that of its rivals. The first step in this kind of analysis is often to examine yearly revenues, which represent the entire amount of goods and services supplied to clients. But because some really huge organizations don't always succeed, this may not be the most useful metric. Typically, financial analysts use various measures to assess the state of the financial system. They examine profitability in a variety of methods to evaluate the return on investment, or ROI, that the firm is producing for its owners, the shareholders, for every dollar invested in the company. When they do this, they take into account the gross margins2 that the business attains, which are the sales proceeds from its items less their cost.

They also take into account the company's net earnings, which are the profits left over after depreciation, interest, and other expenses are subtracted. Profits per share, or EPS, is then calculated by dividing these net profits by the total number of outstanding shares of stock. Regardless of size, this EPS figure offers a useful ratio for comparing to other businesses. Every quarter, as mandated by U.S. regulations, publicly listed corporations are compelled to reveal their earnings data, which financial experts anxiously anticipate. Commission on Securities and Exchanges (SEC). Analysts project a company's earnings, often more than a year ahead of the actual performance. Following the reporting of results, stock prices of firms that surpass these projections often rise, sometimes sharply. Their share values may plunge if companies miss profit projections and disappoint analysts.

Market capitalization is another way to quantify size. The calculation of this metric involves multiplying the current stock price of a firm by the total number of outstanding shares. This "market cap" figure could sometimes be a lot more than the yearly sales a business makes. In these situations, the financial markets think the firm can expand significantly more than its existing revenues. Businesses whose market caps are much greater than their revenues are valued more highly than those whose market caps are comparable to or significantly less than their yearly sales. By delivering consistent performance, hitting or surpassing profit projections, and presenting a solid growth narrative backed by the facts, companies aim to increase their worth.

Numerous more financial metrics exist. The most crucial thing to keep in mind is that communicators have a unique duty to educate themselves on the policies that their colleagues in other roles believe are most important. That goes beyond just the numbers. They must also be aware of the business issues that the organization is now facing. The most crucial metrics for nonprofit public relations may have to do with the network of volunteers or donors that the organization depends on. Expanding one's understanding of policies, legislative efforts, tax income sources, or court judgments that affect a department's operations may be necessary for government public relations [3], [4].

Goal Attainment Approach's Drawbacks

The goal attainment method to assessing organizational performance has a serious flaw in that it ignores the human element of organizations and external factors that may impact their attempts to achieve their objectives. Since people are not cogs in a machine, managers may find it difficult to deal with the irrational expectation that their companies would function like well-oiled machinery. Because of this, employee involvement becomes a challenge for public relations professionals, who often place more emphasis on achieving goals than maintaining good public relations.

Because it ignores the political or power-control structure of organizations and how they choose objectives, Robbins (1990) attacked the goal-attainment method. Coalitions, which advocate for causes that benefit them or their role within the organization, make up the majority of organizations. He maintained that managers often attempt to expand the size and extent of their domain regardless of the impact on the company as a whole, and that decision makers' interests and those of their organization are not always aligned. He argued that the unique self-goals of various groups inside the organization take precedence over organizational interests. The most potent coalitions are those that are effective in establishing the objectives of the organization; fulfilling these objectives gives these coalitions more clout and influence. Furthermore, there is evidence to suggest that the objectives of any coalition could not precisely align with the requirements and goals of the organization.

The goal-attainment method has also been criticized for treating organizations as mechanical, logical systems that could decide whether or not these objectives were met. Scholars have observed that these theories of management assumed that companies were closed systems with autonomy and control over their surroundings (Grunig and Grunig, 1992, pp. 285–326). Nonetheless, there exist connections between companies and their surrounding ecosystems.

Approaching Systems Theory

The systems theory approach is the idea that organizations are open social systems that need to interact with their surroundings in order to survive6. Businesses rely on their surroundings for a number of vital resources: clients who buy their goods or services, vendors who provide the supplies, staff members who work or run the company, investors who make investments, and regulatory bodies that oversee the industry. Cutlip, Center, and Broom contend that assisting organizations in adjusting and adapting to environmental changes is a critical function of public relations.

The first to apply the open-systems approach to organizational behavior. They did so by adapting General Systems Theory. By charting the recurring cycles of input, throughput, output, and feedback between an organization and its external environment, this method may be used to identify organizational behavior. Systems take in input from their surroundings in the form of resources or information. After then, the systems internally digest the input (a process known as throughput) and release outputs into the environment in an effort to bring the environment back to balance. The system then looks for input to find out whether the output was successful in bringing the system back to balance. As is evident, the systems approach prioritizes long-term objectives above the ephemeral ones of the goal-attainment method and concentrates on the strategies used to preserve organizational longevity [5], [6].

Systems may be categorized as open or closed theoretically. Closed systems do not communicate information, energy, or resources with their surroundings; open organizations do. In actuality, social systems are often classified as either relatively closed or relatively open since no system can be entirely closed or open. The degree of sensitivity to the external environment determines whether a system is closed or open. Open systems respond to changes in the environment, whereas closed systems are insensitive to departures from the norm.

DISCUSSION

The systems approach is an external criterion that gauge's performance by looking at sustainability or long-term growth. In order to "avoid the static connotations of equilibrium and to bring out the dynamic, processual, potential- maintaining properties of basically unstable... systems," systems theorists refer to this steady state as homeostasis. An organization is considered effective if it can maintain homeostasis, which encompasses both growth and survival. Compared to the goal-attainment method, this viewpoint is more expansive and all-encompassing since it goes beyond gauging performance just based on achieving targets set by influential internal coalitions that may or may not benefit the whole company. "How well an organization is meeting the demands of the various groups and organizations that are concerned with its activities" is the definition of effectiveness.

Systems theory states that most successful organizations adapt to their surroundings. The world's happenings that impact an organization's operations and results are referred to as the environment. Environments may be classified as "dynamic" or "static" depending on their extremes. Dynamic environments are constantly changing, whereas static surroundings are generally steady or predictable and do not vary much. Organizations have varied degrees of dynamic or static surroundings since environments cannot be entirely static or always changing.

To sustain homeostasis, organizations operating in dynamic contexts need to be open systems. Dynamic environments are characterized by their rapid change, which makes it difficult to predict what steps a business must take to be viable and expand. Knowledge is the key to navigating uncertainty. An open organization keeps an eye on its surroundings and gathers data on any deviations from the norm, which is referred to as input10. Another way to think about input is as a kind of feedback. Systems theorists believe that negative feedback is the most crucial kind of data as it highlights issues for the organization to address. Positive feedback informs the organization that it is doing something well and that it should expand or continue that activity; negative input informs the organization that it is doing something wrong and that it needs to make changes to fix the issue.

After that, organizations compile and analyze this data to create reactions or solutions to these developments. Open systems, as mentioned by Cutlip, Center, and Broom, utilize information to react to changes in their environment and make necessary adjustments. The organization's procedures, structure, or both are impacted by the changes. An organization's structure defines it, but its processes define what it accomplishes. Adjustments may be made "to reduce, maintain, or increase the deviations." For instance, a company may decide to downsize in order to retain its competitiveness. It's possible that other firms may modify their procedures to comply with new environmental regulations. Throughput is the rate at which positive and negative information is processed to adapt to changes in the environment. The organization evaluates the information it receives and strategically modifies it to align with its values, aims, and the context of its relationships with the public [7], [8].

An organization's activities and messaging serve as its output after it has adjusted to changes in the environment. In an attempt to meet consumers' evolving expectations, the auto industry is always luring customers to test drive the newest models. Many automakers have made an effort recently to portray their vehicles as "green" or ecologically friendly. But messages alone are insufficient. If the automobiles aren't really more environmentally friendly, these messages will ultimately be ignored and the organization's reputation will be damaged. An organization asks for feedback to gauge how successful its output is. If its signals and actions were ineffective, the procedure is repeated until the right answer is discovered. The organization will ultimately become extinct if it is unable to adjust to the variations in the environment. The public relations specialist working for a company that follows a systems approach is always monitoring feedback as a means of gauging the performance of the company.

By implementing procedures for routine input to the company, the public relations specialist may apply the academic idea of systems theory to align the organization with the preferences of publics in its surroundings. Understanding the function of research and feedback in developing a well-thought-out and consistent plan (the throughput stage of information in systems theory) may also be aided by this theory.

The concept and justification of the public relations department's research budget, as well as the necessity of making decisions that strategically match an organization's public communications with the information that the publics require, are aided by the information analysis and strategy development known as throughput. By putting public relations firmly inside the strategic planning process, this approach's practical application prevents it from being used as a mere PR tool. However, there are several drawbacks to systems theory. First, there is the measuring problem; second, there is the question of whether an organization's survival strategies really matter. The focus of this approach, according to Robbins, is "the means necessary to achieve effectiveness rather than on organizational effectiveness itself." Measuring an organization's means, or process, can be very challenging when compared to measuring the goal-attainment approach's specific end goals.

Approach to Stakeholder Management

By creating "strategic constituencies," the stakeholder management method gives the systems approach more direction. According to Robbins, an organization should only be concerned with those "strategic constituencies" in the surrounding community that pose a danger to the organization's continued existence. This method acknowledges that an organization must interact with internal and external audiences who have the power to influence or improve its actions. Organizations are often faced with limitations and restraints, even when they would like to have total liberty. Since they "cost money—to comply with regulations or to make changes to accommodate pressure groups," constraints are sometimes seen as undesirable because they "restrict creativity and adaptation." Nonetheless, an organization will always run across certain limitations, particularly in highly regulated sectors. Labor strikes, laws passed by the government, boycotts, and demonstrations by special interest groups are a few examples.

"Being aware of environmental publics such as customers, suppliers, governmental agencies, and communities and interact successfully with them" is a requirement for a company to be effective. They also need to be conscious of the internal publics that the company may influence or be impacted by, such as labor unions and workers. In the literature on systems theory, the link between an organization and its stakeholders is referred to as interdependence13. Good connections with stakeholders restrict autonomy less than poor relationships, despite the fact that these interdependent interactions nonetheless limit it. Collaboration between important stakeholders often results in an increase in autonomy for the company. When a company engages with its stakeholders freely in search of win-win solutions, good connections are formed. Relationship problems may lead to coerced adherence to rules and limitations. Organizations have more autonomy when they freely form connections with stakeholders, as opposed to being coerced into doing so.

Process of Stakeholder Management

The following list provides a summary of the six steps that comprise the core of stakeholder management. As part of the process, the public relations department must first identify important stakeholders, explain their holdings in the company, and assess the significance of those stakes. Following completion of these stages, opportunities and obstacles need to be assessed, the organization's stakeholder duty needs to be determined, and relationship strategies need to be developed.

Step 1: List the Parties Involved

Carroll claims that identifying the stakeholders is the first step in the stakeholder management process. Since the ties may be really built before they are desperately required in a crisis scenario, establishing these partnerships is typically helpful for both organizations and publics.

Step 2: Explain the Risks

The description of these organizations' claims or interests in the organization comes next. An interest or a portion in an organization's performance or success is known as a stake14. These stakeholders might include stockholders, employees, and other organizations. If a stakeholder group feels that the organization owes them anything, they may also make a claim15 against the organization. Environmental organizations, for instance, contend that businesses must take care of the environment. It is also necessary to take the authenticity of the stakeholder's claim or stake into account. What the organization values will determine how legitimate the stake or claim is. The interests of owners, especially shareholders, come first when management prioritizes profits. In addition to a financial motivation, a firm that upholds other values, such as care for the environment, decent working conditions, and customer pleasure, would also take other stakeholders' concerns into account. Claims or stakes may also be at odds with one another. For instance, the need to disclose earnings might force a company to fire workers, which would be counterproductive to the advantages of higher employee morale. Achieving a balance of stakeholder interests is often a tough endeavor, and managing any conflicts of interest among the stakeholders is the hardest aspect of stakeholder management [9], [10].

Step 3: Examine the Importance

Examining the importance of the stakeholder's claim or stake is the third phase. To assess the importance of stakeholders, Mitchell, Agle, and Wood created a thorough model that took into account the legitimacy, power, and urgency of the stakeholders. If a stakeholder may affect an organization's actions, decisions, procedures, or results, then that stakeholder is considered legitimate if they have a valid legal, moral, or presumptive right. When stakeholders have the ability to persuade other parties to take actions they otherwise would not have taken, they are considered powerful. When a matter is urgent (i.e., time-sensitive) or crucial to a stakeholder, it is considered urgent. They created a priority plan by combining the three characteristics. As a result, expectant stakeholders20 have two traits, definite stakeholders have all three, and latent stakeholders only have one of the attributes. Stakeholders' claims are more essential the more qualities they possess.

Step 4: Assessing Possibilities

Assessing the possibilities and difficulties the stakeholders bring to the company is the fourth phase. Carroll says that one way to look at possibilities and obstacles is as possible threats and chances for collaboration. If taken, opportunities are circumstances that further an organization's objectives; in contrast, difficulties often need resolution. An organization's activities may be aided or hindered by its stakeholders, and each group should be evaluated based on the contributions it can make to the particular circumstance.

Step 5: Think About Your Duties to Stakeholders

The consideration of an organization's responsibilities to its stakeholders, or the ethical duties associated with making decisions, disclosing information, and maintaining enduring connections that foster trust, is the fifth phase. These duties include the organization's financial, environmental, and social effects on society at large. They also encompass issues like decreased environmental impact, safe working conditions for workers, and financial responsibility to shareholders. The ethics of decision making are covered in more detail elsewhere in this book, and good public relations practice benefits from the careful analysis of obligations provided by a philosophical framework. Decisions made within such a framework are easier to comprehend, consistent, and defend than those made under a more relativistic ethical framework, which may be criticized for being arbitrary, prejudiced, or worse. Determining the values of the company may aid in defining the different roles that choices should aim to fulfill.

Step 6: Take Relationship Enhancement Into Account

Considering the tactics and measures a company should do to strengthen its ties with important stakeholders is the last phase. It should be public relations' principal duty to formulate strategic plans, since it is what the organization does best. Professionals in public relations are educated in a strategic approach that directs the organization's activities and communications toward strengthening these connections. By using stakeholder management strategies in their professional work, public relations practitioners take charge of and bear accountability for the connections that are essential to an organization's survival. By using stakeholder management, professionals may more effectively allocate resources, make strategic choices, and properly appraise the situation. This leads to the development of long-lasting connections with the most significant publics and increases organizational performance.

The majority of firms define objectives and assess themselves in relation to them. Although it is simpler to assess these short-term objectives, the company may fail to see the big picture. A systems theory approach aids an organization in keeping an eye on the future. Long-term sustainability and development are also important considerations for an organization. Important components are necessary to accomplish short-term objectives and maintain long-term development. An organization may better grasp how important these stakeholders are to achieving its goals by using a stakeholder management method. Public relations specialists may assist in integrating stakeholders' interests into management and get a deeper understanding of the organization's difficulties by using the six phases of the stakeholder management process. By doing this, the organization's policy is strategically aligned, enabling it to forge stronger bonds with the public and include public relations as a key driver of both overall organizational success and financial performance.

CONCLUSION

The study highlights the importance of moving beyond simplistic goal-achievement metrics and adopting a more holistic approach to organizational effectiveness. Systems theory and stakeholder management offer valuable perspectives in understanding how organizations interact with their environments and stakeholders. While systems theory provides a broader view of organizational dynamics, stakeholder management offers a strategic framework for building and maintaining crucial relationships. Public relations professionals play a pivotal role in implementing these approaches, ensuring that organizations not only achieve short-term goals but also navigate long-term sustainability challenges. Recognizing the interconnectedness of organizations with their surroundings and stakeholders is essential for fostering adaptability, strategic decision-making, and overall organizational success.

REFERENCES:

[1] D. Holland, A. Krause, J. Provencher, and T. Seltzer, "Transparency tested: The influence of message features on public perceptions of organizational transparency," *Public Relat. Rev.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.pubrev.2017.12.002.

[2] E. Çelebi, “Measuring the Effectiveness of Organizational Public Relations: An Experimental Research on Crisis Response Strategies.,” *J. Commun. Theory Res. / Ilet. Kuram ve Arastirma Derg.*, 2018.

[3] D. P. Ferguson, J. D. Wallace, and R. C. Chandler, “Hierarchical consistency of strategies in image repair theory: PR practitioners’ perceptions of effective and preferred crisis communication strategies,” *J. Public Relations Res.*, 2018, doi: 10.1080/1062726X.2018.1545129.

[4] H. Teimouri, K. Jenab, and K. LaFevor, “Analysing the relationship between organisational strategies and effectiveness of human capital management practices,” *Int. J. Bus. Excell.*, 2018, doi: 10.1504/IJBEX.2018.095642.

[5] W. Tao, B. Song, M. A. Ferguson, and S. Kochhar, “Employees’ prosocial behavioral intentions through empowerment in CSR decision-making,” *Public Relat. Rev.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.pubrev.2018.07.002.

[6] A. Siahaan and R. Tantono, “Internal Audit Effectiveness In The Indonesia Property Industry,” *Emerg. Mark. Bus. Manag. Stud. J.*, 2018, doi: 10.33555/ijembm.v3i1.69.

[7] B. Villalonga, “The impact of ownership on building sustainable and responsible businesses,” *J. Br. Acad.*, 2018, doi: 10.5871/jba/006s1.375.

[8] I. Z. Czaplicka-Kozłowska And S. Stachowska, “Factors Shaping Engagement Of Employees On The Example Of University Workers,” *Manag. Theory Stud. Rural Bus. Infrastruct. Dev.*, 2018, Doi: 10.15544/Mts.2018.42.

[9] M. Bahall, “Health Services In Trinidad: Throughput, Throughput Challenges, And The Impact Of A Throughput Intervention on overcrowding in a public health institution,” *BMC Health Serv. Res.*, 2018, doi: 10.1186/s12913-018-2931-2.

[10] A. Austen and A. Frączkiewicz-Wronka, “Stakeholders and resources in public hospitals. Towards an integrated view,” *Explicator*, 2018, doi: 10.15611/aoe.2018.1.11.

CHAPTER 6

IDENTIFYING AND PRIORITIZING STAKEHOLDERS AND PUBLICS

Poonam Singh. Associate, Professor
Department of ISME, ATLAS SkillTech University, Mumbai, India
Email Id-poonam.singh@atlasuniversity.edu.in

ABSTRACT:

Accurate identification of key stakeholders and publics is vital for effective public relations strategies. This study explores the nuanced nature of stakeholder management, emphasizing the absence of a uniform "general public." The research delves into Rawlins' perspective on managing conflicting stakeholder interests and extends the discussion to prioritize stakeholders based on their significance in various situations. Grunig and Repper's categorization of stakeholders into enabling, functional, normative, and diffused groups aids in understanding their roles and influence levels. Organizations must cultivate a good rapport with their stakeholders. Prioritizing your stakeholders based on organizational objectives and circumstances should come first. Responding to the squeaky-wheel stakeholder is a typical habit. This group could get more attention than is appropriate if the company has not given its stakeholders and the interactions among them the correct priority. This model shows that the stakeholder with the highest priority may not always be the one who is the squeaky wheel. Organizations may prioritize stakeholders more thoroughly and systematically by following the procedures described in this chapter. The contingency model's four segment methodology assists businesses in developing an efficient public relations plan to address a range of scenarios. You may practice strategic public relations with a theoretical basis and a practical guidance if you comprehend these four basic methods. The study underscores the importance of understanding priority publics and influencers, aligning communication strategies with stakeholder interests, and adopting flexible approaches for effective public relations.

KEYWORDS:

Company, Communication Strategies, Organization, Publics, Stakeholder.

INTRODUCTION

Accurately identifying the publics you want to develop favorable connections with is one of the most crucial aspects in planned and successful public relations. A common tenet in the field of public relations is that the concept of a "general public" does not exist. Stated differently, an organization comprises several important groups, each having unique expectations for their association with the organization. These distinctions aid in an organization's ability to divide its target audiences into subgroups with comparable expectations and values and to concentrate communication efforts.

Managing Stakeholders and Setting Publics

Key stakeholders or publics may be identified in a variety of methods, according to experts in public relations and stakeholder management. The issue of "How much attention does each stakeholder group deserve or require?" lies at the core of these endeavors. Rawlins (2006) has given permission for this section to be updated. Winn said that managing stakeholders' possibly conflicting interests is the focus of stakeholder management since it is unfeasible for all stakeholders to have the same expectations of and interests in the company. Organizations compete for attention after their stakeholders have been identified, deciding who deserves greater attention, who can wait, and who should be ignored. The conundrum of sacrificing one stakeholder's requirements for another's is one that many businesses face. It is critical to the organization's success that it has given each stakeholder the appropriate priority in each given circumstance when these conflicts emerge. The methodology presented in this chapter progresses from the most general efforts to identify all stakeholders to the more focused need of identifying important publics for communication strategies. The model is situational, meaning that stakeholder and public priorities will fluctuate depending on the circumstances [1], [2].

Identifying Publics and Stakeholders

A group or person that is impacted by or has the ability to influence an organization's performance is known as a stakeholder. Groups with an interest in the company, independent of the corporation's interest in them, are now included in the definition. The groups most often categorized as stakeholders within a company include its workers, clients, shareholders, communities, and suppliers. Grunig and Repper distinguished between the words "public" and "stakeholder" in the following ways: While "publics arise on their own and choose the organization for attention," organizations choose stakeholders based on their investment goals, recruitment tactics, and marketing techniques. John Dewey's notion of the public served as the basis for this classification: that it is a collection of individuals who identify a problem, deal with it on a similar basis, and band together to take action. Therefore, when they identify a problem and take action, the public organizes from the ranks of stakeholders.

Participant Connections with the Organization

Identifying all stakeholders is a good idea before focusing on certain features. Examining the connections between these groups and the organization is one method to do this. Grunig and Hunt's approach divides these connections into four categories based on linkage: normative, functional, dispersed, and enabling stakeholders. Enabling stakeholders stockholders, the board of directors, elected officials, lawmakers and regulators in the government, and so forth have some degree of power and influence over the firm. These stakeholders provide an organization the tools and degrees of autonomy it needs to function. When enabling connections break down, the organization's autonomy may be curtailed, restricted, or controlled, and resources may be removed.

Functional stakeholders play a crucial role in the organization's operations. They may be categorized as input, which includes individuals like workers and suppliers who provide labor and resources to develop goods or services, and output, which includes customers and merchants who get the products or services. Normative stakeholders refer to organizations or groups that have a shared interest with the organization. Diffused stakeholders are the most challenging to identify because they include publics that have infrequent interaction with the organization and become involved based on the organization's actions. These stakeholders share similar values, goals, or problems and frequently include competitors who belong to industrial or professional associations. Activists, the community, the media, and other special interest organizations are some of the publics that often emerge during times of crisis. An organization should be able to identify all of its stakeholders by using the linking model. The enabling, functional, and normative linkage stakeholders are probably going to remain consistent, while the dispersed linkage stakeholders could vary depending on the circumstances.

The Publics Situational Theory Forecasts Activist or Passive Behavior

In order to anticipate and explain why some publics are active while others are quiet, Grunig created a situational theory of publics. He points out that situational theory may be used to determine whether stakeholder groups would "communicate actively, passively, or not at all about organizational decisions that affect them." Publics that do not encounter problems are known as non-publics, those that do encounter problems but do not identify them as such are known as latent publics, ones that do identify problems are known as aware publics8, and those that take action to address the issues are known as active publics. He found that three factors level of participation, issue awareness, and limitation recognition explain why certain individuals become engaged in particular circumstances [3], [4].

The degree to which an individual identifies with the issue is a key indicator of level of engagement. On the other hand, unless a person understands how they relate to an issue, they will not actively seek out or digest information. This is known as the problem recognition level. Whether or whether individuals believe they can solve the issue typically determines whether they go from information processing to the information-seeking activity of engaged publics. The degree of personal effectiveness that an individual feels they possess and the degree to which they may influence the problem are known as constraint recognition10. People who believe there is nothing to be done have a strong awareness of constraints and feel less pressure to take action to solve the issue. Referent criteria are guidelines that individuals apply to new circumstances based on their prior experiences with the problem or the organization in question. This is another factor to take into account.

DISCUSSION

High levels of issue awareness and participation, together with lower levels of constraint recognition, are characteristic of active publics. Grunig believed that this audience would actively seek information and act on it because they understand how the situation impacts them and believe they can take action. Publics that are aware will digest information and may take action, but their actions are constrained by either greater degrees of constraint awareness or lower levels of participation and issue detection. Latent publics are those who are unaware of how a problem affects them or who do not consider it to be a problem. They are only inactive about the matter. As knowledge alters people's perceptions of the problem, the public may become more engaged or informed. Grunig used issues that would produce both active and passive publics to test the idea. He discovered four categories of publics:

1. Publics that are engaged with every topic.
2. Apathetic audiences, who pay little attention to any topic.
3. Single-issue publics: these groups focus on a certain portion of the topic that only affects them.
4. Hot-issue publics: these are groups of people engaged in a single, widely-recognized problem that affects almost everyone.

Since active publics are more urgent than informed and inactive publics, they will be given higher priority in this stage. The degree to which stakeholders are affected by the issue, their awareness of it, and their belief that they have any control over it are indicators of whether or not they will turn into engaged citizens. The degree of public support is one aspect of this paradigm that is absent. Stakeholder tactics would depend on the degree of support from each of these groups, which may be either supportive or dangerous. Whether engaged or informed publics are supportive or threatening should also be determined by a thorough model of stakeholder prioritizing.

Communication Plan for Involved Parties

Active public stakeholders emerge as the clear top priority publics. While it would be handy if decisive stakeholders were always engaged publics, human nature prevents this from occurring consistently and predictably. As a result, an organization has to create plans to assist in mediating conflicts involving important audiences. Whether the stakeholders are active or passive, supporting or nonsupportive, will determine which techniques to use. "Stakeholder by Communication Strategy," you would create strategies based on four groups: advocate stakeholders (active and supportive); dormant stakeholders (inactive and supportive); adversarial stakeholders (active and nonsupportive); and apathetic stakeholders (inactive and nonsupportive).

Encourage stakeholders

This is the group you want to be actively supporting you by endorsing you in public, sending letters, investing in you, attending events, and making gifts. Behavior and activity should be the focus of communication.

Inactive participants

This group isn't prepared to become engaged. If inaction stems from ignorance, then communications have to focus on raising people's awareness and comprehension of the problems that concern them. Communication should address probable sources of apathy by lowering perceptions of limits or utilizing affective signals to build emotional connection if the audience is stimulated but not engaged [5], [6].

Hostile parties involved

Being defensive is the first reaction to this group. Defensive communication, on the other hand, will not help this group; rather, it will strengthen their position. It is advisable to utilize defensive communication with heated audiences that aren't sure whether they will support it or not. Organizations should instead look for win-win solutions via conflict resolution techniques that incorporate nonsupportive stakeholders.

Apathetic stakeholders

Once again, the natural response to this group is to disregard it. However, this group may still transition to an aroused, then conscious, and finally engaged public if it encounters a problem but is unaware of it or does not yet perceive its resonance. Raising awareness of the problem and inviting people to work with the organization to address it before it worsens or becomes a crisis is a preferable course of action. Since engaging this group would be challenging, the majority of communication efforts should concentrate on making the problem more prominent and sending out invitations to participate.

There is one more phase in prioritizing once plans that address the stakeholders have been devised. Wilson (2005) identifies important publics, intervening publics, and influencing publics as the three categories of publics that are engaged in communication strategies. The key publics16 are those whose cooperation and involvement are necessary for the organization to achieve its objectives. They are the stakeholders with the greatest priority in connection to the previous two phases, based on their amount of active engagement in the issue, the urgency of the problem, and their traits of power, reliance, and influence. Grunig's concept refers to the important publics as priority publics. An organization has to have a thorough understanding of various stakeholders in order to interact with them successfully. Media preferences, cooperative networks, self-interests, lifestyles and attitudes, and demographics may all be used to characterize priority publics.

Successful tactics use the priority publics' self-interests and connect with them via the most suitable channels. The intervening publics serve as opinion leaders and disseminate information to the priority publics. These publics, including the media, are sometimes mistakenly designated as priority publics. It is a priority public if an organization feels happy when the communication reaches that point. It is an intervening public if there is an expectation that others will hear the message. The media are typically public intervenors in most situations. Important intervening publics, such as physicians who provide information to patients and educators who do the same for students, may also be considered influential figures. The quality of ties with intervening publics often determines the outcome of campaigns [7], [8].

In addition to intervening publics, influentials have additional effects on the effectiveness of PR campaigns. Influentials have the power to help or hinder an organization's goals. Certain audiences will look to opinion leaders to confirm or deny communications from organizations. Public relations messages are not nearly as powerful as the opinions of these trusted sources. As a result, effective campaigns also need to take into account how influentials who serve as either supportive or intervening publics would understand their messaging. In summation, priority publics for communication strategies should be those stakeholders who become engaged publics, have the power to affect an organization's success, or who can influence other influential stakeholders. Publics like the media that are essential to delivering the information to the priority publics must be acknowledged as intervening publics that are also vital to the communication strategy's success. Though they may not be organization stakeholders, influential people or organizations have a significant impact on how the message is perceived by the target audience. For this reason, they should be included in the communication plan of the public relations specialist.

An Adaptive Method for Public Relations Planning

We provide a model of contingency, mixed-motive, situational tactics based on the aspects of reactive vs proactive and personal interest against public interest in order to comprehend how public relations should be handled most effectively. Rather of being either/or, each dimension should be seen as a continuum of more proactive/more reactive and more self-interest/more public interest. Four unique ways arise from the interplay of these two dimensions: collaborative, aggressive, defensive, and responsive. In the pages that follow, we will go over each strategic strategy in more depth.

Dimensions of Reactivity vs Proactivity

Reactive and proactive are perhaps the two phrases that are used to characterize modern public relations initiatives. Organizations are proactive when actions are done to avoid or avert problems before they emerge, and reactive when they must deal with issues that already exist and need to be corrected. According to Liechty, practitioners of public relations "often lack either sufficient time or freedom to respond with collaborative tactics," which means that some work is inherently reactive.

Organizations may, however, tackle reactive PR in numerous ways, often maintaining and even strengthening connections provided the strategic reaction is well thought out. Companies may react differently to goods that put their customers in risk. Take Johnson & Johnson's conduct in the Tylenol case and Exxon's reaction to the Valdez oil disaster, for instance. Despite being the targets of deliberate manipulation, Johnson & Johnson chose to remove their product off shop shelves out of a desire to protect consumer safety. Conversely, Exxon was sluggish to acknowledge its mistakes and express its worries to the public and media. Ultimately, despite spending billions of dollars more than Johnson & Johnson, Exxon emerged victorious and the other's image was tarnished as an environmental monster. Thus, despite the need for both firms to use reactive public relations, their methods could not have been more unlike.

Proactive public relations is a common activity of forward-thinking companies. This phrase refers to the idea that companies try to affect change by being active in the public policy process, as opposed to resisting change which often ends in failure or just adjusting to it. Therefore, an organization may try to sway public opinion about certain social concerns or social issues that are important to society, as well as try to sway the legislative and regulatory process about particular laws and regulations.

Certain organizations do proactive research to detect potential problems that may impact the company and initiate compelling public awareness campaigns in an effort to sway public perception and ultimately impact issue outcomes. In order to create solutions that take into account the interests of all parties involved and may need organizational reform, other organizations try to work in conjunction with their affected constituents. Although they use distinct preventive methods, each of these strategies aim to assist avoid issues. It seems that the primary difference between these organizations' actions is whether or not they prioritize their own interests above that of their publics.

Dimension of self-interest vs public interest

The capitalist ideal holds that the pursuit of one's own interests is less creative and energetic than pursuing those of others, and that self-interest is the primary motivator in a free market economy. Increasing one's return on investment (ROI) is the definition of self-interest from a financial standpoint. According to Buchholz, the goals of investors in the stock market are to maximize returns, entrepreneurs are supposed to maximize profits, and labor sellers are supposed to negotiate the best conditions for themselves. With their purchases of products and services from the marketplace, customers are expected to optimize their own happiness at the consuming end of the process. Even nonprofit organizations are driven by the need to survive, even if not all social organizations have financial gain as their primary objective. As a result, self-interest will always drive public relations strategies.

It is increasingly challenging to identify and defend the public interest. Public relations professionals can serve the public interest by simply taking into account the interests of all parties involved and making a dedicated effort to balance them as much as possible while avoiding or minimizing harm and respecting each individual. It is rare for decisions to be made solely out of self-interest or the public good. Buchholz notes that individuals in positions to define the public interest can never separate themselves from their own self-interests, but that decisions are frequently made with collaborative interests, allowing them to "be represented as in the public interest, even if their ultimate motivation is the financial benefit of the company [9], [10]."

The Four Definable Strategic Approaches

Reactive action that primarily serves the organization's self-interest is the defensive strategy. The responsive method is a reactive strategy that takes stakeholders' interests into account. Proactive activity that advances self-interests in an effort to manage the environment inside a company is known as the assertive approach. Additionally, the collaborative approach is a proactive strategy that makes use of communication to develop win-win solutions that take into account the needs of the company and its stakeholders.

Taking a defensive stance

Planned one-way communication is the main kind of communication used in the defensive strategy. In order to "educate" and "disseminate facts" to the public regarding an organization's activities or policies in reaction to critiques or crises, the defensive method makes use of publicity and public information resources. When an organization is wrongly accused of specific behaviors or standards, there are situations when only a defensive strategy would work; protecting oneself from such inaccurate information is a reasonable and appropriate course of action. While the defensive strategy is sometimes required in reaction to specific issues and circumstances, it is not the best course of action when used in all circumstances. If an organization's use of public relations is restricted to the defensive side, then its role is confined to damage management, which causes the public relations department to lose credibility and trust with important audiences. It is hardly surprising that public relations practitioners limited to using this strategy often resemble communication technicians and have no influence or involvement in an organization's decision-making process.

A Flexible Method

Reacting to circumstances is another usage for the responsive method, but in this case, the organization responds in a way that communicates its concerns for society. Due to an organization's loss of stakeholder trust and confidence, this strategy has gained popularity. The promotion of social responsibility has become a catchphrase for environmental and consumer campaigners. Certain organizations discovered that when they communicated and acted with regret and care for the public and society, certain crises were easier to overcome. Additionally, these groups would make an effort to change their approach and become more proactive by outlining the steps they were doing to avoid such disasters in the future.

The well reported Tylenol case established the benchmark for this methodology. Product packaging was completely transformed when tamper-proof seals were introduced. This strategy is also shown by Kathie Lee Gifford's reaction to claims that her apparel brand was producing goods in "sweatshops." With their hands full of cash to give the employees, Gifford and her husband went to one of the stores and promised to fight against sweatshops and let independent monitors tour the facilities that produced her clothing. It is difficult to examine motivation, even if detractors may readily counter that she acted to protect her company rather than out of conscience. It seems that in these situations, a responsive strategy worked better than a defensive one.

The Assertive Method

Bernays's advertising campaign from the 1920s, "Torches of Freedom," serves as a great illustration of the forceful strategy. By having young debutantes or hired representations of such persons stroll in the Easter parade smoking Lucky Strike cigarettes, Bernays assisted George Washington Hill and the American Tobacco Company in shattering the social taboo that prevented women from smoking in public. Bernays was able to train the market to tolerate female smokers and so boost the market for Lucky Strike via the use of publicity and Freudian psychology of attitude transformation. Organizations using this strategy see public relations as an asymmetric strategic function that aids in controlling the external environment. Bernays had a significant impact in the development of this asymmetrical approach by promoting public relations as the "engineering of consent."

Numerous businesses have shaped marketing, social, and regulatory environments to their advantage by using the forceful strategy. Occasionally, using a forceful attitude might go against the objectives of society. The illegal conspiracy by General Motors (GM), Firestone

Tires, and Standard Oil of California to remove the electric streetcar system in Los Angeles is an example of an aggressive policy that had a bad societal consequence. Before General Motors took over and replaced it with GM buses that ran on Firestone tires and Standard Oil fuel, Los Angeles had one of the greatest electric streetcar networks in the nation. GM and its accomplices were found guilty of unlawful acts by the federal government in 1947 and were fined $5,000. United States v. National City Lines, Inc., et al. Since then, the city of Los Angeles has invested billions of dollars in the construction of an electric subway system in an effort to lessen pollution and issues with public transit, with the help of federal funds. Simultaneously, there are many prosocial instances of the forceful method, including the civil rights movement and health awareness campaigns aimed at lowering the risk of lung illnesses, diabetes, cancer, and heart disease.

Working Together

Organizations should employ the collaborative method while gaining permission and support. The capacity of an organization to demonstrate how its activities will benefit or not damage its stakeholders is a prerequisite for collaboration. A collaborative approach necessitates public engagement and participation via open, sincere communication that respects each party's rights and refrains from manipulation in either purpose or action. Collaboration places a strong emphasis on the idea that decision-making should include the publics who stand to gain or lose from an organization's actions. To create a balance between the interests of the two parties, collaboration is required. Conflict will always arise, as Murphy pointed out, but how it is resolved often falls on a continuum between pure coordination, which aims to achieve a win-win solution, and pure competition, which takes a zero-sum approach. In order to achieve results that will support the preservation of connections and an organization's self-interest, the collaborative method leverages the coordination motivation.

CONCLUSION

This study sheds light on the intricacies of stakeholder management and public relations planning, emphasizing the need for a nuanced and adaptive approach. By acknowledging the diversity of stakeholders and their varying levels of engagement, organizations can develop tailored communication strategies that align with stakeholder expectations and values. The proposed Situational Theory of Publics offers a valuable tool for predicting and understanding public engagement behavior, facilitating the identification of priority publics. The communication plans outlined for different stakeholder groups provide practical insights into addressing diverse reactions and fostering positive connections. The adaptive method for public relations planning advocates a contingency approach, recognizing the continuum of reactivity-proactivity and self-interest-public interest dimensions. The four strategic approaches offer organizations a flexible framework to navigate different situations, promoting effective communication and relationship-building. This study contributes to the ongoing discourse on stakeholder management and public relations by providing a comprehensive framework for organizations to navigate the complexities of diverse stakeholder relationships. Embracing flexibility, understanding priority publics, and aligning strategies with stakeholder interests are key to successful and sustainable public relations practices.

REFERENCES:

[1] H. Li, R. Jin, X. Ning, M. Skitmore, and T. Zhang, “Prioritizing the sustainability objectives of major public projects in the Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macao Greater Bay area,” *Sustain.*, 2018, doi: 10.3390/su10114110.

[2] B. P. Lim, B. H. Heng, H. Y. Tai, L. Tham, and H. C. Chua, "Health Technology Disinvestment in Singapore," *Ann. Acad. Med. Singapore*, 2018, doi: 10.47102/annals-acadmedsg.v47n8p338.

[3] E. M. Janssen, H. L. Benz, J. H. Tsai, and J. F. P. Bridges, "Identifying and prioritizing concerns associated with prosthetic devices for use in a benefit-risk assessment: a mixed-methods approach," *Expert Rev. Med. Devices*, 2018, doi: 10.1080/17434440.2018.1470505.

[4] P. Healy *et al.*, "Identifying trial recruitment uncertainties using a James Lind Alliance Priority Setting Partnership - the PRioRiTy (Prioritising Recruitment in Randomised Trials) study," *Trials*, 2018, doi: 10.1186/s13063-018-2544-4.

[5] A. C. Torre, R. de F. S. M. Russo, and R. Camanho, "Application Of Ahp For Hierarchization And Sequencing Of Macrometropole Paulista Projects/Aplicacao Do Ahp Para Hierarquizacao E Sequenciamento De Projetos Da Macrometropole Paulista," *Rev. Inovacao, Proj. e Tecnol.*, 2018.

[6] L. Chikopela, T. . . Kalinda, G. Kuntashula, L. Nicolay, D. Kalala, and B. H. Chishala, "Use of innovation platforms in addressing soil fertility challenges: experiences from rural Zambia," *African J. Rural Dev.*, 2018.

[7] M. H. Shin *et al.*, "Tailoring an educational program on the AHRQ Patient Safety Indicators to meet stakeholder needs: Lessons learned in the VA," *BMC Health Serv. Res.*, 2018, doi: 10.1186/s12913-018-2904-5.

[8] J. S. Wald *et al.*, "Enhancing Health IT functionality for children: The 2015 children's ehr format," *Pediatrics*, 2018, doi: 10.1542/peds.2016-3894.

[9] A. Rutter, C. Taylor, A. Lloyd, and R. Ferry, "Implementing a sub-national strategic framework to reduce the illicit tobacco market to support national strategies," *Tob. Induc. Dis.*, 2018, doi: 10.18332/tid/83784.

[10] S. Khandelwal, "From the immediate past president: Musings on Indian social psychiatry on the occasion of silver jubilee conference of Indian Association for Social Psychiatry," *Indian J. Soc. Psychiatry*, 2018, doi: 10.4103/ijsp.ijsp_69_18.

CHAPTER 7

PUBLIC RELATIONS RESEARCH: THE KEY TO STRATEGY

Simarjeet Makkar, Associate Professor
Department of ISME, ATLAS SkillTech University, Mumbai, India,
Email Id-simarjeet.makkar@atlasuniversity.edu.in

ABSTRACT:

This study emphasizes the paramount importance of Public Relations (PR) Management in today's dynamic and interconnected business environment. PR serves as the linchpin for building and sustaining positive relationships between organizations and diverse stakeholders, including customers, employees, investors, and the general public. The multifaceted significance of PR spans reputation management, crisis communication, stakeholder engagement, and strategic media relations. The critical role of PR in shaping an organization's public image, the study underscores the necessity for effective communication strategies in an era characterized by the rapid dissemination of information through various channels. Furthermore, the study explores. The research underscores the integral relationship between PR Management and research. The study also challenges the misconception that PR is solely about one-way communication, emphasizing the importance of two-way communication facilitated by research. Addressing the significance of research in PR, the study draws attention to the argument that up to 75% of the PR process is based on research. Proficiency in research methodologies and data analysis is posited as a means for PR practitioners to demonstrate the strategic importance and value of their efforts. The study concludes by highlighting the goals of research in PR, emphasizing its role in developing strategic PR campaigns, contributing to organizational strategic management, and assessing the success of PR initiatives. It distinguishes between formative research, which aids in the planning of PR strategy, and assessment research, which evaluates the impact of communication efforts. The discussion also explores various research formats, including official and unofficial research, and delves into research types, such as quantitative and qualitative methods, with a focus on their applications in PR.

KEYWORDS:

Management, Organization, Public Relations, Stakeholders, Strategic.

INTRODUCTION

Public Relations Management is of paramount importance in today's dynamic and interconnected business environment. At its core, PR is about building and maintaining positive relationships between an organization and its various stakeholders, including customers, employees, investors, and the general public. The significance of PR management extends across multiple dimensions, influencing an organization's reputation, credibility, and overall success. One crucial aspect of PR is its role in shaping and controlling the public image of an organization. In an era where information spreads rapidly through various channels, maintaining a positive reputation is essential. Through strategic communication, PR professionals can highlight an organization's strengths, values, and contributions, helping to shape public perceptions and foster goodwill. Effective PR management becomes a powerful tool for enhancing brand image and creating a favorable environment for business operations.

Furthermore, PR plays a pivotal role in crisis management. No organization is immune to challenges or unforeseen events that may threaten its reputation. In times of crisis, PR professionals are instrumental in crafting timely and transparent communications to address issues, mitigate damage, and rebuild trust. A well-executed crisis communication strategy can be the difference between reputational recovery and sustained damage. Public Relations Management is also integral to establishing and nurturing relationships with various stakeholders. By conducting thorough research, PR practitioners can understand the needs, expectations, and concerns of different audiences. Armed with this knowledge, they can tailor communication strategies to effectively engage and connect with target groups, whether it be customers, employees, or the wider community [1], [2].

In the age of information overload, media relations are a critical component of PR. Building positive relationships with journalists, understanding media landscapes, and effectively pitching stories are all part of the PR professional's toolkit. Through strategic media engagement, organizations can secure favorable coverage, reach wider audiences, and positively influence public opinion. Public Relations Management is a cornerstone of successful and sustainable business operations. Its importance lies in its ability to shape perceptions, navigate challenges, and foster positive relationships. As organizations navigate an increasingly complex and interconnected world, the role of PR management becomes even more crucial in building and maintaining a strong and resilient public image.

If you previously believed the widely held belief that public relations are just the straightforward use of communication to influence audiences, see Brown (2003), pp. 199–214. The significance of research in public relations management may surprise you.Bowen (2009a), pp. 402–410. Research, action planning, and evaluation are three of the four steps in the strategic management process that make up the RACE acronym (research, action planning, communication, and evaluation). We can argue that up to 75% of the public relations process is based on research.

Research in Public Relations Management Is Important

Public relations specialists often have to persuade management to support research or highlight the value of research as an essential component of a departmental or project budget. An important component of public relations management is research. Here's a deeper look at the arguments made by academics supporting the need for formative and evaluative research in contemporary public relations management:

Instead of one-way communication, which is only the distribution of information, research creates two-way communication by gathering information from publics. Research enables us to have conversations with the public, getting to know their values and views while also attempting to increase their comprehension of the organization's internal operations and policies. Researchers discover that two-way communication works better than one-way communication in most situations, particularly when the business is subject to strict government regulations or must deal with a volatile environment like shifting industry trends or activist organizations.

By ensuring that communication is carefully targeted to publics that desire, need, or care about the information, research makes public relations operations strategic. Ehling and Dozier (1992). Public relations rely heavily on gut and experience without study, neither of which is useful in strategic management. By completing research of this kind, we may avoid squandering money on messages that are not reaching their target audiences or performing the function for which they were intended.

We can demonstrate outcomes, gauge effect, and redirect our efforts in light of those figures thanks to research. For instance, we may quantitatively demonstrate an initiative's ineffectiveness with a certain audience, and the message can then be changed or removed. As a result, we may allocate cash to the public relations initiative's most effective components. Public relations wouldn't be a meaningful management role without study. It would be a throwback to the days of basic press agentry, using instinct and gut feelings to generate publicity rather than being strategic or a component of executive strategic planning. Public relations is a true management function that uses research to identify problems and solve them, prevent and manage crises, improve organizational policies, make organizations more accountable to their publics, and establish and maintain long-term relationships with them. Proficiency in research methodologies and detailed data analysis provide public relations practitioners a position within the prevailing coalition and a means of demonstrating the significance and value of their endeavors. Research serves as the strategic cornerstone of contemporary public relations management in this way [3], [4].

Goals and Research Formats

The goal of research is to help us create public relations strategies that will enable us to: (a) run campaigns with clear objectives and goals; (b) function as a component of an organization's overall strategic management function; and (c) assess the success of our public relations initiatives. By doing research before speaking, we may update our own ideas to take the opinions of the general audience into consideration. By interacting with publics that are interested in our message, we can cultivate connections and convey various messages to publics that are particularly targeted. We can also segment those publics and customize communications for individual publics. Formative research is the name given to this kind of planning study since it aids in the formation of our public relations strategy (Stacks, 2002). Before we start communicating, formative research is done to find out what the audience knows, believes, or values as well as what they need or want to know. As a result, public relations do not waste time or resources corresponding with people who are not interested in what we have to say.

DISCUSSION

Following a public relations campaign, research enables public relations practitioners to demonstrate the effect of their communication efforts. We refer to this kind of study as assessment research2. In public relations, using both types of research enables us to communicate effectively and strategically. Formative research may be used, for instance, to ascertain what proportion of the general public is aware of the organization's position on a certain problem. By doing a poll, we might discover that 17% of the intended audience is aware of the policy. The public relations department uses a variety of methods to disseminate targeted communications since the organization's strategic goal is for more members of the public to be aware of its policies.

A survey that is almost similar to the previous one is administered after a certain period of time. The proportion of people in the public who are aware of the organization's policies should rise if public relations activities were effective. The public relations campaign's efforts are directly responsible for that rise. Measures like this are quite typical in public relations management. For example, we may state, "Members of the community public aware of our new toxic waste disposal initiative increased from 17% to 33% in the last 2 months." Because they set a baseline and then calculate the degree of change, they might be called benchmarking because they resemble before-and-after comparisons (Stacks, 2002; Broom and Dozier, 1990). Such comparisons may be conducted with a tolerable degree of confidence across different publics, geographic locations, problems, psychographics, and demographic groupings thanks to the use of statistically generalizable research methodologies. This section will provide a quick summary of the most popular research methodologies in public relations management along with real-world examples of their applications and usage in professional PR. We then go back to the topic of the goal of research and its significance in the public relations role, building on that fundamental knowledge of research methodologies.

Official Study

In public relations, research may be either formal or casual. Formal research3 is often conducted to provide data and statistics that are useful for directing messages and gauging outcomes. Formal research is also utilized to gather comprehensive opinion data, determine the range of customer reactions, and get a better, qualitative knowledge of the subject at hand. Formal research is organized study that may be either qualitative or quantitative and often focuses on issues that are important to the organization. Formal research serves as both an assessment tool to ascertain the extent of change related to public relations operations and a developmental tool at the beginning of a public relations endeavour [3], [4].

Unofficial Research

Most public relations managers often gather informal research from sources both within and outside of their companies. Informal research often uses discussions to collect data and viewpoints. It includes asking queries, having conversations with members of the public or staff members to learn about their issues, going through consumer emails or comment cards, and using other unofficial techniques such perusing the trade and newspapers. Informal research originates from the public relations professional's boundary-spanning function, which entails keeping in touch with both internal and external publics. With these relationships, the public relations specialist communicates casually and candidly for a considerable amount of time, sharing thoughts and concerns. This is one method that public relations may stay on top of things like industry developments, trends that impact a cutthroat market, public dissatisfaction concerns, activist organizations' beliefs and actions, rival companies' advances, and so forth. Informal research techniques provide a lot of valuable data, but they are often nonnumerical and cannot be applied to a wider population. Informal research yields data that may be used for a variety of purposes, such as reviewing or updating organizational policies, crafting communications in the phraseology of publics, responding to industry trends, incorporating public values or objectives into new projects, and many more.

Research Types

The language used in public relations management research is specific. When we gather original, first-hand, and usually confidential material that is particularly pertinent to a particular client or campaign, we refer to it as primary research5.Stacks (2002). Primary research is sometimes the costliest sort of data to obtain since it is specific to your business and research concerns. Research that is often in the public domain but is relevant to our customer, company, or industry is referred to as secondary research6. It may be utilized to supplement and bolster the findings obtained from our primary research (Stacks (2002); Stacks and Michaelson (in press). The most common ways to get secondary research are via the Internet, libraries, and trade and industry groups. There is an abundance of secondary research available for free or at a low cost via reference books, encyclopedias, and trade press publications. When deciding what kind of primary research has to be done, managers often utilize secondary research as an exploratory foundation.

Research using Quantitative Methods

In the field of public relations, primary research is often meant when we talk about studies of public opinion that are based on surveys and polls. One kind of quantitative research is surveys, which are sometimes known as public opinion polls. The following list includes quantitative research techniques frequently used in public relations. Statistical generalization is the foundation of quantitative research7. Statistical observations allow us to know exactly where we need to improve relationships with certain publics, and we can then measure how much those relationships have improved (or degraded) at the end of a public relations initiative. It allows us to make numerical observations such as "85% of Infiniti owners say that they would purchase an Infiniti again." For instance, a strategic report on public relations management for the car manufacturer Infiniti might state, "After our campaign, 28% of new car buyers were familiar with this option, meaning that we created a 17% increase in awareness among the new car buyer public." Other information gathered might report on purchasing intentions, significant features of a new vehicle to that public, brand reputation variables, and so forth. Three months ago, 11% of new car buyers were familiar with the G35 all-wheel-drive option. By comparing the numbers in each group before and after, quantitative research enables us to determine the extent of change that can be attributed to public relations activities.

The population8 is the whole population that you want to analyze or draw conclusions about in quantitative research. The population may consist of women over 40, Republicans, Democrats, buyers of a rival product, or any other group you want to research. You would choose a sample9 of that demographic to get in touch with and ask questions of. Probability samples10 provide the greatest statistical metrics of generalizability because they may be randomly selected from a list of the population. Participants in a random sample11 are chosen at random and have an equal probability of getting chosen. You are aware that there are certain variations in your population, but a random sample ought to represent the whole of viewpoints inside it. The margin of error is reduced and the researcher may be more certain that the sample is a true representation of the whole population the higher the sample size (number of respondents).

Other sampling techniques, referred to as nonprobability samples, may be used to satisfy project or issue requirements while preventing generalization. For example, a convenience sample is selected from the population that is easiest to examine, such as by asking survey takers at a mall to complete a form. A snowball sample is an additional strategy where the researcher asks a survey respondent to suggest the next possible respondent to finish the survey. You get a purposive sample when you look for a certain demographic. Although these techniques don't allow for population generalizability, they are sometimes less costly than random sample techniques and might nonetheless provide the kind of data you need to address your research topic.

One of the main advantages of quantitative research is that it helps you identify your target audiences and learn where they receive their information, how many of them hold certain opinions, and which messages most strongly resonate with them. Publics are divided into very detailed segments using demographic criteria. Gender, education, race, occupation, place of residence, yearly household income, political and religious affiliations, and family or household size are examples of demographics. Once these data are gathered, cross-tabulating the data with attitude and opinion variables makes it simple to identify patterns. These cross-tabulations provide highly targeted audiences that may be reached with future communications in the languages and channels of their choice [5], [6]. A voting public that you could approach to support individualized health insurance would be identified, for instance, if you were conducting public relations research for a health insurance company and cross-tabulated data with survey demographics. This public would be White males, highly educated and professional, living in the Southeast United States, having an annual household income above $125,000, typically voting conservatively and holding some religious beliefs, having an average household size of 3.8 people, and strongly agreeing with the following message: "Health insurance should be an individual choice, not the responsibility of government."

In public relations management, segmenting publics in this way is routine. Public relations managers are able to determine who will support and oppose their company as well as what messages and values each public responds to by segmenting their audience. Public relations specialists can establish rapport with these groups after conducting research to identify them. This will enable them to carry out informal research, gain a deeper understanding of their perspectives, and support the representation of those publics' values and preferences in organizational decision-making and policy formation.

Research using Qualitative Methods

Qualitative research is the second main kind of research methodology that is often used in the public relations sector. Although qualitative research16 produces comprehensive, "quality" data that enables us to fully comprehend public opinion, it cannot be statistically broadly applied. (The qualitative research techniques often used in public relations are listed below.) Because qualitative research enables us to fully understand the experiences, values, and points of view of our audiences, it is very important. It also gives us plenty of quotations to use in our strategy papers as examples or proof, and sometimes it even inspires catchphrases or content for public relations campaigns.

When it comes to responding to "How" or "Why" inquiries posed by public relations professionals, qualitative research excels. With this kind of study design, the investigator might ask participants to clarify their decision-making processes, belief systems, values, and other related areas. It enables researchers to delve into complex subjects in order to comprehend the meanings that participants attribute to certain ideas and the meanings that underlie them. For instance, when a participant is asked, "What does the concept of liberty mean to you?" the researcher may obtain a thorough response. However, we would anticipate that each participant would provide a different answer, especially when questioning an American as opposed to a Chinese or Iranian person, various conceptions may be linked with liberty. Such nuanced understandings are crucial for developing communications that appeal to those particular publics of various nationalities as well as for incorporating public values and ideas into organizational strategy.

Qualitative research is often used by public relations professionals to bolster quantitative conclusions. Understanding the opinions of certain publics and asking them to expound on values or ideas that shone out in quantitative analysis are two goals of qualitative research. A focus group member could be asked to agree or disagree with a statement after it has been read to them, along with an explanation of their reasoning and thinking process, in the event that quantitative research indicates a high degree of agreement with a certain assertion. By doing so, qualitative researchers are able to get a much deeper understanding of intricate thinking and difficulties than they could ever hope to from survey findings alone [7], [8].

Utilizing qualitative research may sometimes provide facts that researchers were not aware they need. For example, a focus group might take an unexpected course, and comments that come up during the conversation could not make it into the researcher's survey form. Qualitative research may reveal previously undiscovered facts or novel viewpoints that are eventually very helpful to public relations' comprehension of the problems affecting the public. Additionally, participants in qualitative research are free to express themselves without having to adopt the language that the researchers have chosen. This advantage often leads to a deeper comprehension that produces messaging that are significantly more impactful than when public relations professionals try to create public opinions just via quantitative research. Building a more respectful connection with a public may frequently be achieved by public relations by using the representative language of that audience. The word "companion animal," for example, is often used by animal rights advocates in place of the term "pet," and the American Veterinary Medical Association and Purina may find this information to be of utmost importance.

Methods Combination/Triangulation

It is obvious that qualitative and quantitative research both have special and complimentary qualities. In order to thoroughly understand publics and problems, public relations managers should, wherever feasible, combine these two research approaches. Mixed method research involves the use of both of these research techniques together, and researchers usually agree that this approach produces the most trustworthy study findings [9], [10]. To fully comprehend significant topics, it is important to integrate as many approaches as is practical. Mixed method research incorporates both quantitative and qualitative approach; an example would be combining many focus groups from different cities with interviews with significant leaders and a quantitative public poll. Triangulation refers to the process of using two or more research methodologies to determine the public's perception of a given topic from several points of view.

CONCLUSION

Public Relations Management emerges as a pivotal function for organizations seeking success and resilience in the contemporary business landscape. This study reinforces the central role of PR in shaping perceptions, navigating challenges, and fostering positive relationships with stakeholders. It underscores that the effectiveness of PR is intricately linked to research, which serves as the strategic cornerstone of modern PR management. By conducting thorough research, PR practitioners can not only anticipate and address challenges but also engage in two-way communication with diverse publics, align messages with audience needs, and strategically manage media relations. The study debunks misconceptions about PR being solely reliant on instinct and experience, emphasizing the indispensable nature of research for informed decision-making. The goals of research in PR are outlined, ranging from running campaigns with clear objectives to functioning as part of an organization's strategic management. The discussion on quantitative and qualitative research methods underscores their respective strengths and applications in understanding target audiences and shaping effective communication strategies. Ultimately, the study advocates for a holistic approach to research in PR, combining both quantitative and qualitative methods through methods triangulation, to provide a comprehensive understanding of public perceptions. As organizations continue to navigate an increasingly complex and interconnected world, the significance of PR management, supported by robust research, becomes even more crucial for building and maintaining a resilient public image.

REFERENCES:

[1] M. Nchabeleng, C. J. Botha, and C. A. Bisschoff, “The uses, benefits and limitations of social media for public relations in South African non-governmental organisations,” *J. Bus. Retail Manag. Res.*, 2018, doi: 10.24052/jbrmr/v12is03/art-02.

[2] A. W. Solihah, I. N. Musiasa, and M. Shihab, “Aktivitas Public Relations Pengelola Situs Pariwisata Tanjung Lesung Untuk Meningkatkan Jumlah Wisatawan,” *J. Komun. Glob.*, 2018, doi: 10.24815/jkg.v7i1.10528.

[3] M. S. Niell, “Change Management Communication: Barriers, Strategies & Messaging,” *Public Relat. J.*, 2018.

[4] A. Santa Soriano, C. Lorenzo Álvarez, and R. M. Torres Valdés, “Bibliometric analysis to identify an emerging research area: Public Relations Intelligence—a challenge to strengthen technological observatories in the network society,” *Scientometrics*, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s11192-018-2651-8.

[5] C. dos S. Ribeiro, M. Y. van Roode, G. B. Haringhuizen, M. P. Koopmans, E. Claassen, and L. H. M. van de Burgwal, “How ownership rights over microorganisms affect infectious disease control and innovation: A root-cause analysis of barriers to data sharing as experienced by key stakeholders,” *PLoS One*, 2018, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0195885.

[6] J. Raven, H. Wurie, and S. Witter, “Health workers’ experiences of coping with the Ebola epidemic in Sierra Leone’s health system: A qualitative study,” *BMC Health Serv. Res.*, 2018, doi: 10.1186/s12913-018-3072-3.

[7] A. N. Salma, “Strategi Komunikasi Krisis pada Era Digital: Penggunaan Internet Dari Sebelum Hingga Sesudah Krisis,” *J. Penelit. Pers dan Komun. Pembang.*, 2018, doi: 10.46426/jp2kp.v22i1.71.

[8] M. S. Neill, “Change Management Communication: Barriers, Strategies & Messaging,” *Public Relat. J.*, 2018.

[9] L. A. White, J. D. Forester, and M. E. Craft, “Dynamic, spatial models of parasite transmission in wildlife: Their structure, applications and remaining challenges,” *Journal of Animal Ecology*. 2018. doi: 10.1111/1365-2656.12761.

[10] V. I. Ilies, “Strategic Personal Branding for Students and Young Professionals,” *Cross-Cultural Manag. J.*, 2018.

CHAPTER 8

A BRIEF DISCUSSION ON PUBLIC RELATIONS PROCESS RACE

Anand Kopare, Associate Professor
Department of ISME, ATLAS SkillTech University, Mumbai, India
Email Id-anand.kopare@atlasuniversity.edu.in

ABSTRACT:

This study explores the strategic use of public relations as a management tool to achieve organizational goals. Emphasizing the importance of precise information about the circumstances, audiences, and outcomes, the study outlines a four-phase process for developing a strategic public relations campaign. The phases involve conducting formative research to understand the situation, planning strategic actions to address the identified issue or opportunity, implementing communication strategies, and assessing the campaign's outcome. The study also discusses the use of SWOT analysis, situation analysis, and goal-setting in developing effective public relations strategies. By providing a comprehensive framework, the study aims to guide public relations professionals in making informed and strategic decisions to support organizational missions. This chapter examined the method used to carry out successful strategic PR campaigns. The procedure is quite well-organized. It recommends doing formal research for both formative and evaluative reasons. It necessitates tying communication initiatives to plans, objectives, and goals. Public relations campaigns and other organized initiatives are ideal for this method to be used in. You may be wondering how it applies to routine duties like composing a speech for an employee meeting or answering a reporter's question. These stages fit into regular tasks as well since they are necessary for strategic public relations.

KEYWORDS:

Communication, Public Relations, Planning, Strategic.

INTRODUCTION

The most effective use of public relations is as a strategic management tool. The aim of strategic public relations is to accomplish goals and objectives that support an organization's entire mission and purpose. Public relations professionals must possess precise information about the circumstances they deal with, the audiences they address, the success of their outreach initiatives, and the program's overall influence on establishing and preserving connections with important stakeholders without whom the organization would be unable to achieve its goals in order to be strategically effective. Public relations professionals might be tempted to begin with techniques like press releases, blogs, events, and so forth, but these should be chosen after doing research to assist guide the function's overall aims and strategies. If not, the efforts put into these tactics could be in vain.

Developing a Public Relations Campaign's Strategic Plan

The four main phases in this process include defining the issue or situation via research, creating goals and strategies to deal with it, putting the plans into action, and assessing the outcome of the public relations campaign. The process is often described by acronyms, such as Jerry Hendrix's ROPE (research, objectives, programming, evaluation) or John Marston's RACE (research, action planning, communication, evaluation) Marston (1979). You'll see that the procedure always begins with research and concludes with assessment. These acronyms are simpler to remember, but the four processes are basically as follows:

1. Conduct research to assess the organization's current state and precisely identify the issue or opportunity so that public relations initiatives may effectively target the root of the problem rather than merely its symptoms.
2. Create a strategic action plan that tackles the problem that was first examined. This entails establishing a broad aim, quantifiable targets, distinct publics, focused strategy, and successful approaches.
3. Carry out the strategy using activities and communication means that advance the goals.
4. Use assessment techniques to determine whether you were effective in achieving the objectives [1], [2].

Step 1: Conduct Formative Research to Examine the Circumstance

The process starts with an analysis of the opportunity or issue. Formal or informal research is conducted in order to get data that most accurately depicts the situation. Formative research is study that is utilized to comprehend the circumstances and assist in developing plans. A natural gas business could be thinking about building a new pipeline, for instance. To find out what challenges it could encounter, it has to do research. Exist any sensitive or protected areas for the environment nearby? Exist any well-organized neighborhood associations that would be against the project?

Professionals in community relations are well acquainted with the NIMBY (Not In My Back Yard) mentality. Are there any respectable alternatives for building the pipeline? Other paths? different techniques for drilling? different periods for construction? Before the first shovel hits the ground, all of these issues need to be taken into account. "The systematic gathering of information to describe and understand situations and check out assumptions about publics and public relations consequences" is what Cutlip, Center, and Broom define as research.Cutlip, Broom, and Center (2006). A significant portion of this data could already be in existence and have been gathered by other organizations. Secondary research refers to research that has already been done. The Interstate Natural Gas Association of America, for instance, has polled the public on pipeline firms' communication strategies and public sentiment. A survey of scholarly and professional literature may also provide research on NIMBY and other social behaviors. Using secondary sources is the most affordable method of acquiring background information.

For your needs, you may need to gather your own data or do original research. Interviews or focus groups with neighborhood associations or environmental organizations could be necessary. Surveys with nearby businesses and households may be something to think about (see Chapter 8, "Public Relations Research: The Key to Strategy"). The information required to completely comprehend the issue might be gathered in a variety of ways. Examining past news reports on pipelines in this area may help you get a sense of how the media may present this issue. It would also be a good idea to do another examination of pipeline-related blogs and other social media. Once again, the information is being gathered to aid in comprehending the circumstances.

Applying a SWOT evaluation

The SWOT analysis, which stands for strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats, is a widely used method for scenario analysis. This dissects a problem by examining both external and internal aspects that may be involved before formulating a plan of action. The organization's strengths and weaknesses are internal variables. The opportunities and threats that are present in the organization's environment are considered external elements.

Examining the organization's own strengths and weaknesses is the first step. For instance, the energy firm could discover that it has excellent working connections with the media, has a culture that encourages innovation, is financially stable, and has high employee morale. It could also discover that it has poor ties to neighborhood and environmental organizations, fosters a culture of trust in its judgment to the point of verging on arrogance, and has historically allocated little funds to community connections. This data contributes to the understanding of potential approaches that must be used in relation to the building of a new pipeline.

The company is often in this predicament due to external events, opportunities, and threats. Regarding the energy firm, it perceives a chance to drill into a fresh deposit of methane gas and provide that energy to its customers. Since it can keep producing electricity to satisfy customer demand, the energy firm sees this as a win-win scenario. It must, however, also evaluate the potential risks, which include likely legal proceedings by rival organizations that may result in injunctions from the courts. Additional risks might include unfavorable media coverage of the project, which could harm the initiative's brand and reduce public support. You may combine the internal and exterior components of the SWOT analysis to generate potential strategy ideas.

The main goal of SO strategies is to take advantage of external possibilities by leveraging organizational strengths. In order to offset external threats, ST tactics also make advantage of organizational strengths. To be better equipped to seize outside possibilities, WO strategies target and strengthen organizational deficiencies. In order to protect against external threats, WT strategies aim to address organizational vulnerabilities [3], [4].

Putting Together a Situation Analysis

It's time to draft a two-paragraph statement summarizing the circumstances whenever you've gathered enough facts and knowledge to really comprehend the main contributing components rather than simply the surface situations. Using the information gathered from your investigation, the first paragraph should reframe the circumstances. Emphasize the knowledge obtained from both official and informal research. The issues, challenges, and possible roadblocks to a resolution should be outlined in the second paragraph. These need to have been mentioned in the study as well, and it ought to have assisted you in coming up with suggestions on how to overcome these obstacles. For instance, the energy company would take advantage of the chance to offer its clients a new energy source by enlisting the help of innovation and technology to deliver natural gas to customers in an efficient and effective manner, asking its staff to serve as community ambassadors, and collaborating with the media to highlight the project's benefits. It would also have to acknowledge that effective resistance from environmental organizations and neighborhood associations has caused past pipeline projects to be postponed and, in some instances, completely stopped, and that before to commencing the project, it has to strengthen its community relations efforts.

A brief one-sentence issue/opportunity statement2 that summarizes the main points of the circumstance and lists the repercussions of ignoring the problem or opportunity is crafted from the descriptive paragraphs. For instance, in the hypothetical utility pipeline scenario, the company needs to improve relationships with the community through action that will remove or lessen obstacles to building the pipeline. This is because environmental and neighborhood groups have been instrumental in stopping pipeline projects in the past, and the pipeline route passes through sensitive regions.

Step 2: Planning Strategic Actions

The issue or opportunity stated in the problem/opportunity statement should be the main emphasis of the strategic strategy. The problem/opportunity statement is first transformed into a goal. "To use communication and actions that improve relationships with key members of the community in order to successfully complete a pipeline that delivers newly found methane gas to customers" might be the energy company's objective. You'll see that this objective statement allows for flexibility in the pipeline plans. The ultimate objective is to develop a pipeline, and in order to do so, the business could need to modify the pipeline's structure or routes. Writing objectives that imply the audience will do what you want them to should be done with caution. Publics are inherently uncontrollable, which might set up the organization for failure. Rather, the emphasis should be on the actions that may be taken to accomplish the objective, such acting and communicating in a manner that gains the support or permission of these publics.

DISCUSSION

The strategic plan is guided by the aim, and the goals give the precise, quantifiable results that are required to achieve the goal. A well-crafted aim satisfies the following requirements: it must be a goal in and of itself, rather than a means to an end; it must be quantifiable; it must have a deadline; and it must specify the target audience for the desired result. Goal rather than means to a goal. A result that advances the aim should be considered an objective. These goals have three potential outcomes: behavior (create behaviors, reinforce good behaviors, alter negative behaviors), attitudinal (create attitudes, reinforce positive attitudes, change negative attitudes), and cognitive (awareness, understanding, remembering). Lindenmann (2003) defined "Output Objectives" as the reverse of these result objectives. which serve as a means to a goal. They consist of the outreach initiatives to accomplish the goals, including messaging placement in prominent media. In actuality, they are methods rather than aims (more on this later).

Quantifiable

Setting goals also makes public relations experts more responsible for their work. Public relations should only use methods and techniques that really advance overarching business objectives. Measurable goals sometimes call for a comparison figure, like 65% of people knowing about a program or product. If the present degree of consciousness is unknown, then it is not possible to create a target to enhance awareness by 20%. For this reason, formative research is required in order to create standards. It is typical to set a goal level, such as "increase awareness to 85%," if there isn't an existing benchmark. The issue with this is that, before to the campaign, you are unaware of how near you are to that number. If your awareness level is already at or above 85%, this may be a simple goal to accomplish; if it is just at 20%, it could be quite challenging.

Duration

When will the goal be accomplished? It cannot be held liable if a deadline is not stated. Determine who the audience is. Prior to connecting overarching goals to the public, it is a good idea to identify them. This makes it easier to consider which audiences are involved in the goal. A public must be identified for an aim to be really quantifiable, however, since various publics will have varying degrees of knowledge, attitudes, and actions. One goal may be to have more people show up to meetings on employee perks, for instance. It's possible that research will reveal that middle management, where a large number of individuals have a bad attitude about meetings and don't support staff, is the source of the jammed messages. Increasing employee awareness may be the emphasis of one goal, while improving middle management's positive attitudes could be the focus of another. This, of course, also implies that you should examine your meetings and determine how to make them better. The aims need to promote overarching company objectives, including augmenting revenue, elevating brand equity, preserving workforce, enhancing corporate social responsibility, or diminishing legal disputes. Additionally, they must to be composed considering the potential results for public relations.

During the following six months, increase product X sales by 20% among younger customers (ages 18–24). However, a number of factors, such cost, availability, and product quality, affect sales of the product and are beyond the purview of public relations. You can be setting yourself up for failure if the public relations campaign cannot be clearly shown to be the factor that changed sales (for example, favorable press in a particular market that increased sales while all other components of the marketing mix stayed the same). Furthermore, due of the other crucial factors, even if sales do rise, you won't be able to claim the increase in sales. Salespeople, quality assurance, and marketing would all need to share the credit. Public relations may help achieve this bigger objective by raising customer awareness, influencing attitudes, and perhaps even arranging for product testing. These initiatives ought to help boost sales as long as the product is accessible to customers, of good quality, and at a fair price.

Within the next six months, raise young customers' (18–24) knowledge of product X by 20%. Generally speaking, the various levels of goals follow a hierarchy. Three levels of aims were distinguished by Lindenmann: outputs, outtakes, and outcomes. As previously said, the output goals center on how well meeting methods work, including the quantity of messages distributed in the media, the size of the audience that got the message, the proportion of positive messages in the stories, and so on. Measuring output goals is beneficial since it offers a reliable gauge of the effectiveness of the strategy's implementation. But since they are methods rather than goals, they are not regarded as aims in the sense that this section defines them. For instance, an output goal would be, "In the next three months, place thirty stories about the product in prestigious newspapers." This is a means to an end—raising awareness—that might be assessed based on the message's production rather than its effect.

As a result, the strategies section should be where output targets belong. The main goals of the outtake objectives are to raise the key message points' level of awareness, comprehension, and retention. It matters considerably more that the message was understood by the intended audience than that it was distributed. For instance, you may distribute a message to 10,000 workers via an employee newsletter. You should be more concerned with the message's effect than with how many individuals it reached [5], [6].

The four outcome goals are perhaps the most important, yet they are also the hardest to accomplish. Let's take an example where the goal of the state highway patrol's public relations campaign is to raise awareness of the value of wearing a seatbelt and reduce the number of deaths that result from failure to do so. When this conduct is adopted, a diffusion process takes place. First and foremost, drivers must be aware of and comprehend the benefits of seatbelt use for safety. After that, kids must have a favorable mindset toward fastening seatbelts. Finally, it is hoped that this upbeat outlook will result in more people wearing seatbelts. However, there is a diminishing measure of accomplishment at every level since people are not always the logical creatures, we would want them to be. Knowing what is best for them does not guarantee that they will like it. "But seatbelt discomfort is a problem." "What if, following an accident, the seatbelt traps me inside the car?" "My clothes wrinkle from seatbelts." A person may have a good attitude toward a problem, yet their behavior may not match their attitude. It could be due to malfunction, habit, or laziness. Therefore, a greater degree of attitude (50%) and awareness (80%) are required in order to enhance behaviors by 30%.

It's time to focus on tactics once the public relations program's aim and quantifiable targets have been determined. Strategies provide the tools via which goals may be accomplished. There are certain components that this step has to have. Start by determining the goals for each public (connect the approach to an aim). Second, divide viewers into groups according to shared traits. Third, develop communication plans that are centered on the publics' self-interest. Fourth, decide how statements or actions will be communicated to the public.

Connect Strategy to Goal

Too often, public relations campaigns have neglected the strategic phase of goal-setting in favor of being purely tactical. Professionals in public relations are action takers who often like to start the action early. But far too many approaches have been used without consideration for strategy, rather out of habit ("We always send out press releases"). The action's connection to the organization's actual requirements is what distinguishes strategic public relations. If you have a very great strategy, but it doesn't help you achieve any goals, you should give it some serious thought. Too many resources are often squandered on innovative solutions that don't fully address the problems at hand. On the other hand, strategizing may spark an original thought that was overlooked in the objectives stage, necessitating a reassessment of the goals. However, a strategy must not to be implemented if it is not connected to a crucial result.

Divide Up Your Audiences

It is important to distinguish between all groups within publics based on shared traits including psychographics, geographics, and demographics. Factors like gender, income, education level, and ethnicity are all included in the category of demographics 5. It's possible that women and men have quite different connections to the problem. Graduates from colleges may see the world differently than those from high schools. Geographics6 uses their location to characterize your audience. A person who lives a mile or more away from a pipeline may feel differently about energy corporations than someone who lives a thousand feet away. Psychographics7 divide up your audience into groups according to their lives and beliefs. Seatbelts may mean quite different things to individuals who are unmarried, daring, drive fast vehicles, and spend a large portion of their income on entertainment than they do to those who drive minivans, have young children, and invest most of their money in stocks. Segmenting your target audiences is crucial since it will enable you to determine their self-interests.

Create Self-Interest Based Communication

Communications that are relevant to their needs, aspirations, and beliefs are received with more attention by people. Based on research, you should consider what your target audience values and cares about. By understanding the major publics' demographic, regional, and/or psychographic characteristics, you may craft a message that resonates with them and helps them relate to your program. For young, daring drivers, you may, for instance, demonstrate how someone wearing a seatbelt keeps securely in their seat on a curved road, while the one without one is sliding about and losing control. On the other hand, child-oriented seatbelt safety messaging would be of more interest to a soccer mom. The identification of self-interests might lead to the creation of a key message that will guide communication efforts. If they are intelligent and catchy enough, they might become slogans. The "Click it or Ticket" program incentivizes cooperation by threatening police surveillance. It might be more impactful to have sports adventurers, like racing car racers or stunt drivers, convey to the next generation of daring drivers the need of wearing seatbelts [7], [8].

Select Channels of Communication

The last component of the plan is figuring out the media or channel that will allow you to communicate with your target audience. Mass media outlets might include radio, television, and newspaper content. Other mediated channels like e-mail, blogs, or Twitter may be used to spread them. Additionally, they may be face-to-face (interpersonal) communication, mediated slide displays, and town hall meetings. A group of individuals, often opinion leaders like teachers, scientists, physicians, or other specialists, may sometimes be the channel. For instance, teachers may be handed information packages to use in their classrooms with pupils if we wanted to reach parents with our seatbelt campaign. These may be the kind of items that parents could do at home. Public service announcements on the radio and billboards might reinforce the information included in these kits and reach parents while they are traveling. In order to reinforce the message, the target audience is often addressed via a number of points of contact.

Therefore, one tactic for the seatbelt campaign may be as follows: "Through instructional materials that demand interaction between parents and their enrolled elementary school children, appeal to young parents' concern for family safety." There are often several tactics for every target audience and goal. The tactic is the most inventive component of the strategic planning phase. The particular instruments and activities of communication that are used to carry out the strategy are called tactics. The components of the teaching package, like as crossword puzzles, coloring books, or interactive games, would be the strategies in the seatbelt campaign. In addition, there would be additional things including social media apps, Internet websites, billboards, and PSAs. Developing strategies that break through the deluge of messages vying for the audience's attention is the difficult part. During this phase, a lot of brainstorming is done to produce the most original and ingenious designs, messages, and activities. But there's also a risk that you'll get too imaginative and forget why you're doing the approaches. Always assess your tactics in light of predetermined strategy and goals. This is a fundamental concept.

Step 3: Implementing Communication

Effective public relations initiatives include action and communication. In public relations, like in other business fields, the proverb "actions speak louder than words" holds true. An organization may sometimes need to respond or act before it can speak. For instance, it may not be sufficient to attempt more imaginative and compelling messaging if staff members are not attending training courses. The seminars may need to be more engaging and relevant for the staff members in order to provide them information that might influence behavior. Companies should not only rely on stakeholders to act in ways that advance the interests of the business; sometimes, the organization must alter its own activities and behaviors in order to strengthen these vital connections.

The planning calendar and the budget are two further elements of the public relations process that are often prepared during the communication and action stage. Following the determination of the strategies, it is advisable to use a calendaring tool, such as a Gantt chart, to plan their development and implementation (see Figure 9.2, "Sample Gantt Chart (Numbers Within Bars Are Days to Accomplish Task)"). A Gantt chart is a kind of horizontal flow chart that shows how each activity should start and finish in relation to all other activities. Calculating the expenses for creating, disseminating, and implementing the strategies is also necessary. Starting with the wish list of every technique, you can choose to narrow it down to the ones that will provide the highest return on investment. When you weigh a tactic's ability to achieve your goals against its costs, it may be abandoned.

Step Four: Assessment

When assessing a public relations campaign's efficacy, Paine contends that four issues need to be taken into consideration:

1. Establish your standard.
2. Decide on a measuring device.
3. Analyze data, come to findings that can be put into practice, and provide suggestions.
4. Adjust and remeasure as necessary.

You should already have identified your audiences and set goals for them if you have followed the processes in the public relations process. Should your goals be quantifiable, you will already have the standards by which to measure your program's effectiveness. A baseline against which to assess progress has been established if the goal is to raise awareness by forty percent. The benchmark makes a comparison between the present and the past. Additionally, Paine advises comparing the collected data with those of other companies, including significant rivals. A comparative analysis greatly increases the relevance of the findings. To calculate what is referred to as share of voice8, press coverage may be compared to that of the competition rather than the amount of coverage that has been attained.

The instruments that will most effectively assist in measuring against specified criteria are chosen in light of this examination. Typically, the same instruments that were used to create the benchmark data are applied. If standards were established via primary research, then the same techniques are used to assess success. A survey to gauge staff knowledge and attitude is a good starting point, and a follow-up survey is the logical assessment instrument. Counting attendance after the public relations campaign is the right measuring method if you utilized attendance at staff meetings to set behavior goals. Primary research, as previously said, is the most costly and expertly required method of determining the true effect of a public relations campaign on specified end goals, such as changes in behavior, attitudes, and awareness [9], [10].

The output goals are measured using what are perhaps the most widely used assessment instruments in public relations. The efficacy of communication output may be measured in a number of ways, although some are more useful than others. Among the first techniques was clip counting9. An internet message, television story, or article that mentions the business or product is called a clip. Either gather your own clips or use a clipping service that you may rent. The quantity of clips collected is analyzed after a predefined amount of time. This metric is one approach to keep an eye on media coverage and is the most straightforward and practical way to quantify production. Furthermore, it is the least educational as you have no idea what the clips imply (they are only numbered, not evaluated), other than maybe boosting the egos of some top management by putting their names in the press.

CONCLUSION

This study underscores the critical role of strategic thinking in public relations and emphasizes the need for a systematic approach in developing and executing campaigns. By advocating for research-driven decision-making, clear goal-setting, and audience segmentation, the study encourages practitioners to move beyond tactical approaches such as press releases and events. Instead, it promotes a focus on understanding the root of issues or opportunities, developing precise goals, and implementing targeted strategies. The four-phase process presented in this study serves as a roadmap for achieving strategic effectiveness in public relations campaigns. As the field continues to evolve, embracing strategic management principles will be essential for public relations professionals to navigate complex challenges and contribute to the overall success of their organizations.

REFERENCES:

[1] J. A. Windle and K. Muniz, “Constructions of race in Brazil: resistance and resignification in teacher education,” *Int. Stud. Sociol. Educ.*, 2018, doi: 10.1080/09620214.2018.1444504.

[2] A. S. Bondy, “The (dis)advantages of sector-level bargaining: Outsourcing of cleaning work and the segmentation of the Israeli industrial relations system,” *J. Ind. Relations*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/0022185618769956.

[3] N. Nichols, “The Social Organization of Access to Justice for Youth in ‘Unsafe’ Urban Neighbourhoods,” *Soc. Leg. Stud.*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/0964663917703179.

[4] V. O. Pechatnov and V. V. Pechatnov, “America’s changing religious landscape,” *World Econ. Int. Relations*, 2018, doi: 10.20542/0131-2227-2018-62-9-48-59.

[5] S. A. Altukhova, “‘Cultural racism’ in the UK and laws on racial relations in the second half of the 1960s,” *Vestn. Tomsk. Gos. Univ. Istor.*, 2018, doi: 10.17223/19988613/53/7.

[6] E. V. Bragin, “Risk factors which cause senile cataract evolvement: Outline,” *Health Risk Analysis*. 2018. doi: 10.21668/health.risk/2018.1.13.eng.

[7] L. M. Nordlund, E. L. Jackson, M. Nakaoka, J. Samper-Villarreal, P. Beca-Carretero, and J. C. Creed, “Seagrass ecosystem services – What’s next?,” *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.09.014.

[8] A. O. Awobamise and Y. Jarrar, “Strategic Public Relations in Post-Gaddaffi Libya for Non-Profit Organizations: A Focus on the Rebuilding Efforts of Bokra and Attawasul,” *Int. Multidiscip. J. Soc. Sci.*, 2018, doi: 10.17583/rimcis.2018.3330.

[9] K. A. Johnston and A. B. Lane, “The role of time and social churn in impact assessment: An engagement-based model,” *Environ. Impact Assess. Rev.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.eiar.2018.04.009.

[10] E. Bild, K. Pfeffer, M. Coler, O. Rubin, and L. Bertolini, “Public space users’ soundscape evaluations in relation to their activities. An Amsterdam-based study,” *Front. Psychol.*, 2018, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01593.

CHAPTER 9

EXPLORING THE MULTIFACETED LANDSCAPE OF PUBLIC RELATIONS

Jaimine Vaishnav, Assistant Professor
Department of ISME, ATLAS SkillTech University, Mumbai, India
Email Id-jaimine.vaishnav@atlasuniversity.edu.in

ABSTRACT:

This study delves into the diverse functions encompassed by the field of public relations, focusing on four primary areas: corporate public relations, public relations agencies, politics and public affairs, and activist/NGO/non-profit public relations. Emphasizing the strategic management approach, the study explores the inner workings of these functions within organizations. The specific roles and responsibilities of public relations in corporate settings, including media relations, investor relations, and crisis management, are discussed. Additionally, the study examines the dynamics of public relations agencies, their varied services, and the evolving trends shaping the industry. The roles of public affairs and government relations, often used interchangeably, are explored, addressing their distinctive yet overlapping functions in communicating with governmental entities. The typical roles that public relations play in an organization in this chapter. Corporate settings were covered, along with the significance of having experience and industry knowledge, navigating the organizational structure to gather information and be able to advise management most effectively, and having access to and advising the dominant coalition of function managers who frequently sit at the management table. The fast-paced nature of client consultations, collaboration, strategic advice, the news media's evolving relationship to social media platforms like Facebook and Twitter, and current developments impacting agencies were all highlighted in reference to agency settings. The definition and discussion of public affairs and government relations focused on each field's contribution to the handling and debate of public policy concerns.

KEYWORDS:

Government, Management, Organization, Public Affair, Public Relation.

INTRODUCTION

There are many different kinds of functions that fall within the broad discipline of public relations. The public relations profession may be divided into four main functional responsibility areas, or distinct locations:

1. Public relations for corporations
2. Public relations agency
3. Politics and public affairs
4. Activist/NGO/Non-profit Public Relations

Although these main functional domains are different from one another, they all use the strategic management approach. We promised greater detail on the inner workings of public relations sub functions inside an organization in the last chapter, which provided a basic overview of their roles. After going over the strategic management of public relations in detail, we will now go on to cover how public relations functions in regular agency and corporate contexts, as well as how it relates to public affairs, government, and nonprofit, NGO, and activist public relations [1], [2].

Public Relations for Businesses

Fulfilling regulatory or compliance standards is not the communication function's core objective, in contrast to other corporate departments like legal and finance. Because of this, the function is seldom set up consistently across organizations. Comparably sized businesses might differ greatly in the resources and personnel allocated to communication. Depending on the kind of organization, reporting lines and functional duties also vary. Businesses that prioritize developing and maintaining strong consumer brands, for instance, could dedicate a lot more staff members and attention to the communication function than firms that just do business with other businesses. A business that sells directly to customers needs a larger media relations staff since it may handle hundreds of calls from trade and mainstream media every day. Staff members will be asked to coordinate customer events, press conferences, and satellite media tours with nearby television stations when a new product is introduced. While there may sometimes be comparable demands for firms who sell their goods to other businesses rather than to customers directly, they are often far less in scope. Certain industries, like packaged goods, entertainment, fashion, and travel, prioritize communication more than industries with longer sales cycles, like manufacturing, engineering, and construction. Additionally, newer industries like computers have a tendency to depend more on social media and public relations initiatives than on conventional advertising avenues.

The senior leader of the communication team may report to the head of legal, marketing, or human resources in some firms, but in many, that person directly reports to the CEO. Irrespective of the precise reporting arrangement, the function has the primary responsibility for handling media relations and often takes the lead in fostering employee communication in almost all organizations. In general, the chief communications officer (CCO) and his or her staff oversee public relations tasks include managing leadership meetings, press conferences, corporate events, product launches, and major employee gatherings. Managing investor relations, or corresponding with the firm's shareholders and financial analysts who track and report on the company, is another duty assigned to this job in some organizations. When it comes to the firm's disclosure of its financial performance, the investor relations department of a publicly listed company is subject to certain securities requirements. These activities include releasing the company's quarterly and annual financial results and promptly informing shareholders of any event that fits the definition of materiality1, that is, an event that might have an effect on the share price of the company in either a positive or negative way. The investor relations department collaborates closely with the company's independent audit firm, finance and legal divisions to meet these obligations.

The majority of CCOs would argue that a normal day does not exist. Successful CCOs possess a number of crucial traits, including adaptability, patience, analytical skills, and the capacity to stay composed under duress. Every organization deals with potentially harmful problems on a daily basis. Like a cook keeping an eye on numerous simmering pots on the stove, the CCO must continuously monitor these issues. The goal of this endeavor is to prevent any of these problems from developing into serious emergencies. The pervasiveness of the Internet has made this task more difficult. With a few computer keystrokes, unhappy customers, disgruntled employees, or disappointed shareholders can now publicly voice their concerns thanks to the Web. Here are some of the primary duties and areas of concentration for any CCO, despite the fact that the corporate public relations role is incredibly complex and varies greatly by industry [3], [4].

Duties and priorities for the chief communications officer

While not all organizations are or want to be newsworthy, the majority of larger organizations aim to establish long-term connections with regional, national, and worldwide media outlets. These connections make it easier for information to reach publics outside of the organization and vice versa. The quantity of press coverage the company receives determines the size of its media relations team. In comparison to a smaller organization situated in a small town, a company with a large headquarters in a major city is likely to have a more active relationship with the press. Due to their level of controversy or public interest, some industries generate more media attention than others. Organizations with highly visible chief executive officers (CEOs) also tend to attract more press interest, and many CEOs have a presence on social media forums, such as Facebook or Twitter, to facilitate public interest. The CCO normally has some hand in managing these communications, as well as preparing executives for major media appearances, key industry speeches, employee meetings, testifying before government entities, and participating in community events. This facet includes speechwriting, ghost writing op-eds, and rehearsing key messages for media interviews.

Many CCOs are also responsible for supervising internal relations and doing research on employee publics. Though commonly underrated, a company's communication efforts with its own staff may provide the biggest results. Employees often feel they are the last to hear of major developments within their organizations, but the most successful organizations are now placing greater emphasis on keeping employees well informed, conducting an ongoing dialogue with internal publics, and incorporating their views into management policy in a symmetrical manner. Much of the attention in internal communication is now placed on the job of the first line supervisor. When that person performs an excellent job of talking about concerns, people are more ready to pay attention to company wide objectives.

Many corporate CCOs spend a large lot of time dealing with the chief marketing officers (CMOs), or marketing chiefs, of their firms. Although the marketing function typically has main responsibility for managing product brands, the corporate communication function normally handles the corporate or organizational brand, as well as the overall reputation of the business for quality, customer service, and so on. This activity may involve corporate advertising that speaks to the traits and values of the whole firm rather than of a single product or service. It also involves involvement in industrial coalitions, thought leadership forums, and academic committees. Recent study by Stacks and Michaelson revealed parity between public relations communications and advertising messages, implying that public relations should be equally included into the marketing mix alongside, rather than as subordinate to, advertising.

Increasingly, critical messages must be communicated via Web-based channels as that is the source of information for a rising proportion of the audience. Most organizations also operate abroad, meaning that messaging must be customized for multinational audiences. The communication plan must contain proper feedback systems so that the organization understands how successfully important messages have been received and what additional actions must be done to give relevant and helpful material to publics.

CCOs have the substantial duty of problems management, and that may involve crisis and risk management in businesses that are prone to hazards, dangers, or product failure (such as the aviation industry, the automobile industry, medicines, and so on). The key to problems management is delivering good advice to the senior leadership whenever big choices are disputed. In the course of doing business, organizations must make many decisions, and almost all of the significant ones include communication. As was previously said, the CCO and the communication team represent a variety of publics in various ways when these choices are made while they are not present in the room. A corporate communication function that is successful advises the business about possible dangers, gives its publics a consistent voice that decision makers can hear, and assists the organization in putting its plan into practice. A proficient CCO has a comprehensive comprehension of the company's business goals and how the communication department contributes to achieving them.

The greatest counselors are those who make the time to attentively listen to the problems and worries of the publics they represent as well as the other functions to whom they are giving guidance. The communication team looks to study to comprehend these publics' positions. The team gains a deeper understanding of the organization's broad perceptions by workers, customers, shareholders, and others from this study, which was discussed in further detail in a previous chapter. In fact, research enables us to make strategic judgments as opposed to haphazard ones [5], [6].

Last but not least, the CCO spends a lot of time and energy overseeing the public relations team in a daily setting. As with other business activities, attracting and nurturing the greatest personnel is essential to establishing credibility within the company and putting oneself in a position to provide the most insightful advice. CCOs are always looking to hire people who can write and speak well, think critically, and build and maintain strong interpersonal connections with both internal and external audiences. Their ability to listen to workers with empathy and their increased attention on workgroup communication might assist their colleagues become better leaders.

DISCUSSION

The primary goal of the CCO is to improve an organization's interactions with its constituents by assisting it in making better, more informed choices that consider the implications and possible backlash. To do this, the CCO makes use of every resource at their disposal. The CCO collaborates with his or her team to create and disseminate important messages that further the goals of the company in order to carry out this objective. Organizations greatly appreciate corporate communicators who get this objective and can provide measurable outcomes.

Public Relations for Agencies

Apart from their internal departments, the majority of companies, ranging from tiny businesses to large multinational corporations, collaborate with public relations agencies to create and execute communication strategies. Each year, these organizations educate and develop hundreds of new counselors as well as bring in billions of dollars in income. They also employ thousands of counselors.

Definitions of Agencies

Public relations firms come in four main categories. They vary from full-service firms to experts who meet specific needs of clients or organizations. Additionally, they vary from being independently owned agency to being parts of bigger umbrella organizations.

Complete Service Organizations

From conventional media relations and event planning to highly specialized research, training, and social media skills, some of the biggest firms provide a wide range of services. Several of these major advertising agencies, including Fleishman-Hillard, Weber Shandwick, Omnicom, WPP, and Burson Marsteller, are a part of larger media conglomerates. Numerous big organizations have maintained their independence, most notably Edelman.

Agencies for Public Affairs

The primary recognition of organizations like APCO Worldwide comes from their proficiency in public affairs. These organizations concentrate on creating positions of advocacy for or against legislative initiatives, planning grassroots campaigns, advising their clients to lobby Congressmen and other government officials, and taking part in and frequently leading coalitions that unite like-minded individuals.

Services for Strategic Counseling

Kekst, Sard Verbinnen, Abernathy MacGregor, and other experts concentrate particularly on M&A, investor relations, and thwarting hostile takeovers a.k.a. "strategic communication." When an organization chooses to undertake a significant change, such purchasing a different business or divesting a sizable subsidiary, these agencies are called in to support corporate personnel and agencies of record. They are also kept on when a business is in danger of being uninvitedly taken over by another corporation. In hostile takeover bids, it is typical for both sides to retain their rival strategic agency. These are often fought in well reported conflicts that dominate the main news pages of major newspapers for many days. In order to gain power on behalf of their clients, the strategic counselors establish long-lasting connections with a select group of influential mergers and acquisitions (M&A) reporters from The Wall Street Journal, New York Times, and other publications.

Services for Corporate Identity

Experts in corporate identification, such as Landor, FutureBrand, InterBrand, and others, create branding plans and initiatives for businesses and brands. These firms do a great deal of research to create brand platforms for their customers that enhance the way consumers now see brands or goods. They are skilled in name, graphic design, staff brand involvement initiatives, and whole identity systems.

Social Responsibility of Companies

Many organizations have made the decision to focus exclusively on corporate philanthropy initiatives in recent years. They collaborate with their customers to identify areas where their specialties align with universal human needs, including poverty, hunger, the environment, and health. Using the staff, technological know-how, and financial resources of their customers, they create programs that assist in meeting these demands.

Agencies' Trends

Numerous new industry trends are having an impact on all of these organizations, regardless of their specific areas of concentration. The trade group for the sector, the Council of Public Relations Firms, conducted a poll, and the results show that agencies' customers are increasingly outsourcing. Many businesses discover that by employing an outside firm for specific communication operations rather than utilizing internal personnel, they may better control the ebbs and flows of communication activity as pressure on profit margins increases. Council of Public Relations Firms Web site (2009). Organizations may raise the quantity of agency help they get while business is booming and requirements are increasing; in downturns, they can reduce the amount of outside businesses' assistance. A growing number of businesses and agencies are also using virtual teams, which are groups of workers from the client, the agency, and independent contractors that collaborate on a same project (Council of Public Relations Firms Web site, 2009). These teams are often dispersed across workplaces, cities, time zones, and even continents, all of which are linked via the Internet.

It is required of most organizations to provide strategic advice as well as tactical answers that include carrying out initiatives. To properly do this, the agency team has to use in-depth external research that pinpoints possibilities and impending problems for the client. Their suggestions often extend beyond communication, pressing the company to think through the effects of significant operational or policy choices. Clients want the agency to foresee problems and provide a new viewpoint that may help them make important choices and recommendations to their CEOs, internal publics, and colleagues, regardless of the exact nature of the agency-client relationship. The agency team has to invest time in internal research to fully understand the distinctive features of their client's company in order to do this.

These factors often include labor relations, legislative and regulatory restrictions, competitive challenges, and worldwide trends that will impact the company's future. The majority of big agencies are worldwide in scope, running global networks and having significant offices throughout Europe, Asia, North and South America, and Europe. While some accomplish this with their own staff, others create networks and alliances with independent agencies abroad. In any case, the ability for international customers to contact a firm that can provide legal advice anywhere in the globe is becoming more and more crucial [7], [8].

Corporate Life vs. Agency Life

Many practitioners have expertise from both corporate and agency jobs on their portfolios, and agency professionals handle a large portion of the corporate CCO's management duties. Agency experts sometimes develop a specialty over years of service to a client or industry, serve as outside consultants from the agency to address issues and crises, and then return to their agencies after the issue has been resolved. There are several customers and a variety of jobs to choose from in the agency sector. Opportunities in a variety of fields, such as media relations, problems management, crises management, brand creation, event organizing, and corporate reputation work, may be found with a career path via the agency. Some people see the heavy emphasis on event planning, PR, and media pitching as a drawback of entry-level positions in agencies.

Most corporate workers, particularly those at the entry level, are concentrated in one sector of the company or industry. Corporate divisions tend to be smaller, which means that career paths are frequently more constrained; in contrast, agencies may have a wide clientele and plenty of travel options. However, depending on the organization, corporate communication roles may provide a more strategic emphasis. Practically speaking, while this isn't always the case, new recruits often get greater perks from firms. It is evident that the distinction between agency and corporate functions is blurring. Clients are becoming less concerned with the line separating the agency from the company and more focused on outcomes as the use of virtual teams grows. Whether they are servicing internal or external clientele, executives are always seeking methods to increase their value to the company.

Public affairs and government relations

The two categories of public relations that deal with an organization's interactions with the government, governmental regulators, and the legislative and regulatory branches of government are government relations and public affairs. This section discusses public affairs and government relations together. Although these two roles are often used interchangeably, there are a few small distinctions between them. The area of public relations known as "government relations" assists organizations in reaching out to governmental audiences. The kind of public relations known as "public affairs" assists a company in interacting with the government, lawmakers, interest groups, and the media. Although these two roles frequently overlap, government relations is typically a more organization-to-government kind of communication in which legislative education is the focus of lobbying efforts, regulatory issues are discussed, and correspondence with governmental representatives is exchanged. Any problem that might have an effect on the organization, its operations, and its interactions with the government or regulations is considered a strategic issue. According to Heath, "issues pertaining to public policy6 are those that have the capacity to develop into laws or regulations (local, state, federal, or international)."

The external aspect of the role known as public affairs deals more generally with matters of public policy that are important to activists, constituents, or organizations that advocate to the government on favor of a certain viewpoint. Public affairs sometimes entail grassroots activities involving topics of public interest, in which regular people band together to form a movement in support of a particular cause or viewpoint. Then, public relations experts would cooperate with these organizations to find an inclusive solution to issues, mediating disputes or engaging in negotiations on behalf of an organization. Public affairs professionals engage with members of the public who want to push the government for legislation on certain subjects, acting as lobbyists on behalf of their organizations. A specific field of public policy, such as international trade agreements or currency rates, security and terrorism, fair salaries and working conditions, the regulatory process, responsibly disposing of manufacturing byproducts, etc., may be the focus of public affairs professionals. An company is faced with an almost infinite range of public policy challenges.

Issues management may be integrated with the governmental relations department or public affairs unit in certain companies, or a single public relations executive may serve in both capacities. Public affairs and issues management are quite similar in terms of their roles, objectives, and pursuits. Public affairs and issues management share the goals of streamlining communication between an organization and the government or governments it must deal with as well as incorporating and updating company policy in compliance with legal requirements. However, since it works with a wide range of publics, including governmental and regulatory publics, problems management is the bigger role. The role of governmental relations, sometimes known as public affairs, is more specifically concerned with lobbying, legislation, and regulations. In a business context, public affairs may be used to communicate with the government, interest groups (or, as will be covered in the next section, activist publics), and the media over legislation and policy. Public affairs is another tool that a corporation has to utilize to inform stakeholders, including customers, communities, workers, regulators, and investors, about its policies and practices.

Example: Public Policy for Horses

Conflicts between organizations and the public, and sometimes between organizations, the public, and one or more government bodies, over moral principles or rights are at the heart of public affairs concerns. The grassroots American drive to save wild horses from being killed for human food in Europe and Asia serves as one example. Officials were pressured by several animal rights and protection groups on behalf of the horses, and as a result, laws prohibiting the killing of horses for human food were established. The Associated Press reports that the U.S. Based on grassroots efforts like "Fans of Barbaro" and the National Thoroughbred Racing Association's active public affairs campaigns, the House of Representatives voted 263 to 146 to outlaw the killing of horses for human consumption. The information for this case example comes from "House OKs ban on horse slaughter for meat" [8], [9].

Advocates of horse slaughter, such as the meatpacking industry and its public affairs lobbyists as well as the U.S. government, stated, "The way a society treats its animals, particularly horses, speaks to the core values and morals of its citizens." Former Congressman Christopher Shays

(R-CT), one of the sponsors of the slaughter-ban legislation, said. According to the Department of Agriculture, it offers a cheap method of getting rid of these animals. Rep. Collin Peterson (D-MN) said, "These unwanted horses are often sick, unfit, or problem animals." It is obvious that the two sides of this argument, along with all the companies and groups supporting them, are use the media to spread awareness of and support for their positions among the general public as well as lobbying elected officials on their behalf.

An ethical disagreement about the worth of horse life and the place of horses in American history and culture is at the heart of this discussion. The future of American herd horses that roam freely, as well as former sport or companion horses and even stolen horses who are sold for slaughter, are all in question. The Department of Agriculture, the Bureau of Land Management, the ranching and meatpacking businesses, and the animal rights group have a lot riding on this legislation.

CONCLUSION

This study provides a comprehensive overview of the multifaceted landscape of public relations, shedding light on its pivotal role in diverse sectors. It underscores the strategic importance of effective communication in corporate settings, where public relations professionals navigate challenges ranging from media relations to internal communications. The study recognizes the evolving nature of public relations agencies, adapting to industry trends and the increasing reliance on virtual teams. Furthermore, the roles of public affairs and government relations are examined, acknowledging the nuanced distinctions between these functions. The study exemplifies these concepts through a case study on public policy for horses, illustrating the complex interplay between organizations, the public, and governmental bodies in addressing ethical concerns. The study emphasizes the critical role of Chief Communications Officers (CCOs) in steering organizations through the intricate landscape of public relations. Successful CCOs are depicted as adaptable leaders with a keen understanding of the broader business goals, capable of managing crises, building strong internal and external relationships, and strategically communicating the organization's messages. Overall, the study contributes to a deeper understanding of the strategic management approach in the realm of public relations across various domains.

REFERENCES:

[1] D. Waymer and K. A. Brown, “Significance of race in the US undergraduate public relations educational landscape: Reflections of former public relations students,” *J. Multicult. Educ.*, 2018, doi: 10.1108/JME-06-2017-0036.

[2] E. Ciszek and N. Logan, “Challenging the dialogic promise: how Ben & Jerry’s support for Black Lives Matter fosters dissensus on social media,” *J. Public Relations Res.*, 2018, doi: 10.1080/1062726X.2018.1498342.

[3] C. van Oosten, M. Moeliono, and F. Wiersum, “From Product to Place—Spatializing governance in a commodified landscape,” *Environ. Manage.*, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s00267-017-0883-7.

[4] D. Waymer and K. A. Brown, “Significance of race in the US undergraduate public relations educational landscape,” *J. Multicult. Educ.*, 2018, doi: 10.1108/jme-06-2017-0036.

[5] D. Aly, D. K. Hassan, S. Mohamed Kamel, and J. Hamhaber, “Human Needs as an Approach to Designed Landscapes,” *J. Nat. Resour. Dev.*, 2018, doi: 10.5027/jnrd.v8i0.03.

[6] V. Burton, D. Moseley, C. Brown, M. J. Metzger, and P. Bellamy, "Reviewing the evidence base for the effects of woodland expansion on biodiversity and ecosystem services in the United Kingdom," *Forest Ecology and Management*. 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2018.08.003.

[7] M. L. Wallace and I. Ràfols, "Institutional shaping of research priorities: A case study on avian influenza," *Res. Policy*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.respol.2018.07.005.

[8] S. Munishi, "Multilingualism in linguistic landscape of Prishtina (Kosovo)," *J. Educ. Soc. Res.*, 2018, doi: 10.2478/jesr-2018-0036.

[9] L. Bartels, R. Fitzgerald, and A. Freiberg, "Public opinion on sentencing and parole in Australia," *Probat. J.*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/0264550518776763.

CHAPTER 10

NAVIGATING PUBLIC AFFAIRS: A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON ISSUES MANAGEMENT, GOVERNMENT RELATIONS, AND ACTIVIST ENGAGEMENT IN ORGANIZATIONAL STRATEGY

Hemal Thakker, Assistant Professor
Department of ISME, ATLAS SkillTech University, Mumbai, India
Email Id-hemal.thakker@atlasuniversity.edu.in

ABSTRACT:

This study explores the integral role of ongoing problem management in public affairs, particularly within the context of organizations where public relations and issues management are closely intertwined. Issues management is identified as a strategic, long-term problem-solving function that aids in modifying organizational policy and participating in public affairs. The study delves into the process of issues management, emphasizing the importance of establishing enduring connections with the public, both on the ground and in government. The two-way nature of communication is highlighted as a crucial aspect, where organizations respond to public values to maintain autonomy and effectively handle emerging problems. The study further presents various models and steps in issues management, emphasizing the need for collaborative approaches. Additionally, the study discusses the significance of considering public values in strategic decision-making to minimize opposition and legislative intervention, aiming to preserve organizational autonomy. The examination extends to the role of public relations in nonprofit and non-governmental organizations, emphasizing their strategies to disseminate information, influence public opinion, and garner support for their causes. The study concludes with a case analysis of activism, specifically exploring the challenges and resolutions faced by organizations dealing with activist groups. The importance of engaging in symmetrical communication and integrating activist viewpoints into strategic decision-making is emphasized as a more effective approach in managing activism.

KEYWORDS:

Autonomy, Government, Management, Organization, Public Affair.

INTRODUCTION

Ongoing problem management is a significant portion of public affairs, and the issues management role is often included in the same department or scope of duties as public affairs. According to Hoover's Handbook of American Business (1997), the public relations department of Johnson & Johnson, for instance, is split up into many functional areas, with "public affairs and group issues" at the top. In the majority of businesses, public affairs and problems management are closely related, particularly in corporations. Issues with public policy that arise from an organization's operations must be managed. Organizational policies need to be updated and changed often to take into account the new regulatory landscape and public needs.

The process by which an organization maintains its policy and recognizes any issues, challenges, or trends that may affect it in the future is known as issues management. At the top level of the organization, the problems management process is a long-term problem-solving function that allows it to modify organizational policy and participate in public affairs. Through interactions with the government and publics, issues management enables the top professional communicator to advise the CEO on public values and how they contribute to or take away from the organization's standing with those publics [1], [2].

"Issues management is a process for establishing a platform of fact, value, and policy to guide organizational performance while deciding on the content of messages used to communicate with target publics," according to Heath's definition of the issues management function. Key organization leaders, lawmakers, government regulators, interest organizations, and so forth are some examples of these target publics. According to Heath, an issue is a debatable matter of truth, value, or policy that influences how interested parties provide or withhold support and pursue changes via legislative action.

If an organization refuses to budge in response to public pressure, they will either push for government regulation of the group or pursue alternative coerced changes to public policy. When that happens, the company loses its autonomy, which means that important choices are now determined by law and regulation rather than by senior management. This may be very expensive for the organization in terms of money or resources. Maintaining the company's autonomy is usually the aim of problems management, as in an ideal world, the organization would know how to best deploy its own resources and would handle issues in a more efficient and effective manner than having things standardized and legislated throughout an industry.

In issues management, we attempt to establish enduring, trustworthy connections with the public on both the ground and in government, in addition to keeping an eye out for new problems that may have an impact on our company. Naturally, managing the organization in a way that is moral and does not seek to exploit publics or other groups allows the issues management function to truly contribute to organizational effectiveness. Heath notes that "the more that an organization meets key publics' need for information, the more likely they are to be praised rather than criticized." This is how communication is used to aid in the issues management process. This dialogue has to be cooperative and two-way.

Based on the problems manager's study, collaborative approaches should be used in issue management. The study contributes to the "two-way" nature of the problems management process, which is that managerial decision-making from outside the company is informed by public opinion. Important information may be obtained from this study at every phase of the strategic planning procedure. But according to Heath (1997), p. ix, "communication may not suffice to reconcile the differences that lead to the struggle." Therefore, not all communication difficulties can be resolved via issues management, nor can all solutions be advantageous to both parties. When practicable, it may be beneficial to include public values into strategic decision-making to minimize opposition from such publics and to avoid becoming the subject of their lobbying efforts, which might result in the organization losing its decision-making autonomy via legislation.

A variety of concurrent concerns are often monitored, researched, advised upon, and spoken about by the manager during continuous, continuing issues management. The size of the company and the volatility of the industry in which it works will determine how many problems are handled. Proficient issue managers possess deep industry knowledge, problem-solving aptitude, adeptness in negotiations, and the analytical capacity to scrutinize the matter from several angles. Let's examine the problems management procedure in more detail. Chase proposed a ground-breaking and extensively recognized problems management paradigm in the middle to late 1970s. The following stages were part of the model:

1. Identification of issues
2. Analysis of issues
3. Alter the options

4. Chase, an action program.

While the Chase model is simple to recall, it is a little too basic, and other people have gone into considerable depth to explain each phase. Renfro's book on issues management, for instance, provided the following summary of the process:

1. Looking for new issues,
2. Studying, evaluating, and projecting the issues,
3. Setting priorities for the numerous issues found during the scanning and research phases, and
4. Creating strategic and issue operation (or action) plans.

While we think renfro's approach is great, buchholz, evans, and wagley provided a somewhat more thorough six-step model that is specifically tailored to the public policy requirements of management [3], [4].

The Issues Management Steps

Determine popular concerns and prevailing public expectations.

1. Examine the surroundings for patterns and problems.
2. Follow developments on topics that are emerging.
3. Create trends and give predictions.
4. Determine the topics and trends that the company is interested in.

Assess their influence and establish priorities.

1. Evaluate the effects and likelihood of recurrence.
2. Evaluate the company's resources and capacity to react.
3. Assemble the problem priority for more examination.

Perform investigation and evaluation

1. Sort problems according to relevant aspects.
2. Make sure that personnel are assigned to high-priority topics.
3. Incorporate functional sections as needed.
4. Consult external information sources
5. Create and evaluate position alternatives

Create a plan

1. Examine your alternatives for posture and strategy.
2. Choose your posture and approach.
3. Complement overarching company strategy

Execute the plan

1. Spread the shared stance and approach.
2. Create strategies that align with the overarching plan.
3. Forge partnerships with other groups
4. Connect to both internal and external networks for communication.

Assess the approach

1. Evaluate personnel and management outcomes.
2. Adjust implementation strategies.
3. Perform further research.

The scanning, monitoring, and analysis phase of problems management is perhaps the most crucial. The likelihood of developing a proactive strategy to address an emergent problem decreases if an issues manager is unable to recognize it. An organization loses control over defining a problem once it enters the public policy sphere, therefore management must be done quickly. concerns forecasting7 is the process of keeping an eye out for new concerns and projecting their future significance. Forecasting issues is similar to reading fortunes; we can never know with certainty when a problem will surface in the future with all of its subtleties and the dynamic interactions it will have with the public.

DISCUSSION

There is also a chance to argue that the most crucial stage in deciding on priorities and the best way to approach a new problem is doing research and analysis. An company should make better selections the more research it can get. Nevertheless, the gathering, analyzing, and interpreting of data into management policy all include some degree of strategy. A significant portion of government relations and public affairs is the lobbying process8, through which the research, expertise, and policies developed via problems management are conveyed to legislative publics. However, as Heath warns, "Data are only as good as the insights of people who analyze them." Educating political authorities about an organization's viewpoint, social contributions, business practices, and legal framework often coincides with this kind of communication. Organizations may engage in a collaborative and integrative manner in the legislative process, contributing to the formation of law. Lobbyists are often employed to support or oppose laws that might have an effect on the organization. "Contraints imposed by outside groups or interests" refers to the regulatory influence. is seen as expensive and often opposed by companies that want to keep their independence in order to establish more efficient administration.

Activist, NGO, and Nonprofit Public Relations

Groups that operate to promote public causes or initiatives, support research, educate the public, or lobby on their behalf are classified as nonprofit or not-for-profit organizations9. Nonprofit organizations often have an educational goal and operate for the benefit of the general public. The Cancer Research Foundation of America, for example, informs customers about the foods they should eat to improve their health and lower their risk of cancer. Nonprofits that engage in public relations typically use three strategies: public information dissemination, press agentry and asymmetrical public relations to sway public opinion toward the organization's viewpoints, and symmetrical public relations to garner support from the government and donors.

Nonprofit public relations may be used to spread knowledge, support causes or ideas, or generate money for problem-solving or issue-related research. The many cancer research foundations, which work to inform the public about preventative measures, advocate for more government funding for cancer research, and sometimes provide funds for cancer studies, are a well-known example. A large portion of nonprofit public relations involves persuading lawmakers about the issue, current research projects, and ways the government may strengthen support for financing and preventative actions. In order to sustain and grow ties with supporting publics who can spread the organization's message and often pay a membership fee to help provide an operating budget for the nonprofit, nonprofit public relations frequently significantly depends on member relations. Magazines, newsletters, special events, and Internet websites are often used in member relations efforts. The last and most important component of nonprofit public relations is fundraising or development. Development is in charge of submitting grants for government funding, doing fund-raising with smaller, private contributors, and obtaining cash from both major fund givers [5], [6].

Non-governmental organizations, or NGOs, are "soft-power" organizations that lack the corporate profit motive and the political appointments of governmental agencies. They are there to do jobs that governments are unwilling to take on, including humanitarian missions. NGOs are often founded on social concerns or causes, working with the government but remaining independent of it though in some countries, their right to self-govern is questioned. NGOs often recruit former government personnel or officials. NGOs often collaborate with regional leaders or organizations to carry out certain projects. According to Gass and Seiter, "non-governmental (NGOs) also are particularly good at demonstrating goodwill" and that legitimacy is largely based on goodwill. "Soft power" is a considerably more effective means of communicating goodwill, they said like non-governmental organizations. Human Rights Watch and Amnesty International are two such organizations.

Special interest groups known as activist groups11 form around an organization with the goal of bringing about change related to their specific subject of concern. Activist organizations often originate from "grassroots movements," which are started by common people as opposed to government employees. Because of this, it differs somewhat from an NGO. Moreover, compared to nonprofits or NGOs, activist organizations sometimes have less formal organizational structures and nonprofit status. Activist organizations might be big and more structured, like People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals, or they can be tiny and unorganized, like a local group of parents organizing to oppose a school board decision.

The goals and motivations behind an activist group's formation, as well as the extent of its actions, might vary. For instance, certain activist organizations are labeled as "obstructionist" because they impede a solution to the issue in order to attract more members and media attention for their cause. One activist organization that engages in obstructionism is Greenpeace. Other activist organizations may try to settle their issues with an organization and have those changes incorporated into organizational policy by using more integrative or collaborative problem-solving techniques. The concerns that different activist organizations are focused on also vary; some are more generally defined (like "the environment"), while others are more narrowly focused (like "toxic waste runoff"). Grunig (1992a), p. 515, discovered that "two out of every three activist groups were concerned with a single issue." Her research on the difficulties facing activist groups is instructive in this regard. That one problem may be as particular as the imminent demolition of a historically significant structure in the area. Alternatively, the problem may be more significant, like the quantity of pollutants released during a production process.

Activist groups use a variety of tactics to put pressure on organizations, including lobbying, appearances at "town hall"-style meetings, protests and rallies, boycotts, anti-Web sites, email campaigns, letter-writing campaigns, phone calls to lawmakers, and events created expressly to attract media attention. Young, intelligent, driven ideologues who are passionate about pursuing their cause and have a strong commitment to activism often make up activist organizations. When it comes to getting companies to incorporate their principles into organizational policy, these groups often have good success.

How to Address Activism

Although organizations may try to “ignore” activist pressure, this strategy is ineffective since it often makes the activist group's campaign worse or lasts longer. Activist organizations often contact government authorities and request that the organization be looked into, penalized, and controlled when it continues to refuse to comply. Additionally, activists use a variety of media platforms to sway lawmakers and shift public perception, strengthening their case and perhaps upending the organization.

Public relations may deal with activist organizations most effectively by having a give-and-take or symmetrical conversation with them to learn about their goals, values, and topics of concern. When organizations work together to settle conflicts, the harm caused by them is often reduced; when activist groups are ignored, the problem will only become worse. The corpus of knowledge in public relations has enough evidence of the effectiveness of activist organizations, even the smallest ones. According to the Excellence Study, "all activist groups studied had disrupted the target organization, regardless of the link of the dispute, the intensity of the conflict, or the media coverage involved."

Making Integrative Decisions

Organizing in-person meetings with activists, brainstorming sessions, or collaborative "summits" are effective ways to foster understanding between the activist and the organization. The activist group also has to be aware of the organization's limitations and economic model, as well as the rules governing the regulatory framework in which it functions. Senior management seldom approves of the notion of consulting activists on organizational policy, but doing so may lead to creative reworkings of those concepts that address problems in a way that benefits all parties. Activists may feel that their problem is sufficiently resolved when their complaints are acknowledged and heard, leading them to focus on less cooperative groups. Your response's key argument is that activists should be taken into consideration rather than disregarded. The public relations practitioner may better integrate the activist group's viewpoints into strategic decision-making by using conflict resolution, negotiating techniques, and symmetrical conversation to comprehend the group. A collaborative approach reduces the amount of time and resources required to react to activist pressure, as well as the harm that activists do to the organization's image [7], [8].

Activism Case: Gaddafi Cannot Set Up Shop Here

Colonel Muammar Gaddafi, the leader of Libya, traveled to the US at the end of 2009 to speak to the UN general assembly. His trip to the US sparked citizen activism, which exemplifies many of the earlier ideas of individuals taking up causes or beliefs and applying pressure on the government to support them. First, a quick review of the history of ties between the US and Libya, particularly those involving Colonel Gaddafi, offers crucial background information for this activist case. Embassy of the United States in Tripoli, Libya. A mob assaulted and set fire to the American embassy in Libya in 1979, forcing the evacuation of all American federal employees. The 1988 terrorist attack on Pan Am Flight 103, which claimed the lives of 270 people over Scotland, including some Syracuse University students returning from a study abroad program, was openly and immediately claimed by Col. Gaddafi. In line with U.S. Diplomatic ties between the Department of State and Libya were not restored until 2006. The American Embassy in Tripoli, Libya (n.d.). Nonetheless, a great deal of animosity still exists due to Libya's further backing of terrorist operations and the destruction of Pan Am flight 103.

When Col. Gaddafi travels abroad, he is said to pack a Bedouin tent. He asked to have this tent erected on President Sarkozy's property in Paris in 2007, which sparked controversy and apparently "flummoxed presidential protocol service." Sage (2007) was one of the most recent instances in which this tent generated issues. This tent was set up by Gaddafi in 2004 on his official visit to Belgium and again in 2009 during his visit to Rome, where he hosted dignitaries. These European countries do not, however, see themselves as directly impacted by Gaddafi's terrorist activities in Libya. Grunig's situational theory of publics. "Identifying and Prioritizing

Stakeholders and Publics," suggests that people in these European nations are less likely to see Col as an issue.

Compared to Americans, Gaddafi. Americans are more involved than Europeans are, starting with the burning of the United States. embassy, the severance of diplomatic ties, and the terrorist downing of Flight 103 by Libya. An active public is predicted by high levels of issue identification and participation as well as low constraint recognition, which is the belief that one can directly influence the situation. The terrorist who bombed Pan Am flight 103 was released from jail in Scotland, which further complicated relations with the United States of America. Abdelbaset al-Megrahi, a convicted terrorist, was freed a few weeks before to Gaddafi's speech to the UN general assembly. Upon his return to Libya, Al-Megrahi was greeted with heroism, and the relatives of several American victims expressed their displeasure via blogs, Twitter, letters to the newspaper, and media appearances.

Gaddafi and his allies started searching for a location to set up the Libyan tent at the same time as they were organizing his September 22, 2009, speech at the UN. The site in suburban New Jersey, where Gaddafi intended to stay and set up a tent, is owned by the Libyan embassy. But after open protests outside the land, the municipality of Englewood, New Jersey, forbade Gaddafi from setting up the tent. Locals routinely communicated with the media to express their disapproval of Gaddafi's possible stay in the Libyan mission. "I live right next door to the Libyan embassy," claimed Rabbi Boteach. Wordsworth (2009) said, "We want them to leave our neighborhood," and that even the local Muslims opposed Gaddafi's presence. Alumni from Syracuse institution also expressed their indignation on broadcasts, saying that Gaddafi's visit to the institution itself was unacceptable.

At this time, Gaddafi's group pretended to be Dutch officials and made an effort to lease a Manhattan townhouse's roof for Gaddafi's tent, but the agreement fell through Goldman, Radia, and Berman (2009). Gaddafi rented a Donald Trump mansion in Bedford, New York, via middlemen. The global media buzzed with aerial photographs shot from helicopters as the Bedouin tent was being built on the 113-acre estate known as "Seven Springs." In Bedford, indignation was mounting as Gaddafi concluded his ninety-minute speech to the UN general assembly. Media helicopters hovered as residents and press started to gather at the estate's front gate. Joel Sachs, the town attorney for Bedford, said that a stop work order was placed on the tent just after five o'clock in the evening since it is forbidden to erect a temporary dwelling without a permission. The tent was described as a "illegal structure" by the town official Goldman, Radia, and Berman (2009). News anchors made remarks on the influence of grassroots advocates [9], [10].

Throughout the remainder of the day, media sources broadcast footage captured by helicopters showing the tent being taken down. Gaddafi obviously misjudged the ability of activist publics functioning under a representational government to stop him from carrying out his typical dictatorial actions. "Qaddafi Tent Back Up on Trump's N.Y. Estate" (2009) reports that after the tent was hauled down and the stop work order was lifted, construction on the structure started up again. As is customary for him, Gaddafi did not, however, attend the tent to welcome state guests or other formal visits. Maybe Gaddafi had finally gotten the word from public activists and government representatives acting on their behalf, including Congresswoman Nita Lowey, who said that Gaddafi is "unwelcome throughout the New York area" in her 2009 article "Qaddafi Tent Back Up on Trump’s N.Y. Estate." Acting on behalf of the people living in their districts, civic activists, protestors, and elected officials decisively won the fight over where Gaddafi might set up camp. Putting up a tent may not seem like much, particularly in a place like Libya. It must handle issues like weapons of mass destruction, terrorism, and breaches of human rights, to mention a few. Nonetheless, campaigners have the ability to influence Gaddafi's formal tour to the United States if they can bring up the matter of his tent on the agendas of the media and political leaders.

The topic of problems management was covered, and the six stages of a successful issues management program were outlined. Lastly, the topic of activist, nonprofit, and nongovernmental organization (NGO) public relations was covered in connection to its potential to influence public policy as well as how research indicates a company should react to pressure from these organizations. The public policy problem and interest groups related to the killing of horses for human consumption were examined using case studies. As an example of the ability of activists to alter their surroundings, the chapter's conclusion included a thorough analysis of local government reaction to the visit of Libyan leader Col. Muammar Gaddafi to the United States and citizen activity.

CONCLUSION

This study underscores the multifaceted nature of issues management within the realm of public affairs. It provides insights into the intricate process of identifying, analyzing, and strategically addressing issues that may impact an organization. The collaborative and two-way communication approaches advocated in the study emerge as key elements in effective issues management. Furthermore, the study sheds light on the proactive role of public relations in nonprofit organizations and NGOs, emphasizing their communication strategies to disseminate information and garner support. The examination of activist groups highlights the challenges organizations face when confronted with public pressure, emphasizing the need for a nuanced and collaborative approach. The case study on Colonel Muammar Gaddafi's visit to the United States serves as a compelling example of the power of activism in influencing public policy decisions. Overall, the study contributes valuable insights into the dynamic field of public affairs, providing a framework for organizations to navigate and manage ongoing issues effectively while maintaining positive relationships with the public.

REFERENCES:

[1] W. Hatcher, B. D. McDonald, and L. A. Brainard, "How to write a case study for public affairs," *J. Public Aff. Educ.*, 2018, doi: 10.1080/15236803.2018.1444902.

[2] G. Morçöl and S. Han, "The National Research Council's Rankings of Doctoral Programs in Public Affairs," *Int. J. Public Adm.*, 2018, doi: 10.1080/01900692.2017.1292529.

[3] Q. Hu, "Preparing public managers for the digital era: incorporating information management, use, and technology into public affairs graduate curricula," *Public Manag. Rev.*, 2018, doi: 10.1080/14719037.2017.1327180.

[4] K. Ireri, A. Roberts, and J. Ochieng, "An Exploratory Study on the Knowledge Gap in Public Affairs among Kenyans," *African Journal. Stud.*, 2018, doi: 10.1080/23743670.2018.1539407.

[5] J. Mitchell, "The identity of undergraduate public affairs education: Opportunities and challenges," *J. Public Aff. Educ.*, 2018, doi: 10.1080/15236803.2018.1429811.

[6] B. Omar, N. Ismail, and N. S. Kee, "Understanding online consumption of public affairs news in Malaysia," *J. Asian Pacific Commun.*, 2018, doi: 10.1075/japc.00009.oma.

[7] B. S. Vamstad and M. Gordon, "Integrating public affairs information strategy with organizational practices in healthcare delivery organizations," *J. Public Aff.*, 2018, doi: 10.1002/pa.1828.

[8] E. L. Figee, J. F. Gosselt, P. Linders, and M. D. T. De Jong, “Regional public affairs activities in The Netherlands: How to gain ground in the national and European arena,” *Eur. Urban Reg. Stud.*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/0969776416674061.

[9] M. De los Angeles Miranda Bustamante, “Retired people on Twitter. Analysis of narratives and seminality of elders’ tweets commenting on public affairs. The case of Chile,” *Aula Abierta*, 2018, doi: 10.17811/rifie.47.1.2018.123-130.

[10] C. C. Merritt, M. D. Farnworth, and M. R. Kienapple, “Developing organizational leaders to manage publicness: A conceptual framework,” *J. Public Aff. Educ.*, 2018, doi: 10.1080/15236803.2018.1429816.

CHAPTER 11

ETHICAL LEADERSHIP AND THE ROLE OF PUBLIC RELATIONS: A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON THE INTEGRATION OF MORAL PRINCIPLES IN ORGANIZATIONAL DECISION-MAKING

Shoaib Mohammed, Associate Professor
Department of ISME, ATLAS SkillTech University, Mumbai, India
Email Id-shoaib.mohammed@atlasuniversity.edu.in

ABSTRACT:

This study explores the pivotal role of public relations (PR) in organizational decision-making, particularly in the context of ethical considerations and values. It underscores the significance of ethical leadership and values, emphasizing the responsibilities of PR specialists in counseling on organizational values and serving as ethical counselors to management. The study delves into moral assessments using deontology and consequentialism as frameworks and advocates for the integration of ethics into all levels of organizational decision-making. The evolution of ethics in business, especially in PR, is traced from the late 1990s, highlighting the growing importance of ethical practices in building and sustaining relationships with various stakeholders. The study also addresses the intersection of public relations, morality, and reliability, emphasizing that ethics serves as the glue in maintaining relationships. Furthermore, it explores the influence of ethics on organizational culture, drawing connections to systems theory and the role of PR as a boundary-spanner. The study concludes by discussing the role of PR as an ethical advisor, the benefits and drawbacks of ethics counseling, and the need for PR professionals to have a seat at the senior management table to effectively contribute to ethical decision-making.

KEYWORDS:

Ethic, Management, Organization, Public Relation, Strategic.

INTRODUCTION

The significance of public relations was underlined in this chapter as a boundary-crosser who may advise the ruling coalition on matters of ethics and the moral principles of stakeholders and the public. In an ideal world, the public relations specialist would be a part of the ruling coalition and able to speak for the people when the organization makes strategic decisions. The significance of ethical leadership and values in an organization was emphasized by a discussion of research on the two main ethics responsibilities of (a) counseling on organizational values and (b) serving as an ethical counselor to management. Methods for carrying out moral assessments in order to become a more proficient ethical counselor were outlined. For ethical analyses, the moral frameworks of deontology and consequentialism were presented. In order to maximize positive results and reduce negative consequences, consequentialist analysis suggests concentrating on the outcomes and impact of possible choice possibilities. Three criteria were provided by deontology to evaluate various options: universal duty, respect and dignity, and good purpose. An example of how an organization's moral leadership and principles may be used to manage relationships and assist the company accomplish its objectives in public relations was given [1], [2].

A fresh emphasis on the importance of ethics in business emerged in the late 1990s and early 2000s. This was partially prompted by commercial abuses that infuriated the United States. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act was brought to Congress and passed, in part because businesses were not operating in an ethical manner. For many years, public relations specialists have maintained that building and sustaining connections with important publics, such as stakeholders or investors, requires ethical business practices. Since public relations practitioners have seats at the management table, ethical issues in the field have long been at the forefront of PR education, but they weren't always treated seriously. This chapter covers the definition of moral analysis, the place of ethics in corporate leadership, and the function of public relations professionals in decision-making.

Morals

Humanity has been fascinated by the issues of morality and behavior guidance for thousands of years. We examine the concepts of justice against wrong, good versus evil, and light versus darkness, drawing on the philosophical writings of ancient thinkers like Plato and Aristotle, the Enlightenment of Hume, Kant, and Mill, as well as the theoretical perspectives of Jesus, Buddha, Confucius, Mohammad, and Thomas Aquinas, and contemporary philosophy. "Ethics is about how we ought to live," said Singer (1994), p. 3. Public relations ethics, according to Singer's straightforward definition of ethics, is about appropriate communication practices. For the public relations specialist, there is a lot more work involved in that communication. Potential problems must be found, research must be done, and problems and possible solutions must be described ethically by issues management. Consequently, how we should make decisions, handle situations, and communicate might be characterized as public relations ethics.

Morality and reliability

Public relations do not have communication as its main objective. Our objective is fostering connections by listening, forming strategic alliances, and communicating ethically while working together to cooperatively incorporate other people's views into company policy. We work to improve our audiences' ability to converse with us as well as our ways of doing so. Building connections with the public is the goal of public relations, and any sustained relationship requires trust. Ethics is the glue that keeps partnerships together, whether of whether the publics are found within the company—such as personnel in management and administration—or outside it—such as suppliers, distributors, retailers, customers, communities, and governments.

Consider the following situation to get a sense of the significance of ethics in relationships. If you bought a product from a business that claims to be the best, you can feel taken advantage of if you later learn that the corporation sold the product even though they knew it was made using subpar parts. Most likely, you wouldn't want to stick with that company in the long run, which would imply you wouldn't buy their products again. This straightforward illustration shows how an organization's ethics have a subtle but distinct effect on its ties with the public.

Moral Culture

All organizational levels are affected by ethics. For a business to be as effective as possible, ethics must be included in all decision-making processes, from the production line to middle management. Undoubtedly, the upper echelons of the company have a great deal of responsibility for ethics, since moral conduct often struggles to survive in the absence of vision and leadership that upholds the firm's values and the significance of ethics. To put it another way, public relations should serve as the organization's ethical conscience by incorporating public opinion into decision-making processes. However, everyone in the organization—especially the leaders—must appreciate ethics. The ethical function must be integrated into both corporate culture and public relations. Ethicists refer to this multifaceted role as "institutionalizing corporate conscience." This part will teach you how to recognize values, integrate moral principles into the culture of the company, and analyze and resolve moral conundrums [3], [4].

The Role of Systems Theory in Ethics

A lot of novice and intermediate public relations practitioners often ponder how they entered the realm of philosophy and moral judgment. If you will allow us to describe the solution in terms of systems theory, you will quickly see why the public relations manager absolutely has to have a solid grasp of moral philosophy. Public relations is a specialist subject that runs the risk of being atomized or narrow-minded. According to Laszlo (1996), having such specialized knowledge may be a barrier to admission and lead to isolation, which causes reality to be experienced in bits and pieces rather than holistically. Systems theory presents an alternative perspective. It is comparable to biological or ecological systems, since the body is made up of several systems, including the neurological system, digestive system, circulatory system, and so on. The philosopher Luhmann (1984) used an organic view of systems to describe society as consisting of interrelated but partly autonomous social systems that make up the greater total. An organization is a system made up of smaller subsystems in the context of organizations. The role of public relations is to facilitate communication inside an organization's subsystems as well as with its external environment, which consists of other publics and customers. The environment, as used in systems theory, is everything that is beyond the organization's conceptual "boundary." Individuals inside the organization's boundaries typically have a financial connection with it, whilst those outside it may just sometimes cross it in the capacity as consultants or may choose to live entirely within it. Information readily traverses this barrier when it enters the organization via research projects and exits the organization during public relations interactions.

According to systems theory, the management subsystem includes public relations. Like a nervous system, management is the organization's brain, and communication is the means by which its operations are coordinated. In a normal business, the other subfunctions are busy with their respective areas of specialty, but public relations have to collaborate with them both to gather information, spot possible difficulties or concerns, onboard new hires, and establish corporate culture. A great deal of management, problem-solving, listening, and communication skills are needed for these tasks. When reporting on the internal state of things, public relations managers perform this internal communication role from management in a top-down manner, as well as across organizational subsystems. Public relations essentially serve as a channel of communication that helps an organization's internal operations run smoothly.

Crossing Boundaries and Offering Ethics Counseling

In order to maintain ties with publics outside of their institution, public relations practitioners also go outside its boundaries. Public relations managers search the environment for messages of concern and shifting trends, making them "border spanners" when they cross this barrier to gather data, either officially or informally. This allows them to detect issues with their publics inside their industry. Environmental scanning is the act of keeping an eye out for any possible causes for worry in the environment.

The public relations function is well positioned to provide ethical advice since it blurs boundaries, fosters partnerships with external publics, and conducts environmental scanning to gather information from these sources. The public relations manager may reflect the ethical values of the publics the business interacts with in management decisions, hence it is quite beneficial to understand their beliefs. She or he already has a thorough understanding of the goals, concerns, and problems of the strategic publics inside the firm. Because those publics may be consulted on matters that are important to them, the connections that public relations managers work to establish and nurture provide a source of invaluable information and feedback during ethical decision making. Representing such opinions at high management decision-making meetings is the responsibility of the public relations manager. The communication function inside a business is the most properly adapted to comprehend the requirements and principles of external publics. While the legal department is undoubtedly knowledgeable with government and regulatory publics, it is unlikely to be as familiar with the values of publics that exist outside of the legislative branch. Similar to this, the marketing department will know a lot about consumer values but very little about the values of the local communities that surround industrial facilities. In terms of systems theory, this information gap is only filled via public relations. Decisions may be made that are more varied, pluralistic, and morally inclusive by comprehending and taking into account the values of publics. Over time, these choices lead to more peace between the company and the public, less litigation, fewer angry publics, fewer boycotts, and they may even save a costly reputational damage.

Ethics Counseling: Benefits and Drawbacks

The fact that few public relations practitioners have really engaged in extensive research on ethics presents a warning when using a systems viewpoint to support the idea that PR should advise senior management on moral matters. Individuals who have pursued ethical studies are probably more senior-level workers, often holding executive positions (chief executive officer [CEO]), making above-average salaries, and being mostly male. This research just indicates that there are less opportunities for younger, entry- or mid-level, female professionals to advise their businesses on moral decisions. It does not imply that these professionals are less capable of using ethical reasoning. To address this issue, ethics education and research are recommended; we shall soon discuss moral reasoning.

DISCUSSION

Using public relations as ethical counsel has a catch: in order to provide advice on these issues, the public relations manager has to be seated at the senior management table. According to global research by the International Association of Business Communicators (IABC), which will be described later, thirty percent of public relations specialists have an indirect reporting connection (a dotted line) with the CEO, thirty percent report directly to the CEO, and thirty percent report one level below the CEO. This is encouraging since it indicates that around 65% of public relations practitioners globally report that they can consult their CEOs for advice on ethical issues at least sometimes. But 35% of public relations experts said they had no access to high management, which means they cannot counsel or provide opinion on critical ethical choices made, nor are they there at the table when they are made. Professionals often only deal with the technical aspects of public relations, meaning they frequently have less impact on policy and have to make smaller, more ethical judgments. For such, studying ethics is often necessary to progress in their managerial career.

Public Relations: Advisor for Ethical Conscience

Should public relations provide ethical advice? The phrases "Public relations practitioners should advise management on ethical matters" and "Ethical considerations are a vital part of executive decision-making" (mean 4.61 of 5.0 maximum) received the greatest levels of agreement among public relations practitioners in global research. There is an industry consensus that management needs to take ethics into account and that public relations managers should serve as ethical advisors. In order to strengthen their capacity to fulfill each of the two ethical duties, communication managers must think about and understand the foundations of ethics. The IABC Business of Truth study was the first to identify these two separate ethical functions, and further research has confirmed them as well. Managing the organization's values, which includes providing ethical training, is the primary responsibility. The second function involves working with senior management to assess and discuss moral choices while using public information obtained via boundary-spanning. To prepare you for the many ethical dilemmas that must be handled as a professional communicator, we will carefully examine each job [5], [6].

Role 1 in Ethics: Organizational Values and the "Chicken Versus Egg" Conundrum

Every business has a distinct personality, which academics refer to as its organizational culture. This culture also has values or prioritizes certain ideas over others. A value of sorts is even the absence of specific values. Will firms, especially those that prioritize profits, adopt a more civic role in society? Or are they going to utilize society to further their personal agendas? You may determine an organization's values by asking these kinds of questions. By carefully examining an organization's mission statement, you may determine the ideals it upholds. declarations of ethics and codes of behavior. analyzing the remarks made by leaders to communities and the general public. and the use of the company website as an advertising or as a means of fostering conversation. We used the phrase "chicken and egg dilemma" to describe the difficulty in determining which comes first: ethical conduct driven by corporate culture or ethical behavior driven by ethical people. Is it feasible to transform a company that doesn't care about ethics into one that is an ethical model? Can ethics flourish in a company when the CEO doesn't give a damn about these kinds of things?

What happens if the CEO leads with morality yet joins a historically immoral company? Public relations play a crucial role in answering questions like these because it manages internal communications, assists in formulating and advancing the organization's long-term goal, and supports the development of an accountable organizational culture that takes into account the opinions of external publics. There is little doubt that the solution to the chicken and egg conundrum differs depending on the organization and sector. Ethicists, however, typically agree that an ethically-minded business culture is more significant than an individual. Not even the most morally upright worker could have stopped Enron from going bankrupt. According to a study that looked into the chicken and egg problem, in order to promote and reward ethical decision-making, an ethical organizational culture must exist. Otherwise, an ethical person making admirable decisions won't be rewarded or encouraged for doing so, and they won't be able to influence the organizational culture in an ethical direction. As a matter of fact, ethical discussion and deliberation are highly regarded activities in organizations that encourage ethical decision-making.

To put this information into practice, the public relations department must assist in discovering the organization's values via internal study, then work to strengthen and promote these admirable principles. It takes a lot of time, effort, and a constant commitment to communicate about the significance of corporate values as well as the critical role that ethical assessments play in decision-making to develop an ethically-focused organizational culture. In contrast to what some managers think, moral choices are not always "easy" and must be made when there are a variety of legitimate and opposing viewpoints. Establishing the organization's principles and then repeating them often enough for all staff members to be aware of them can help to create a culture of ethical debate inside the company and encourage its members to apply and discuss these values. Ethics education must be mandated at all organizational levels, and leaders must "walk the talk" by behaving morally and setting an example for others to follow. Employees should be judged on their ability to recognize ethical dilemmas or conflicts, and moral conduct should be rewarded. The public relations department's internal relations specialist or the public relations function often conducts ethics training. It may take many different forms, such as case study discussions, workbook modules, in-person retreats, or online instruction. Being a values manager for your business means knowing what it stands for and trying to maintain that idea at the center of every decision made by everyone in the organization.

One well-known philosophy of Johnson & Johnson (J&J) is that their main public is the patients who utilize their goods first. Consequently, when ethics are considered at J&J, patient-centered choices predominate in the framework for decision-making. We may compare it to a business that prioritizes its financial line above all other goals, or to a corporation that values innovation, accountability, or respect. A varied corporate culture will arise from various values that are considered important in the framework used for making decisions. The public relations function can attempt to both comprehend the current values of internal publics and to instill the desired ethical values into the organizational culture through the employee Web sites, intranet, magazines, newspapers, blogs, and other communication channels. Employees at all levels might learn about the organization's values and ethical decision-making framework via ethical training programs. A clear and consistent vision of ethical standards that is upheld at all organizational levels is crucial. The internal publics' pace of adaptation and adoption of the organization's values is typically accelerated by consistency, clarity, repetition, and the implementation of a reward structure for moral decision-making.

Ethics Position 2: Management's Ethical Advisor

Advising or counseling senior management on moral judgments is a second approach to ethics that public relations managers in a business might use. Within an organization, the public relations counselor is in a prime position to understand the values of the public and assist in incorporating their viewpoints into strategic planning and decision-making. She or he may talk to the CEO about these matters and provide advice on how moral choices would affect the company's standing. When there is an obvious right and wrong in a given circumstance, ethical decision-making models and analyses are often not needed. When there are two or more compelling reasons that contradict one another, ethical frameworks for moral evaluations might be useful. When there are several "right" points of view, it is appropriate to use an ethical decision-making paradigm to determine which course of action best aligns with the organization's values. When a public relations specialist is present to represent the public in these sessions, the opinions of the public may be discussed in the problems management team meetings. The public relations manager may also use ethical decision-making frameworks to conduct a multi-perspective analysis of the circumstance and recommend a morally right course of action to the CEO and senior management [7], [8].

The public relations manager has to meet a variety of requirements before they may counsel the CEO on ethics. Ethical analysis requires expertise in ethics or moral philosophy, which may be obtained via professional or academic means. For the purpose of conducting in-depth assessments and providing the CEO with ethical advice, the public relations manager must possess a fundamental understanding of moral reasoning. Analyzing conflicting and legitimate conclusions is a challenging and very complicated endeavor. Since CEOs are often surrounded by "yes men" who provide no critical analysis on the matter at hand, it is sometimes the only way for them to hear an opposing point of view—having a public relations manager dedicated to doing these rigorous studies. It is imperative that the public relations executive analyze ethical judgments with as much objectivity as possible to avoid the kind of group think that often arises in these circumstances. One method public relation enhances an organization's success is by offering the CEO an unbiased ethical analysis.

Performing Ethical Evaluations

A public relations specialist has to be very autonomous and not restricted to considering the organization's interests alone in making decisions. The principle of objective autonomy4 demands that every argument, whether it comes from the CEO or different publics, be given equal weight. While we acknowledge that no analysis can be completely objective, the aim of moral philosophy is to minimize prejudice and make every effort to be as comprehensive and impartial as feasible.

Being an adept boundary-setter, speaking as an impartial, independent voice in strategy sessions rather than as an agent of the organization's will, and attempting to use data gathered from the publics in the organization's surroundings to enhance organizational policy and strategic decision-making are some ways that public relations practitioners can support and enhance autonomy. Public relations professionals often recount that they worked for years to build a relationship with their CEOs that was both trustworthy and independent, and that autonomy was only gradually given. Numerous PR professionals state that they had to be forceful in sharing their insights and that autonomy was only bestowed upon them once they demonstrated the validity and precision of their assessments over an extended period of time. The virtues of every viewpoint—public and organizational—are weighed in accordance with ethical paradigms that assist in determining the best or most moral course of action. Consequentialism and deontology are basically the two viewpoints that are useful in the analysis of the kinds of moral quandaries that are typical in the field of public relations [9], [10].

CONCLUSION

This study underscores the pivotal role of public relations in navigating the complex terrain of ethics within organizations. It emphasizes the need for public relations practitioners to not only manage organizational values but also serve as ethical advisors to senior management. The study advocates for a proactive approach to ethics, urging public relations professionals to engage in ongoing ethical education and research. The "chicken versus egg" dilemma is acknowledged, emphasizing the importance of fostering an ethically-focused organizational culture that, in turn, influences individual ethical behavior. The study concludes by reinforcing the industry consensus that public relations practitioners should actively contribute to executive decision-making on ethical matters. It envisions a future where ethical considerations are seamlessly integrated into organizational processes, promoting trust, reducing conflicts, and enhancing long-term relationships with diverse publics.

REFERENCES:

[1] E. Serra Yurtkoru and M. ErdilekKarabay, “To what extent trust in leader and ethical climate affect turnover intention? A research on private and public bank employees,” *Int. J. Organ. Leadersh.*, 2018, doi: 10.33844/ijol.2018.60416.

[2] M. N. Ahmed, “The Essence of Ethical Leadership and Its Influence in Achieving Employees’ Job Satisfaction,” *Bus. Ethics Leadersh.*, 2018, doi: 10.21272/bel.2(3).53-66.2018.

[3] M. Sinkkonen, “Challenges Faced by Leaders in Integration,” *Int. J. Integr. Care*, 2018, doi: 10.5334/ijic.s2187.

[4] T. Williams, “Role of Management, Corporate Governance, and Sarbanes-Oxley in Fraud: A Focus on the Precious Metals Industry,” in *Accounting, Finance, Sustainability, Governance and Fraud*, 2018. doi: 10.1007/978-981-10-4502-8_16.

[5] R. Maria Godoy Serpa da Fonseca, D. Aparecida Barbosa, and E. Yoshikawa Egry, "Formación en Responsabilidad Social de los Profesionales de Enfermería: una perspectiva brasileña TT - Formation in Social Responsibility of Nursing Professionals: a Brazilian Perspective," Invest. educ. enferm, 2018.

[6] M. Iszatt-White, C. Saunders, T. Botha, W. Ladzani, S. Rudansky-Kloppers, and J. Strydom, "Chapter 2: Leadership principles and applications for Africa," in *Leadership principles and applications for Africa*, 2018.

[7] R. Bathurst and C. Galloway, "Invitational discourse: towards a spirituality of communication," *Soc. Responsib. J.*, 2018, doi: 10.1108/SRJ-05-2016-0089.

[8] H. Rainey and E. Gifford, "Education for Integration," *Int. J. Integr. Care*, 2018, doi: 10.5334/ijic.s2114.

[9] A. Houston, O. S. Division, T. Quality, and L. Office, *The Public Relation Handbook*. 2018.

[10] R. Gurbutt, "Teaching Innovation Through Collaborative Learning To Generate A Model Of Motivation," in *INTED2018 Proceedings*, 2018. doi: 10.21125/inted.2018.1003.

CHAPTER 12

EXPLORING ETHICAL DECISION-MAKING PARADIGMS IN PUBLIC RELATIONS: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF CONSEQUENTIALISM AND DEONTOLOGY

Shilpi Kulshrestha, Associate Professor
Department of ISME, ATLAS SkillTech University, Mumbai, India
Email Id-shilpi.kulshrestha@atlasuniversity.edu.in

ABSTRACT:

This study explores the ethical frameworks of consequentialism and deontology within the context of public relations decision-making. Consequentialism, centered on outcomes, encompasses utilitarianism and enlightened self-interest. Utilitarianism prioritizes societal advancement, while enlightened self-interest integrates decision-makers' preferences and is widely adopted in public relations. Despite its popularity, critics argue it often leads to morally questionable actions. Utilitarianism, although efficient, faces challenges in defining "the greater good" and balancing majority interests against minority concerns. The need for accurate long-term outcome prediction poses an additional hurdle. In contrast, deontology, a no consequentialist approach, emphasizes moral principles, rights, and obligations. Kant's categorical imperative, comprising three decision standards, guides deontological analysis. The study presents the strengths and weaknesses of both frameworks, using a real-world case study to illustrate their application in public relations.

KEYWORDS:

Consequentialism, Deontology, Decision-Making, Ethical, Public Relation.

INTRODUCTION

Consequentialism, as its name suggests, is predicated on the result or ramifications of a certain choice. If there are more good outcomes than negative repercussions, the choice is found to be ethical. The clear limitation of our capacity to foresee the long-term effects of certain acts is one caution when using consequentialism. Nonetheless, public relations practitioners often use this kind of decision-making, which works well for less complicated situations. The two primary subfields of consequentialism that we shall examine are utilitarianism and enlightened self-interest.

Enlightened self-interest6 is a decision-making approach that weighs the pros and drawbacks of a proposed course of action while giving the decision makers' preferences equal weight with those of others. Therefore, even if the choice is self-serving, it is considered "enlightened" since it takes into account how it will affect other people. According to Martinson (1994), pp. 100–108, the most popular paradigm for making decisions in public relations practice overall is enlightened self-interest. particularly at individuals with less experience or responsibility in the industry. This concept is also known as responsible advocacy or professional ethics. Many ethicists contend that this paradigm falls short of achieving a level of decision-making that may be deemed moral due to its preferential consideration of self-interest. Oftentimes, enlightened self-interest leads to actions that are unenlightened because they are driven by an obsession with self-interest.

According to utilitarianism, morality should be judged according to how much it advances society's interests, or, in other words, according to how much it advances "the greater good for the greatest number" of people. The difficult aspect of utilitarian thinking is defining "the good" in a way that allows you to make choices that will benefit the majority. Originating with Bentham and further developed by Mill, utilitarianism is a prominent theory in public relations because it examines how choices affect groups of people. But, we must exercise caution when putting it into practice since it is simple to uphold the rights of the majority while disregarding the legitimate concerns of the minority, throwing the system out of balance and necessitating a later modification of the ruling [1], [2].

There is disagreement among utilitarians on whether the general moral principle (or rule) or a particular choice (or deed) should be tested according to utilitarianism. In public relations management, utilitarianism is most often applied to individual acts, taking into account all relevant details, including possible outcomes from various choice options. The ethical solution to a problem is the one that produces the greatest number of good results and the fewest number of bad ones. Despite the fact that utilitarianism is often employed to defend the sacrifice of one for the benefit of many, Mill's theory maintains that an ethical choice cannot do damage to the public, no matter how tiny the population. As a result, the utilitarian test becomes more rigorous than just counting the number of participants.

For the most part, public relations managers are adept at making judgments that will provide the best results. In public relations, the cost-benefit analysis that results from using a utilitarian paradigm is a commonly used method for addressing moral quandaries. Utilitarianism has a "natural affinity today in democratic life toward determining the morally right alternative by comparing the balance of good over evil." Making organizational choices with the intention of maximizing benefit for society is a commendable objective. Nevertheless, utilitarianism has some drawbacks that need to be taken into account and balanced in order to reach an ethical conclusion. The flaw with utilitarianism that worries ethicists the most is that results are evaluated only on the basis of numerical values rather than moral principles. For instance, the utilitarian calculation would alter the final choice depending on the number of members rather than a shift in moral principles if a tiny public organization started a membership drive. Complexity is problematic for utilitarianism as well. In reality, how can we choose the optimal course of action when there are equal quantities of benefits to be produced? Christians stated, "Practitioners [sic] usually find themselves confronting more than one moral claim at the same time, and asking only what produces 'the best' is too limiting."

The public relations manager must also be able to precisely forecast the long-term effects of every option for decision-making in order to adhere to utilitarianism. As far as we are aware, very few judgments can be taken whose outcomes can be guaranteed. Predicting the outcomes of organizational actions is made considerably more difficult, if not impossible, by the dynamic world of publics, government regulators, communities, activist organizations, and the mass media. Last but not least, utilitarianism maintains that the majority always wins. What happens if a vociferous and tiny minority has legitimate concerns about the organization? These opinions are rejected by utilitarianism in favor of the status quo or the general population. A system like that might throw the organization's internal dynamics into perilous disarray. Such a state of disequilibrium might lead to a high rate of staff turnover, indignation, litigation, or class action cases; also, there is a good chance that the organization's image will be negatively impacted by bad press coverage.

Utilitarianism's strength is its ability to come at a reasonably quick analysis, which is especially useful in emergency circumstances (for an example of this quick analysis, see to Table 11.1 "An Example of Consequentialist Analysis"). The media's commitment to the public's right to know and business in a democratic society are particularly simpatico with utilitarian philosophy. Combining utilitarianism with another ethical analytic approach is the most effective way to apply it to ethical challenges in public relations. When using a utilitarian analysis, public relations practitioners might be more aware of the possible drawbacks of this method by bearing these warnings in mind.

Rights and Principles: Deontology

A non-consequentialist approach to moral analysis is deontology. Predicting future outcomes is not the purpose of moral analysis; under a deontological approach, consequences are only one minor factor among many. According to this paradigm, the things that are considered to be "the good" and that one should consider while making an ethical choice are obligation, principles, and rights. What is ultimately good is also essentially good, meaning that it is good regardless of its effects or that it would be excellent even on its own. Moral principles are the fundamental ideals that serve as a decision-making framework. They are views that are typically accepted as true or morally right. Determining moral principles when opposing viewpoints are present is never a simple undertaking. Examples may include "the sacredness of life, justice, nonviolence, humanity, accountability, dignity, and peace." Deontology is a rigorous method of moral analysis that calls for a great deal of knowledge as well as the time and independence to carefully weigh many opposing viewpoints. As you are doing here, deontology requires time and philosophical study to apply its three criteria appropriately. Nevertheless, since deontology produces very robust and long-lasting moral evaluations, these disadvantages are also advantages [3], [4].

The 18th-century philosopher Kant developed deontology by using Aristotle's virtue ethics to provide a more practical framework for making decisions. Aristotle believed that the speaker's moral character had a significant role in the message and that only good people should be able to persuade others by using their influence to promote agendas other than the truth. Accordingly, Kant infused his philosophy with a feeling of obligation that ought to guide every moral choice. Since all rational humans have the same capacity for reason via the responsibility of their choices a quality that Kant refers to as moral autonomy—all rational humans are created equal. According to Kant, equality is morally righteous, and this implies that everyone has an equal responsibility to make moral choices.

DISCUSSION

Kant presented three choice tests under that equal requirement, which he dubbed the categorical imperative. Using these three choice tests, decision alternatives are put to the test to see whether they uphold moral principles for all parties concerned, including the public. For a decision to be considered ethical, it must pass each of the three standards. For a description of the three decision tests or standards that should be used in a deontological analysis. "Deontology's Three Decision Standards Based on the Categorical Imperative Obligating All People Equally." There are many ways to resolve a public relations ethical challenge; any ethical shortcomings in those options may be found by applying the three criteria to the scenario.

The Three Decision Standards of Deontology Based on the Categorical Imperative Encouraging Everyone Equally

1. Could you will yourself to become a global being? In other words, even if you were the one getting the treatment, could you force everyone else to constantly do the same action you are thinking about?
2. Does the choice uphold the respect and dignity of the public, without "using" anybody in the name of achieving corporate objectives?
3. Is there a valid reason for the decision?

"Act only on that maxim through which you can at the same time will that it should become a universal law," is how the categorical imperative is expressed in its earliest version. This version of the categorical imperative is helpful in public relations because it "leaves little room for subjective interpretation or self-interested decisions." It tests the same universal standards as would be applied to others, if we could be on the receiving end of a decision. Dignity and respect are demanded by Kant's second test of decision-making, formula two of the categorical imperative. Making decisions requires people to respect themselves, their organizations, and other people in general, according to Kant. We may determine that a judgment is unethical if it fails to preserve the respect and dignity of the relevant publics. The third formula in Kant's categorical imperative examines the rationale behind a choice. "If our conduct as free agents is to have moral goodness, it must proceed solely from a good will." In the Kantian perspective, good intention is the only ethically defensible foundation for decision-making because it upholds people's autonomy and sense of obligation and forbids them from being used as a means to a goal in and of themselves. According to this third categorical imperative criteria, an organization cannot operate from a place of deceit, untruth, avarice, or selfishness. Instead, it must act with good intentions. In public relations ethics, decisions should be made with the purest of intentions. The most exacting norm in moral philosophy is regarded as Kant's test. You can be sure that a choice with a positive response on each of the three tests is one that the organization will make after you have run its possible options through these three tests.

Example: The Leadership Crisis at Home Depot

Home Depot was experiencing a leadership crisis in January 2007. The company's board of directors removed prominent CEO Robert Nardelli and installed considerably less visible executive Frank Blake in his stead after months of shareholder pressure. There was no way the stylistic contrasts could have been more obvious. Despite having originated from separate worlds, Nardelli and Blake had both joined Home Depot from General Electric. Nardelli was seen as a severe, autocratic supervisor who had rejected a significant portion of Home Depot's cultural core and disregarded the company's founders, Arthur Blank and Bernard Marcus.Based on conversations with Brad Shaw.

Blake made the early decision to attempt reestablishing Home Depot's historical foundations. While Nardelli and his group had been enjoying catered lunches on the uppermost level of the headquarters building, Blake directed the senior executives to join the rest of the group in the first floor cafeteria and cover their own expenses. He made contact with Blank and Marcus, asking them to act as advisors while the business attempted to mend its relationship with its clients. Blake used two images—"the value wheel" and "the inverted pyramid"—as the foundation for his communication platform [5], [6].

From the first day of his CEO term, Blake started presenting the Value Wheel and Inverted Pyramid to his staff during meetings. The inverted pyramid and the wheel both represented Home Depot's basic beliefs and highlighted the company's primary goal of putting the needs of its customers and the front-line employees who assist them first. Blake combined this employee outreach with a few smart choices. He took the painful choice to invest in the company's main retail division rather than spin off one of Nardelli's pet projects, HD Supply. New investments were made in frontline customer service improvement at the same time. Blake used several already-existing Home Depot channels to spread the word to colleagues. For example, a monthly safety and protection quiz is mandatory for all 300,000 employees at Home Depot. The business keeps track of who participates in this crucial activity. Blake made the decision to provide a quick message to all frontline workers during the one-minute exam every month. Additionally, he requested ideas from staff members on how to enhance the business using an In-Box initiative already in place. Blake reviews every one of the 300–400 concepts that HQ gets every week.

The chief of communication at Home Depot, Brad Shaw, clarified, saying, "We've taken our frontline associates and given them ongoing access to the CEO." When Frank comes to our shops, people identify him right away, when before he was essentially unknown. We often bring in frontline employees, who have been with HD for 20 years, and Frank meets with them to give them a chance to consider what has made HD outstanding. Our message has evolved; the instruments we use haven't. Conversations conducted with Brad Shaw. Shaw argues that Blake's conduct really conveys a very straightforward message. He adds, "You have to pay attention to your people." "Top-down, centralized communication is a thing of the past. The communication mechanism is two-way. Both Frank and our frontline staff members are aware of this. We're trying to make our management aware of the significance of this [7], [8].

Blake has underlined the need of paying attention to the front-line staff, especially those working in the corporate headquarters. Other executives usually take after the CEO when it comes to reading the business suggestion box and spending time with frontline personnel. Shaw notes, "We're finding that other executives are paying closer attention to the comments themselves when Frank asks a question about something he read in the In Box because they want to be ready with answers." Home Depot has shown its commitment to frontline workers by taking decisive action in support of its declarations. The firm has decided to keep the employee 401(k) match in place even though, like other retailers, it is also feeling the effects of the recession. Home Depot has given record-breaking employee incentives and is still awarding merit hikes, in contrast to many of its rivals. Shaw claims that "other companies are fighting not to lay people off." "Maintaining our concentration on improving Home Depot's standing as a favorable workplace."

Additionally, Home Depot is witnessing gains in same-store sales, which have lately outperformed those of its main rival. The company's profit has recently surpassed projections, despite a decline in gross sales. Customers and front-line staff continue to be Blake's major target audiences, although he may sometimes agree to media appearances if he thinks they would help the company's reputation rather than his own. Shaw says, "Frank is really modest; he doesn't want attention from the media, but he will do it if we think it's crucial to spreading other Home Depot messages." He went on, In addition, he uses social media on his own. Sometimes he comments on blog entries by himself. We don't attempt to manage his social media presence, even if he is building one. It's all about participation, and the most effective way to utilize social media is unfiltered.

The company's customer service has significantly improved in Blake's three years as CEO. The Net Promoter Score is a significant metric that is used by several merchants. Every week, the firm receives approximately 150,000 answers from clients; only positive feedback rating the quality of the service at 9 or above on a 10-point scale is counted. almost the course of three years, consumer satisfaction has improved by almost 1,000 basis points. Frank gives the example of being able to pick up a bottle of packed water and seeing that there is no connection between the workers who packaged it and the water itself. Shaw notes that it's difficult to tell whether they're pleased or not. However, in our situation, if an employee is not satisfied, it will be evident in the way they handle you as a client [9], [10]. The Home Depot and Frank Blake's example highlight the importance of the CEO acting as the main spokesperson for the workforce. In this instance, it is obviously producing results.

CONCLUSION

The study underscores the complex nature of ethical decision-making in public relations, with consequentialism playing a significant role. While enlightened self-interest and utilitarianism offer valuable perspectives, ethical challenges persist. Utilitarianism's numerical focus and potential disregard for minority concerns raise concerns, emphasizing the need for a balanced approach. Conversely, enlightened self-interest's emphasis on self-serving choices, despite considering others, necessitates careful scrutiny. The study recommends integrating utilitarianism with other ethical frameworks for a nuanced analysis. Furthermore, the exploration of deontology introduces a non-consequentialist approach, focusing on obligation, principles, and rights. The study advocates for a comprehensive understanding of diverse ethical perspectives to navigate the intricate landscape of public relations decision-making.

REFERENCES:

[1] J. Torner, B. R. Knudson, and K. Dukes, "2234 Developing the future translational science workforce at the University of Iowa," *J. Clin. Transl. Sci.*, 2018, doi: 10.1017/cts.2018.203.

[2] G. Dexter, "Corporate Governance in the Nonprofit sector: A Grounded Theory Approach to Studying the Trustee Board," *Plymouth Bus. Sch.*, 2018.

[3] C. Bayes, "The Cyborg Flâneur: Reimagining Urban Nature through the Act of Walking," *M/C J.*, 2018, doi: 10.5204/mcj.1444.

[4] A. Kholilah, "Analisis rasio likuiditas ,rasio aktivitas, dan rasio profitabilitas terhadap pertumbuhan laba pada PT. Indofood Sukses Makmur Tbk," *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.*, 2018.

[5] F. Zhilla, L. A. Daher, C. L. Arikan, and M. El-Khoury, "Conceptualizing the determinants of ethical decision making in business organizations," *Probl. Perspect. Manag.*, 2018, doi: 10.21511/ppm.16(4).2018.03.

[6] D. Sari, E. Baysal, G. G. Celik, and I. Eser, "Ethical decision making levels of nursing students," *Pakistan J. Med. Sci.*, 2018, doi: 10.12669/pjms.343.14922.

[7] J. C. Blewitt, J. M. Blewitt, and J. Ryan, "Business Forums Pave the Way to Ethical Decision Making: The Mediating Role of Self-Efficacy and Awareness of a Value-Based Educational Institution," *J. Bus. Ethics*, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s10551-016-3103-0.

[8] J. C. Wisler, "U.S. CEOs of SBUs in Luxury Goods Organizations: A Mixed Methods Comparison of Ethical Decision-Making Profiles," *J. Bus. Ethics*, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s10551-016-3069-y.

[9] B. Alba, "Factors that impact on emergency nurses' ethical decision-making ability," *Nurs. Ethics*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/0969733016674769.

[10] M. Torabi, F. Borhani, A. Abbaszadeh, and F. Atashzadeh-Shoorideh, "Experiences of pre-hospital emergency medical personnel in ethical decision-making: A qualitative study," *BMC Med. Ethics*, 2018, doi: 10.1186/s12910-018-0334-x.

CHAPTER 13

ENHANCING ORGANIZATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS THROUGH EXCELLENCE IN PUBLIC RELATIONS

Nikita Nadkarni, Assistant Professor
Department of ISME, ATLAS SkillTech University, Mumbai, India
Email Id-nikita.nadkarni@atlasuniversity.edu.in

ABSTRACT:

This study delves into the critical aspects of organizational effectiveness, quality, and efficiency in the context of public relations, drawing insights from J. Grunig's Excellence Study. The research, funded by the International Association of Business Communicators (IABC), focuses on understanding how public relations practices contribute to organizational success. Two perspectives, strategic constituents and goal accomplishment, are examined to define organizational effectiveness. The study identifies ten general principles for outstanding public relations, emphasizing the integral role of communication management in overall organizational success. Key principles include the role of public relations in strategic management, direct reporting to upper management, an integrated role for public relations, and the importance of ethics and integrity. This chapter quickly covered the key understanding of about what it takes to make public relations the most successful or "excellent" that it can be, based on the results of the IABC Excellence Study. Being great involves contributing to organizational performance, whether that effectiveness is characterized by goal accomplishment views, strategic constituency development, or continuing growth and survival. The 10 principles of greatness presented in this chapter are considered to be "generic" since they apply across cultures, industries, kinds of organizations, and sizes of effort. The more of these elements that a company possesses, the more successful its public relations role should be.

KEYWORDS:

Ethic, Management, Organization, Public Relation, Strategic.

INTRODUCTION

This is an important topic for the field since it tells us how to assist our businesses become as successful as possible and reach their objectives. Examining these elements of quality and efficacy reveals how public relations should ideally be carried out to get the greatest outcomes.

Efficiency and Quality

This particular topic was the subject of a nearly half-million-dollar grant from the International Association of Business Communicators (IABC) to J. Grunig and his study team, who worked on it for years. The Excellence Study is the name of Grunig's study, and the excellence theory is the product of it. In order to assist you in learning how to maximize the effectiveness of your public relations activities and assist your company or customers in achieving greatness, we will go over those results below. It is possible to define organizational effectiveness as the process of assisting any kind of organization in being the most successful at what it does and in achieving its objectives and purpose. Two main approaches may be used to define organizational effectiveness:

1. The viewpoint of strategic constituents

2. The viewpoint of goal accomplishment

According to the strategic constituencies perspective1, an organization is considered successful if the constituencies that control it are, at the very least, somewhat happy. These stakeholders, who might include customers or government authorities, have the authority to determine whether the business succeeds or fails. A company prospers when those stakeholders are pleased. In this context, sustaining strategic connections with stakeholders that support an organization's objectives—like revenue, awareness-raising, or survival—is a necessary component of organizational performance. Under the goal attainment perspective, a company establishes quantifiable objectives, such market share, revenue, or rankings. When the declared objectives and actual data align, the company may declare success. When the organization achieves its stated objectives, it is seen as successful in this sense. When "the organization is unclear about its own emphases" or success criteria, it is referred to as having "competing values" and being unsuccessful. This kind of organization struggles and falls short of effectiveness because its objectives may change over time or are ambiguous.

Effective organizational management encompasses not just the communication department but the whole company. Nonetheless, assisting the business as a whole in achieving increased organizational success requires excellent communication management. Furthermore, the administration, organization, and structure of the public relations function itself may be optimized by using the principles of good or great public relations. Grunig's Excellence Study determined a wide range of factors that influence the success of organizations. Grunig and the researchers from the Excellence Study narrowed down the most crucial factors that public relations plays in enhancing an organization's overall efficiency after years of investigation. Research that was both quantitative and qualitative was used to extract these characteristics. The variables derived from the data were referred to as generic principles of excellence since they were consistent across country borders, organizational sizes, and cultural contexts [1], [2]. Ten general guidelines for outstanding public relations were determined by the Excellence Study team:

1. Public relations' role in strategic management
2. Public relations being given more authority inside the ruling coalition or having a direct reporting line to upper management
3. Integrated role for public relations
4. The role of public relations in management, distinct from other roles
5. A manager oversees the public relations department rather than a technician
6. The public relations two-way symmetrical (or mixed-motive) model
7. A department equipped with the skills required to carry out the management responsibilities in symmetrical PR
8. An internally symmetrical communication system
9. Diversity represented in all jobs.
10. The group then included the final principle.
11. Integrity and ethics.

These guidelines may be used when creating an organization's public relations department, staffing it with people who will make it more likely to be successful, and organizing the function's internal interactions with management and other departments. A public relations function should be as good as possible if it incorporates more of these components. The chief executive officer's (CEO) awareness of the contributions that communication in general and public relations in particular may make to the success of the business as a whole is another crucial factor. He or she is undoubtedly aware of the potential influence reputation may have on an organization's financial performance and how the public relations department can help to protect and improve reputation.

Describe the General Excellence Principles

The following summarizes the significance of each of the ten general public relations principles for the efficacy of an organization: Better organizational policy from the public's standpoint, more inclusive decision making, and longer-lasting choices are made possible when public relations is integrated into the strategic management function. Publics that a firm took into consideration throughout their strategic management process report higher levels of relationship satisfaction.

The ability for the public relations department to answer directly to the CEO is necessary so that it may provide guidance on issues pertaining to ethical decision-making, publics, and values. Subsequent study revealed that public relations is best when reporting directly to the CEO, despite the researchers' initial hypothesis that a direct reporting connection to the dominant coalition would likewise promote excellence in public relations. Access to and power throughout all organizational levels and functions is granted to an integrated public relations function. It has a certain amount of autonomy and is neither confined or pigeonholed, nor is it invaded or absorbed by marketing or other operations. To avoid marketing or legal departments intruding on the duties and obligations of communication management, it is essential that public relations be treated as a distinct management function inside the company. Smaller or less strategic publics are often disregarded in corporate decision-making when these domains are appropriated by other organizational activities.

DISCUSSION

A professional public relations manager, not just someone with strong writing skills, should always be in charge of the public relations department. Managers possess the research expertise required to gather data, assist in resolving conflicts, manage difficulties, develop budgets, handle moral conundrums, and oversee the public relations department's workforce. Although they are often not educated in management, technicians are typically experts in writing or other technical areas of production. Public relations is likely to be mislabeled as media relations rather than as a legitimate management function if there isn't a manager overseeing it. The two-way symmetrical model of public relations is crucial for a top-notch PR department to employ since research has shown that a dialogue-based strategy is the most successful in resolving disputes, averting issues, and establishing and preserving relationships with the public.

An outstanding public relations department have the expertise required to manage public relations symmetrically because it is capable of doing in-depth research to comprehend publics, as well as participating in cooperative problem-solving and negotiation. Dialogue-based internal communication systems are crucial for fostering a sense of unity among staff members, raising job satisfaction and morale, and lowering attrition. Issue managers may also detect issues early on and address them before they worsen by using issue research. It is essential that public relations departments use a diverse workforce across all jobs to ensure that communications and decision-making processes take into account a range of perspectives. Because it decreases the alienation caused by excluding or failing to engage the thoughts and views of particular publics, inclusivity fosters brilliance.

Four years after the Excellence Study was published, the excellence researchers included ethics and integrity as significant factors, noting that ethics is significant enough to stand alone as an excellence principle. According to Bowen's study, the most successful businesses include ethics into their decision-making processes. This leads to better levels of organizational performance when ethics are planned for, taught to, and ingrained in the whole company. She went into more detail about ethics as the eleventh general public relations guideline and said that the most significant factor facilitating organizational efficiency may be the logical examination of moral conundrums. The industry, a company's size, its objectives, and whether those objectives are assessed using the goal attainment method or by the satisfaction of key constituencies all influence how an organization measures excellence. Nonetheless, the excellence research has shown that these universal excellent principles hold true for all kinds of organizations, regardless of size, across all industries, and in all cultural contexts [3], [4].

Top Techniques Situation: Entergy Addresses Hurricane Katrina

Energy is a major power company that mostly serves the American Gulf Coast. Entergy survived Hurricanes Katrina and Rita, the two greatest storms in the company's history, in a span of only 26 days in the late summer and early autumn of 2005.Case based on Entergy corporate records and Art Wiese interviews. The Entergy service area was severely damaged by the combined effects of these two strong storms. At the height of the storm's fury, about 2 million households and businesses lost electricity. Numerous Entergy power facilities were forced to shut down, and thousands of miles of distribution and transmission cables were destroyed. Ultimately, the storms destroyed or severely damaged 28,892 power poles and almost 1,700 buildings. It was destruction unlike anything seen in the contemporary era.

The situation brought on by Katrina and Rita was unlike any that the Entergy communication team had ever encountered. There was a lot of pressure to get in touch with Entergy staff, clients, communities, and other publics as soon as possible. Members of the communication team were under additional strain since they had also experienced losses due to the storms. They were attempting to deal with the loss of their own houses and the effects the storms had on their personal lives in addition to figuring out how to do their work. Vice president of corporate communications at Entergy, Arthur Wiese Jr., said that "major storms are more than just an operational crisis for a utility company." Additionally, they provide significant communication challenges that have an immediate effect on the company's brand as well as its relationships with shareholders, customers, and workers.

The destruction was devastating in New Orleans, the home of Entergy. Tens of thousands were homeless, and many more were missing or dead. Following the company's evacuation on August 27, 1,500 displaced Entergy personnel were dispersed throughout the country—from Los Angeles to Boston—with almost no electrical power and corrosive seawater flooding the gas distribution system. Knowing where to start was difficult. Entergy had many difficulties in the hours that followed the winds decreasing and the extent of the damage becoming evident. To safely start restoring the biggest damage ever done to its system, the business had to gather the largest restoration team in its history. In order to do this, Entergy had to attend to the practical requirements of its employees, ensuring a steady supply of food, drink, and prescription drugs. For the workers, many of whom had lost their houses, it needed to locate housing. Without access to computers, mobile service, or other conventional means of communication, Entergy devised a makeshift mechanism to pay employees and stay in touch with them. Entergy had to run its operations even with its corporate offices closed in addition to providing for these fundamental human necessities.

In fact, the communication team started getting ready for Katrina far in advance of the hurricane. Two days before Katrina made landfall on August 27, Entergy relocated a multidisciplinary team to Jackson, Mississippi, and activated its system command center as the storm approached New Orleans. The command structure included distribution operations centers at utility operations headquarters in Louisiana, Mississippi, Texas, and Arkansas, as well as additional transmission centers in Jackson and New Orleans. Staff at these offices started organizing the preparations, lining up secure staging places for staff and supplies, and enlisting outside restoration aid via mutual assistance agreements with other utilities. Additionally, although plans were being made to enable staff accommodation once restoration started, consumers and personnel in the probable impact zone were placed on notice.

After Hurricane Ivan devastated its service region the year before, the firm gained some important insights. As the biggest storm of the 2004 Atlantic hurricane season, Hurricane Ivan compelled Entergy to organize a complete workplace evacuation, something the firm had never done before. Workers weren't sure which duties during the evacuation belonged to the corporation and which ones were their own. For instance, Ivan illustrated the boundaries of the company's capacity to provide housing during an evacuation—something that many staff members had been anticipating. Entergy learned from Ivan's experience how crucial it was to explain to staff members the distinctions between their personal and the company's obligations in the event of a disaster.

In the spring of 2005, Entergy put up a cross-functional team to address the takeaways from the Ivan evacuation. The group identified a number of crucial areas that presented issues for the business. In the past, separate corporate divisions implemented evacuation plans on their own, which led to confusion and internal rivalry for housing. Since there had not been a direct hurricane impact in the region in decades, many workers were unaware of the possible risk that a large storm may provide. The communications team devised a new communications strategy and focused on these sources of misunderstanding. The intention was to offer staff members with material to assist them in creating their own personal storm evacuation plans as well as instruction on the company's new evacuation rules and procedures. This strategy highlighted the seriousness of the threat presented by a powerful cyclone and the need of preparation. The business had to justify its decision to adopt more uniform storm policies and detail how these adjustments will improve Entergy's capacity to carry out the storm restoration plan for its clients [5], [6].

Supervisors were contacted first, and they received talking points and an outline of the documents that will be distributed to workers in June 2005. They were also given the option to participate in a Webcast that included a summary of the modifications and a chance for questions. Within two weeks, these supervisors were expected to meet with their staff members to go over the modifications and provide a communications package detailing the new protocols. The communications team also created a brand-new website called "IEStormNet," which was intended to disseminate crucial information before to, during, and after a natural catastrophe. Employees and their families were given access to this website, and flyers highlighting the content on it were sent out as promotional materials.

Entergy also aimed to improve the preparedness of its clientele. Entergy developed a corporate communications strategy in May 2005 with the goal of educating consumers about the difficulties it would have in resuming service in the event of a significant storm. Entergy wanted its consumers to be aware of the difficulties it would have in resuming service after Ivan had shown how difficult it would be to do so. The corporation was unaware at the time of precisely how catastrophic the 2005 hurricane season would be, even though Ivan was a significant storm. Katrina made landfall close to New Orleans on August 29. It was necessary for some 1,500 Entergy workers to leave. Due to the destruction of traditional telephone service and overflowing mobile phone networks, they were dispersed throughout the country and unable to contact.

To find, organize, and notify the sizable repair staff, the corporation had to use alternative strategies. J. Wayne Leonard, CEO of Entergy, emailed more than 14,000 workers every day, including those who were not impacted by the hurricane. Up-to-date recorded messages and comprehensive information were maintained on an employee information line. Daily online bulletins were generated by the freshly established IEStormNet and printed and distributed at crew staging locations. Entergy encouraged staff members to phone in for information by posting toll-free numbers on its website and airing radio PSAs. One of the main goals was to keep staff morale high throughout the restoration process. Numerous workers had lost friends and family members in the hurricane. The winds and floodwaters destroyed or seriously damaged their houses and belongings. In the midst of unspeakable grief and devastation, these same men and women were expected to perform eighteen-hour hours in hot, muggy circumstances.

Things started to go better for the restoration force gradually. By September 7, all of the company's employees had access to cots, food, water, and medical supplies in seven tent towns spread throughout Louisiana and Mississippi. Entergy saw the need to provide devastated employees with incentives to return to work, thus it paid them until it found them employment inside the company. CEO of Entergy Leonard urged managers to distribute messages to workers at distant locations, such as campgrounds, by posting them on IEStormNet. The progress of the restoration work was the main topic of these letters. Days after Katrina made landfall, Leonard wrote in one letter: "IEStormNet Update: Please work safely and know that this organization of caring people is working night and day to help you pull your life back together." A moderate, phased-in pricing plan is agreed upon by Entergy New Orleans and the municipal council.

Entergy launched the Power of Hope Fund a few days after Katrina, with a $1 million corporate commitment, to assist staff members and clients in rebuilding their lives after the disasters. Entergy launched a two-week marketing campaign to promote the Power of Hope Fund, including print, radio, television, and the Internet. In only a few months, contributions totaling more than $4 million were sent to the fund from all around the globe.

Additionally, Entergy launched Operation ReSTORE Hope. In addition to delivery hubs, this concept had an online shop where individuals could contribute furniture, clothing, and home goods for the staff. Over 2,000 workers who had been impacted by the disasters were able to get assistance from the distribution centers, which were manned by retired and volunteer Entergy personnel. Redeployment coordinators were made available by the corporation in each major hub where affected workers and their families were to relocate. These coordinators made the move to new workplaces easier for displaced workers and their families. They contributed significantly by offering useful information on the area's resources, churches, schools, neighborhoods, and other services.

storm Rita made landfall on September 24 as a Category 3 storm close to the mouth of the Sabine River on the Texas-Louisiana border, barely 26 days after Katrina and just as rehabilitation was starting to take root. Rita severed the connections between producing facilities and consumers, causing even more damage to Entergy's transmission infrastructure and bringing darkness to the region from Conroe, Texas, to Jennings, Louisiana. There were more than 400 substations that lost power. Over 750,000 customers had their service stopped by Rita, which delivered devastating winds to the territories of all five utility companies in the Entergy system. Entergy's attempts to restore customer service, attend to the human needs of its staff, and preserve its sustainability as a public firm were made more difficult by this second storm.

Entergy made every effort to have a mutually good connection with the media during the Katrina and Rita reconstruction. Gaining the backing of Wall Street and government representatives depended heavily on winning over the media. The business knew from prior experience that Entergy's customer and staff care would have a significant impact on how the media viewed the firm. Entergy worked with the media using a tactic known as "One Voice." This approach worked well to minimize any misunderstandings about the repair process by keeping all corporate reps' communications accurate and consistent throughout.

In order to accomplish this goal, the corporation provided the identical sets of talking points up to four times a day to all company spokespersons, including the forty-five communicators in its corporate communications department who were involved in the hurricane restoration effort. Throughout the restoration, Entergy released more than fifty news releases and had many press conferences and media conference calls. A total of 15,000 television commercials, 700 print advertising, and over 40,000 radio ads were created and supervised by communication professionals. To make sure that all communications were sent correctly, both internally and internationally, they conducted dozens of coordination calls in addition to taking part in more than 300 conference calls and meetings [7], [8].

When it came to Entergy's repair procedure, reporters were searching for exclusive story angles and total access. The federal, state, and municipal governments' responses to Hurricane Katrina have come under heavy fire from the media. Entergy thus had to deal with those who were already dubious about the way the problem was being handled. Entergy welcomed the media by using its "one voice" approach. The business actively promoted its narrative to journalists, participating in almost all requests for interviews. Reporters were invited by the corporation to meet field teams and have a tour of the command center. A photographer or journalist generally had a seat reserved when Entergy utilized helicopters to evaluate the damage (the Associated Press, USA Today, and the New York Times were the first to do so).

Energy's restoration efforts were seen differently by the public and the government as a result of this openness, which provided media outlets with a consistent stream of information. Entergy's workers were portrayed as heroes in the media coverage that followed, which in many cases raised employee morale. Entergy New Orleans, Inc. (ENO), the smallest of Entergy's subsidiary companies, saw significant infrastructure damage as well as a sharp decline in its clientele in the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina. Just 24% of Entergy's New Orleans customers have returned over two months after Katrina. On September 23, 2005, Entergy Corporation voluntarily filed for bankruptcy reorganization in order to shield its customers from sharp rate hikes and to guarantee ongoing progress in restoring gas and electricity supply to New Orleans. In order to improve its financial circumstances, it also requested government support.

When Entergy requested this government aid, the Bush Administration resisted. ENO had requested to be part of the $17.1 billion Katrina assistance package that Congress authorized in October 2005, but the proposal was turned down. The government believes that private, for-profit businesses like Entergy seldom get federal assistance for losses resulting from natural catastrophes. In order to get government support that would allow ENO to restrict rate increases to its New Orleans customers, a protracted and highly contentious public fight broke out. There was some government money by November 2006. But fee increases for ENO subscribers were unavoidable.

In June 2006, Entergy projected that it would have to raise its customers' electricity prices by 25% in order to get funds from the federal government. But by October 2006, the company's request for block funds under Chapter 11 "Ethics, Leadership and Counseling, and Moral Analyses" had been authorized by the Louisiana Recovery Authority. Simultaneously, the city's improved circumstances had accelerated population growth. A considerably more moderate rate rise of 7.5% was the result of these circumstances. A $75 million storm reserve for potential hurricanes was included in the fee increase. IEStormNet Update. A moderate, phased-in pricing plan is agreed upon by Entergy New Orleans and the municipal council.

Entergy's catastrophe response policy has been improved because to the lessons it learnt during the Katrina and Rita restoration. The business is more aware of the fact that its workforce is its most valuable asset, more so than its clientele. Employee performance affects the messaging that the organization sends to other audiences. Entergy has also discovered that when its staff members encounter such challenging personal consequences, it is necessary to prepare for considerable emotional pressure. Entergy was reminded as a firm of the value of having comprehensive plans for operations, communications, and business continuity. They also discovered that regular drills are a good way to test these plans. Communicators must be sent as soon as possible to a command center that is prepared for a large storm.

Additionally, Entergy discovered the value of making its own evacuation choices rather than depending entirely on the authorities. Entergy reacted quickly to Katrina's arrival by moving its storm command center—and eventually its whole headquarters—to Jackson, Mississippi. This choice turned out to be crucial in enabling the restoration process to start as soon as feasible and go as smoothly as possible. With IEStormNet, Entergy also discovered the need of having backup communication strategies. This internal website made it considerably easier for Entergy and its staff to interact when traditional communication channels were unavailable [9], [10].

Ultimately, the business realized how important it is to take calculated chances, particularly when interacting with the media. Entergy was able to rebuild confidence that had been eroded in the immediate wake of the disaster by welcoming the media and showcasing the concrete actions it was taking with both staff and consumers. The concentration on one voice, the accessibility of senior leaders, and the awareness that its workers were the most crucial public for communication all helped Entergy to emerge from the turmoil of Katrina and Rita and restore its footing as a profitable and sustainable corporation.

CONCLUSION

This study highlights the fundamental principles that contribute to the excellence of public relations in organizational effectiveness. The identified principles provide a comprehensive guide for establishing and managing a successful public relations department. Notably, the study emphasizes the need for organizational leadership, integration of public relations across all levels, diversity in the workforce, and adherence to ethical standards. Furthermore, the case study on Entergy's response to Hurricanes Katrina and Rita illustrates the practical application of these principles in a real-world crisis scenario. By embracing these principles, organizations can enhance their public relations practices and, consequently, achieve greater success and resilience in today's dynamic business environment.

REFERENCES:

[1] J. Peng, J. Quan, and Q. Qin, “R&D investment, intellectual capital, organizational learning, and firm performance: a study of Chinese software companies,” *Total Qual. Manag. Bus. Excell.*, 2023, doi: 10.1080/14783363.2022.2158077.

[2] M. Beerkens, “Enhancing Internationalization by Labels and Certificates: The Power of Voluntary Policy Instruments,” *J. Stud. Int. Educ.*, 2023, doi: 10.1177/10283153221082721.

[3] L. O. Señalin Morales, R. L. Olaya Cum, and J. N. Herrera Peña, “Gestión presupuestaria y planificación empresarial: Algunas reflexiones,” *Rev. Venez. Gerenc.*, 2020, doi: 10.37960/rvg.v25i92.34290.

[4] R. Wijayanti, V. Diyanty, and S. F. Laela, “Education strategy misfit, board effectiveness and Indonesian Islamic bank performance,” *J. Islam. Account. Bus. Res.*, 2020, doi: 10.1108/JIABR-04-2017-0052.

[5] H. J. Abu Dalal, V. Ramoo, M. C. Chong, M. Danaee, and Y. I. Aljeesh, “The impact of organisational communication satisfaction on health care professionals’ work engagement,” *J. Nurs. Manag.*, 2022, doi: 10.1111/jonm.13476.

[6] W. Magadley and K. Birdi, “Innovation labs: An examination into the use of physical spaces to enhance organizational creativity,” *Creat. Innov. Manag.*, 2009, doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8691.2009.00540.x.

[7] J. W. Carter and C. M. Youssef-Morgan, “The positive psychology of mentoring: A longitudinal analysis of psychological capital development and performance in a formal mentoring program,” *Hum. Resour. Dev. Q.*, 2019, doi: 10.1002/hrdq.21348.

[8] T. Toldbod and B. van der Kolk, “Cascading Control Changes, Incoherence, and Dialogue: Insights from a Longitudinal Case Study,” *Eur. Account. Rev.*, 2022, doi: 10.1080/09638180.2020.1813185.

[9] A. Orikhovska *et al.*, “Social integration of hearing-impaired students by means of health-enhancing and recreational activities,” *Phys. Educ. Theory Methodol.*, 2020, doi: 10.17309/tmfv.2020.2.04.

[10] G. W. Muller and W. A. Pasmore, “Designing Effective Organizations: The Sociotechnical Systems Perspective,” *Acad. Manag. Rev.*, 1989, doi: 10.2307/258183.